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Foreword

Perhaps it was that the big prize was always Brazil and that mercan­tilist economic practice precluded great effort by the Dutch to settle their vast North American colony of New Netherland. The golden fluorescence of Dutch economy and culture in the seventeenth century militated against massive out-migrations of Dutch opportunity seekers settling on the frontiers of western civilization. These and other factors combined to keep Dutch possessions in North America sparsely settled, even though a rather heterogeneous population, recruited from much of Northern Europe, eventually came to live here. Despite this sparse population diluted by other national strains, Dutch language and culture were dominant during the period of Dutch colonial proprietorship and for long thereafter. The Dutch established an enduring cultural hegemony, traces of which are discernable in placenames, remaining architecture, in words surviving in our vernacular, and in historical documents they left behind them.

The northern center of Dutch culture, a conservative community in which Dutch custom would particularly endure in one form or another, was Albany, then, variously and reflecting the political and military realities of the seventeenth century, named Fort Orange, Fuyck, Bever­wijck, Albany, Willemstadt, and Albany again, last and finally. This community, fortified fur-trading post, hamlet, and village under Dutch government, and its eventual satellite communities, existed surrounded by the vast domain of Rensselaerswijck, patroonship of the Van Rens­selaer family.

Albany and its Dutch progenitor are well documented, with public records dating from the mid-seventeenth century to the present, the minutiae of administration small brush strokes on the canvas of the municipal history. It is in these public records, created for the most mundane purposes and in the most routine way, that a most candid portrait of the people can be found. In the court minutes of Fort Orange and Rensselaerswijck are unringed and feral hogs rooting in kitchen gardens, cobbler in tavern brawls, petty litigations over bundles of firewood, attempts to regulate life and property, dispense justice, and administer a crude frontier community isolated by thousands of miles.
of ocean and a considerably different reality from its mother country.

The records, in their laconic and pedestrian way, are revealing of the inhabitants of Fort Orange and Rensselaerswijck, often offering the sole proof that some of them existed. Warts and all, our literal and figurative ancestors, the founders of primordial Albany, are there, in their diligence, tenacity, ambition, and courage, their avarice, cowardice, or sloth. In seventeenth-century Dutch in seventeenth-century calligraphy, on a relatively few brittle pages, the records of this remote past repose in a vault in the Albany County Hall of Records. Now, in this new translation, these documents are made more accessible to all of us, and through them in a small way, we can come to know, in a small way, the Fort Orange and Beverwijck of muddy streets and chimney fires, of scoldings, grudges, bakeries, taverns, Indian trade, and contraband. The people of that remote time, so much like us and so different, breathe again.

Robert W. Arnold III
Executive Director,
Albany County Hall of Records
Albany County Historian
November 1988
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Introduction

The Dutch West India Company

The history of Dutch interest in North America properly begins with the explorations of Henry Hudson in 1609. However, it was not until the formation of the West India Company [WIC] in 1621 that the particular interests of private traders or trading concerns were phased out in favor of a government-sponsored trade monopoly supported by colonization. Although the geographical expanse of New Netherland was immense, running from the Connecticut River to the mouth of Delaware Bay, it was but a small part of the West India Company’s holdings in the Atlantic region. Brazil and Africa occupied most of the company’s attention and consumed most of its human and material resources. From the very beginning traders were attracted to New Netherland because of its abundance of fur-bearing animals; the company would also consider its pelttries the primary means of justifying the colony’s existence.*

The original plan of the West India Company directors was to exploit the region of New Netherland as efficiently as possible by establishing strategically located trading posts along its three navigable rivers in the proximity of the natives who would trade furs for manufactured goods. In 1624 a group of Walloon refugees were transported to New Netherland for the purpose of forming agricultural-support communities for the company’s trading posts on the Hudson, Delaware, and Connecticut rivers. These satellite trading posts were to funnel the peltries to a central point (initially Governors Island) to await shipment to the Netherlands. However, within two years such outlying areas had become so unstable because of problems with the natives that all support personnel were resettled on the newly purchased island of Manhattan. Only traders and soldiers of the company remained behind to maintain possession of the trade routes.

Of these three trading posts only Fort Orange on the upper Hudson River maintained a continuity of operation throughout the period of Dutch administration. The post at the mouth of the Connecticut River was later moved upriver and named Fort Goede Hoop (present-day Hartford). Because it was located in an area attracting numerous New England settlers, it eventually became more English than Dutch. In an attempt to establish firm boundaries with the New England colonies at the 1650 conference at Hartford, Petrus Stuyvesant ceded the entire region to Connecticut. The post in the Delaware region on Burlington Island was abandoned completely when the Walloons were removed to Manhattan. However, the West India Company was able to protect its Delaware trade route with the construction of Fort Nassau (present-day Gloucester, N.J.) in 1626. For some years it was enough to maintain a seasonal presence in the region until the appearance of foreign competition made it necessary to strengthen their position. In 1638, when the Swedish South River Company established trading posts on the opposite bank of the river in order to intercept Indians bringing peltries from the west, Fort Nassau became an anachronism. Stuyvesant solved this problem in 1651 by dismantling the fort and establishing a new one south of the main Swedish fort. This new stronghold and trading post, named Fort Casimir, was not only on the proper side of the river for trading purposes but also was in a position to interrupt Swedish access to the sea. The Swedes countered this threat by capturing the Dutch fort three years later. In the fall of 1655 Stuyvesant eliminated both the tension and the competition in the Delaware by conquering New Sweden. However, Fort Casimir (later renamed Fort New Amstel) never attained the primacy of Fort Orange as a fur-trading operation.*

A glance at a map of the Northeast clearly shows that the unique configuration of the Hudson and Mohawk valleys offers the most efficient access to the interior of North America south of the Saint Lawrence River. To the Dutch these two river valleys represented a conduit for the trans-shipment of peltry to Europe. A trading post near the confluence of the Mohawk and Hudson would serve as a collection point for furs brought in from the west and as a storehouse of goods to trade with the Indians. In 1614 a group of Dutch trading concerns, licensed by the States General as the New Netherland Company, constructed Fort Nassau on Castle Island in the Hudson River (presently part of the port of Albany).

The fort’s interior dimensions were 58 feet by 58 feet, surrounded by earthen breastworks and a dry moat 18 feet wide. Inside the fort was a trading house 36 feet long by 26 feet wide.* Unfortunately its location in the river left it to the mercy of the elements; after suffering severe damage from spring floods and ice floes, the trading post was abandoned in 1618. Until the appearance of the West India Company the region was frequented during the trading season by private merchants who lived aboard their ships or in makeshift shelters on shore. In 1624, after some problems in securing financial backing, the WIC established its claim to the region with the construction of Fort Orange and the settlement of eighteen families.

At the time of Dutch settlement both sides of the upper Hudson Valley were controlled by Algonquin Indians called Mahikanders [Mahican] by the Dutch. Just to the west of Fort Orange were the Maquas [Mohawk], the easternmost tribe of the Iroquois Confederacy. The Mahican were the rightful owners of the area around the fort; however, the Mohawk were situated along the trade route to the interior and in a position to control the flow of peltries to the Dutch. Since at this time the West India Company did not consider land a commodity worth possessing, but rather a means for gaining access to products profitable to its investors, one would have expected an alliance with the Mohawk in order to secure the major trade route. Instead, Daniel van Crieckenbeeck, first commander of Fort Orange, decided to assist the Mahican in an attack on the Mohawk. The instructions to Willem Verhulst, director of New Netherland, expressly prohibited involvement in local Indian disputes.† The territorial struggle between the Mahican and the Mohawk had been going on for decades but probably intensified with the presence of the Dutch and the advantage of their friendship. A war originally more related to dominance over hunting grounds thus developed into a struggle for control of the fur trade.

In the spring of 1626 Van Crieckenbeeck and six of his soldiers marched off with a Mahican war party for an attack on the Mohawk. Approximately five miles from Fort Orange the expedition was ambushed by a Mohawk war party (probably in the Norman's Kill ravine south of Albany). The defeat of the Mahican and the deaths of Van Crieckenbeeck and four of his soldiers sent shock waves throughout New Netherland. Peter Minuit, who had replaced Verhulst as director, immediately assessed the situation and decided to withdraw the settlers for

* These dimensions were taken from the “1615 Figurative Map of New Netherland” at the Royal Archives in The Hague.
their own safety. Although relations with the Mohawk were patched up and the trade route reestablished, the settlers were not returned to the area; only a small garrison was maintained at the fort for protection of the West India Company’s trading interests. By 1629 the Mohawk had consolidated their victory over the Mahican by driving them to the east side of the Hudson River. The Mohawk not only now controlled the fur-trade route from the west but also had eliminated all competition in their dealings with the Dutch. The expulsion of the Mahican from the area left a vacuum around Fort Orange, which the Dutch proceeded to fill in a unique way.

The Patroonship of Rensselaerswijck

From the very inception of the West India Company there arose a debate over whether the overseas territories should only be considered sites for trading posts concerned with exploiting the natural resources from the region or open to colonists who would commit themselves to developing the region agriculturally. One faction suspected that the colonists would be a drain on the company’s profits through illegal competition and smuggling, while the other faction explained that an agricultural base was necessary to maintain the trading routes and discourage settlement by other foreign powers. In 1629 the faction favoring colonization won a concession called the “Freedoms and Exemptions.” Under its terms a “patroon” was allowed to negotiate with the natives for a tract of land upon which he was obligated to settle 50 colonists within four years at his own expense.* The patroon was granted the rights of high, middle, and low jurisdictions and held the land as a perpetual fief of inheritance with the right to dispose of the colony by last will and testament. In effect the question of colonization was turned over to the private sector. All the investors who filed for the right to become land barons in the New World were either directors of the West India Company or closely involved with its operations. Only one succeeded to the point of passing his domain on to his heirs, and its success could be attributed in part to “insider” information.

Early enthusiasm for the patroonship plan of colonization found numerous investors willing to risk their capital on land from Delaware Bay to the Connecticut River. However, only Rensselaerswijck located along the upper Hudson managed to survive. Although its success derived partly from superior location, most of the credit is due to the imagination and patience of its major investor. Kiliaen van Rensselaer was a director of the West India Company, diamond merchant by

* VRBM, 136–53.
vocation and agriculturalist by avocation. His experience in land reclamation in Het Gooi* and management of agricultural production procedures was applied to the New World by proxy. In long detailed letters to his managers of Rensselaerswijk he expressed his concern for every phase of agricultural development and production. Kiliaen van Rensselaer's determination and vision may have been enough to bring success to a plan that failed elsewhere; however, when he died in 1643 at the age of sixty-three, only ten years after the settlement of his colony, the fate of Rensselaerswijk fell into the hands of people unable to prevent friction with the West India Company at Fort Orange. The premature death of such a dynamic leader probably would have signaled the end of any other comparable venture; however, Rensselaerswijk's location at the confluence of the Hudson and Mohawk rivers with a West India Company fort and trading post in its geographical center, its fertile farmland and its abundant natural resources were assets that could overcome much adversity. If it is true that location is everything in the world of real estate, then Kiliaen van Rensselaer was well served by his agent.

Bastiaen Jansz Krol came to Kiliaen van Rensselaer with impressive credentials. Not only had he served the West India Company in New Netherland from its earliest attempts at settlement but he had been appointed commissary of Fort Orange several months after the Crieckenbeeck disaster in 1626. When Krol returned to the Netherlands in 1629 he was able to advise Kiliaen van Rensselaer on potential locations for his patroonship from knowledge based on awareness of the political situation as well as agricultural suitability.† The following year Krol returned to New Netherland with instructions from Van Rensselaer to purchase from the rightful native owners as much land above and below Fort Orange as the situation would allow. By May 1631 he had concluded negotiations with the Mahican for a large tract of land north and south of the company's fort on the upper Hudson. Bastiaen Jansz Krol, in his capacity as commissary of Fort Orange, signed the purchase agreement on behalf of the patroon, Kiliaen van Rensselaer. However, what had begun as a cooperative venture between an official of the West India Company and the patroon of Rensselaerswijk soon deteriorated into a period of tension and confrontation between these two forces. Although the resolution of the dispute was a crippling blow to the patroonship, it was the genesis of the West India Company's village of Beverwijck, precursor of Albany.

* a district in the province of Utrecht along the IJsselmeer
During the early years the patroonship of Rensselaerswijck and the West India Company’s trading operation at Fort Orange coexisted with few problems. Kiliaen van Rensselaer sent over farmers, craftsmen, livestock, agricultural equipment, and a steady stream of detailed instructions to his managers. No matter was too small for his scrutiny, from the gelding of horses to the design of a church. However, he was well aware that Rensselaerswijck could not survive unless good relations were maintained and promoted with the company. When he learned of an incident involving the lowering of the patroon’s flag by Fort Orange officials, he wrote to Willem Kieft, director of New Netherland, that the success of his colony depended in large part on good relations with the company and that he would never knowingly do anything to usurp its power or revenue; “if my people speak foolish words, it is by reason of their weakness and not by my orders.”

It was not Kiliaen van Rensselaer’s intention to compete with the company but rather to cooperate for their mutual benefit. As a director of the West India Company in the Amsterdam chamber and a leading force behind the patroonship plan of colonization, he was obligated to honor the articles of the “Freedoms and Exemptions” and the company’s privileges in the region. His plan was to locate the central community of the patroonship on the east side of the Hudson directly opposite the company’s fort. With the river separating Fort Orange and the patroon’s community there would be no interference with the trading post and no temptation to become involved in the fur trade. In 1639 he instructed his director of Rensselaerswijck to build the church on the east side, although there are indications that some wanted the community on the west side near the fort. He also suggested that the “mechanics” that is, carpenters, wheelwrights, coopers, smiths, and so forth, locate near the church but hastened to add that he could not order them to do so because they were freemen. However, four years later, Isaac Jogues, a Jesuit priest hiding out in the colony from his Mohawk captors, wrote that the domine was still performing services in his house and that there were 25 to 30 houses built along the river “as each found most convenient.” If the church was under construction, the Jesuit was either unaware of it or neglected to state it; unfortunately, he also neglected to indicate along what side of the river the houses were built. These observations were made in the same year of Kiliaen van Rensselaer’s death in October 1643.

If the first patroon had lived another decade, he might have been able to carry out his plans to consolidate the major settlement on the east side

* VRBM, 474.
† VRBM, 454–55.
of the river; however, even his wishes might have been overruled because of two factors that made settlement on the west side near Fort Orange the logical choice. First, in 1639 the West India Company revised the “Freedoms and Exemptions” of 1629, opening the fur trade to everyone, with the sole provision that the furs be transported on company ships and that a recognition fee be paid to the company. Secondly, a series of wars between the company and the River Indians south of Rensselaerswijck had brought about a sense of insecurity among the settlers. Both factors contributed to settlement on the west side of the Hudson: proximity to the fur trade, which flowed in from the west out of the Mohawk Valley, and proximity to Fort Orange in the event of an Indian attack. These pressures to consolidate settlers near the fort coincided with the entrance of two new personalities into New Netherland.

The Founding of Beverwijck

The new director of Rensselaerswijck was Brant van Slichtenhorst, who, in several offices in the Netherlands, had shown himself to be a single-minded individual for whom there was no room for compromise. For him, justice was immutable and he was willing to fight to the finish over the most minor points.* His future adversary on Manhattan, Petrus Stuyvesant, director general of New Netherland, was a man from the same mold. He was 22 years younger than Slichtenhorst, but just as stubborn and uncompromising. In fact, Stuyvesant was so strict in upholding the rights and privileges of the West India Company that the directors were forced to caution him several times against being inflexible.

Soon after his arrival in the colony as director of Rensselaerswijck on 22 March 1648, Slichtenhorst began to grant building lots in the area north of Fort Orange. As an indication of his intention to reverse the trend of unsystematic construction along the river and to consolidate his nonagricultural employees near the security of the fort, he frequently referred to this settlement as the Bijeenwoningh, “the living-together” or “community.” It is not known whether he was acting on his own initiative in this matter, because his instructions as director have not survived. However, what probably seemed to Slichtenhorst to be a move toward the mutual security of the Rensselaerswijck settlers and the company’s fort, developed into a controversy that took thirty-five years to resolve.

When Stuyvesant heard of the construction of houses near Fort

Orange in the summer of 1648, he reacted swiftly. He ordered Slichtenhorst to refrain from all construction within a cannon shot of the fort (about 3,000 feet).* As a military man, Stuyvesant was concerned about the security of the fort and was determined to reestablish the company's presence in the area. There are indications that under Stuyvesant's predecessor, Willem Kieft, only a token force was maintained at Fort Orange. It seems that Kieft was willing to allow the officials at Rensselaerswijck to administer the area with little interference from the company. For example, when the fort's commissary, Harmen Meyndertsz van den Bogaert, fled into the Mohawk country in 1647 to avoid prosecution, it was Hans Vos, an employee of Rensselaerswijck, who was sent after him.†

However, it was not only the construction of houses within Fort Orange's field of fire that disturbed Stuyvesant, he was apparently more upset that Slichtenhorst had refused to allow the Company laborers to quarry stone and cut timber on the patroon's land without permission; he even prohibited his colonists from using the patroon's horses to haul construction materials to the fort. Stone and timber were urgently needed to repair the fort that had been severely damaged by flooding during the previous winter. To Stuyvesant this was usurpation of the company's authority and supreme jurisdiction in New Netherland.‡ He reasoned that if this was tolerated, then other "colonies" such as Flushing and Gravesend on Long Island would expect the same, depriving the company of timber needed for ships, forts, and other constructions; eventually the company would have to beg the materials from their subjects and even pay for them at the highest price. Stuyvesant was not prepared to allow the company to be degraded in this manner. Conversely, Slichtenhorst considered the patroon's authority to be supreme in the area, which he maintained also included the ground upon which Fort Orange stood. Thus the stage was set for the confrontation of two uncompromising wills.

Although Stuyvesant reacted quickly to the situation in the north, the distance between Fort Orange and Manhattan (about 150 miles) did not allow for the quick execution of his orders. In fact, during the winter months (usually from November to mid-April) the Hudson was frozen, isolating Fort Orange completely from the rest of New Netherland. Stuyvesant could also expect to wait six months or more for instructions from his superiors in the Netherlands. Because of this isolation, local directors and commanders were left on their own initiative for long

* NYHM, 5:18.
† MCR, 105.
‡ NYHM, 5: 2–3.
periods of time; sometimes following courses of action that were diametrically opposed to the wishes of the company's directors. In addition to these problems of communication, Stuyvesant was at this time preoccupied with negotiating a boundary with the English colonies to the east and confronting a Swedish colony in his southern jurisdiction.

We no longer have the advantage of Stuyvesant's letters to the directors of the company in Amsterdam, however, they must have contained detailed information concerning Slichtenhorst's activities at Rensselaerswijck, because every letter from the directors to Stuyvesant for the period 1648 through 1652 contains at least one paragraph focusing on the patronship. There can be no doubt that Stuyvesant was being careful to advise the directors about the situation and waiting for their instructions before acting. He was aware that he was dealing with powerful forces in the Netherlands. Although Kiliaen van Rensselaer was dead, the patronship still had many friends within the company who would be sympathetic to its interests. In the meantime, Slichtenhorst proceeded with his plans to consolidate his nonagricultural colonists in the Bijeenwoningh or Fuyck,* as it was called well into the eighteenth century. He continued to defy Stuyvesant's prohibition against constructions within 3000 feet of the fort by granting new building lots; in fact, he went so far as to distribute lots in fee simple and guaranteed his settlers against loss if their buildings were damaged or torn down by the company. During this period, from 1648 to 1651, while Stuyvesant was settling other matters and waiting for instructions, Slichtenhorst asserted himself in other ways: first, by refusing to allow company ordinances to be posted within the limits of Rensselaerswijck; and then by threatening to fine any of his farmers who hauled stone or wood for the repair of the fort. By 1651 Stuyvesant was ready to move against Slichtenhorst: the Treaty of Hartford had been concluded with New England, ostensibly securing the eastern boundaries of New Netherland; and Fort Casimir had been erected on the Delaware to guard against further encroachments by the Swedes. Now that his external problems had been resolved for the moment, he was ready to turn his attention to his major internal problem—Rensselaerswijck.

Stuyvesant had received instructions from the directors to proceed firmly against Slichtenhorst; in essence, giving him a mandate to uphold the sovereignty of the fort including the area of 3,000 feet from its

* This name is derived from the Dutch word for a fishnet in the shape of a truncated cone, usually called a "hoopnet." The name Fuyck was applied to the early settlement because of the two roads that emanated from the fort, one along the shoreline and the other leading inland (now Broadway). Viewed from the north wall of Fort Orange these diverging roads would have resembled the basic shape of a hoopnet.
perimeter. The directors had made this decision on the basis of discussions with the owners of Rensselaerswijck in the Netherlands. They had concluded that Slichtenhorst had fallen from favor and would soon be replaced. There is no doubt that his uncompromising behavior had dissatisfied the owners. As Kiliaen van Rensselaer had stated earlier to Willem Kieft, his colony could not survive without maintaining good relations with the company. Although it cannot be proven, there is the possibility that the owners would have been content to let the company initiate the removal of Slichtenhorst, since he claimed that Rensselaerswijck owed him more than $15,000 and probably would not have responded to any recall until his accounts were settled.*

When Slichtenhorst tore down a company ordinance in 1651 concerning excise taxes, Stuyvesant made his final move. He summoned the director of Rensselaerswijck to Manhattan to explain his actions, and incredibly Slichtenhorst went; probably not as much out of obedience to the director general of New Netherland, as out of a desire to discuss the question with Stuyvesant as an equal. Slichtenhorst's confidence had grown from a false sense of security bred by continued success in defying the company. When he arrived in New Amsterdam, he was promptly thrown in jail.† The plan may have been to transport him on the first available ship to the Netherlands where the company and owners of Rensselaerswijck would resolve the matter. Slichtenhorst, however, had other plans. He escaped from jail and made his way back to Rensselaerswijck. His experience in New Amsterdam, if anything, made him more determined than ever to maintain the privileges of the patroonship and to carry out his settlement plans.

During 1651, with rumors of war with England in the air, Slichtenhorst proceeded to grant new building lots within the disputed area around the fort and continued to refuse the posting of company ordinances. Slichtenhorst had been in similar situations in the Netherlands and had reacted in the same manner.‡ In pursuit of justice he would oppose any authority for any length of time. When Johannes Dijckman, the commissary of Fort Orange, requested that some company ordinances be posted in Rensselaerswijck, Slichtenhorst responded, "In no way, as long as I have a drop of blood in my body, unless you first show me authorization from our [superiors in the Netherlands]."§ However, his days were now numbered.

On New Year's eve, during the usual celebration, soldiers from the

* The WIC directors to Stuyvesant in NYCD, 14:171.
† NYCD, 14:187.
‡ MCR, 184.
§ MCR, 188.
fort fired burning fuses onto the roof of the director’s house just north of the fort. The thatch was set afire but quickly extinguished and Slichtenhorst’s family escaped without injury. On New Year’s day of 1652, Slichtenhorst’s son Gerrit, was assaulted by the same soldiers near the fort. They beat him “black and blue” and then dragged him through the mud. Dijckman watched the activity, apparently with amusement, for he threatened to run anyone through with his sword who interfered. When told that Slichtenhorst would avenge the treatment of his son, Dijckman ordered his gunner to load the fort’s cannon and prepare to fire through the director’s house.* The situation had become intolerable. If the success of Rensselaerswijck depended on maintaining good relations with the company, then this state of open hostility would lead quickly to its total failure.

As soon as the river opened in the spring of 1652 Stuyvesant made his final move. He had an ordinance passed in council proclaiming the company’s jurisdiction around the fort and ordered the erection of boundary posts. As was probably predicted, Slichtenhorst refused to publish the ordinance and tore down the boundary markers. Dijckman responded by visiting the director with eight armed soldiers. He hauled down the patroon’s flag, rang the bell, and proclaimed the establishment of the court of Fort Orange and village of Beverwijck. Slichtenhorst was arrested and sent to Manhattan where he spent the next sixteen months under detention, during which time his term of office expired. The residents of Fuyck who fell within the 3,000-foot jurisdiction around the fort were ordered to swear allegiance to the company, absolving them of any obligations to the patroonship. In one stroke Rensselaerswijck had lost its major community where most of the nonagricultural colonists had built houses and pursued their various trades, and a new political entity had been created, which would eventually become the capitol of the Empire State.

Protests in the Netherlands were in vain, until 1674 when the West India Company decided that the patroon was indeed the legal owner of the disputed land. However, the owners of Rensselaerswijck were referred to the king of England for restitution of their rights, because the province had just been returned to England by an article in the Treaty of Westminster ending the Third Anglo-Dutch War.†

* MCR, 189.
† NYC D, 2:558.
INTRODUCTION

The Court of Fort Orange and Beverwijck

The following has been extracted from A. J. F. van Laer's prefaces to his translations of the Fort Orange court minutes.*

The newly created court, which was termed a Kleine Banck van Justitie, an inferior bench of judicature, was a court for the trial of civil and minor criminal cases, from which an appeal lay to the director general and council of New Netherland. The court was composed of the commies, or commissary of the fort, afterwards bearing the title of vice director, and variable numbers of commissarissen, or local magistrates, often designated in English documents of the period as “commissaries.” Of these the commies, who acted as prosecuting officer and who represented the company, was appointed for an indefinite term of years directly by the director general and council of New Netherland, while the magistrates, at least in theory, represented the people and were appointed annually from a double number chosen by the inhabitants. When sitting as a criminal court, the officer presided and demanded justice of the magistrates, who not only found whether the accused was guilty, but also determined the penalty that should be imposed on him.

The jurisdiction of the court comprised Fort Orange, the village of Beverwijck, Schenectady, Kinderhook, Claverack, Coxsackie, Catskill and, until May 16, 1661, when a court was established at the Esopus. Excluded from the jurisdiction was the colony of Rensselaerswijck, which maintained its own court, side by side with that of Fort Orange and the village of Beverwijck until 1665, when by order of Governor Richard Nicolls the two courts were consolidated. A record of the court of Rensselaerswijck for the period 1648–52, when it was presided over by Van Slichtenhorst, has been preserved, but no record exists of judicial proceedings after the last mentioned date. Considering that the majority of the tenants of the patroon had become burghers of Beverwijck and had their cases tried before the local court, it is fair to assume that the court of the colony of Rensselaerswijck was rarely, if ever, called upon to exercise its judicial functions after 1652, and that therefore no record was kept.†

As an illustration of the primitive conditions under which the court of Fort Orange and Beverwijck conducted its sessions, it is interesting

† Contrary to Van Laer’s assumption, much evidence has been found to demonstrate that the court of Rensselaerswijck remained active after the formation of Beverwijck; however, no original minutes have survived.
to read the description of the first two buildings that were occupied by the court. This description has been preserved in a memorandum which was presented by Vice Director La Montagne to the director general and council of New Netherland on September 4, 1660,* in justification of the expenditures incurred by him in building the second court house in 1657–58. The description of the original building is as follows:

The old house was 26 feet and 9 inches Rhineland measure in length and two stories high, built all around of one-inch boards and having a pavilion-shaped roof, covered with old shingles, as said before. Underneath was a cellar, 19 feet wide and as long as the width of the house. The first story had eight beams, resting on corbels, and was divided in two by a pine partition; at the north end was a room, 16 or 17 feet in width, and at the south end a vestibule, 10 feet wide. The second story consisted of a single room used by the court, without ceiling or chimney, and to reach this room one had to climb a straight flight of stairs through a trap door.

The old building, which stood close to the fort, on the present steamboat square,† had by 1657 sagged at the north end in such a way as to crush almost completely the house of Lambert van Valckenburgh and its general condition was so dilapidated that repairs seemed useless. It was therefore torn down to make room for a larger brick building, which in the above-mentioned memorandum is described as follows:

A brick building was built, with two cellars, each 21 feet square, separated by a two-brick wall. The foundation of the said cellar is 3 or 4 feet in thickness, built of substantial stone (hauled a distance of 16 miles), 6 feet high, to the level of the ground, and on top of this is a brick wall, two feet high and three bricks thick, upon which rest the cellar beams. The first story is divided into three parts: at the north end is a room 21 feet square, inside measure, with a brick chimney; at the south end a kitchen 16 feet in width and 21 feet in length, also with a chimney and a hallway 5 feet wide, separated from the large room by a one-brick wall. The upper story is divided by a half-brick wall into two equal parts, each 21 feet square. At the north end is a room, intended for the court; at the other end an office, in which are a wainscoted bedstead and a chimney. Access to this floor is by a winding stairway and a separate landing. On this landing there are three doors, one to the left, which gives access to the court room; another toward the front, which gives access to the attic by means of a winding staircase. This attic extends

* The whereabouts of this memorandum is unknown.
† Van Laer was mistaken in the location of the old building; according to all evidence, both internal and external, the building housing the court and the house of Lambert van Valckenburgh was inside Fort Orange at this period of time.
over the whole house and above it there is a loft, suitable for the storage of powder and other ammunition. In short, it is strong and substantial house, the walls below and above (upon which the beams rest without corbels) being one and a half bricks thick, and built of choice clinker brick. The house is covered with well-burned tiles and in every one's opinion makes a strong, commodious and handsome structure.

The records of the court, which under different names continued to exist until the erection of the mayor's court of the city of Albany in 1686, have for the greater part been carefully preserved. They consist of eight books of minutes, all written in the Dutch language, of which six, containing the minutes for 1652–56, 1658–59, 1668–73, 1675–84, 1676–80, and 1680–85, are kept in the Albany county clerk's office,* and the remaining two volumes, containing the minutes for 1657 and 1660, form part of volume 16 of the New York Colonial Manuscripts in the New York State Library.

A complete calendar of the minutes, with exception of those for 1657 and 1660, which are listed in the Calendar of Historical Manuscripts, edited by E. B. O'Callaghan, was prepared by Berthold Fernow in 1894–95, under the direction of Wheeler B. Melius, in connection with publication of the printed Index to the Albany county records, of which Mr. Melius was the superintendent. A copy of this calendar, with editorial and genealogical notes by C. A. Hollenbeck, who used the pseudonym "Jed," appeared under the heading "Historical Fragments" in the Sunday issues of the Albany Argus for October 18, 1903–April 23, 1905.

Translations of the minutes for 1658–59, which are entered in a record entitled Mortgage[s] No. 1, 1652–1660, were included among the manuscripts of the late Professor Jonathan Pearson, which were recently placed at the disposal of the New York State Library by the author's sons and which, with the exception of these minutes, were published under the title of Early Records of the City and County of Albany and Colony of Rensselaerswijck, but, as far as known, no full translation of the entire series of minutes has ever been made.

That the oldest judicial and administrative records of the city and county of Albany should thus, for a period of more than two hundred years, have remained virtually a sealed book, is much to be regretted. It is surprising in view of the fact that as early as December 31, 1768, an act was passed providing for the translation of the Dutch records in the custody of the clerk of the city and county of Albany. The bill, which was introduced in the General Assembly by Col. Philip Schuyler, referred to a committee and favorably reported by Abraham Ten Broeck, makes

* These record books are now held by the Albany County Hall of Records.
no mention of any court records and was apparently primarily intended to provide for the preservation and translation of deeds and other writings which as the act says "greatly concern the Estates and property of the Freeholders and other Inhabitants of the said County, and in their present Condition are in danger of being lost." As another section of the act, however, refers to the turning over to the translator by the clerk of "all the Dutch Records and Writings remaining in his custody, it may be assumed that the court records were meant to be included. Whatever may have been the intention of the introducer of the bill, there is no evidence to show that any such translations as were contemplated by the act were ever made.

The first book of minutes, of which a translation appears in the present volume, is a folio volume of 321 pages, which contain the proceedings of the court from April 15, 1652, to December 12, 1656. The handwriting in the book varies, the first part apparently that of Joannes Dijckman, who was commissary of Fort Orange from 1651 until June 1655, when he was incapacitated by insanity. During the administration of Joannes Dijckman, Pieter Ryverdingh was court messenger and for some time also clerk, and it is possible that some of the entries are in his handwriting.

Johan de Deckere, who succeeded Dijckman, was appointed presiding commissary at Fort Orange on June 21, 1655, and the minutes from July 13th of that year until July 17, 1656, were kept by him. Johannes de La Montagne, who offered to go to Fort Orange on August 22, 1656, was appointed the same day and received his commission as vice director on September 22nd of that year. The first entry signed by him occurs under the date of October 13, 1656, and appears to be in the handwriting of Johannes Provoost, who during the administration of La Montagne was the clerk of the court. The court messenger at that time was Ludovicus Cobus, who received his appointment on August 7, 1656. For the period from October 4 to December 12, 1656, an engrossed copy of the minutes is found in part 2 of volume 16 of the New York Colonial Manuscripts, in the New York State Archives, of which use has been made to supply the signatures to the entry of October 4, 1656, which are cut out of the original record, presumably for the sake of securing the autograph of Peter Stuyvesant, who on that date presided over the court.

The minutes for 1658–1659 make up the first 211 pages of an original record in the Albany county clerk's office which on the back is lettered: Court Minutes 2, 1658–1660, and underneath, in larger type, Mortgage No. 1, 1652–1660. A translation of these minutes, made by Professor Pearson, was among the manuscripts which in 1914 were presented to the New York State Library by his sons. With the exception of these
minutes, these manuscripts have since been published under the title of *Early Records of the City and County of Albany and Colony of Rensselaerswijck*, volumes 2–4. *

The court minutes for the years 1661 until the English takeover in 1664 are missing, as are the minutes for the first four years of the English administration. It is presumed that these several record books were lost sometime before the nineteenth century, in that there is no reference to their existence in early secondary sources.

**Editorial Method**

The translation of a series of records such as the Fort Orange Court Minutes involves special problems and considerations. As with any seventeenth-century text the problems are manifold. Beginning with the decipherment of the various handwriting styles and ending with the actual balancing of equivalent expression against literal meaning. The major problem in these minutes is embodied in the person of Johannes Dijckman, the first chief magistrate of the court and keeper of the minutes. His handwriting was so bad that the directors in Amsterdam once complained to Stuyvesant about their inability to read records sent to them for the year 1651. Stuyvesant explained that they were the product of the “drunkard Johannes Dijckmans.”† His handwriting in the Fort Orange Court Minutes reflects this disability, which intensifies over the years until he ceases keeping the minutes almost in mid stroke and is replaced by a more benign hand. However, from April 10, 1652, until May 9, 1655, we are confronted with a hand in some cases so unclear that only context and related documents make a translation possible. Although a translation conceals or smooths out the writer’s failings caused by physical and mental disabilities, there are other manifestations that indicate a befuddled or confused mind, such as incorrect dates on minute headings and various other errors in formatting the minutes in the book. All of these inaccuracies are duly noted in the annotations.

With regard to the actual layout or format of the minutes, the original was adhered to as much as possible. This was done both to maintain the integrity of the original document and to reflect the style of the three

* This concludes the excerpts from A. J. F. van Laer’s prefaces to his two-volume translation of the *Court Minutes of Fort Orange and Beverwijck.*

† Letter from Stuyvesant to the directors in Amsterdam, dated October 30, 1655, in the “Bontemantel Collection” at the New York Public Library. According to *The Register of New Netherland*, compiled by E. B. O’Callaghan (Albany, 1865), 25–26, Dijckman arrived in New Netherland in the spring of 1651 where he was employed as bookkeeper or commissary of accounts on Manhattan before becoming commissary of Fort Orange later in the same year.
different hands involved in keeping the records. These different hands
reveal themselves not only in the distinct way in which the records are
laid out, but also in punctuation and the use of Latin expressions. Proper
names are transcribed rather than translated, that is to say, they are kept
exactly as they appear in the records. These variations provide the
researcher with clues to pronunciation and often a connection to a later
form of the name that would otherwise remain obscure. They also
provide information on incipient folk etymologies and tell us something
about the relative literacy of individuals. Damaged portions are indicated
by empty brackets that approximate the amount of loss or by italicized
explanations in brackets. Dutch weights and measures have been main­
tained. Equivalent values in English are given in the glossary. All Dutch
and other foreign terms are italicized with an accompanying translation
in the footnotes. Professional and craft names as well as nicknames and
places of origins associated with individuals are kept in the Dutch to
preserve a possible connection with the surname of following genera­
tions, such as the redheaded carpenter from Salsbergen, Jan
Hendricksen, who appears in the records with one or more of the
following appelations: Jan Hendricksen *Roodthaer* van Salsbergen *Timm­
erman*. The index reflects the various combinations by which a person
could be identified; translations of the various craft names are listed in
the glossary.

Although the present translation represents a reworking from the
original manuscripts, it builds by necessity on the previous translation
of A. J. F. van Laer. In some cases this translation is only a revision of
his language because there are only so many ways to say “The plaintiff
demands payment of the debt.” However, the present translation has been
assisted and enhanced by new source materials such as the Deacons’
Account Book and the map of Beverwijck in 1653, which was researched
and developed by the New Netherland Project. It attempts to locate all
the inhabitants who had houses in the community at that time. Both have
clarified certain relationships in the proceedings and increased our
knowledge of life in the Dutch community.
# Glossary

**aam**
liquid measure: 37.98 gallons of oil, 40.512 of wine; equal to four *ankers*

**actum ut supra**
Latin, done as above; used to end a document, with reference to the date stated at the beginning of the entry or court session

**anker**
liquid measure: 10.128 gallons of wine

**apostil**
an official decision or recommendation upon a request usually written in the margin of the document

**appel**
apple (as a physical attribute), or Appel (a village between Nijkerck and Arnhem) as a place of origin

**backer**
baker; also as German Bäcker, spelled Becker

**barbier**
barber-surgeon; a professional who not only shaved patients but also performed simple surgical procedures

**barrack**
derived from hooiberg (literally hay pile), a structure built of four or five poles to support a moveable roof for sheltering hay or grain

**baxter**
female baker

**Beverwijck**
name given to the new WIC community around Fort Orange by Stuyvesant in 1652; there is a city by the same name in the province of North Holland just northwest of Amsterdam—its surface meaning “beaver district” was probably too appropriate to ignore
**beaver**
used as a monetary standard equaling eight guilders

**barrel**
liquid measure (Dutch vat): 226.93 gallons of oil or 243.072 of wine; equal to four hogsheads (okshoofden)

**bierkaecker**
beer carrier; literally a person who operates a "beer jaws," a device used to hook into the ends of a barrel for lifting with a shoulder yoke between two men

**biermans**
patronymic for beerman’s son

**bierdraeeger**
beer carrier

**bij een wooninge**
village; the placename associated with the early settlement of Rensselaerswijck north of Fort Orange before it became Beverwijck

**boer**
farmer

**bout**
a bolt, or a quarter of mutton (short for Schapenbout)

**broer**
brother

**brouwer**
brewer

**burgther**
a class of citizenship in the community; the groote burgtherrecht (great burgther right) was purchased for f50, qualifying the holder to serve in administrative offices and on special commissions; the kleine burgtherrecht cost f20 and served as a license for traders and tradesmen to operate within the designated jurisdiction

**can**
liquid measure: one quart

**carreman**
cartman or carter

**chirurgijn**
surgeon; the profession involved more rigorous training (usually four years) than a barbier
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>clabbert</td>
<td>clapboard, also used as a nickname for Thomas Chambers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cleermaker</td>
<td>tailor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clootendraeyer</td>
<td>ball turner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>colony</td>
<td>Rensselaerswijck was usually called &quot;the colony,&quot; as is a portion of the old patroonship northwest of Albany still called Colonie today</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>common road</td>
<td><em>gemeene wegh</em>; public road or street in Beverwijk, never associated with any one street in particular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>commissary</td>
<td>a position appointed by the council on Manhattan to oversee the WIC trading operations at posts such as Fort Orange and to serve as commander of the garrison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>constapel</td>
<td>non-commissioned officer in charge of ordnance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cordiael</td>
<td>cordial; either the attribute or the drink</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>court messenger</td>
<td>a person appointed by the magistrates to serve court papers on litigants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crabaat/Crowaet</td>
<td>Croatian; derived from <em>Crowaet</em>, which also occurs. Name develops into cravat (necktie) after the uniform neckgear of Croatia soldiers in the Thirty Years’ War</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cum expensis</td>
<td>Latin, plus expenses; assessment of the court costs in a legal decision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cuyper</td>
<td>cooper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deacon</td>
<td>a church official responsible for overseeing the financial concerns of the church, such as the poorhouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de oude</td>
<td>the old one; used to designate the oldest of people with the same name but not necessarily related</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Domine**

mister of the Reformed Church

—*dr.*

feminine equivalent of the patronymic marker —*sz* (son of); although the masculine marker is commonly used for both genders, —*dr.* (daughter of) does occur and reflects a dialectal preference in some provinces of the Netherlands

**drieling**

in inferior pelt; two-thirds of an entire pelt

**elder**

an appointed church official who assistant to the *domine* in administering to the parishoners

**Esopus**

Algonquin Indian name meaning “river.” It was an early Dutch settlement in the Hudson Valley, approximately what is now Kingston; renamed Wiltwijck under Stuyvesant and then Swanenburgh during the Dutch restoration of 1673–74 after the flagship of the Dutch fleet that recaptured the colony.

**eyckmeester**

person in charge of measuring the contents of containers, such as barrels and casks

**ex officio**

Latin, by virtue of the office

**farmer**

the highest bidder for collecting excise taxes on strong drink—payment for this service accrued from whatever was collected beyond the high-bid amount

**fathom**

linear measure: equal to six feet

**fiat**

Latin, it was done thus; usually in reference to a decision of the court based on a request of one of the litigants

**fiscal**

member of the council on Manhattan in charge of financial matters and law enforcement

**florin**

synonymous with the guilder; source of the Dutch monetary symbol $f$, referring to the mint in Florence that once produced hard currency for the Habsburg Empire
foot

linear measure: (voet) Amsterdam measure equal to 11.143 inches; Rhineland 12.36 inches

Fort Orange

Fortress and fur-trading post located on the west bank of the Hudson River in present-day Albany, NY; named for the Dutch royal house of Orange/Nassau; the Dutch attached this name to forts throughout their trading empire from Brazil to Indonesia

Fransman

Frenchman

freeman

colonist with no service obligation to either the patroonships or to the WIC

Fresh River

Versche Revier, now the Connecticut River

Fuyck

Probably the earliest name for the settlement north of Fort Orange that eventually became Albany; its name means “hoopnet,” which described the configuration made by two diverging roads running from the north gate of the fort

geelgieter

brass founder

(soo) gemackelijck

nice and easy or easy does it, as an attribute of temperament

gemeene bosch padt

common path into the woods; this roadway, which went up the hill along both sides of the Tweede or Second Kill, is present-day State Street in Albany

General

Petrus Stuyvesant, director general of New Netherland; although he had no official military rank or training, he was commander of Dutch forces in the Caribbean as well as governor of Curacao and other islands held by the Dutch; he proved to be a resourceful and formidable military strategist

glasemaker

glazier
Gojer’s Kill  A stream near Schodack, N.Y., on the east side of the Hudson; the name most likely referred to a Goyer, a settler from Het Gooi, a district along the southern coast of the IJsselmeer in the province of Utrecht

Greene Bosch  pine woods; misinterpreted by the English in several placenames as Green Bush

guilder  monetary unit of the Netherlands, consisting of twenty stivers; a common laborer usually earned one guilder per day

(gun)powder  necessary trade item associated with firearms; sold or traded by the geesp, a double handful

guyt  rogue

hageboom  hawthorn; a nickname with obscure connotation—a possible allusion to the fertility rites associated with the maypole and the month of May, when the hawthorn is in bloom

Heer  lord; a title of high respect

Heeren  the lords; usually in reference to the nineteen directors of the WIC known as the Heeren XIX

Heeren Straet  public street; never in reference to any one street in particular

Heeren Wegh  public highway; generally referred to Broadway in present-day Albany

hoedemaeker  hat maker

idem  Latin, the same; generally used to indicate repetition of the same plaintiff in court cases

Iersman  Irishman

in solido  Latin, entire, whole, complete

ipse jure  Latin, by virtue of the law

jonge  young; junior or the youngest
jonge poentie

*a poentje* is a smack or a cuff to the head; here possibly the son of a man with such a nickname, e.g., “little slapsy”

Juffrouw

madam or lady

kan(ne)

*see can*

kar(re)man

*see carreman*

kill

stream or creek; in the Netherlands it referred to an estuary, as in Sluiskil in Zeeland; no longer an active placename morpheme in Dutch; the word’s Dutch origin is rarely recognized by Dutch visitors to New York

kistemaeccker

cabinet maker

kleermaker

*see cleermaker*

koehlerder/-wachter

cowherder

kuyper

*see cuyper*

la(de)maker

gunstock maker

lead

important trade item in conjunction with firearms; sold or traded by the stave

lo(o)per

runner; courier for the court or as in *bosch-looper*, woodsman, cf., Fr. *coureur du bois*

maecker

maker; as in someone who works with or shapes metal

magistrate

local official of the court with executive, legislative and judicial authority; selected by the director general and council on Manhattan from a double list submitted by the localburghers

Mahican(der)

Mahican; an Algonquin tribe living in the upper Hudson region around Fort Orange

malle boer

crazy farmer
Manhatans  Manhattan; Algonquin for hilly island
Maquas  Mohawk; the easternmost of the five tribes of the Iroquoian confederacy
Meester  title of respect for a schoolmeester, “schoolmaster” or short for heelmeester, “barber-surgeon” (Mr.)
mengel  liquid measure: 1.266 quarts of oil or wine; 1.304 quarts of brandy; 1.28 quarts of beer; 1.915 quarts of milk
metselaer  mason
molenaer  miller
Monsieur  Mister; French title of respect (not as strong as Heer) often reduced to Sieur or Sr. and Monsr.
moy  aunt; also used as a term of endearment
mudde  dry measure: 4 schepels or 3.056 bushels of wheat
mutsje  liquid measure: 2.15 oz.
neus  nose
Noorman  Norseman or Norwegian
nomine officio  Latin, by the name of the office
oom  uncle; also used as a term of endearment
patria  Latin, fatherland; the Dutch use this term often when referring to their homeland
patroon  According to the 1629 “Freedoms and Exemptions” an investor could negotiate for land within New Netherland to establish a patroonship as a perpetual fief, with the obligation to settle fifty colonists within four years
pound Flemish  six guilders
**primo**  
Latin, first; usually the first day of the month

**president**  
Latin, *presens*; the presiding officer on a board, such as the board of magistrates

**ra(e)demaker**  
wheelwright

**ratione officy**  
Latin, *ratione officii*, by virtue of the office

**Rensselaerswijck**  
Patronship founded by Kiliaen van Rensselaer comprising almost one million acres of land—approximately the present-day counties of Albany and Rensselaer

**rod**  
linear measure: Amsterdam measure equal to 13 voeten (12.071 feet); Rhineland 12 voeten (12.36 feet)

**roymeester**  
*rooimeester*, surveyor of buildings

**Rutten Kill**  
Named for Rutger Jacobsen, one of the first settlers along this stream; it ran between present State and Norton Streets; it also was often called the *Fuyck* or *Eerste* (First) *Kill*

**sachem**  
principal man among the Iroquois, called *sackemaas* or *sackemacker* by the Dutch; the Indians often referred to the Dutch governor as the great sachem

**schelling**  
six stivers

**schepel**  
dry measure: 0.764 bushel of wheat; 1.29 bushels of salt

**schepen**  
an elected official of a municipality with administrative and judicial authority

**schoester**  
shoemaker

**schoolmeester**  
schoolmaster

**schout**  
an appointed law enforcement officer with the combined duties of a sheriff and prosecuting attorney
sewant  wampum in the English colonies; strung pieces of shell with a specific value according to color—six white equal to one purple; as a monetary standard it represented “light money” or 15 stivers to the guider

Sinneken  Seneca, the westernmost tribe of the Iroquois; however, to the Dutch the name served to identify any of the remaining four tribes of the Iroquois west of the Mohawk

smi(d)t  blacksmith

snijder  tailor

soldaet  soldier

Sr.  see Monsieur

stiver  see guider

suyckerbacker  sugarbaker; baker of confections

Sweet  Swede

timmerman  carpenter

ultimo  Latin, last; usually the last day of the month

vaendrigh  cadet

viss(ch)er  fisherman

Vlackte, (de)  the flats; a stretch of alluvial soil extending along the west side of the Hudson from Albany to Watervliet; later a name for the land along the south bank of the Mohawk in or near present Schenectady.

Vossen Kill  Named for Andries de Vos, an early settler in this part of the community; the stream ran between present-day Sheridan Avenue and Orange Street and was sometimes called the Derde (Third) Kill

wever  weaver
Key to Abbreviations


LO Laws and Ordinances of New Netherland, compiled and translated by E. B. O’Callaghan (Albany, 1868).


NYCM The “New York Colonial Manuscripts” held by the New York State Archives.


NYPL New York Public Library


WIC The Dutch West India Company

Fort Orange Court Minutes

1652–1660
[1]* In the name of the Lord, Amen

Proceedings of the Inferior Court of Justice erected and established in Fort Orange by order of the Hon. Petrus Stuyvesant and the Hon. Council of New Netherland, pursuant to the instructions and the oath taken on the 10th of April 1652, and the request of the burghers of the aforesaid fort and Beverwijck, situated within the established limits.

Monday, April 15, 1652

Present:

Joannes Dijckman†
Abraham Staets
Volckert Jansz
Cornelis Theunisz van Westbroeck

Abraham Pietersz Vosburgh, appearing before the court, requests that he may proceed with the erection of his house, as the prohibition and disallowance thereof involve his, Vosburgh’s, total ruin.

Whereupon, it being taken into consideration that the house which he is erecting stands behind the dwelling of Commandant Brant Arisz van Slichtenhorst and therefore does not greatly crowd or obstruct the fort, and seeing also the great expense already incurred by him, his request is granted.

Whereas several persons have applied for permission to build on some lots between the two kills,‡ it is after deliberation approved, wherefore Dirrick Jansz and Abraham Pietersz Vosburgh are chosen and appointed to make a proper survey.

* Figures within brackets indicate manuscript page numbers.
† Dijckman arrived in New Netherland in 1651. After filling the post of commissary of accounts on Manhattan, he was appointed commissary of Fort Orange. Dijckman served as the first president of the court of Fort Orange and Beverwijck until July of 1655 when he was incapacitated by insanity and removed from office.
‡ These kills (waterways or streams) were probably the Vossen Kill and the Bevers Kill, which approximated the northern and southern limits of Beverwijck respectively.
[2] Upon the petition of Rut Arentsz to have a lot near his house, it is resolved to refer the same to a committee to be appointed for that purpose.

Upon the petition of Hermen Bastiaensz Timmerman for permission to erect a house commenced by him, as the petitioner incurred great expense even before the date of the prohibition by the director general and council and his house is not especially crowding the fort, being situated near the mouth of the first kill, consent is hereby given him to proceed with the building.

Commissary Joannes Dijckman, Volckert Jansz, and Cornelis Theunisz van Westbroeck are appointed a committee to look after the surveying of lots and gardens, but the Hon. Abram Staets is unanimously requested, in case his honor can be present, to assist the aforesaid committee.

In accordance with the instructions, it is resolved that the regular sessions of the court shall be held on Tuesday of each week, at ten o’clock in the forenoon.

Extraordinary Session, April 17, 1652

Present:

Jo. Dijckman
Ab. Staets
Vol. Jansz
Cor. Theu. v. Westbroeck

Jan Machielsz and Jacob Luyersz, plaintiffs, about charges of theft, Sander Leendertsz, defendant.

The defendant states under oath that he brought no complaint against the plaintiffs, but that his female Negro circulated the story.

Resolved, to examine the female Negro for further information.

[3] The instructions drawn up for the surveyors, Dirrick Jansz and Abraham Pietersz Vosburgh, having been examined, are adopted, whereupon, being summoned to come into the room, they have taken the usual oath before this court.

Jan Verbeeck, an inhabitant of Beverwijck, having appeared before the
court, has taken the usual burgher oath.

Jan Thomasz and Marten Hendrixsz, inhabitants of Beverwijck, confirmed by oath before the court a certain affidavit for the behoof of Pieter Hertgers and Jan Verbeeck, concerning the discharge of the said persons from their duties as magistrates of the colony of Rensselaerswijck.

The court messenger, Pieter Ryverdingh, has been allowed the following fees, to wit:

- For each citation, 6 stivers
- For each attachment, 12 stivers
- For each presentation of a petition, 4 stivers

Tuesday, April 23, 1652

Present:

- J. Dijckman
- J. Labatie
- V. Jansz
- A. Herpertsz
- C. T. Westbroeck

Thomas Chambre, plaintiff, against Isaack de Forrest, defendant.

Plaintiff in default.

Volckgen Juriaens,* plaintiff, about a blow with the fist and abusive words, according to deposition, against Geertruyt [Jeronimus],† defendant.

The defendant is for her abusive language and assault and threats made here against this court condemned to pay a fine of six guilders, with orders to leave the plaintiff henceforth in peace.

[4] Jan van Hoesem, plaintiff, against Willem Juriaensz, defendant. The dispute between the parties about the lot having been heard, it is resolved to adjourn the case until further advice from the Hon. General, who will be written to about it.

* wife of Jan van Hoesem
† wife of Jochem Wesselsen Backer
Pieter Bronck, appearing in court, requests permission take possession of the lot as staked out. The court, having heard Cornelis Theunisz van Westbroeck and listened to verbal arguments on both sides, refers the matter to magistrates Jan Labite, Volckert Jansz, and Andries Herpertsz, to examine the same and dispose thereof.

Jacob de Brouwer, having requested permission to build an addition to his house, standing near the First Kill, [the court,] taking into consideration that he has everything ready thereto and that the work cannot be left undone without considerable loss to him, grants his request for very urgent reasons.

Joannes Dijckman
Jan Labitie
Volckart Jansz
Andries Herberts
Cornelus Tonisen

Ordinary Session, April 30, 1652

Jan van Hoesem, plaintiff, for slander
Jochem Becker Backer, defendant

Jochem de Backer is ordered to bring proof of his accusations at the next session of the court.

Caspar Jacopsz appearing before the court and requesting that he may have the lot granted him by this court, between Jacob Adriaensz Raedemaker and Tunis Jacopsz, consent thereto is given for certain reasons.

[5] The court having considered the request of Jan van Hoesem to have the use of the lot on which he dwells and his garden, according to the resolution of the court of the colony, dated the 18th of January last, it is upon examination of the said resolution decided by this court that the lot and garden shall from now on be assigned to Jan van Hoesem, upon condition that Willem Juriaensz shall have the right to occupy the house in which he now dwells as long as he lives, it being recommended that he furnish proper accommodation for Jan van Hoesem.*

* See MCR, 181, for this resolution.
Ordinary Session, May 7, 1652

Present:

Jo. Dijckman
Rut Jacobsz
J. Labatie
V. Jansz
Andries Herpertsz
Cornelis Theunisz van Westbroeck

Volckgen Juriaens, the wife of Jan van Hoesem, plaintiff, on account of slander.

Geertruyt, the wife of Jochem *de Backer*, defendant.

Stijntge Sijmants declares that she knows nothing of the plaintiff but what is honorable and virtuous.

Defendant is ordered, on pain of severe punishment, to bring the proofs of which she has boasted into court on the next court day, when a decision will be rendered by this court.

[6] Reyer Elbertsz, residing outside the limits of this fort, has been granted the use of some low land near the Third Kill,* allotted to him to be fenced in and cleared of trees, and to have the use thereof until further orders.

Upon the request of Wouter Aertsz van Putten to have a piece of land for a house lot and a vegetable garden situated near the thicket, behind Marten *de Brouwer*, it is decided to let him have the same as soon as he shall have taken the oath of allegiance to the company, Rut Jacobsz and Jan Labatie being appointed a committee to lay out the aforesaid land.

* The Third Kill was also known as the Vossen Kill, “Fox Creek,” but it was probably named after Andries de Vos, who lived along this creek, and not after the animal.
for him. This day the aforesaid Wouter Aertsen has taken the oath of allegiance.

This day Juriaen Theunisz has denied under oath in court the charges brought a week ago in the matter of Commissary Dijckman and Jochem de Backer.

Commissary Dijckman entering a complaint about insults offered to him by the person of Jacob Jansz Schermerhooren, it is ordered that the court messenger shall summon him to appear on the next court day.

The ordinance prohibiting the tapping of wine and beer on Sunday during divine service is taken up again and adopted and published this day.

Joannes Dijckman
Rutger Jacobsen
Jan Labatie
Volckart Jansz
Andries Herbertsz
Cornelus Tonisen

Ordinary Session, May 14, 1652

Present:
  J. Dijckman
  A. Staets
  J. Labatie
  V. Jansz
  A. Herbertsz
  C. T. v. Westbroeck

Jan Machielsz, plaintiff, against Cathalina Sandertsz, defendant.
  The defendant's first default.

  Defendant's first default.

Hendrick van Driest, being summoned to appear, is asked why he enlarged his garden on his own account by moving his clapboard fence? The accused admits his guilt, whereupon Mr. Abraham Staets and Jan Labatie are appointed to make an inspection and to take such measures
as they shall see fit.

Commissary Dijckman, plaintiff, against Jacob Clomp, defendant.

Defendant acknowledges that he drew his knife twice, but says that he was provoked the first time. Case adjourned until the next court day.

The request of Dirrick Bensingh, corporal, to have a garden next to Ariaen van Alckmaer provisionally and to fence off the same with palisades, is granted on condition that in case other arrangements are made hereafter, he shall have to give up the same, and the bounds thereof shall be shown him by Mr. Abraham Staets and Jan Labatie, appointed for that purpose.

Volckgen Juriaens, wife of Jan van Hoesem, plaintiff, against Geertruyt, the wife of Jochem Becker Backer.

Defendant being for the third time in default, it is decided to summon her for the last time to appear on the next court day, when final judgment will be given. Meanwhile she shall be notified that if she has any proofs to submit, she must do so before the aforesaid time, on pain of arbitrary punishment.

Joannes Dijckman
Abram Staets
Rutger Jacobsz
Jan Labatie
Volckart Jansz
Cornelus Tonisen


Present:

J. Dijckman
J. Labatie
V. Jansz
A. Herbertsz
C. T. Westbroeck

After examination of the letter and the points of the request concerning the amplification of the instructions for this court of justice, it is resolved to send the aforesaid to the deputies, Mr. Abraham Staets and Rutger Jacopsz, who are at the Manhatans.
A complaint having been made to this court by Volckgen Jans, that Jochem *Becker Backer* has put up a pigsty opposite the door of Jan van Hoesem, it is decided that, whereas the aforesaid *Becker* has done this directly against the order of this court and also created an obstruction and nuisance to the house of the aforesaid Jan van Hoesem, it is ordered that he, *Becker*, must tear down the said pigsty within the period of three days and remove it to a more convenient place, on pain of forfeiting twelve guilders to the officer.*

Upon examination of the marginal annotation on the petition presented by Willem Juriaensz to the director general† and council of New Netherland concerning the restitution of Jan van Hoesem’s lot, it is resolved to abide by the resolution passed on the 30th of the preceding month.

On the complaint of Jan Verbeeck about the running away of his boy to Margriet Willems, in view of the fact that according to the authentic copy of the contract he was bound for another year, the aforesaid boy is ordered by this court immediately to reenter his master’s service, on pain of punishment to be determined for that purpose, and Margriet Willems is ordered not to detain the aforesaid boy, on pain of arbitrary punishment.

Upon the request of Volckgen Jans, wife of Jan van Hoesem, for permission to erect a small bark house on her lot, the Hon. Volckert Jansz and Cornelis Theunisz van Westbroeck are appointed to point out to her a suitable place for it.

Joannes Dijckman  
Jan Labatie  
Volckart Jansz  
Andries Herberts  
Cornelus Tonisen

* See minutes for April 12, 1653, for continuation of this case.
† Petrus Stuyvesant, director general of New Netherland
[9] Extraordinary Session, _ultimo_ May 1652

Present:

  J. Dijckman  
  J. Labatie  
  V. Jansz  
  A. Herpertsz  
  C. T. v. Westbroeck

Adriaen Jansz van Leyden, aged 25 years, and Maeriecke Rijverdinxs van Dansick, aged 24 years, having requested to enter the married state, permission is granted to have the first proclamation of the banns take place on Sunday next.

Volckert Jansz, magistrate, plaintiff, about abusive language and assault, against Jochem _Becker Backer_, defendant.

The court having examined the documents in the case, it is resolved to send them to the deputies of this court at the Manhatans, to be communicated to the supreme authorities there, whose order thereon they are to write and forward to us.

  Joannes Dijckman  
  Jan Labatie  
  Andries Herberts  
  Cornelus Tonisen

_Episode_ Post Meridiem

Whereas Jochem _Becker_ declared this morning that some members of this court immediately reported at the house of Jan van Hoesem what had taken place here in court, this is entered here with a view to questioning and examining the aforesaid _Becker_ further about this matter and, in case of lack of proof, to punish him therefor by arbitrary sentence, as this tends greatly to the disparagement of this court.

  Joannes Dijckman  
  Jan Labatie  
  Volckart Jansz  
  Andries Herberts  
  Cornelus Tonisen
[10] Ordinary Session, June 3, 1652

Present:

J. Dijckman
J. Labatie
V. Jansz
A. Herpertsz
C. T. Westbroeck

Volckert Jansz, in the name of Jost de Backer, plaintiff, against Pieter Bronck, defendant.

Defendant is ordered to present to the court proper proof that the point of the plowshare was broken off and that he sent back a gun damaged. When proper proof hereof is furnished, the court shall pronounce judgment regarding the claim of 50 guilders [for damages].

Jan Machielsz, plaintiff, against Cathalina Sanderts, defendant.

The case is adjourned until the next court day.

It is resolved to have the palisades of the garden of the old Captain* pulled up, if he refuses to do it himself, and to give Jan van Hoesem permission to put new palisades around it and from now on to take actual and personal possession of the garden.

Joannes Dijckman
Jan Labatie
Volckart Jansz
Andries Herberts
Cornelus Tonisen

Ordinary Session, Tuesday, June 11, 1652

Present:

J. Dijckman
A. Staets
R. Jacobsz
J. Labatie
V. Jansz

* Willem Juriaensz. See VRBM, 820.
A. Herpertsz  
C. Theunisz van Westbroeck

Jacob Luyersen and Jan Machielsen, plaintiffs, against Cathalina Sanders, defendant.

The female Negro is ordered to depart within the space of four days, on account of the false accusations brought against the fair name of the plaintiffs, either to the island of Cornelis Segertsz, or elsewhere, provided that she shall present the bill for the costs of the suit to the persons with whom the female Negro shall come to live and cause the same to be paid to the plaintiffs, but the aforesaid plaintiffs are ordered promptly to pay the court messenger what is due him.


The defendant is ordered to pay to the presiding officer a sum of twelve guilders by way of fine and for the poor six guilders, since the aforesaid defendant declared that he has nothing to say to the dishonor of the plaintiff, as he had done.

Commissary Dijckman, plaintiff, against Jochem Becker Backer, defendant.

The defendant declares that he has nothing further to say in regard to the charges heretofore brought against the person of the plaintiff; consequently, that he is forced to admit that he lied. Furthermore, pursuant to the directions of the hon. general, it is resolved to detain him and to send him a prisoner to the Manhatans, to answer, if he can, the complaint of the fiscal there.

On the demand made by President Dijckman, that Geertruyt, the wife of Jochem de Backer, shall declare that she has nothing to say against Volckgen Jans but what is honorable and virtuous and in addition pay the expenses, which the said Volckgen Jans may have incurred, with the costs, and that furthermore the said Geertruyt shall pay to the president, in his capacity as officer, a fine of fifty guilders. The aforesaid Geertruyt, having appeared before the court and being asked whether she had anything to say against Volckgen Jans but what was honorable and virtuous, has declared, No.

Joannes Dijckman  
Abram Staas  
Rutger Jacobsz
Ordinary Session, June 18, 1652

Present:

J. Dijckman
R. Jacopsz
J. Labatie
V. Jansz
A. Herpertsz
C. Theu van Westbroeck

Jacob Luersz, appearing before the court, requests a place for a house, one board in length, on the spot where his present house stands. After deliberation it is resolved to grant his request and that later a garden will be assigned to him for his convenience.

[12] Geertruyt, the wife of Jochem de Backer, is ordered to deliver to this court a week from today the fines which she has been condemned to pay.

This day Adriaen Jansz van Leyden and Maria Reverdinghs van Danswijck were united in marriage before the court here.

Joannes Dijckman
Rutger Jacobsz
Jan Labatie
Volckart Jansz
Andries Herberts
Cornelus Tonisen

Ordinary Session, June 25, 1652

Present:

J. Dijckman
R. Jacopsz
J. Labatie
V. Jansz  
C. T. Westbroeck

After examination in court upon interrogatories of Geertruyt Jeronimus, wife of Jochem Becker Backer, and Femmetgen Alberts, wife of Hendrick Jansz Westerkamp, regarding the abusive words spoken by Jacob Jansz Stol, commonly called Hap, against the respective magistrates, they have answered as may be seen in the said interrogatories, as was done likewise by the offender himself.

Ordinary Session, July 2, 1652

Present:
   J. Dijckman  
   A. Staets  
   J. Labatie  
   C. T. v. Westbroeck

President Dijckman, plaintiff, against Jacob Jansz Hap, defendant.
   It is resolved this first time to excuse the abusive words spoken by the defendant, but to enjoin and warn him to refrain from doing so in the future, on pain of being punished accordingly.

Willem Bout, plaintiff, against Rut Arentsz, defendant.
   Defendant's first default.

   J. Dijckman  
   Abraham Staas  
   Jan Labatie  
   Cornelus Tonisen


Present:
   J. Dijckman  
   R. Jacobsz  
   J. Labatie  
   V. Jansz  
   A. Herpertz  
   C. Theunisz van Westbroeck
Herman Bastiaensz, plaintiff against Thomas Sandertsen, defendant.

The matter in dispute is put in the hands of Rem Jansz Smit, Jan Verbeeck, Abraham Pietersz Vosburgh and Pieter Hertgers to conciliate the parties if possible and otherwise to report to the court.

Upon the complaint of Jan Machielsz and Jacob Luersz that the negress of Sander Leendertsen continues to reside here notwithstanding the sentence of the court, it is ordered that Officer Dijckman shall conduct the negress outside the boundary posts [of the village] and whoever harbors her again inside shall forfeit the first time six guilders and the second time twelve guilders and the third time shall receive arbitrary correction.

President Dijckman and Jan Verbeeck are appointed a committee to procure a list of burghers who are willing to subscribe for the support of the church and the minister and to make a report of their findings.

Joannes Dijckman
Rut Jacobsz
Jan Labatie
Volckart Jansz
Andries Herberts
Cornelus Tonisen

Tuesday, July 30, 1652

Present:
J. Dijckman
J. Labatie
V. Jansz
C. Theunisz van Westbroeck

Jan van Aecken, plaintiff, against Jan Daret, defendant.
Defendant’s first default.

Joannes Dijckman
Jan Labatie
Volckart Jansz
Cornelus Tonisen
[14] Tuesday, August 6, 1652

Present:

J. Dijckman
J. Labatie
V. Jansz

Joost de Backer, plaintiff, against Pieter Bronck, defendant.

The defendant having submitted for his defense that Jan van Breemen is indebted to him in the sum of fifty guilders and that last year he assigned his claim against Jan van Breemen to the plaintiff, and seeing that payment has not yet taken place and is acknowledged to have been refused, the case is adjourned until the arrival here of Jan van Bremen.

Pieter Bronck, plaintiff, against Jan Machielsz, defendant.

Defendant is condemned to pay the plaintiff the sum of one hundred and thirty-seven guilders and three stivers within the space of three months, according to his own confession, on pain of attachment.

Jacob Clomp, plaintiff, against Dirrick Jansz Croon, defendant, in regard to a dispute about ironwood planks. The parties are referred to Rem Jansz Smit, and Philip Pietersz Schuler, as arbitrators to reconcile parties if possible.

Jan van Aecken, plaintiff, against Jan Daret, defendant.

Defendant's second default.

Geertruyt Jeronimus, plaintiff, against Stijntgen Laurens, defendant.

Defendant's first default.

Dirrick van Nes, plaintiff, against Jan Verbeeck, defendant.

Both parties' first default.

The petition being read of Adriaen van Ipendam, whereby he requests that he may be promoted to the post of secretary and that to this end a letter of recommendation from this court may be sent to the hon. director general and the hon. council, his request is granted.

Joannes Dijckman
Jan Labatie
Volckart Jansz

Present:

J. Dijckman
R. Jacobsz
J. Labatie
V. Jansz
A. Herpertsz
C. Theu. v. Westb.

Jan Labatie, plaintiff, against Jan Clomp, defendant, for 84 guilders due to Thomas Higge according to power of attorney from Jan van Bremen and attached in the hands of the Hon. Rut Jacobsz.

The court sets aside the attachment by Jacob Clomp of the aforesaid sum of 84 guilders in the hands of the Hon. Rut Jacobsz and orders him to pay the balance of the money to the plaintiff upon security, provided that the defendant may bring in his alleged claim against Thomas Higge on account of the sale of seed grain, which shall be his security for the recovery of the amount. Meanwhile, the defendant is ordered to pay immediately the costs of convening this court, on condition that if it is found later that his claims on account of the sale are justified, the court will order Thomas Higge promptly to reimburse the defendant for this present outlay.

Dirrick Nes, plaintiff, against Jan Verbeeck, defendant, about a debt of sixty guilders for blue linen and children’s stockings.

The defendant declares that there is an overcharge of 6 guilders and in addition that he has something to claim on account of the stockings. As the parties base their claims on the writing of Dirrick Claesz Boot, at present at the Manhatans, they are ordered to write to him, Boot, about it to advise them of the truth, with offer to confirm by oath what he has to say, upon the receipt of which the court will render judgment. Meanwhile Dirck Nes is ordered promptly to pay the costs of convening this court, upon condition that they will be refunded if it is hereafter found that his claims were well founded.

The court messenger, Pieter Rijverdingh, [16] is allowed fifteen stivers from each party for this extraordinary session.
Extraordinary Session, August 8, 1652

Present:

J. Dijckman
R. Jacopsz
J. Labatye
V. Jansz
A. Herpertsz
C. Theu. v. Westbroeck

Dirrick Jansz Kroon, plaintiff, against Herman Bastiaensz, defendant.

The plaintiff requests a receipt for some beavers taken with him to Holland for joint account, for which the defendant acknowledges that he has been paid. The defendant promises to grant the plaintiff a receipt and is hereby ordered to do so by this court in the amount of f1277:15. Furthermore, the defendant is ordered to present and deliver to the court on next Tuesday the debit and credit account of the house, loss and profit, earnings, and other matters outstanding between them both, when the plaintiff shall make payment and the defendant give a receipt.

Jan Jansz, plaintiff, against Willem Albertsz, defendant, for beavers in the hands of Jan Machielsz Kleermaker, which are attached by the defendant. The court sets aside the attachment placed on the aforesaid beavers and condemns the defendant to pay the costs of convening the court.
[17] Extraordinary Session, August 20, 1652

Present:
J. Dijckman
A. Staests
R. Jacopsz
J. Labatie
V. Jansz
A. Herpertsz
C. Theu. v. Westb.

Jan Labatie, plaintiff, against Hendrick Jansz Westerkamp, defendant, on account of eight beavers which the defendant owes to Philip Gerary,* according to a promissory note of the 16th of August of last year.

The court orders the defendant to pay the aforesaid eight beavers in specie to the plaintiff, by virtue of his power of attorney, within the space of ten days, on pain of attachment.

Evert Tesselaer, plaintiff, against Marten Metselaer, defendant, on account of some merchandise bought by the defendant from the plaintiff, amounting to the sum of fl41.10, which was to be paid in cash.

The court orders the defendant to pay the aforesaid sum of fl41.10 to the plaintiff within the space of two days, or to return the plaintiff’s goods, on pain of attachment.

Dirrick Nes, plaintiff, against Willem Albertsz, defendant.

Defendant’s first default.

Cornelis Jacopsz, plaintiff, against Jochem de Backer for [payment of] fl36 in beavers for wages earned.

The court orders the defendant to pay the aforesaid sum of fl36 to the plaintiff in beavers within the space of ten days, on pain of attachment.

Geertruyt Jeronimus, plaintiff, against Stijntgen Laurens and Volckgen Jans, defendants.

The court orders each of the defendants to pay the sum of twelve guilders to the president for name calling, and as they charge the plaintiff with abusive language, which they have not been able to prove, the

* Philip Gerary, a tavern keeper in New Amsterdam
parties on both sides are furthermore ordered to hold their tongues and to leave each other in peace, as otherwise the court will take such measures as shall be found necessary.

Dirrick Bensinck, corporal here in Fort Orange in the service of the West India Company, having last year resided at the Manhatans in New Amsterdam and at that time sold to the Reverend Domine Joannes Megapolensis a certain house and garden situated there, has appeared before this court and acknowledged that he has been satisfied and paid therefor in full, the first penny with the last. In order that they may be mutually at ease and to prevent all further demands, he requests that this may be entered in the court record and that an extract therefrom be given him, the more so as he, Bensingh, can neither read nor write; which request is hereby granted him.

Philip Pietersz Scheuler is granted the lot heretofore given to Claes Croon, on the east side of Annetgen Bogardus, as it is assumed that he, Kroon, will not build upon it.

Jan van Aecken, plaintiff, against Jan Daret, defendant, for the recovery of f150 loaned to him last year.

The defendant’s third default. He is therefore ordered to pay the plaintiff the aforesaid f150 within the space of four days and to appear and present himself here before the court a week from today to purge himself of the escape from arrest and in case of refusal he shall immediately betake himself outside of this jurisdiction and remain there, on pain of apprehension.

Joannes Dijckman
Abram Staes
Rut Jacobsz
Jan Labatie
Volckart Jansz
Andries Herberths
Cornelus Tonisen
Ordinary Session, August 26, 1652

Present:
J. Dijckman
R. Jacopsz
V. Jansz
A. Herpertsz
J. Labatie
C. Theunisz
A. Staats

Adriaen Jansz van Leyden, plaintiff, against Hendrick Jansz Westerkamp, defendant, for the sum of f166, to be paid in beavers.

The defendant is ordered to pay the aforesaid sum of f166 in merchantable beavers to the plaintiff on the first of June 1653 next, on pain of immediate attachment.

Adriaen Jansz van Leyden, plaintiff, against Jan Daret, defendant.

Defendant’s first default.

Adriaen Jansz van Leyden, plaintiff, against Pieter Bronck, defendant, for the sum of f64, to be paid in beavers.

The court orders the defendant to pay the aforesaid sum of f64 in beavers to the plaintiff within the space of 24 hours, but to deduct certain f33.7, which the plaintiff owes the defendant.

Adriaen Jansz van Leyden, plaintiff, against Lambert van Valckenburgh, defendant, for the sum of f535 in beavers.

The court orders the defendant to pay to the plaintiff according to his promise made here, the half of the aforesaid sum of f535 provisionally at the Manhatans in New Amsterdam before the departure of the ships lying ready to sail for patria and the balance by the middle of June of next year and in case of nonpayment, attachment in full is ordered.

Joannes Dijckman
Abram Staes
Rut Jacobsz
Jan Labatie
Volckart Jansz
Andries Herberts

Present:
J. Dijckman
R. Jacopsz
V. Jansz
A. Herpertsz
C. T. van Westbroeck

Cornelis Jacopsz, plaintiff, against Pieter Bronck, defendant, in regard to some claims.
The case is adjourned until the next session.

Arent Comelisz Vogel being summoned and having appeared before the court is ordered and directed to present, a week from today, his papers and the proofs which he may have or can secure, when judgment will be rendered.

Extraordinary Session, September 4, 1652

Present:
J. Dijckman
Rutger Jacobsz
Volckart Jansz
Andries Herberts
Cornelus Tonisen

Willem Albertsz van Monickendam, plaintiff, against Jacob Clomp, defendant, about 100 boards of the Hon. Rut Jacopsz taken from plaintiff and put on board.
The court orders the defendant to return the one hundred boards loaded into his yacht 't Seepaert and to deliver them undamaged and free of charge on land, as he put them on board contrary to orders, and therefore to pay and turn over to Willem Albertsz the costs of the court. Furthermore, parties are at the first opportunity to settle with each other in regard to the accounts which they may heretofore have had together.
This day, Willem Albertsz has sworn before the court that he had given no orders to Jacob Clomp to put the aforesaid boards on board. The resolution being taken up again, it is provisionally decided to let the above-written judgment take effect.

[21] There was read a certain writing and petition sent to this court by Mr. de Hooges, secretary and commissioner of the colony of Rensselaerswyck, whereby he requests [relief] from annoyance caused him by the person of Willem Albertsz in demanding payment for an Edam cheese and six kannen wine, the first of which was retained as compensation for writing and the second were paid for by Joost de Backer.

After deliberation, it is resolved and decided to order Willem Albertsz to leave the aforesaid Mr. de Hooges hereafter in peace and unmolested, on pain of punishment to be determined later. Meanwhile, he is to pay at once six guilders to the poor on account of the offensive conduct in the matter toward the person of the aforesaid Mr. de Hooges.

As regards the fine of Willem Albertsz for fighting, the president is requested to submit his complaint and conclusion in writing on the next court day.

Joannes Dijckman
Rut Jacobsz
Volckart Jansz
Andries Herberts

Extraordinary Session, September 6, 1652

Present:
J. Dijckman
R. Jacopsz
V. Jansz
A. Herpertsz

Jan Machielsz Kleemakker, plaintiff, against Willem Albertsz, defendant, charging that the defendant accused the plaintiff of having stolen a cheese.

The court, having examined the witnesses called and the parties and having found that the plaintiff’s charges are not true, they first condemn the plaintiff to pay the costs of the suit and furthermore order the parties
on both sides to keep still and to behave themselves, on pain of arbitrary correction.

The court having further examined the declarations made the day before yesterday by Geertruyt Jeronimus and Marritgen Jans regarding the drawing of the knife by Willem Albertsz van Monickendam, [22] sentence is passed in accordance with the complaint and conclusion, as may be seen from the documents.

Joannes Dijckman
Rutger Jacobsz
Volckart Jansz
Andries Herberts

Present:
J. Dijckman
R. Jacopsz
V. Jansz
A. Herpertsz
C. Theunisz v. Westbroeck

Interrogatories conducted by this court concerning the crime committed by Frans Gabrielsz van Delft against the daughter of Goosen Gerretsz, as may be seen from the contents thereof.*

Upon consideration of the notice concerning the removal of the canoes from the shore, it is resolved to post the same as drafted.

Joannes Dijckman
Rut Jacobsz
Volckart Jansz
Andries Herberts

Extraordinary Session, September 20, 1652

Present:
J. Dijckman
R. Jacopsz
V. Jansz
A. Herpertsz
C. Theunisz v. Westbroeck

* See NYHM, 5: 40, which indicates that the nature of the offense was the molestation of a seven-year-old girl.
In the matter of Frans Gabrielsz van Delft, at present a prisoner pursuant to the interrogatories conducted last Tuesday in regard to the crime committed by him, he has accordingly confessed as shown in the margin [of said interrogatories], whereupon it is resolved to send the same to the hon. general in order to learn his honor's very wise opinion and order thereon.

Joannes Dijckman
Rutger Jacobsz
Volckart Jansz
Andries Herberts
Cornelus Tonisen

[23] Ordinary Session, October 8, 1652

Present:

J. Dijckman
R. Jacobsz
A. Herpertsz
C. Theunisz v. Westbroeck
V. Jansz

Merten Metselaer, an inhabitant of Beverwijck, appears and acknowledges that he is indebted to Jan Jansz van Gottenburgh in the sum of fifty-eight beavers, which [he promises] to pay to [him] or his attorney next year before the departure of the ships, for which he hereby binds his house and goods according to the preference [of the payee].

Breght Jacopsdr.* plaintiff, against Abram Pietertsz Vosburgh, defendant, for 4½ beavers for goods supplied for his maintenance.

The defendant is ordered to pay the plaintiff within eight days three beavers in specie and twelve guilders in good, strung sewant.

Joannes Dijckman
Rutger Jacobsz
Volckart Jansz
Andries Herberts
Cornelus Tonisen

* Probably a mistake for Grietje Jacopsdr.; Jacopsdr. is the abbreviation for the feminine patronymic Jacopsdochter, the daughter of Jacop.
Extraordinary Session, October 13, 1652

Present:
  J. Dijckman
  R. Jacopsz
  A. Herpertsz
  C. T. v. Westbroeck

The court having read and examined a certain authorization granted by the hon. director general and council of New Netherland on the 28th of September last, concerning the person of Frans Gabrielsz van Delft,* at present a prisoner here on account of a crime committed by him, and also the letter sent to the presiding officer and the advice contained therein, it is unanimously resolved to have the delinquent appear before this court and once more to [24] read to him his confession made heretofore upon interrogatories. Having come down and appeared before us, he has confessed as before and made the same statement that upon his confession was entered in the margin, with some additions. Whereupon, after deliberation, the president is requested to have the whipping post and its appurtenances made ready for tomorrow to punish the delinquent as an example to others.

On a motion of the president made nomine officio about the crime committed by Arent Cornelisz Vogel, who was imprisoned but released on bail provisionally, to have him examined closely again tomorrow, his request is granted.

  Joannes Dijckman
  Rutger Jacobsz
  Volckart Jansz
  Cornelus Tonisen
  Andries Herberts

* See NYHM, 5:40, for this authorization.
Extraordinary Session, October 14, 1652

Present:

J. Dijckman
R. Jacobsz
V. Jansz
A. Herpertsz
C. Theunisz v. Westbroeck

Having seen the written bill of complaint and demand presented by the president against the delinquent, Frans Gabrielsz van Delft, and duly weighed everything, we have concluded to condemn him, as we do hereby, as set forth at large in the record of the sentence, the attachment of which is ordered to follow.

[The court] having examined and carefully considered the written complaint and demand presented by the president in his official capacity against the delinquent, Arent [25] Cornelisz Vogel, at present held in custody on account of the crimes committed by him, and also read the evidence against him, it was resolved to summon the witnesses to confirm their testimony by oath. Which being done, it was in accordance with legal procedure decided to have him brought to the place of justice, to be exposed to public [scorn]. However, before the sentence was read to him, the delinquent was informed that he was free to appeal, on condition of giving bail for the carrying out of the sentence. Whereupon, at the delinquent’s request, two bailsmen appeared, to wit, Willem Fredrixsz and Marten Hendrixsz, who after recital of the crimes committed by the delinquent and the sentence that had been imposed upon him, begged to be excused from becoming bail. As the delinquent was unable to get any other persons to go bail for him, the sentence was left to take its course in accordance with its terms and as is to be seen more fully from the text, which to that end will be recorded in the Sentence Book.*

Joannes Dijckman
Rutger Jacobsz
Volckart Jansz
Cornelus Tonisen
Andries Herberts

* This record of court sentences no longer exists.
Ordinary Session, October 22, 1652

Present:

J. Dijckman          V. Jansz
R. Jacopsz           C. T. v. Westbroeck

Volckert Jansz, plaintiff, against Pieter Bronck, defendant, for fifty guilders due by the defendant according to a note assigned in favor of Joost Theunisz Backer at the Manhatans.

The court orders the defendant [26] to pay the plaintiff the aforesaid sum of fifty guilders, the defendant to look to Jan van Bremen for the recovery of the sum, and to make payment within the space of four weeks.

A petition was read of Jan Thomasz and Laurens Jansz, burghers of Beverwijck, setting forth that shots are frequently fired at night by the Christians themselves, notwithstanding the ordinances against it, and requesting, for the sake of preventing many accidents in the future, that a warning may be issued by this court.

Whereupon, after deliberation, it is resolved to note that proper provision in the matter will be made by the court for the future and to request the president to make inquiries as to the persons who are guilty.

Machiel de Lademaker, appearing in court, requests to have the lot next to the lot[s] of Gerret de Kuyper and Annetgen Bogardus, which request is granted, notwithstanding said lot has heretofore been granted to Klaes Kroon, at the Manhatans, the president undertaking to defend the title against the claim of the said Croon.

The president having announced that he understood and was informed that those of the colony,* directly contrary to our instructions, intended to make improvements to their logement,† it is resolved to have the court messenger, Pieter Rijverdingh, serve our order on them in writing, as may be read therein at length.

Joannes Dijckman
Rutger Jacobsz
Volckart Jansz
Cornelus Tonisen

* This is a reference to the authorities of the colony of Rensselaerswijck.
† In the general sense, a place of residence; but the word can also signify an inn.
Extraordinary Session, October 28, 1652

Present:
J. Dijckman
R. Jacobsz
V. Jansz
A. Herpertsz
C. T. van Westbroeck

The court having examined the written request made to the court by the colony, and also the protest and prohibition to repair the building erected in spite of our protest which they thus far occupy and reside in by sufferance, and being informed that they intend to proceed with the repairs, it is, after mature deliberation, in view of the friendly request, protest and prohibition that have been made and pending the receipt of an answer to the letter written by the president to the hon. general, resolved to leave the matter for the present in statu, until further orders.

Whereas the president and the court messenger have informed the court that the Hon. Jan Labatie, appointed magistrate of this court, is going to live in the colony on the farm of Cornelis Theunesz Van Brueckelen and therefore resigns from the office of magistrate, it is resolved to proceed anew to the nomination and election of an extraordinary magistrate. The Hon. Pieter Hertgers was elected by a plurality of votes and after the aforesaid Hon. Jan Labatie is duly released from his oath he will be installed in the office of extraordinary magistrate* of this court and take the oath prescribed by our instructions.

Joannes Dijckman
Rutger Jacobsz
Volckart Jansz
Andries Herberts
Comelus Tonisen

* The extraordinary magistrate served as an alternate to the regular magistrates, filling out the board when a quorum was needed.
[28] Ordinary Session, November 5, 1652

Present:

J. Dijckman  
A. Herpertsz  
C. Theu. v. Westbroeck

Evert Noldingh, plaintiff, against Jan van Breemen, defendant, for wages earned according to the contract, amounting to 56.16.

The court orders defendant to pay plaintiff the aforesaid sum of fifty-six guilders, sixteen stivers, within the space of fourteen days, on pain of attachment.

Pieter Bronck, plaintiff, against Jan van Bremen, defendant, for fifty guilders which he owes plaintiff.

The court having examined the circumstances of the case, order the defendant within fourteen days to present his evidence, showing what damage he suffered as to the plowshare and the gun, in order to pronounce judgment in accordance with the decision of the 22d of the preceding month.

Adriaen Jansz van Leyden, plaintiff, against Jan van Bremen, defendant, for 63.8, which he owes plaintiff for merchandise received according to the account submitted.

The court orders defendant to pay plaintiff the aforesaid sum of 63.8, within the space of six weeks, on pain of attachment.

Joannes Dijckman  
Andries Herberts  
Cornelus Tonisen

[29] Extraordinary Session, November 16, 1652

Present:

J. Dijckman  
A. Herpertsz  
C. Theunisz van Westbroeck

Commissary Dijckman, plaintiff, against Willem Albertsz van Monickendam, defendant, on account of the defendant’s offensive conduct toward the person of Mr. de Hooges, secretary of the colony of
Rensselaerswijck, and his wife, according to the deposition and the testimony of Joost Theunisz Backer, and the extract from a letter of the Rev. Domine Megapolensis. All of which papers, together with the sentence in the case passed by this court on the 4th of September last, [having been examined], it is decided, in view of the fact that there is no quorum present on the bench, to adjourn the case until the return of the magistrates who are absent, when final decision and sentence will be pronounced.

Meanwhile, the defendant is placed under arrest [with order] not to depart before further decision in the matter is made, unless released on bail.

Joannes Dijckman
Andries Herberts
Cornelus Tonisen

Ordinary Session, December 3, 1652

Present:
Everyone

Received a letter from the Hon. General Petrus Stuyvesant, dated the 26th of November 1652, and with it two ordinances, one of which, prohibiting the use of grain for brewing, is unanimously approved by all the magistrates for publication and for being posted. As to the other, regarding the tapsters' excise, the Hon. Rutger Jacopsz and Volckert Jansz declare themselves personally in favor of further communication [with the director general], as [30] they have objections to its being published and posted.*

Evert Kleermaker† requests to have a lot between the road near‡ Annetgen Bogardus and Machiel de Lademaker. The Hon. Volckert Jansz and Cornelis Theunisz van Westbroeck are appointed a committee to lay out and convey the said lot and road.

Pieter Adriaensz, commonly called Gemackelijck,§ a resident of the fort,

* See LO, 111, for the prohibition against using grain for brewing, and p. 110 for the ordinance concerning the excise.
† Evert Nolden
‡ literal translation of tusschen den wegh van omtrent
§ “nice and easy” or “easy does it”
is upon the verbal report of Jacob Jansz Hap provisionally given permission to tap, on condition that in tapping and entertaining company he shall act quietly, in accordance with the provisions of the ordinance issued for that purpose.

Merten Metselaer is at his request granted the lot behind his place, on condition that if within six weeks he does not begin to build and gradually proceed with the work until it is finished, he shall be deprived thereof.

Hendrick Cassersz van Oldenborgh requesting a lot for a plantation near Wouter Aertsz van Petten Rademacker, and a small hop yard, he is granted the same on condition that if he does not commence his plantation in the spring, he shall be deprived thereof, and that he must leave alone the lot of the aforesaid Wouter Aertsz and the necessary road or roads thereabouts.

Joannes Dijckman
Abram Staes
Rutger Jacobsz
Jan Labatie
Volckart Jansz
Andries Herberchts
Cornelus Tonisen

[31] Extraordinary Session, December 6, 1652

The lot granted heretofore to Philip Pietersz Scheuler, to the east, that is to say, to the west of Marten Metselaer, is approved and definitely entered as granted to him.

Joannes Dijckman
Abram Staes
Rutger Jacobsz
Jan Labatie
Volckart Jansz
Andries Herberchts
Cornelus Tonisen
Extraordinary Session, December 28, 1652

Present:

J. Dijckman
A. Staets
R. Jacopsz
V. Jansz
A. Herpertsz
C. Theu. v. Westbroeck
J. Labatie

On this date, Evert Brantsen van Amersfoort, a soldier, is released by Commissary Dijckmans from his oath to the company taken as a soldier and engaged by this court as deputy schout provisionally until next May, at wages of f18 a month and f100 for board, whereupon he has taken the oath of fidelity as deputy schout.

Herman Bastiaensen, an inhabitant of Beverwijck, under arrest for misdemeanors committed by him regarding which evidence has been gathered, being arraigned before this court, has made confession and denial as set forth at length in the documents thereof. Therefore, the commissary is requested, if possible, to secure further evidence to be presented at the next session of the court, which he has undertaken to do. At the request [of the delinquent] [32] to be released on bail, this is for certain reasons granted upon severe conditions specified in the bail bond, whereupon Willem Fredrixsz, commonly called Bout, an inhabitant of Beverwijck, has appeared and after the bail bond was read to him, signed the bond as it stood before this court.

Joannes Dijckman
Abram Staes
Rut Jacobsz
Jan Labatie
Volckart Jansz
Andries Herberts
Cornelus Tonisen
Ordinary Session, January 7, 1653

Present:

J. Dijckman
A. Staets
R. Jacopsz
J. Labatie
V. Jansz

The commissary having submitted and complained to this court that, in spite of the contract made with Herman Bastiaensz Timmerman, the hon. company's house is not being finished, notwithstanding the protest, friendly request and threats made, so that the company's house remains unfinished, to the great loss, prejudice, and damage, not only of the company, but also of him, the commissary, and even of this court, it is after mature deliberation resolved and decided to order the aforesaid Herman Bastianensz, as this court does hereby, to finish the work on the hon. company's house without any delay and not to let any good and suitable weather go by without working, on pain of having the aforesaid work executed and carried to completion by others at the expense of the said Herman Bastiaensen, who is hereby ordered promptly to regulate himself accordingly. An extract herefrom shall be sent to him by the court messenger to regulate himself accordingly.

Jochem Becker, plaintiff, against Jan van Hoesem, defendant, for having rented from Willem Juriaensen the house standing on the plaintiff's lot, which the plaintiff was prohibited from occupying.

Upon examination of the resolution concerning the lot passed by this court on the 30th of April last, it is decided that as long as Willem Juriaensz lives he shall be free to rent his aforesaid dwelling house to whomsoever he pleases.

Secondly, Jochem Becker, plaintiff, has exhibited a certain written contract made with Jan van Hoesem and Willem Juriaensen concerning the aforesaid lot, his furniture, and baker's business, which being read, Jan van Hoesem has requested a copy of the aforesaid contract, which request is granted on condition that Jan van Hoesem shall be bound to file his answer before the next session of the court.

Jan Claesz Brant, appearing before the court, requests a lot in Bever-
wijck, across the first kill,* for the purpose of building thereon. After
deliberation it is resolved and decided to request and appoint the Hon.
Rutger Jacopsz and Volckert Jansz to lay out a lot for him in the row
near Willem Hap.

The Hon. Abraham Staets having requested that the [description] [34] of
the lot heretofore granted to him might be entered here, this is granted
and it is situated as follows: Adjoining on the east side Rut Jacopsz,
between [whose lot and his] there is a path five feet wide, reaching across
the first kill; on the west side the hill, [length] six rods, two feet;
southwards eight rods, on the north side the public woods road,†
twenty-five rods; again southward to the first kill, eight rods, and further
along the boundary line of the said kill to the aforesaid five-foot path.

Joannes Dijckman
Abram Staets
Rutger Jacobsz
Jan Labatie
Volckart Jansz

Ordinary Session, Tuesday, January 14, 1653

Present:
J. Dijckman
A. Staets
R. Jacobsz
J. Labatie
V. Jansz
A. Herpertsz
C. Th. v. Westbroeck

Upon the request of the Hon. Jan Labatie for permission to take posses­sion for his own use of the garden heretofore given to Jan Martensz,
behind this fort, marked W 7, said permission is hereby granted him on
condition that he obtain a patent from the hon. general.

* also known as the Rutten Kill and Fuyck Kill
† Het gemeene bosch padt, now State Street in present-day Albany
The president having stated that Jochem *de Backer*, according to the judgment of the court dated the 26th of August,* owes him f50 on account of a dispute and that these have not yet been paid, the court decides that he must pay within fourteen days, on pain of attachment, which the officer is hereby authorized to levy.


The defendant having appeared, requests that a member of this court proceed in his name against the plaintiff in the matter of the lot in issue between Jan van Hoesem and Willem Juriaensz, for which Jan van Hoesem received the patent.

The Hon. Rutger Jacopsz is unanimously chosen for this purpose, the present entry taking the place of a power of attorney.

Plaintiff says that the lot belongs to Willem Juriaensz.

Rut Jacopsz, appearing for the defendant, demands security for the judgment.

Parties are ordered to give security for the judgment and to produce their evidence on the next court day when judgment will be pronounced.

Jochem Becker is notified and ordered to remove his lumber lying in the public road† within twenty-four hours, on pain of forfeiting fifty guilders, or twice the amount on second notice, and of arbitrary punishment on third notice.

On the side of Jan van Hoesem, Andries Herpertsz and Cornelis Theunisz van Westbroeck have become sureties for the judgment at the termination of the suit.

[36] This day, Arent Andriesz has taken the oath of burgher of Beverwijck before this court.

Joannes Dijckman
Abram Staas
Rutger Jacobsz
Jan Labatie
Volckart Jansz
Andries Herberts

* This judgment does not appear in these minutes.
† Broadway in present-day Albany
Ordinary Session, January 22, 1653

Present:

J. Dijckman
A. Staets
R. Jacobsz
J. Labatie
V. Jansz

Volckert Jansz, plaintiff, against Pieter Bronck, defendant, for payment of f50 for Joost Theunisz Backer.

The court, having taken into consideration the judgment rendered by it, orders by virtue thereof prompt payment or attachment.

Pieter Bronck, plaintiff, against Jan van Bremen, defendant, for the recovery of fifty guilders according to the above-written judgment given against the defendant.

The court orders defendant to pay plaintiff within twenty-four hours, on pain of attachment.

Barent Cramer, plaintiff, against Jan van Bremen, defendant, for the sum of f56:16, according to the judgment heretofore rendered.

Defendant says that he does not want to fulfill the contract because he was drunk when he signed it.

The court orders that defendant shall remain under arrest and imprisoned for debt until he has paid the aforesaid sum to the plaintiff, or gives security to the satisfaction of the plaintiff.

[37] There was read a petition from Goosen Gerritsz, burgher and inhabitant of Beverwijck, requesting a building lot, situated close to the third kill, on this side, with the thicket or small marsh lying close to it. Resolved to note in the margin that the hon. court is favorably disposed to accommodate the petitioner with a lot, but as to the other [ground], as it is considered best to keep this for common use, the request cannot be granted.

Joannes Dijckman
Abram Staas
Jan Labatie
Rutger Jacobsz
Volckart Jansz
Ordinary Session, Tuesday, January 28, 1653

Present:

J. Dijckman
R. Jacopsz
J. Labatie
V. Jansz
A. Herpertsz
C. Theu. v. Westb.

Jochem Keteluyn having requested permission to have a lot next to Jan Claesz Brant, on the north side, the same is granted, and the Hon. Rutger Jacopsz and Volckert Jansz are requested to indicate the bounds of the lot, so that he may receive his ground brief upon request after construction.

Commissary Dijckmans, plaintiff, against Pieter Adriaensen, innkeeper, defendant, on account of having tapped after the nine o'clock bell.*

Defendant acknowledges that on the 19th of this month he was fined by the deputy schout and that he used abusive language when fined.

The court orders him to pay the officer the sum of six guilders as a fine, and if he is hereafter caught again, the double amount.

[38] A petition was read of Willem Fredrixsz, requesting permission to erect a horse mill in Beverswijck and to have the exclusive right to operate it for some time. Resolved to note in the margin that the petitioner must first indicate to this court where he intends to put this mill and that then the matter will be taken under further consideration.

Jacob Hap and Jan de Visscher being called, the second default is taken against them.

Rutger Jacopsz, plaintiff, against Jochem Becker, defendant.

Plaintiff wants to know, first, whether the defendant still claims that the lot of Jan van Hoesem according to the ground brief belongs to Willem Juriaensz, and what proof he has thereof?

Secondly, the plaintiff demands that the defendant, pursuant to the order of the court, give security for the judgment.

* There was a general curfew in effect after nine o'clock in the evening within the jurisdiction of Beverwijck.
The defendant says that, before the arrival of the hon. general* in Beverswijck, no one had any ground of his own. The defendant refuses to give security or to bind himself for someone else and prefers to discontinue the suit.

The court orders the parties to live henceforth in peace and not to molest one another about the lot, on pain of being dealt with by this court as it may see fit; but with this provision, that the Hon. Magistrates Abraham Staets and Volckert Jansz are appointed to show the old captain a place on the aforesaid lot where he may pile up his firewood, or to indicate to him how far he may use the lot, in order that the aforesaid Jan van Hoesem may properly fence off his lot to suit his convenience.

On the complaint of Jochem Becker that Jan van Hoesem or his family was throwing hot ashes or embers against his clapboards, which he fears may someday cause a fire to the great detriment of himself and his neighbors, it is resolved to have the court messenger, Pieter Rijverdingh, enjoin the said van Hoesem in the name of this court from doing so in the future.

Joannes Dijckman
Rutger Jacobsz
Volckart Jansz
Jan Labatie
Cornelus Tonisen
Andries Herberts

Ordinary Session, February 11, 1653

Present:
J. Dijckman
A. Staets
Jan Labatie
V. Jansz
A. Herpertsz

Goosen Gerritsz, plaintiff, against Rut Arentsz.
Both parties in default.

* Petrus Stuyvesant, director general of New Netherland
Herman Bastiaensz against Cornelis Hendriksz, defendant.

First default of both parties.

Jacob Jansz Schermerhooren, plaintiff, against Adriaen Jansz van Leyden, about a certain lot situated next to the lot of the plaintiff, near the hill, marked No. 4, drawn by him last year, but afterwards promised to the plaintiff, or to Lijsbet Cornelis, wife of Gijsbert Cornelisz, whereof sufficient evidence has been shown to this court.

The Hon. Magistrate Jan Labatie, [40] appearing for the defendant to answer the plaintiff’s demand, says that the defendant had no power to give away the lot, for if he did not wish to take possession of it, it reverted to this court.

The court, having heard both sides, assigns the lot from now on to Lijsbet Cornelis, on condition that she must secure a ground brief for it and that the defendant may take possession of the lot drawn by her on the conditions agreed to.

Goosen Gerritsen, plaintiff, against Rut Arentsz Cleermaker, defendant, for beer furnished and money due, amounting to $86;—

Defendant admits the debt.

The court orders defendant to pay plaintiff the aforesaid sum of $86;— or thereabouts, within the space of six weeks, on pain of attachment.

Willem Fredrixsz having requested on January 28, last past, permission to erect a horse mill for the convenience of the burghers, it is after deliberation resolved to grant him permission to do so on his own lot, on condition that he keep within the street line; but as to his request for exclusive privilege, he is referred to the hon. general and council of the province at the Manhatans.

Received and read a letter from the hon. general, dated the first of this month, giving notice of and enclosing an ordinance prohibiting the baking and sale to the Indians [41] of white bread and cake and the malting of hard grain, whereupon it is resolved to cause the same to be published.*

On receipt of a letter from the hon. general about the nonimposition of the tapsters’ excise on beer and wines for a time, it is upon reconsideration of the matter resolved to give public notice that innkeepers shall

* See LO, 111–113, for these ordinances.
hereafter not be allowed to ask more than 8 stivers for a *mutsje* of brandy and nine stivers for a *kanne* of beer, on pain of forfeiting for the first violation one hundred guilders, the second time twice as much, and of receiving arbitrary punishment the third time.

Joannes Dijckman  
Abram Staes  
Jan Labatie  
Volckart Jansz  
Andries Herberts  
Cornelus Tonisen

**Ordinary Session, Tuesday, February 18, 1653**

Present:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>J. Dijckman</th>
<th>J. Labatie</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Staets</td>
<td>A. Herpertsz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. Jansz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rut Aertsz, plaintiff, against Aert Jacopsz, defendant.

Parties’ first default.

Herman Bastiaensz, plaintiff, against Dirrick Jansz, defendant, about some disputed accounts outstanding between them.

The arguments on both sides have been heard by the court, it is decided that the plaintiff shall on demand promptly pay to the defendant what he is found to have received more than his share of the common account.

[42] [Plaintiff] also [demands] the sum of f211:- for some gunstocks made jointly. At the request of the defendant the case is put over to the next court day.

Steven Jansz, plaintiff, against Marten *de Brouwer*, defendant, for 187 guilders, which the defendant owes the plaintiff for carpenter’s wages, moneys advanced, and goods.

Defendant admits the debt.

The court orders defendant to pay plaintiff in accordance with the terms of the contract made together, within eight weeks, on pain of attachment.
Commissary Dijckman, plaintiff, against Jochem Becker, defendant, for baking cake and white bread contrary to the ordinance.

Defendant says that he has not baked since the publication of the ordinance.

Jan van Hoesem, or Rut Jacobsz, as attorney, plaintiff, against Jochem Becker, defendant, about the accounts of the expenses incurred by the parties during the suit about the lot of Jan van Hoesem, claimed by the old captain, Willem Juriaensen. The court orders parties on both sides to pay the costs of the suit, and in case they are not satisfied with the judgment pronounced on the 28th of the preceding month and find themselves aggrieved by it, they are given the right to appeal to the hon. general and council of New Netherland. The court having heard the report made on the aforesaid date by the Hon. Abraham Staets and Volckert Jansz, the same is approved as to its provision that the old captain, Willem Juriaensen, may possess the aforesaid lot as long as he lives.

[43] Jan Smit, plaintiff, against Jan Gauw, defendant, for wounds inflicted on plaintiff by defendant. Plaintiff claims damages for pain and suffering and also payment of the surgeon's fees.

Defendant states that it was plaintiff's fault and that he pushed him and it was because of his negligence.

The court orders parties on both sides to present their evidence on the next court day.

Commissary Dijckman, plaintiff, against Jacob Flodder, defendant, for fighting last Sunday with a tankard against Elbert, at the house of Pieter Bronck.

Commissary Dijckman, plaintiff, against Elbert Gerbertsz, defendant, for drawing his knife against Jacob last Sunday at the house of Pieter Bronck.

Defendant denies at first.

Pieter Bronck being questioned on oath says, Yes.

Likewise the deputy schout. *

The court orders defendant to pay plaintiff at once a fine of twenty-five guilders and a like sum of twenty-five guilders to the poor, with the proviso that he shall remain in custody until the aforesaid payments are made.

* A schout was a law enforcement officer with the additional powers of a prosecuting attorney.
Commissary Dijckman, plaintiff, against Rut Adriaensz Kleermaker, defendant, and Lijsbet Rosekrans, on account of carnal intercourse committed together according to mutual [44] confession on the seventh of this month at the house of the said Rut Arentsz.

Rut Arents declares that she, the said Lijsbet Rosekrans, is and will remain a whore and that he does not want to marry her.

The aforesaid Rut Arentsz and Lijsbet Rosekrans are ordered to appear on the next court day to hear the sentence of the court.

Joannes Dijckman

Upon the request of the Hon. Magistrate Jan Labatie for permission to build on the corner of the first kill and to have the lot between the kill and the lot provisionally enclosed by Sander Leendertsen, said request is granted upon showing him the proper alignment.

Joannes Dijckman
Abram Staas
Rut Jacobsz
Jan Labatie
Volckart Jansz
Andries Herberts
Cornelus Tonisen

Ordinary Session, Tuesday, March 4, 1653

Present:

J. Dijckman J. Labatie
A. Staets V. Jansz
R. Jacobsz A. Hepertsz

Jan Smit, plaintiff, against Jan Gauw, defendant, for wounds inflicted by defendant on plaintiff's hand.

The court having heard the arguments on both sides and considered what took place at the last session, decides and orders, as it does hereby, that defendant shall pay plaintiff immediately the surgeon's fees, without the plaintiff having anything to claim on account of permanent injuries.

[45] The written complaint and demand being read by the president, ex officio, against Herman Bastiaensz, for cutting down the street line post, and the court having considered the serious consequences which in future might result therefrom, but being in hopes that he will behave better in the future, he is ordered by this court to pay the commissary, in
his capacity as officer, a fine of fifty guilders, and in addition to make promise of better behavior.

Dirrick Jansz Kroon, against Herman Bastiaensz, defendant, on account of a dispute about former accounts.

Firstly, as to the collecting of the money, they are referred to the judgment heretofore rendered.

Secondly, as to the gunstock making, they are referred to Ariaen Jansz van Leyden and Master Ariaen van Ilpendam, arbitrators and referees, in order to come to an agreement if they can, of which a report is to be made to this court.

Giertgen Nannix, being cited before the court, at the request of Commissary Dijckman, declares that Rut Arentsz before going home [promised that he would] legally marry her and that to that end he would give her a ring as a pledge of marriage before sleeping with her.

Commissary Dijckman, plaintiff, against Elbert Gerbertsz and Jacob de Timmerman, defendants, for having wounded each other in the neck and caused blood to flow.

The parties are ordered to present their evidence at the next session of the court.

Pieter Bronck has stood bail for the appearance of Elbert Gerbertsz on the next court day.

[46] A petition was read from the respective bakers in Beverwijck, requesting mitigation of the ordinance concerning the baking of white bread, pretzels, and cookies to be sold to the Indians. Resolved to refer the petitioners to the ordinance.*

Joannes Dijckman
Abram Staas
Rutger Jacobsz
Jan Labatie
Volckart Jansz
Andries Herberts

* See LO, 112–113.
Ordinary Session, Tuesday, March 18, 1653

Present:
  J. Dijckman
  R. Jacobsz
  V. Jansz

Jan van Hoesem, plaintiff, against Merten Metselaer, defendant.
  Parties' first default.

Jan de Visser, plaintiff, against Merten Metselaer, defendant.
  Defendant’s first default.

Dirrick Bensingh, plaintiff, against Merten Metselaer, defendant.

Jan Labatie, plaintiff, against Jochem Becker, defendant.
  Defendant’s first default.

Commissary Dijckman, plaintiff, against Abraham Pietersz Vosburgh, 
defendant, for f16, which he must pay to Arent Schapenbout and which 
the commissary desires shall be paid to him on account of a fine.
  Resolved, that the same shall for the present remain attached; also the 
money which is due to him from Merten de Brouwer, wherefore the court 
messenger is ordered to attach the one and the other again until such time 
as this court shall make a further decision in the matter.

[47] Pieter Rijverdingh, plaintiff, against Jacob Luyersz and Jan 
Machielsz, defendants, for messenger fees amounting to f18:15:--.
  Defendants say that Sander Leendertsen must pay the same according 
to the judgment previously rendered by this court.
  Ordered that the plaintiff may cite the parties or Sander Leendertsen 
to appear on the next court day.

Jan Labatie, plaintiff, against Jacob Hap, defendant, on account of 
fighting and a blow with the fist.
  Plaintiff’s first default.

A petition having been read from the respective bakers residing in 
Beverwijck, whereby they request permission to sell some white bread 
for the Indians, especially cake, for reasons more fully set forth in the 
petition, it is decided that as the president and some of the members of
this court are to leave for the Manhatans, they may discuss the matter with the hon. general, the report whereof shall be awaited. Until such time the petitioners must have patience and shall not be allowed to sell either to the Indians.

Joannes Dijckman  
Rutger Jacobsz  
Volckart Jansz

Extraordinary Session, Saturday, April 5, 1653

Present:

The Hon. Fiscal Thienhoven*  
Paulus Leendertsz  
Maximiliaen van Geel  
J. Dijckman  
A. Staets  
J. Labatie  
V. Jansz  
A. Herpertsz  
C. Theunisz van Westbroeck

The honorable *fiscal* and the delegates from the city of New Amsterdam have in the presence of the commissaries of this inferior court of justice verbally and in writing set forth and explained the reasons which have induced the hon. director general and council of New Netherland to send the aforesaid delegates hither, said reasons being in the main twofold, namely:

Firstly, that the ordinances heretofore issued concerning the malting and using of hard grain for brewing purposes† have been duly published by this court and observed [48] as far as practicable, in connection with which the honorable delegates in the name of the hon. director general and council of New Netherland thank the commissary and members of this court for the timely warning in regard to the consumption [of beer] as aforesaid, but that the court of the colony of Rensselaerswijck has thus far failed to put into execution the orders regarding the publication, posting, and observance of the aforesaid well-meant and necessary

* Cornelis van Thienhoven, *fiscal* of New Netherland and member of Stuyvesant’s council; Paulus Leendertsen vande Grist and Maximiliaen van Geel, *schepens* of the newly formed municipality of New Amsterdam.
† See LO, 111.
ordinances. For this reason the honorable fiscal, together with the Hon. Paulus Leendertsen and Maximiliaen van Geel, were sent in order that they, with Commissary Dijckman and two members of this court, whereto after deliberation were chosen Abraham Staets and Jan Labatie, might request them, namely those of the court of Rensselaerswijck aforesaid, once more and finally, to publish and post the same. For which purpose the court messenger, Pieter Reverdingh, was sent to the director of the colony, Jan Baptista van Rensselaer, * to inquire when it would be convenient for his honor to have their honors call upon him, whereupon the court messenger reported that he received for answer that his honor would let them know in the afternoon.

Secondly, the honorable fiscal and the delegates aforesaid have exhibited an order for a day of fasting and prayer to be held on the 9th of this month, being Wednesday, and thereafter on the first Wednesday of each succeeding month, † which it resolved to communicate to the court of the aforesaid colony and subsequently to the Reverend Domine Gideon Schaets, in order that it may thus be observed and punctually carried out in accordance with the good intention and meaning of the hon. general and council of New Netherland.

[49] Extraordinary Session, Thursday, April 10, 1653

Present:

The honorable fiscal‡
Paulus Leendertsz
Maximiliaen van Geel
J. Dijckman
J. Verbeeck
J. Thomasz

For the accommodation of the good inhabitants here, surveyors have been summoned to lay out some lots beyond Gijsbert Cornelisz, from his lot to the palisades of the land in use by Thomas Jansz, which surveyors having reported that eight lots, each forty Rhineland feet wide, may be conveniently laid out, the first lot is given to Commissary

* Jan Baptist van Rensselaer, son of Kiliaen, was director of the patroonship from 1653 to 1658.
† This day of fasting and prayer was probably precipitated by news of a meeting in Boston to decide whether the New England colonies would unite for an attack on New Netherland. Massachusetts declined and nothing came of the threat.
‡ Cornelis van Thienhoven.
Dijckman, the second to Domine Gideon Schaets, the third to Abraham Staets, the fourth to Jan Labatie, the fifth to Adriaen Jansz van Leyden, and the sixth to Pieter Rijverdinck, the other [two] lots to be granted later when there is occasion for it.

Cor. Van Tienhoven
Joannes Dijckman

Extraordinary Session, Saturday, April 12, 1653

Present:

The honorable fiscal
Paulus Leendertsen
M. van Geel
J. Dijckman
R. Jacopsz
A. Herpertsz
J. Verbeeck
J. J. Schermerhooren
C. Theunisz
Jan Thomasz

Commissary Dijckman having submitted to the court that yesterday, with the consent and order of the honorable fiscal, he had caused Jochem Becker Backer* to be summoned by Pieter Reverdingh, the court messenger, because in violation of the ordinance he had in the absence of the commissary publicly blown the horn to sell white bread,† directly contrary to the tenor of the ordinance of the hon. director general, and in addition given out and stated to the Hon. Abraham Staets that he had permission thereto from the aforesaid commissary, he has appeared before the court and persisted in his statements, and appealed to Hendrick Jansz Westerkamp, also a baker in Beverwijck as a witness, who being also summoned to appear by order of this court, was asked whether he, Westerkamp, had any knowledge thereof, whereupon he declared, No, so that the statement is found to be untruthful.

The court having considered the evil consequences and results which

* Jochem Wesselsen's professional surname frequently reflects his trade as baker in both German, Bäcker (spelled Becker in the records), and Dutch, Backer.
† It was customary for a baker to announce that fresh bread was ready for sale by blowing a horn.
might arise if no proper provision were made, especially at this juncture of time, [50] in the matter of disregard of the well-intentioned ordinances of the hon. director general and council of New Netherland and false accusation of the commissary, therefore condemn the aforesaid Becker, as they do hereby, to pay a fine of fifty guilders within twenty-four hours, on pain of attachment, one-third to go to the poor.

This court having seen and read the written complaint and demand of the Hon. Fiscal Cornelis van Thienhooven against Jochem Becker Backer in Beverwijck, and the proper evidence in the case, showing contempt of this court and that some time ago he not only slandered the Hon. Magistrate Volckart Jansz and called him names, but also wanted to attack him by force with the sword which he had put on, demanding that his honor should go outdoors where he intended to cut him to pieces; also that he has failed to show proper respect for this court and has refused to move the pigpens according to the order of this court* which to the annoyance and detriment of his neighbor he had erected in front of the door; furthermore that he has unjustly accused the honorable magistrates, namely, by stating that when they came out of court they reported what had taken place at the house of Jan van Hoesem; and that he has falsely charged Commissary Dijckman with having offered to Jan van Hoesem to deliver to him the lot of Willem Juriaensen for three beavers, all according to his own confession made before this court; all of which are matters of very serious consequence; therefore, this court has unanimously decided, as it does hereby, to condemn him, on promise and in hopes of better behavior, to pay a fine of one hundred guilders, to be paid within twenty-four hours, on pain of immediate attachment, or the double amount within forty-eight hours, and so on in succession, whereof one-third is to go to the poor, one-third to this court, and one-third to the officer. Also that he shall immediately tear down and remove the pigpens, or that they shall be immediately torn down by order of this court.

* See the May 29, 1652, session.
Extraordinary Session,  
Post Meridiem,  
April 12, 1653

Present:

The honorable fiscal  
Paulus Leendersen  
Maximiliaen van Geel  
J. Dijckman  
R. Jacopsen  
A. Herpertsen  
J. Thomasz  
C. Theunisz van Westbr.

Before this court appeared Jan van Hoesem and Willem Juriaensen and exhibited a certain contract made between them under date of the 30th of January 1650.*

Parties on both sides having been heard and the matter being duly considered, it is decided and ordered by the court that the aforesaid contract shall in all its parts and according to the tenor thereof be completely observed and carried out by the parties on both sides, with the understanding that the property mentioned in the contract shall be placed in the hands of Jan van Hoesem to have the use thereof, according to the terms of the said contract. Furthermore, to prevent all further disputes and differences, it is ordered that Willem Juriaensen shall have to comport himself as a decent old man should and at noon and in the evening come to meals at regular hours as is proper and shall also have to be satisfied with the ordinary food which Jan van Hoesem daily provides for himself and his family; therefore, that Jan van Hoesem is not bound to supply Willem Juriaensen outside of his own house with food, or drink, or money for board.

* See MCR, 174, for a reference to this contract.
Ordinary Session, Tuesday, April 29, 1653

Present:
J. Dijckman
R. Jacopsz
A. Herpertsz
J. Verbeeck
J. J. Schermerhoorn
Cor. Theunisz van Westbroeck
Jan Thomasz

Jan Barentsz Poest, plaintiff, against Merten de Brouwer, defendant, for two thousand bricks delivered by plaintiff to the defendant.

Defendant admits that he is indebted for the bricks and that he has built his malt kiln with them.

Plaintiff says that he heard Hendrick de Moff* say that the defendant broke his contract first.

The court orders the plaintiff to produce the evidence at the first opportunity, which he claims to have of the breaking of their contract, when this court will consider the matter further and make a decision.

The Reverend Domine Gideon Schaets having appeared before the court requests to have a garden heretofore drawn by lot by Dirrick Bensingh, [marked] No. 24.

[52] The said Dirrick Bensingh having appeared, has offered to relinquish the same, and it is therefore given to his Reverence.

Dirrick Bensingh is granted a garden behind the fort, No. 11, with the consent of the Hon. Jacob Jansz Schermerhoren, who gets No. 16 in place of it.

The garden heretofore provisionally given to Dirrick Bensingh, next to Ariaen van Alckmaer, we have decided to give to Hendrick Jochemsz, upon condition that he, Hendrick Jochemsz, shall compensate Dirrick Bensingh for the palisades, clearing of stone, and it being left to the discretion of the Hon. Andries Herpertsz and Jan Verbeeck to indicate to said Bensingh how much he is entitled to claim therefor.

Steeven Jansz, plaintiff, against Merten de Brouwer, defendant, for 187

* derogatory name for a German
guilders due by defendant to plaintiff for wages earned by him, in regard to which judgment has already been given.

Defendant promises to do his best to pay within 8 or 14 days at the longest f50; another f50 a month later, and the rest at the first opportunity.

Dirrick Bensingh, plaintiff, against Merten de Metselaer, defendant, for f104:— for goods delivered.

Defendant admits the debt.

The court orders defendant to pay plaintiff the aforesaid sum of f104:— on the first of August next ensuing, on pain of attachment.

Jochem Becker, plaintiff, against Jan van Hoesem, defendant, for throwing dirty water and dregs on plaintiff’s [53] lot.

Defendant and his family are ordered by the court to refrain therefrom, on pain of [forfeiting] the first time twelve guilders, the second time twenty-four guilders, and [of being subjected] the third time to arbitrary correction.

Upon the request of the Hon. Jan Labatie that the case between Rut Arent and Lijssbet Rosekrans pending before this court might be disposed of, the officer is requested and ordered to have the complaint and demand ready at the next session of the court.

There was read a petition of Thomas Sanderts and Master Ariaen van Ilpendam, praying for extension of time in which to fence in their garden heretofore granted to them. Time is given them until the first of October next.

There was read a petition from Abraham Pietersz Vosburgh, praying for permission to now and then tap beer by the pail for the citizenry. As the same is contrary to the ordinance, the request is denied.*

Joannes Dijckman
Rutger Jacobsz
Andries Herberts
Jan Verbeeck
Jan Thomasz
Cornelus Tonisen
Jacob Jansen Schermerhooren

* See LO, 110.
Ordinary Session, May 13, 1653

Present:

Rut Jacopsz
An. Herpertsz
J. Verbeeck
J. J. Schermershoorn
C. T. van Westbroeck
J. Thomasz
J. Dijckman

Dirrick Jansz Vaendrigh, being asked whether he was willing to work over there on the gristmill, or not, says, No, and is guilty therefore of contempt of the order of both courts.

Pieter Hartgers declares that he does not recognize in this matter the order of the magistrates, but only of the hon. general.

Goosen Gerretsen declares that if the hon. general orders it, he will work.

Volckert Jansz declares that if it were erected between the boundary posts, he would do so, otherwise not.

Jochem Becker, being summoned to appear, is notified that he must this day pay the fines heretofore imposed on him, amounting to f250:–, on pain of forfeiting f12 additional for each day that he delays to pay.

Rut Jacopsz, plaintiff, against Jacob Clomp, defendant, for the balance of a last of wheat, which defendant promised to deliver to plaintiff according to contract and of which 12 schepels have been delivered.

Parties are ordered to produce their documents and proofs on the next court day.

Jan Barentsz Poest, plaintiff, against Merten de Brouwer, defendant, for payment of 2,000 bricks.

Parties satisfied.

Philip Pietersz, plaintiff, against Willem Albertsz, defendant.

Defendant’s first default.
There was read a communication from the Hon. Cornelis van Thienhooven, *fiscal*, in the name of the hon. general, besides one of Rensselaer together with Domine Schaets. Resolved to write about it to the hon. general.

Frans Barents, Jacob Jansz Schermerhoren, and Sijmon Volckertsz, having appeared, request that they may be excused from paying the fines for not yet having fenced in their gardens.

Granted in view of the fact that they have already taken possession of the said gardens.

Willem Juriaensen having appeared before the court and offered that he is still willing to fulfill the contract made between him and Jan van Hoesem, he is informed that he can have his opponent summoned to appear on the next court day.

---

**[55] Extraordinary Session, June 6, 1653**

Present:

- J. Dijckman
- R. Jacobsz
- A. Herpertsz
- J. Verbeeck
- J. J. Schermerhoorn
- C. Thuenisz van Westbroeck
- Jan Thomasz

Philip Pietersz Scheuler, plaintiff, against Willem Albertsz van Monickendam, defendant, for contempt of this court in escaping on the 13th and going away on the 14th of May last with his yacht, which the plaintiff had attached, and for some insults offered to the president in person, which on trial the defendant could neither prove nor make good.
The witnesses being heard and everything being considered that pertains to the case, the defendant is ordered to pay to the officer of this bench the sum of forty guilders, in addition to the costs of the extraordinary session and messenger fees, the defendant being ordered to pay the said sum within twenty-four hours and to declare, as he has done, that he has nothing to say about the officer, nor about the plaintiff, Philip Pietersz, but what is fair and honorable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fines</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Messenger fees</td>
<td>7.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extraordinary session, two days.</td>
<td>32.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>79.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Joannes Dijckman
Rutger Jacobsz
Andries Herberts
Jan Verbeeck
Jacob Schermerhoorn[en]
Cornelus Tonisen

Ordinary Session, June 10, 1653

Present:

Dijckman
R. Jacopsz
A. Herpertsz
J. Verbeeck
J. J. Schermerhoorn
C. Theunisz van Westbroeck

Evert Pels, plaintiff, against Rut Arentsz, defendant.

Defendant’s first default.

Claes Jacobsz, plaintiff, against Claes Cornelisz Croon, defendant, for a year’s rent of a house standing at the Manhatans, hired by defendant, Claes Rips and Frans [left blank], for the sum of one hundred guilders, to be paid by them [jointly and] severally, and due on the 27th day of March 1652.

The court orders defendant to pay plaintiff the sum of one hundred guilders within the space of three weeks, on pain of attachment.
Steven Jansz, plaintiff, against Rut Arentsz, defendant.
Defendant's first default.

Willem Fredrixsz, plaintiff, [against] Juriaen Theunisz, defendant, for f57 due to plaintiff.
The court orders defendant to pay plaintiff the aforesaid sum of f57 within the space of six weeks.

Commissary Dijckman, plaintiff, against Jacob Clomp, defendant, for having sold some brandy or strong drink to the Indians, according to the deposition.
The court orders that his person and yacht shall remain attached until the witnesses who made the aforesaid deposition shall have been further examined under oath and the court have further investigated the case.

Resolved to post an order prohibiting [people from letting] chickens, hogs, or other animals come on the bastions of the fort and requiring said bastions to remain properly closed, on pain of forfeiture [of said animals] at the discretion of the court.

Ordinary Session, June 17, 1653

Present:
J. Dijckman
R. Jacobsz
A. Herpertsz
J. J. Schermerhooren
Jan Verbeeck
Jan Thomasz

Andries de Vos, appearing before the court, requests that inasmuch as Jan Labatie has conveyed to him his lot No. 4, past Thijsen’s, he be granted the ownership thereof on the usual conditions and writing.
Whereupon Labatie, being summoned, has approved the same and the request is granted.

Commissary Dijckman, plaintiff, against Albert Gerritsz, defendant, for fighting with Lambert Cornelisz, on the second of June last, in the company’s garden.

The deposition about the fight being examined and the defendant being found guilty according to the contents of the deposition, the defendant is ordered to pay the plaintiff within three days the sum of forty guilders, with costs.

Joannes Dijckman
Rutger Jacobsz
Andries Herberts
Jan Verbeek
Jacob Schermerhooren
Jan Thomasz

Extraordinary Session, June 19, 1653

Present:

J. Dijckman
R. Jacobsz
A. Herpertsz
J. Verbeek
C. Theu. v. Westbroeck
J. J. Schermerhooren

Gillis Douwsz Fonda, plaintiff, against Jan Dirrixsz van Bremen, defendant, for delivery of a hog, for which he delivered a half anker of brandy and [paid] some incidental expenses, amounting to about $30, for the payment of which the Hon. Rut Jacopsz has become surety.

Aert Aertsz, plaintiff, against Jan Dirrixsz van Bremen, defendant, on account of $10 for some stockings, which the defendant is alleged to owe the plaintiff.

The plaintiff declares that he does not know whether they were charged or not when they settled their accounts.

The defendant refers to his account.

The parties are ordered to examine the account and if not paid, [the
defendant is] to satisfy [the plaintiff].

Jan Dirrixsz van Bremen declares under solemn oath that Jacob Sijmonsz Clomp, bark skipper, lately sold brandy in a kettle to the Indians at Catskill. Furthermore, in the form of an ordinary declaration, that some beavers' worth of brandy was sold by Jacob Clomp to the Indians at the Esopus, according [56] to the complaint made to him by some inhabitants of the Esopus, who declared that they suffered great annoyance from them in consequence thereof. And as to Katskill,* that the trouble and difficulties which have arisen are the result thereof and are also due to Kit Davitsz.

This day, Jacobus Theunisz van Naerden has by solemn oath confirmed the above deposition concerning the sale of brandy by Jacob Clomp, as shown by his signature.

Willem Fredrixsz has stood bail for Jacob Sijmonsz Clomp on account of the selling of strong drink to the Indians in the sum of ten hundred guilders and signed [the bond] with his own hand.

Jacob Clomp is granted permission to sail for the Manhatans, on condition that he take on board or with him as much grain as he can get to be delivered at the Manhatans.

Jacob Clomp has admitted before this court that he sold a kettle to the Indians and that after the payment was made, there being 8 or 9 stivers too much, he gave the Indians brandy therefor.

Joannes Dijckman
Rutger Jacobsz
Andries Herberts
Jacob Schermerhooren

[57] Ordinary Session, Tuesday, July 5, 1653

Present:
J. Dijckman
R. Jacopsz
J. J. Schermerhooren

Evert Pels, plaintiff, against Rut Arentsz Kleermaker, defendant, for the sum of $134:5, for cloth furnished to him.

* At this time the jurisdiction of the court of Fort Orange and Beverwijck included the settlements at the Esopus and at Katskill.
Defendant’s third default.
The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the above-men­tioned sum of f134:5, within the space of three weeks, on pain of attachment.

Elmerhuysen Kleyn, plaintiff, against Thomas Jansz, defendant, about the purchase of 34 schepels of maize, at 30 stivers.
Parties appeal to Dirrick Bensinck. Case adjourned until the next court day.

Pieter Bronck, plaintiff, against Jan Machielsz, defendant.
Plaintiff’s first default.

Joannes Dijckman
Rutger Jacobsz
Andries Herberts
Jacob Jansen Schermerhooren

Ordinary Session, July 8, 1653

Present:
J. Dijckman
A. Herpertsz
J. Verbeeck
C. Theu. Westbroeck

Pieter Bronck, plaintiff, against Jan Machielsz, defendant, for the sum of f78:-, which defendant owes plaintiff and for which the president became surety.
Ordered that the defendant shall pay the plaintiff within the space of three weeks, or else that the surety shall be bound to do so.

Pieter Winnen, plaintiff, against Jacob Adriaensz Rademaker, defendant, on account of the making of a wagon for the harvest, one-half of the payment for which he has already received, but which he fails to deliver.
The court orders the defendant to finish the wagon at the earliest opportunity [58] and to deliver it to the plaintiff, or, if he remains in default, to compensate the plaintiff for his loss, the plaintiff being authorized to recover such loss from the defendant where and in such a way as he shall see fit.
Herman Bastiaensz, plaintiff, against Dirrick Jansz Croon, defendant, in regard to disputed accounts.

Decided that Herman Bastiaensz shall first return three beavers and thereafter half of the money of Goosen Gerritsen, whereupon receipts signed by both parties shall be exchanged and parties must be satisfied.

Joannes Dijckman
Andries Herberts
Jan Verbeeck
Cornelius Tonisen

Ordinary Session, July 15, 1653

Present:
J. Dijckman
R. Jacobsz
J. Verbeeck
A. Herpertsz
J. Thomas
C. T. v. Westbr.

Paulus Schrick, plaintiff, [against] Femmetgen Albertsz, defendant, for f100 or 12½ beavers, according to obligation.

The court orders the defendant to pay the aforesaid sum of one hundred guilders to the plaintiff before the departure of the ships for patria, on pain of attachment, the house to be plaintiff's security and he to be preferred to others.

Mariken ten Haer, plaintiff, against Jochem Becker, being charged with having beaten her at the house of Hendrick Jansz Westerkamp and thrown her goods into the street, according to the declaration of Hendrick Jansz Westerkamp.

Defendant's first default.

Jacob Clomp, plaintiff, against Jan Dirrixsz van Bremen, defendant, for two hundred twenty-seven guilders, which defendant is alleged to owe plaintiff.

Plaintiff swears to the correctness of his book, showing that so much is due him by balance of accounts and confirms the debt by oath.

[59] The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the above-
mentioned sum of two hundred twenty-seven guilders and not to depart without having given security for the payment to the satisfaction of the plaintiff.

Marten Marttensz declares that by order of Jacob Sijmonsz Klomp he passed a little brandy in a kettle over the side of the bark to the Indians at Katskill.

The court having seen the written complaint and demand of Commis­sary Dijckmans, plaintiff nomine officio against Jacob Sijmonsz Clomp, defendant, for having sold brandy to the Indians, and having examined the sworn testimony and all further evidence in the case, the honorable members of this court therefore condemn the defendant to pay immediately a fine of two hundred fifty guilders, two-thirds to go to the officer here and one-third to the bench, on condition that if further evidence be found that he has done so, he shall be punished at the discretion of the court.

Joannes Dijckman
Rutger Jacobsz
Andries Herberts
Jan Verbeeck
Cornelus Thonisen
Jan Thomasz

Extraordinary Session, July 25, 1653

Present:

J. Dijckman
Rut Jacopsz
And. Herpertsz
Cor. Theunisz
J. J. Schermerhooren
J. Thomasz

Merten Ottesen, plaintiff, against Willem Albertsz van Monickendam, defendant, for 34 beavers and f2:-, which the plaintiff claims the defendant owes him for the sale of a yacht called Den Gloeyende Oven, sold by the plaintiff to the defendant according to the bill of sale.

The court, having examined both parties and duly considered the case, orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the above-mentioned sum of 34
beavers and f2:— before sundown and to bring it here into court, [60] provided that the defendant shall also be bound to pay the costs of the trial and to appear here before the court with the beavers, on pain of attachment.

Joannes Dijckman

Ordinary Session, August 19, 1653

Present:

Rut Jacopsz
An. Herpertsz
Cor. Theunisz
Jacob Schermerhooren

Jan Lamontagne, plaintiff, against Adriaen van Ilpendam, defendant, for f64, which the defendant owes A. Keyser on account of the defendant’s father’s estate, as shown by said Keyser’s accounts.

The defendant states that he has not had so much, but only [goods to the value] of f55:5, as may be seen by his promissory note.

The arguments on both sides having been heard, the honorable court orders A. Keyser to present further evidence against the defendant, to which reference is made by him in his letter.

Furthermore, the plaintiff, as attorney in the name of his honorable father,* demands of the defendant the sum of f88:— earned by the plaintiff in curing the defendant’s wife. As the defendant says that to the best of his knowledge f51:15 was paid to the plaintiff, the court orders the defendant to pay the attorney, as plaintiff, the remaining sum of f36:5, before the plaintiff’s departure from here. In case the attorney finds afterwards that the aforesaid money was not paid and feels himself aggrieved, he may further seek to recover the aforesaid f51:15 from the defendant.

* Doctor Johannes de la Montagne.
Ordinary Session, October 14, 1653

Present:
  J. Dijckman
  Rut. Jacopsz
  An. Herpertsz
  J. Verbeeck
  J. J. Schermerhooren
  Cor. Theunisz

Commissary Dijckman, plaintiff, against Hendrick Jochem and Lourens Jansz, defendants, on account of fighting and wounding of the person of Lourens Jansz, and against Lourens Jansz on account of the disturbances at Hendrick Jochem’s house and beating Hendrick Jochem’s wife.

The court having examined all the evidence in the case, condemn the defendants to pay fines, to wit, Hendrick Jochems in the sum of forty guilders and Lourens Jansz in the sum of thirty guilders, with the stipulation that they must henceforth leave each other unmolested and in peace, on pain of further action by the court.

Commissary Dijckman, *nomine officio*, plaintiff, against Jacob Luyersz, defendant, on account of an assault committed on the 9th of this month on the public street upon the plaintiff and the *Heer* Slechtenhorst.*

Case adjourned until the next court day. Meanwhile the plaintiff is requested to present his written complaint and demand, the defendant being sent home to file his answer on the next court day.

Joannes Dijckman
Rutger Jacobsz
Andries Herberts
Jan Verbeeck
Cornelus Thonisz

Monday, October 21, 1653

Present:
  The Hon. General
  J. Dijckman
  R. Jacopsz

* Brant Aertsz van Slichtenhorst, director of Rensselaerswijck from 1648 to 1652
Whereas some extraordinary expenses have been incurred in repairing the fort, building the guardhouse and executing other works, and some other work still remains to be done, such as making necessary repairs to the bridge in the village of Beverwijck, which make it necessary to raise funds out of which the expenses incurred or still to be incurred for necessary [62] repairs may be defrayed; therefore, the honorable general and the honorable magistrates of the aforesaid Fort Orange and the village of Beverwijck having made a general calculation of the expenses incurred and still to be incurred, the said honorable general and the honorable magistrates have for the present time not been able to find a more expedient or suitable means [of paying these] than by levying a general tax on the houses, lots, and private persons trading here, as follows:

A finished dwelling house shall pay fifteen guilders, and if the house is leased, the tenant and the owner shall each pay one-half.

A vacant lot or garden, half as much; and

A private person, not having any house or lot, one pound Flemish. And the worthy Abraham Staets, captain of the burgher guard, and Sander Leendertsz are hereby authorized to collect the aforesaid moneys once for all, and after collection, to make payment to the creditors in the presence of the aforesaid court, in the absence of the honorable general, and as treasurers to take care of the surplus, to be used and employed on other occasions as the needs of the aforesaid village and Fort Orange may require.

Thus done and ratified on the 21st of October and taken up again on the 22d of October 1653, in Fort Orange in N. Netherland.

P. Stuyvesant
J. Dijckman
Rutger Jacobsz
Andries Herberts
Jan Verbeeck
Jacob Schermerhooren
Cornelus Thonisen
The documents in the suit between Willem Juryaensen and Jan Fransen van Hoesem, growing out of a contract made and entered into by them on the 30th of January 1650,* have been examined and inspected by the honorable general and the magistrates of Fort Orange and the village of Beverwijck, which said contract the parties on both sides have failed to fulfill, especially the plaintiff, Willem Juryaensen, as shown by his categorical answer made on the 30th of November 1651 before the court of the colony, as follows: "Willem Juryaensen, being asked by the court whether he is willing to fulfill the contract entered into on the 30th of January 1650 with Jan van Hoesem, answers, No. Agrees with the record, Anthony d’Hooges." By which answer the defendant, Jan van Hoesem, claims and maintains that the contract has been broken by the plaintiff himself and that therefore he is not bound by it.

The defendant further says and maintains that the plaintiff, Willem Juryaensen, has broken the aforesaid contract not only by words, but also by deeds, in failing to perform or carry out the stipulations of the contract, namely, to serve the defendant and to teach him to bake, and by removing the baking utensils prevents the defendant from baking and makes it impossible for him to do so.

The court, therefore, finds that according to the foregoing statements the contract was violated and annulled by the plaintiff himself and that under the rule of law the defendant might be relieved of the necessity of pleading and the plaintiff’s claim and demand be denied. However, in view of a subsequent judgment given by the aforesaid court and also considering the needy circumstances of the plaintiff and the fact that the defendant by virtue of the contract has built upon a part of the lot claimed by the plaintiff, and that the parties cannot live together, the hon. general and the magistrates order that the plaintiff shall as long as he lives stay in the old bakehouse, have the use of the bake oven and the utensils belonging thereto, together with his own furniture and household goods, and be free to dispose of them as he shall see fit. As to the claim of the lot, which by virtue of the contract was partly built upon by the defendant, the plaintiff, Willem Juryaensen, occupies the same only by sufferance and is not entitled thereto by any patent or conveyance; consequently, he is not able or competent to dispose thereof as he might do of his own property. However, in view of the plaintiff's poverty and the fact that he has resided for a considerable time on the aforesaid lot and used it as a

* The contract does not survive; however, see MCR, 119, for a reference to this contract.
garden, the director general and the magistrates aforesaid order and decide that the defendant, Jan van Hoesem, shall pay the plaintiff for improvements the sum of one hundred twenty-five guilders, in three installments, to wit, one-third cash, one-third a year from this date, and the last third within two years, the parties respectively being ordered to acquiesce herein on pain of being fined twenty pounds Flemish, to be applied at the discretion of the court. And in case it should hereafter be found that the plaintiff, Willem Juryaensen, according to his wont, should continue to blaspheme and abuse the name of God or His service, or any of the magistrates of the court, whether in general or in particular, he shall without exception be corrected by the court, either by infliction of banishment or corporal punishment, as the case may require.

Thus done and decided, this 22d of October 1653, by the session in Fort Orange.

The hon. director general has engaged as surveyor, for the service of the court and the burghers here, Harmen Bastiaensen Timmerman, who has taken the following oath before the hon. director general:

I, Harmen Bastiaensen, promise before the hon. director general that in the office of surveyor I shall conduct myself uprightly, without making any false returns either in favor or to the prejudice of anyone. So, help me God Almighty.

[65] Tuesday, November 25, 1653

Present:

J. Dijckman
R. Jacobsz
A. Herpertsz
J. Verbeeck
J. J. Schermerhoren
C. Theunisz van Westbroeck

Pursuant to the judgment rendered on the 12th of the preceding month, Volckgen Jans, on behalf of her husband, Jan Fransz van Hoesem, who is absent, has in accordance with the aforesaid judgment brought into court forty guilders, being the first payment or installment which he, Jan van Hoesem, is to pay to Willem Juryaensen, thereby complying thus far on her part with the aforesaid judgment, which forty guilders have been handed to the collector, Pieter Reverdingh, to be turned over to Willem Juriaensz, and in case he, Willem Juriaensen, refuses to accept and
receive them, the said Reverdingh shall report the circumstances on the next court day.

In order to stop and prevent the excise frauds of beer and wine as much as possible in the future, it is decided to have the following notice posted, in order that every burgher and inhabitant of this jurisdiction may govern himself accordingly and at the same time to give the president in his capacity as officer power to inspect the houses and cellars of all tapsters when there is occasion for it or necessity may demand it.

Notice

Whereas in the presence and with the approval of the hon. director general it has been decided by this court that, in order to stop and prevent as far as possible all frauds of the excise of beer and wine, every burgher and inhabitant of this jurisdiction, as well as the tapsters, before having their purchased heavy beer and wines carried into their houses and cellars, shall be bound to obtain, or cause to be obtained, a proper certificate from the collector, Pieter Reverdingh, without paying however any excise, but only a fee of two stivers for the writing of the certificate of delivery, [66] on pain of forfeiture of the beer, wines, or distilled liquors, and in addition of paying the requisite fine; therefore, this is hereby brought to the notice of the community, in order that every one may in the future govern himself accordingly and prevent his suffering any loss, notwithstanding it has heretofore been ordered and proclaimed that no sloops coming from the Manhatans may unload before they have obtained consent or the officer has been on board to make a proper inspection, on pain of incurring the penalty provided for it.

Thus done at the session of this court, in Fort Orange, on the date above written.

Warrant for the president, in his capacity as officer, to inspect the houses and cellars of all the tapsters living within this jurisdiction.

The commissary, Joannes Dijckman, is hereby authorized by this court to inspect on occasion, as often and repeatedly as it will suit his convenience or circumstances may require it, the houses of all tapsters belonging to this jurisdiction, and in case he finds any heavy beer or wines or distilled liquors which have not been entered, to seize these and to do therewith as is proper, and whoever is found to oppose this shall
be dealt with according to the exigency of the case. In witness whereof this is signed in Fort Orange, on the date above written, and was signed: Rutger Jacobsz, Andries Herpertsz, Jan Verbeeck, Jacob Schermerhooren, Cornelis Thonis, Jan Thomasz.

Joannes Dijckman
Rutger Jacobsz
Andries Herpertsz
Jan Verbeeck
Jacob Schermerhooren
Jan Thomasz
Cornelus Thonis

[67] Tuesday, December 9, 1653

Present:

J. Dijckman
R. Jacopsz
A. Herpertsz
J. Verbeeck
J. Schermerhoren
Cor. Theunisz
Jan Thomasz

The collector, Pieter Reverdingh, reports to the court that by order of the court he has offered to Willem Juriaensen the forty guilders in sewant, being the first payment or installment which he was to hand said Willem Juriaensz in the name of this court, but that he, Willem Juriaensen, has refused to accept said money. Whereupon it is decided that this money shall for the present remain in the hands of the collector aforesaid, until further order of this court.

Rem Jansz Smit, by virtue of a power of attorney from Jan Jansz van Gottenborgh, shown to this court, demands [payment] in his, van Gottenborgh’s, name from Merten Herpertsz Metselaer, according to a mortgage executed on the 8th of October 1651,* in the sum of fifty-eight beavers, which he agreed to pay even before the departure of the ships and which is not yet paid, [requesting that] in case of longer delay he may proceed to attachment and in that way secure payment.

* The record no longer survives.
Resolved, that the defendant, Merten Metselaer, shall be bound to satisfy plaintiff within the space of six weeks, on pain of immediate attachment, according to law.

Commissary Dijckman, plaintiff, against the following persons, on account of their not having built upon or fenced in their lots or gardens assigned and granted to them within the specified time granted to each, on pain of being fined £25, and whose time has long since expired.

Adriaen Jansz van Leyden has cited reasons for excuse which are so farfetched that he, the defendant, or the person who claims the title to the lot, shall at the first opportunity have to tender and pay the required twenty-five guilders to the officer.

Gabriel Leendertsen, about enclosing his garden, although he has not built on any lot, according to the order. He offers excuses which are so farfetched that he must build in the spring at the latest on a lot to be requested by, and assigned to, him, on pain of being deprived of the garden and of paying immediately to the officer a fine of £25 and £3 for having used abusive language before this court, total £28, in case he fails to build.

[68] The commissary, for reasons above written:

Mr. Adriaen van Ilpendam, schoolmaster, who has offered excuses which are accepted as sufficient.

The excuses of Rem Jansz Smit being heard, but not judged sufficient, he is ordered to pay at the first opportunity the sum of twenty-five guilders.

Machiel de Lademaker condemned likewise in the sum of £25.

Pieter Bronck, as above, £25.

Goosen Gerritsz likewise in the sum of £25.

Pieter Hertgers for not enclosing his two gardens, one of himself and the other of Annetgen Bogardus, @ £25:— each, is condemned to a fine of £50.

Merten Metselaer in the sum of £25, for not having built on his lot behind his house.

Lourens Jansz absent, and his first default.

Jacob Clomp and Willem Bout. Parties' first default.

Jochem Ketelhuyn, on account of the garden. First default.

Ellert Gerritsz. First default.

Jacob Luyersz, being summoned and informed of the complaint and demand of the officer, nomine officio, on account of the assault committed in the street, appeals to the personal appearance of the Heer
Slichtenhorst before this court.

Resolved, to request the *Heer* Slichtenhorst to consent to appear on the next court day, in order to proceed upon a surer foundation.

Hendrick Jochemsz, innkeeper, for smuggling a half barrel of good beer laid in last Saturday.

Defendant acknowledges the fact but states that he has not been able to enter the same on account of the inconvenience of his wife's being in childbed.

Resolved, this first time to overlook the matter, he to pay the excise without any fine, but that in the future he shall have to guard himself against repeating the offense, on pain of paying the full fine provided in such cases.

Elmerhuysen Kleyn and Jan *de Soldaet* being summoned to appear, they are notified that they must henceforth perform the company's service as required, whereupon Elmerhuysen has answered that he was out of the service. Resolved, that the commissary shall inform the hon. general hereof by letter.

[69] Albert *de Timmerman* being cited to appear on account of the enclosing of his garden, first default [is entered against him].

The collector, Pieter Reverdingh, reports to the court that Herman Bastiaensz, Hendrick Jochemsz, and Willem Bout bought some goods of the persons who perished,* which until now they have not paid for. They are ordered to pay the collector without delay for what they have received, on condition that they are to share *pro rata* with the other creditors in the distribution and shall receive what is proper.

Joannes Dijckman
Rutger Jacobsz
Andries Herberts
Jan Verbeeck
Jacob Schermerhooren
Jan Thomasz

* Probably Frans Borremans van Veuren, Jurgen Evertsz van Borcum, and Abraham Jacopsen *Timmerman*, who drowned in a boating accident; see following pages.
Tuesday, December 23, 1653

Present:

J. Dijckman
R. Jacopsz
A. Herpertsz
J. J. Schermerhoren
Jan Thomasz

Pieter Bronck, plaintiff, against Merten Herpertsz Metselaer, defendant, for $358.14:, which defendant owes plaintiff. Requests payment, or in default thereof, a mortgage to be executed before this court.

 Defendant’s first default.

Jacob Jansz Schermerhoren, plaintiff, against Merten Herpertsz Metselaer, defendant, for what is due by defendant to plaintiff according to his account for goods delivered a long time ago, amounting to $247:— and also for upsetting a canoe, in which were about 30 schepels of corn and a mudde of beans, which were thereby spoiled and perished through his carelessness.

 Defendant’s first default.

Albert Gerritsz, plaintiff, against Merten Herpertsz Metselaer, defendant, for 32 beavers which defendant owes plaintiff, according to a bond in which he specially binds and mortgages his house, arising from wages earned in building his house and goods delivered to him, upon which the defendant has paid six beavers on account.

 Defendant’s first default.

Albert Gerritsz, plaintiff, against Rut Arentsz Kleermaker, defendant.

 Defendant’s first default.

Commissary Dijckman, plaintiff, nomine officio, against Jacob Symontsz Clomp, defendant, for payment of a fine of $250:— imposed by sentence of the 15th of July last.

 Defendant is again ordered by the court to bring this money and to deposit it within twenty-four hours in the hands of the collector, Pieter Reverdingh, on pain of immediate attachment, but with this reservation, that in case he has hereafter any charges to bring against the officer in regard to any words passed at the house of Willem Fredrixsz, according to the deposition exhibited [to the court], he, the defendant, can do so, but
that meanwhile he must comply with the sentence.

This being brought to the defendant’s notice, he declares that he is not willing to do so and refuses to satisfy the judgment.

Commissary Dijckman, *nomine officio*, plaintiff, against Willem Fredrixsz Bout, defendant, on account of slander, abusive language, and assault committed on the plaintiff when the honorable court on the 9th instant was sitting at the house of Pieter Bronck* to settle the accounts of the persons who perished, namely Frans Borremans, Jurgen Evertsz, and Abraham Jacobsz Timmerman, when, as set forth in the complaint and demand of the president and officer, he dared to vilify the honorable court there; wherefore it is resolved [71] to insert the same here as follows, to wit:

Commissary Dijckman, in his capacity of officer, *nomine officio*, plaintiff, against Willem Fredrixsz Bout, defendant.

Whereas this day two weeks ago, being the 9th of this month of December, the plaintiff was sitting with the members of the court here in the house of Pieter Bronck, innkeeper, to make an estimate of the goods left behind and sold by the persons who perished, namely, Frans Forremanst van Veuren, Jurgen Evertsz van Borcum and Abram Jacopsz Timmerman, and of what might be due by and to the joint creditors and debtors, in order to do justice to everyone according to law and to give satisfaction as far as [the money] will go; it happened that the defendant, while the plaintiff sat at the table with some members of the court to make the aforesaid calculation and consider the matter, has dared to assault the plaintiff without a word of warning or reply and in the presence of the court has almost pushed him off the bench on which he sat, so that the honorable court, on account of the confusion which arose from the defendant’s attack, were forced to suspend the business commenced. Yes, what is more, after the plaintiff had arisen, he not only threatened to beat him, but in addition often and repeatedly called him an unfaithful man and a rascal and that he had shown himself as such to the defendant, repeating the same statement many times, and whereas this took place publicly and in the presence of the court as aforesaid, it is not necessary to have any further proof than the common and honest testimony which may be secured from the bystanders if necessary.

The plaintiff, therefore, in the capacity aforesaid, demands that the

* At this court session Dijckman spells Pieter Bronck’s surname Pronck; also again below.
† A mistake for Borremans; cf. the name above and below.
defendant, *in vinculis,* as a criminal and malefactor, [72] shall have to answer for his deeds, and in case the court here, contrary to the plaintiff’s rightful expectations, refuses to do justice in the matter, he requests that the defendant may meanwhile remain *in vinculis* until the river is open, in order to be sent in irons to the hon. general and council of New Netherland at the Manhatans to answer there before their honors for his crimes if he can; and otherwise, that he be punished here as an example to others, justice having been made an object of derision, as far as it lay in his power. As the plaintiff trusts that his demand for imprisonment will not be denied, he awaits an order thereon from this court.

This 23d of December 1653, in Fort Orange, and was signed: Joannes Dijckman.

Which complaint and demand having been read and examined by this court, it is resolved by their honors that a copy thereof shall be served on the defendant at his house, with order to deliver his written defense on the next court day, when the further complaint and demand of the officer are to follow.

Maximiliaen de Winter, appearing before the court, requests, in accordance with the recommendation of the hon. general, that he be permitted to dwell in the company’s little house, [the same to serve for the accommodation] of himself as well as of the court messenger, Pieter Reverdingh.

The matter being taken into consideration it is resolved and decided that as the clerk urgently needs a small place to write in and to keep his writings and papers, the same shall be kept for this [purpose] in the future with the stipulation that for the present the said de Winter shall also be allowed to reside there until further orders.

The collector, Pieter Reverdingh, has presented to the court his account [73] of the receipts and disbursements of the persons who perished, Abraham Jacopsz Timmerman, Jurgen Evertsz van Borcum, and Frans Bormans van Veuren, which is accepted and approved.

Commissary Dijckman, *nomine officio,* plaintiff, against Lourens Jansz, defendant, to reply to the following interrogatories:

* in chains
Interrogatories on which this court is to examine Lourens Jansz, burgher and inhabitant of Beverwijck:

1. How old he is and where born?
   Answers: 48 years and born at Hoesem.*

2. Whether about four months ago he was not in the Esopus with Commissary Dijckman?
   Answers, Yes.

3. Whether, when there, he did not understand and hear Christoffel Davits say, in presence of the commissary, that he, Christoffel, had sold to the Indians at one time 22 mutsgens of brandy and afterwards also a half anker of brandy?
   Answers, Yes.

4. Whether he did not understand and hear Marcelis, the servant of Mr de Hulter, say that he, Christoffel Davits, now and then had sold not one, but several ankers of brandy to the Indians, which he, Marcelis, had noticed and seen [74] while he lived there at the house of Christoffel Davits?
   Answers, Yes, and that Christoffel Davits himself said that the sackemaas of the Indians themselves had been to see him, Kit Davits, and begged him not to sell any more brandy to the Indians, because it caused serious fights and trouble among them.

Which interrogatories, the questions as well as the answers, were sworn to by the defendant before the officer.

Joannes Dijckman
Rutger Jacobsz
Andries Herberts
Jacob Schermehooren
Jan Thomasz

* Husum, a seaport town in Schleswig, Germany
Present:
  Everyone,
  except Jan Verbeeck

Pieter Bronck, plaintiff, against Poulus Thomasz, defendant, for $126:15 which the defendant owes the plaintiff.

The defendant being heard, admits that he owes plaintiff $109:--.

The plaintiff offers to swear that the entire sum aforesaid is due to him.

The defendant is ordered by this court not to leave this jurisdiction without having satisfied the plaintiff, either by paying him, or by giving good security. Furthermore, the defendant is condemned to pay the costs of the suit, provided that the plaintiff shall advance the money and have a claim on the defendant for the return and restitution thereof. And in case the plaintiff swears that according to his book he is entitled to the entire amount aforesaid, the defendant shall have to pay and satisfy the plaintiff.

It is decided and resolved to have the court messenger summon Jan Barentsz Wemp [75] to appear on the next court day, provided that he, Wemp, bring with him the order he may have from the hon. general as to enclosing the lot next to that of Gijsbert Cornelisz, deceased.

Propositions made this day by Stick Stiggery and others in the name and on the part of the Maquas:

First, that this court would write to the French authorities in Canada that the peace concluded between the Maquas and the aforesaid French was agreeable to us and that we or the Christians were well pleased with it. To which was answered, Yes, and that at the first opportunity we should communicate the matter to the hon. general.

The Maquas further requested that this court would write to the French nation there that in case they had any difficulties and again got involved in war with the French Indians, that the French should keep out of it. Whereupon the Maquas were given for answer that this would be written by this court alone.

Which propositions and answers were made in the presence of two

* The date should be December 24.
French delegates, whereupon it was resolved to send the following letters in French or Latin to Messrs de Loison* and Boucher, the contents of which are as follows:

To Monsr. Johan de Loison, governor in Quebec and Pierre Boucher, commander on the three rivers.

To Monsr. Loison Honorable, etc.

We have duly received your honor’s welcomed letter of the 19th of the past month of November† and learned both from this letter and the report made by the two Frenchmen who were sent to us and some Maquas Indians of the treaty of peace made between your honor’s nation and the Maquas, in which we rejoice not a little with your honor, seeing that this sad war has to our deep sorrow been carried on for so long between [76] your honor and the said Indians, which we should have liked to have ended sooner, but which it seems could not be brought about. We, on our side, hope that the same may continue for a long time, for the best of your honor and ourselves. On our side we have never failed to ransom the Christian prisoners of your nation out of the hands of these cruel people, as far as it was possible, which by nature and God’s command we found it our duty to do and which we therefore did gladly, even at a considerable sacrifice and expense to the community here, as your honor can readily understand yourself. This nation of the Maquas has even this day promised us that as far as it is in their power they will observe and carry out the [terms of] peace concluded [with them] and they have requested us to ask your honor that the same might be done on your side, which we doubt not, provided there be no shortcoming on their side.

Furthermore, if they, the Maquas, should become involved in any war or trouble with your honor’s Indians, they request that your honor and your honor’s nation would not interfere, which we could not do otherwise than promise them [to write] and which we therefore do hereby; and which we shall also at the first opportunity communicate by letter to the Hon. General, at the Manhatans.

On our part we shall not fail to make every effort in our power to keep the Maquas disposed to continue the recently concluded peace, much innocent Christian blood of this nation having been shed to our great sorrow. We doubt not of your honor’s good disposition and earnest.

* Jean de Lauson, governor of Canada, 1651–56
† This letter does not survive.
inclinations toward us, such as we, by reason of the close alliance between our respective principals, also bear toward your honor and your nation and which on all occasions that may arise, as has been shown heretofore and as behooves close allies, [77] shall not be lacking on our part. Meanwhile, after greetings, we commend your honor and all the good friends there to [the protection of] God.

Canaqueese, the bearer hereof, an Indian who is much beloved by the Maquas, has requested of us a letter of recommendation to your honor, in order that he may be well treated there and be allowed to go and come freely, which we request hereby.

Depending thereon, we remain,

Your honor’s willing servants, the president and magistrates of the court of Fort Orange and Beverwijck.

And was signed in the name and by order of the aforesaid court,

Joannes Dijckman

Fort Orange,
December 25, 1653

Mutatis mutandis* a letter was sent the same day to Monsr. Pieter Boucher, governor on the three rivers, except [that it was stated therein] that we had received his honor’s letter of the eleventh of November aforesaid.†

Joannes Dijckman
Rutger Jacobsz
Andries Herberts
Jacob Schermerhooren
Cornelus Thonisen
Jan Thomasz

* Latin, after making the necessary changes
† This letter does not survive.
Present:

Everyone, except Jan Verbeeck

Pieter Bronck, plaintiff, against Merten Herpertsz, defendant, for f358:--, which the defendant admits he owes to the plaintiff according to a promissory note signed on the 10th of December last past.

The court orders defendant to pay plaintiff the aforesaid sum of f358:-- within six weeks, on pain of attachment.

Jacob Jansz Schermerhoren, plaintiff, against Merten Herpertsz, defendant, for f247:-- which the defendant owes plaintiff, and further for 30 schepeps of corn and a mudde of beans, which were lost through the defendant’s carelessness, as shown by the affidavit of Christoffel Davits.

The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the aforesaid f247:-- within six weeks, on pain of attachment. As to the corn and beans, parties are referred by this court to Rem Jansz Smit and Adriaen Jansz van Leyden, as arbitrators, who are to bring about an agreement if they can and to report their findings to this court.

Lijsbet Comelisdr.,* plaintiff, against Merten Herpertsz, defendant, for f174:--, which defendant owes plaintiff according to the account handed in.

Defendant admits the debt.

The court orders defendant to pay plaintiff the aforesaid f174:-- within six weeks, on pain of attachment.

[79] Albert Gerritsz, plaintiff, against Merten Herpertsz, defendant, for f208:-- or 26 beavers, which are due to the plaintiff from the defendant for wages earned and for what he furnished the defendant according to the defendant’s own confession.

The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the aforesaid sum of f208:-- within six weeks, on pain of attachment.

Albert Gerretsz, plaintiff, against Rut Arentsz Kleermaker, defendant.

Defendant’s first, that is to say, second default.

Jacob Jansz Flodder, plaintiff, against Dirrick Bensingh, defendant, on

* Cornelisdr. is an abbreviation for Cornelisdochter (i.e., Cornelis’s daughter); this feminine form of the Dutch patronymic occurs infrequently in these records.
account of the purchase of a half interest in a yacht called the *Princesse Royale*, according to the bill of sale executed before commissary Dijckman on the first of this month, bought from Jacob Jansz Stoll by the defendant.

The arguments on both sides having been heard, it is decided to refer parties to Volckert Hansz* and Pieter Hertgers, arbitrators, who are to bring about an agreement if they can and to report their findings to this court.

Steven Jansz, plaintiff, against Roeloff Jacopsz, innkeeper, defendant, about a *mudde* of wheat.

Defendant’s first default.

Jacob Sijmontsz Clomp, appearing before the court, complains that Commissary Dijckman has attached some moneys in the hands [80] of Jan van Bremen at Katskill, and also about some words exchanged at the house of Willem Fredrixsz.

The court orders the attachment vacated whenever he, Clomp, delivers to this court the $250:— which he may pay pursuant to the sentence heretofore pronounced, which money he, Clomp, is to deliver into the hands of the Hon. Rutger Jacopsz, under the penalty provided.

Upon examination of the account of Goosen Gerritsen for beer delivered to the hon. company and to Commissary Dijckman, it is resolved at his request to answer that he will be paid next spring, or that he must wait until the arrival of the hon. general, when a decision will be made as to the payment of the aforesaid account.

At the request of Merten Herpertsz Metselaer it is resolved that his house and garden will be sold by this court, for the purpose of paying his joint creditors out of the proceeds of the sale, at the house of Pieter Bronck, innkeeper, a week from the following Monday, being the 19th of this month of January, at two o’clock in the afternoon.

Commissary Dijckman and the collector, Pieter Reverdingh, are authorized by this court, this afternoon, at the house of Pieter Bronck, to make up the accounts of the persons who were lost and drowned,† and in accordance therewith to settle with everyone *pro rata* to the amount

* An infrequently attested patronymic for Volckert Jansen Douw.
† See session for December 23, 1653, for the names of these men.
that is due him and draw bills of exchange on whom and for such amounts as may be necessary.

Joannes Dijckman
Rutger Jacobsz
Andries Herberts
Jacob Schermerhooren
Cornelus Thonisen
Jan Thomasz

[81] Tuesday, January 13, 1654

Present:
Everyone,
except Rutger Jacopsz

Albert Andriesz, plaintiff, against Merten Herpertsz, defendant, about planks delivered to plaintiff by the defendant to the value of 27 beavers.
Defendant declares that he does not know how he can pay for them.
The court decides that the plaintiff may take back the planks delivered by him, notwithstanding the attachment issued against them, and in case there are any less than the full number delivered, he can afterwards make this known to the court, so as to recover damages for them if possible.

Cornelis Theunisz, plaintiff, against Merten Herpertsz, defendant, for f78:- which plaintiff claims for washing defendant’s dirty linen.
Defendant admits the debt, and is ordered to pay plaintiff the f78:- within six weeks, on pain of attachment.

Albert Gerritsz, plaintiff, against Rut Arentsz Kleermaker, defendant, for four beavers and f56 in sewant, for wages claimed by plaintiff of the defendant.
The court orders defendant to pay plaintiff the aforesaid amount with costs within six weeks, on pain of attachment; and as to the complaint made by the defendant that the ground timbers of his house have not been properly laid and that the uprights are cut too short, parties are referred to the surveyors, who are to inspect the work and to report their findings to this court.

[82] Steven Jansz, plaintiff, against Roeloff Jacopsz, defendant, for f10, which defendant owes plaintiff.
Defendant's second default.

At the request of the Hon. Andries Herpertsz a garden is granted to him behind this fort among those that have not yet been allotted, for the benefit of his small house next to his residence, at the place to be hereafter indicated to his honor.

Hendrick Jochems, being summoned to testify in regard to what took place in his presence on the 10th of this month between Jacob Jansz Stoll and Lourens Jansz, in the course of their fight at his house, declares as may be seen by his declaration. Whereupon Commissary Dijckmans is requested and ordered to prepare interrogatories for the further examination of the witness on the next court day. Likewise Rem Jansz Smit being also summoned to appear, declared that he was not present at the fight, but only heard some contentious words out of the mouth of Lourens Jansz and that he went away to tend to his own affairs.

Joannes Dijckman
Andries Herperts
Jan Verbeeck
Jacob Schermerhooren
Cornelus Thonisien
Jan Thomasz

[83] Tuesday, January 20, 1654

Present:

Everyone, except Jan Verbeeck

Jacob Adriaensen Rademaker, plaintiff, against Jan Dirixsz van Bremen, defendant, about five schepels of wheat which defendant is said to owe plaintiff.

Defendant’s first default. The court, however, enjoins the defendant [not] to depart from here for Katskill unless he satisfies the plaintiff. Furthermore, with respect to the officer’s complaint about some slanderous remarks uttered last November before the honorable court, the defendant must make amends to the court before his departure from here; likewise for having last year run away and escaped from the attachment caused to be made by Jacob Sijmontsz Klomp. And in case the defendant should venture to leave without permission, he shall with
or against his consent be brought back from Katskill at his own expense. And in order that he may hereafter not plead ignorance hereof, a written extract from this order shall be served upon the defendant by the court messenger; all *cum expensis*.

Willem Fredrixsz, innkeeper, appearing before the court, requests permission to settle on a lump sum for the excise on the beer which he may have occasion to tap in one year in his house, which in view of the fact that the session is lightly represented,* is laid aside until the next court day. Meanwhile he may temporarily lay in the beer that is to be received by him upon obtaining a certificate from the collector, Pieter Reverdingh.

[84] Stoffel *de Timmerman* has handed in a memorandum that there is due him by Merten Herpertsz *Metselaer* according to his own admission, *f31:4*—, which is entered here by way of memorandum and information.

Claes Gerritsz has requested a lot near the hill near Andries de Vos. The same is granted to him at the place hereafter to be indicated to him, together with a garden for his use.

There was read a petition of Andries de Vos, requesting permission to fence in a piece of land behind his lot, to the south of the Third Kill, toward the woods, to be used as a pasture and crop land.

Decided to note in the margin of his petition that as but few members are present at this meeting, he may present his request a week from today, when the court will take favorable action in the matter.

Joannes Dijckman  
Rutger Jacobsz  
Andries Herberts  
Jacob Schermerhooren  
Cornelus Thonisem  
Jan Thomasz

* In the Dutch, *alsoo de vergaderinge seer swack is*, translates literally to, "whereas the session is very weak," a strange statement considering everyone was present except for Jan Verbeeck.
[85] Extraordinary Session, Saturday, January 24, 1654

Present:

Everyone

Jacob Adriaensen Rademaker, plaintiff, against Cornelis Segertsz, defendant, about the purchase of a house and lot and a garden belonging thereto.

The defendant says or declares that he is willing to accept the house in accordance with the contract of sale, upon proper security.

The court adjudges that the parties must at once have the contract entered into by them put in writing and that the grantor, when the first payment is made, must furnish two sufficient sureties for the delivery [of the property] free from all claims, the term of the security to extend from the date of the first payment until the delivery is completed. The first payment, of one hundred beavers, is to take place promptly on the first of May next and the successive payments according to the terms of the contract made and agreed upon by them and hereafter to be written. And the defendant is ordered to pay the costs of this [session of the] court, as he, the purchaser, upon offer of security, has refused to accept and take the aforesaid house and also been unwilling to have the contract put in writing and to forward the work.

Joannes Dijckman
Rutger Jacobsz
Andries Herberts
Jan Verbeeck
Jan Thomasz

[86] Extraordinary Session, Sunday, January 25, 1654

This court having been reliably informed that the Rev. Domine Gidioni Schaets, at the close of the morning service, has announced from the pulpit that whoever had any charges to bring against the person of Brant van Slichtenhorst must do so at once, on pain of forever after keeping silent, it is after careful examination of the matter decided by this court to draw up a protest against it in writing and to have the commissary serve it orally at the close of the afternoon service, so as to be heard by the entire congregation, as follows:

Cry by the commissary:

Hear Ye, good inhabitants of Fort Orange and Beverwijck and all
others who belong to the jurisdiction of the same!

I, Joannes Dijckman, commissary and vice director of Fort Orange in the service of the honorable West India Company, together with my associate councilors or magistrates of the court of the aforesaid Fort Orange and Beverwijck, in the name and on behalf of the honorable company aforesaid and the Honorable Director General Petrus Stuyvesant and the Honorable Council of New Netherland, representing the supreme government of this country, are constrained to protest publicly in this place where it is customary to hold divine service, although disliking to do so, seeing that this place is not intended for the administration of justice, but only to be used for divine service.

The fact is, however, [87] that it has come to the attention of the commissary and magistrates aforesaid—some of whom have even heard it themselves and therefore have certain knowledge thereof—that this forenoon, at the close of the morning service, it has pleased the Rev. Domine Gidioni Schaets, contrary to the order and opinion of this court and without its previous consent, to announce publicly from the pulpit that whoever had any charges to bring against Brant van Slichtenhorst, the former director of the colony of Rensselaerswijck, must do so forthwith, or hereafter remain silent.

Considering that the said Slichtenhorst has three times, or on three distinct court days, in April 1652, by several inhabitants of this place been legally cited to appear before the hon. director general and council of New Netherland to answer their complaints, presented in this fort in the form of petitions or otherwise, and on his third failure to appear been declared completely in default, notwithstanding due opportunity was afforded him to obtain right and justice, the same as everyone else, upon presentation of proper proof in the matter—which would not have been refused him, Slichtenhorst, if he had appeared—the court of the aforesaid fort, and hence also of this place, feel that they cannot sit idly by, but must notify this good community that in case he, Slichtenhorst, should make any further announcements (although it has not been judged proper there, but rather to be done on behalf of the magistrates or judge or before the same), the honorable magistrates of this court forbid all good inhabitants aforesaid, in spite of the announcement which has been made that all charges against his person must be brought before the court of the colony, [88] to do so, or to comply therewith, as it is considered illegal, since he cannot be summoned to appear before that bench of justice, but only before the court of this place and the plaintiff's own competent judges.

As what has been done or occurred herein and all similar practices
are not only prejudicial to the hon. company and the good inhabitants aforesaid, but, as is claimed and presumable in such cases and by such means, also tend to make the good inhabitants disobedient and rebellious to their lawful superiors, this will serve therefore to inform every one that, pursuant to the orders issued by the supreme government and the proper authorities aforesaid, the honorable magistrates of this court prohibit such announcement, or announcements, from being made in the future, under [threat of] calling [the offender] further to account and [subjecting him to] heavy penalties, the honorable court declaring hereby the announcement which has been made to be re infacta, or null and void, and forbidding similar announcements from being made hereafter.

Served by the commissary in the presence of the attending congregation, on the date above written, at the close of the second service, while, or before, the people were leaving the church.

Joannes Dijckman
Rutger Jacobsz
Jan Verbeeck
Jacob Schermerhooren
Cornelius Thonisen
Jan Thomasz
Andries Herberts

[89] Tuesday, January 27, 1654

Present:
Everyone, except Andries Herpertsz

Jacob Sijmontsz Klomp, master of a bark, plaintiff, against Jan Dirrixsz van Bremen, defendant, for f102:8:— which the plaintiff claims is due him from the defendant by balance of account for various goods delivered.

The court orders the defendant to pay the aforesaid sum of one hundred two guilders, eight stivers before his departure for Katskill, or in default thereof to give security for the prompt payment as soon as the river is open, under the penalty heretofore provided.

Lijsbet Cornelisdr., plaintiff, against Merten Herpertsz, that is to say, Jacob Schermerhoren, defendant, for 30 schepels of corn and one mudde of beans of the plaintiff, which were lost through the defendant’s default.
The court, having duly examined and considered the documents, adjudges that the defendant must pay the plaintiff the sum of thirty-six guilders within the space of six weeks, on pain of attachment.

Lijsbet Cornelis, plaintiff, against Gerrit Jansz, defendant.

The plaintiff demands a perfect deed of conveyance of the house standing in the fort, adjoining on the south side the hon. company's newly built house and on the north side [*as the house and lot to all appearances stand and are situated, for which the plaintiff says the defendant was fully paid in the lifetime of her deceased husband, Gijsbert Cornelisz.*]

The defendant admits that he was paid in full to his satisfaction according to the contract of sale and that the ground brief was also handed and delivered by him to the deceased† at the Manathans, to be entered in this record [90] because he promised to free the purchaser from all further claims in regard to the aforesaid house and lot for a year and a day, according to law; persisting once more that he delivered the ground brief to Gijsbert Cornelisz, deceased, at the Manathans, which is entered here by way of memorandum to serve the purchaser and plaintiff in the future in place of a proper deed and conveyance, until such time as it shall be convenient [to execute the latter].

Claes Gerritsz, appearing before the court, requests permission to have a lot in Beverwijck to build thereon a house and in addition a garden for his use. The matter being considered, it is resolved and decided to appoint and authorize two magistrates to allot to him a lot and garden, the Hon. Rutgert Jacopsz and Andries Herpertsz, magistrates of this court, being appointed for that purpose and to make a report of their findings to this court.

Whereas the commissary and officer, Dijckman, complains that he is not yet fully paid the fine imposed by sentence on Arent Cornelisz Vogel, commonly called Schapenbout,‡ amounting to six guilders for himself and ten guilders for the poor here, and whereas this court is informed that the said Vogel has sixteen guilders coming to him from Abraham Pietersz Vosburgh, master carpenter, for nails delivered to him, it is

* It is apparent from the context that a line with the northern boundary has been omitted.
† The original reads: *dat bij den overleden hem oock aende Manathans de grontbrief is overlevert ende ter hant gestelt* (i.e., "that the ground brief was also delivered and handed to him by the deceased at the Manathans"), just the opposite of what should have happened.
‡ leg of mutton
decided that the said Vosburgh shall pay the aforesaid sixteen guilders
to both parties, each *pro rata* what is due to them, provided that the court
shall free the said Vosburgh from all further claims on that account.

Joannes Dijckman
Rutger Jacobsz
Jacob Schermerhooren
Cornelus Thonisen
Jan Thomasz

[91] Tuesday, February 3, 1653*

Present:
Everyone, except Jan Verbeeck

Various persons examined on interrogatories regarding the crimes com­
mited by Jacob Jansz Stoll van Amsterdam. In the first place, the person
of Jochem Becker Backer.

How old he is and where born? Answers, 38 years; at Jeveren.

Whether last summer he did not keep watch in this fort as corporal of
the burgher guard? Answers, Yes.

Whether, at one time, being on watch with his corporal's guard, he
did not see Jacob Jansz Stoll come to the guardhouse drunk and intoxicated, or at least quite befuddled,
after the men had gone on watch?

About how long ago? Declares that he has forgotten, or does not know very well, the
exact time.

What he, Hap, did there and whether he did not go to his house to get his
sword, intending to clear out the guardhouse with it?

Answers, Yes, and that he gave Adriaen de Schoolmeester,† a
handkerchief to fight against him with his sword; and threatened to

* mistake for 1654
† Adriaen Jansen van Ilpendam, schoolmaster
Whether he at that time did not strike Mr. Adriaen van Ilpendam, clerk of the Company's burgher guard, standing before the fire at the guardhouse?

Declares that he does not know exactly whether he did nor not, but only saw that there was some trouble near the fire.

Whether he, Hap, after having fetched his sword, did not by force try to fight with him, the corporal, to the death?

Declares, Yes, holding for that purpose the naked sword before said corporal's face in a threatening manner.

Whether it was Jacob Hap's turn to do guard duty?

Answers, No.

Whether he, Hap, having thereafter come outside [92] the gate of this fort, wanted to assault the said Mr. Adriaen, and not only him, but any other bystanders who wanted and were willing to fight?

Answers, Yes, and said to Mr. Adriaen, "Draw your sword," and wanted to attack him by force, which was prevented by the deponent and others.

Whether in the guardhouse, after the watch was set, he needlessly used much abusive language to one person and another?

Answers, Yes, but especially to Mr. Adriaen, calling him a burgher's dog and boy, indeed, the dog of all theburghers.

Whether, he, the deponent, has any further statements to make in the matter?

Answers, No.

The deponent has with uplifted fingers confirmed these answers by oath.

Goosen Gerritsz, being heard, declares that last summer, the precise time he does know, Jacob Jansz Stoll, after the mounting of the guard, made cut and hack at him, the corporal, with the sword, holding it unsheathed in front of said corporal's nose and saying: "I dare you to draw your colonel's rapier."

* This is the custom of fighting with swords or knives while grasping the same handkerchief with the free hand.
some trouble and out of anger fired the gun which he had with him.

Resolved that the defendant shall be examined once more on the above interrogatories on the next court day.

Interrogatories for the examination of Jan Machielsz and Gerrit Jansz van Swoll.

How old they are and where born? Answers, Jan Machielsz, aged 55 years, born at Edam, and Gerrit Jansz born at Swoll.

Whether last year they did not come to the guardhouse as burghers to do guard duty? They say, Yes, when it was their turn.

Whether they did not see Jacob Jansz Stoll go to his house to get his sword to attack therewith the burgher watch and insult them? They declare, Yes, and that they saw the sword, but do not know who brought it there or gave it to Jacob.

[93] Whether he did not try to attack Jochem Becker, the corporal of the burgher guard, with his sword and wanted to fight with him with his naked sword? Answer, that he, Jacob, challenged the corporal to fight.

Whether he did not then make other trouble at the guardhouse at that time? Answer, Yes, and he struck Mr. Adriaen van Ilpendam, standing by the fire, without cause.

Whether it was his watch? They say, No.

The deponents have with uplifted fingers confirmed the above statements by oath before this court.

Interrogatories on which this court is to examine Marcelis Jansz van Bommel, former servant of the honorable Johan de Hulter.

First, how old he is and where born? Answers, At Bommel, 25 years of age.
Whether, about four months ago, he, together with Commissary Dijckman and Lourens Jansz, did not hear Christoffel Davits say that at one time alone he sold 22 mutsgens of brandy to the Indians?

Whether from this selling of brandy and drinking of the Indians no trouble resulted and arose and whether the sachems of the Indians there did not come to said Davits and in their way forbade him to sell any more brandy to the Indians and begged him not to do so, as they got into great trouble and disputes with one another while being drunk?

Whether he did not see Christoffel Davits now and then sell some brandy to the Indians?

[94] Whether he knows or has been informed that some trouble among the Christians and the Indians has resulted therefrom, especially with the Christians?

He, Marcelis Jansz, has with uplifted fingers confirmed these answers by oath.

Herman Bastiaensz Timmerman appearing before the court, requests payment of £106:5:—, due him for wages earned in building the hon. company's new house. It is decided that he will be paid in three months, if the treasury allows it.

On the petition of Andries de Vos, presented on the 20th of the preceding month, requesting some land behind his lot, stretching toward the woods, to be used as pasture as well as arable land, it is resolved to make the following apostil: Inasmuch as the people here intend for their support, each one according to his needs, to pasture and keep some cattle there themselves, the said request is for the reasons aforesaid for the present denied and not granted.
Upon the request of Annetge Bogardus, permission is given her from now on to take possession of and use the garden of, or heretofore granted to, Jacob Jansz Schermerhoren.

Joannes Dijckman  
Rutger Jacobsz  
Andries Herberts  
Jacob Schermerhooren  
Cornelus Thonisen  
Jan Thomasz

[95] Tuesday, February 10, 1654

Present:

Everyone


Defendant’s first default. Likewise Lourens Jansz, to testify concerning the shooting done by Jacob Jansz Stoll after the mounting of the guard.

Willem Fredrixsz, being summoned to appear in court, declares that Jacob Symontz Klomp, fourteen days ago, used much abusive language toward this court, Adriaen Jansz van Leyden, and himself and also that he was thereby forced to leave and go out of his house, as otherwise he would have been forced to strike out against him, Clomp, with his knife in his hand.

Pieter Bronck, being summoned to appear, declares that Jacob de Timmerman and Willem Jansz Prins, on Sunday, or the day before yesterday, valiantly fought at his house and in order that they should not injure each other with knives, he, Bronck, had broken both their knives in their pockets before they began to fight.

Jacob de Timmerman, summoned to appear in court on account of fighting, defendant is in default.

Willem Jansz Prins declares that he does not know much about having been fighting, as he was dead drunk, but admits that on Sunday, even during the service, he was drinking at the house of Pieter Bronck.

Resolved to have them both summoned to appear again on the next court day.
Adriaen Jansz van Leyden, being summoned to give testimony of the truth in regard to what was done and said in his presence two weeks ago at the house of Willem Fredrixsz by Jacob Symontsz Clomp, the first default is entered against the witness and it is decided to have him cited again to appear on the next court day.

Upon resumption of the matter, it is resolved to adjourn the case of Jacob Luyersz, delinquent, for mischief committed on the street, until the arrival of the hon. director general, for reasons submitted and known to this court.

The Hon. Jacob Jansz Schermerhoren and Jan Thomasz, magistrates of this court, are authorized to lay out for Hendrick Marcelis a lot at the hill, or wherever it may be most convenient and to make a report thereof to this court.

Whereas Luykas Andriesz, bark skipper, complains that some money is due him by Willem Albertsz van Monickendam, bark skipper, and that for that reason he had taken possession of a boat* belonging to said Willem Albertsz, it is decided by this court that he, Luykas Andriesz, may keep possession of said boat until he is paid and satisfied.

Interrogatories on which this court is to examine Jan Roelofsz, burgher of Beverwijck.

First, How old he is and where born? Declares and answers as follows: 20 years and born in this country.

Whether last year he did guard duty here in this fort together with other burghers? Answer, When it was his turn.

Whether among other things he did not see Jacob Jansz Stoll, coming to the guardhouse drunk with a loaded gun, make much noise and commotion in the guardhouse? Declares, Yes, and that he heard him fire off his gun after the mounting of the guard.

Whether he has any other statements to make concerning it? Answers, No.

* joll: a Jutland boat or yawl generally hoisted at the stern of a ship
Upon the request and complaint made to this court by the purchaser of the house of Merten Herpertsz Metselaer sold by this court on the 19th of the preceding month of January, now occupied by the Heer Johan de Hulter, who has begun to have a cellar dug on the lot next to the house, which the purchaser claims and considers to be detrimental to the aforesaid house, it is resolved and decided to order the court messenger, as he is ordered hereby, in the name of the court to forbid the Heer de Hulter aforesaid to have said work done, with order not only to stop said work, but also to replace the dirt and to have the lot put back in the state in which it was before the work was commenced. Furthermore, as according to the custom of the fatherland [97] delivery of all houses, lots and other pieces of real estate sold by the court pursuant to a writ of execution is made promptly on payment of the first installment and a quitclaim deed therefor is given on payment of the final payment, this serves as a warning to the Heer de Hulter aforesaid that on the first of May next the court will make delivery of the aforesaid house and lot to the purchaser on payment of the first installment, notwithstanding the fact that the Heer de Hulter according to the contract might have the lease of the aforesaid house a little while longer, as this court, as stated above, governs itself according to the law and customs of the fatherland. And whereas by the terms and conditions of sale it was expressly stipulated that [the court] was selling everything as it was, without [the parties] having the right to raise any questions from any cause whatsoever, this serves to inform the Heer de Hulter that on the first of May next he shall have to vacate the aforesaid sold house, in order that proper delivery thereof may be made; also that he must not make any further repairs; the court declaring further that inasmuch as the lessee had certain knowledge of the sale, he shall have to bear all expenses which were incurred by him on the aforesaid house and lot since the sale or which he may incur in the future.

Joannes Dijckman
Rutger Jacobsz
Andries Herberts
Jan Verbeeck
Jacob Schermerhooren
Jan Thomasz
Cornelus Thonisem
Tuesday, February 17, 1654

Present:

Dijckman
J. Verbeeck
Schermerhoren
Jan Thomasz

Adriaen Jansz van Leyden, being summoned to testify to the truth of what was done in his presence two weeks ago today at the house of Willem Fredrixsz by Jacob Sijmontsz Clomp, declares that he, Clomp, spoke many abusive words to him and challenged him to come outside the door, but that he did not draw a knife.

The court having considered what is above written and also the declaration made in regard to it at the last session of the court and having further taken into consideration that on Saturday a week ago he fought with Jacob van Loosdrecht, they condemn the aforesaid Clomp to pay a fine of f12 to the officer, with costs.

Jan Barentsz Poest being cited to appear before the court to show his authority for fencing off the lot next to Gijsbert Comelisz, deceased, on the south side, has exhibited his ground brief thereof granted by the hon. director general, of which this serves as notice.

Lourens Jansz van Hoesem, being summoned by the court, has answered the following interrogatories as indicated in the margin.

Interrogatories on which this court is to examine Lourens Jansz, burgher of Beverwijck.

How old he is and where born? Answers, At Hoesem, 48 years old.

Whether last year he did not do guard duty in the fort with other burghers? Declares, [Yes], when it was his turn.

If, among other things, he did not see Jacob Jansz Stoll, having come to the guardhouse drunk or at least quite muddled, fire off his gun out of insolence after the guard had gone on duty? Answers, Yes, that he heard it and that [Jacob] was using much abusive language.
Whether he has anything more to state about it?

[99] The honorable treasurers, Abraham Staets and Sander Leendertsen, having appeared before the meeting, declare that they have gone the rounds to collect the fifteen guilders ordered to be assessed on each house for the completion of the bridges in Beverwijck, pursuant to the order of the hon. general and this court, but they did not succeed very well. It is resolved thereupon to have the following notice posted immediately in three suitable places. [The notice] reads as follows:

The honorable magistrates of this court order all burghers and inhabitants belonging to this jurisdiction who have any house or houses standing in and therefore belonging to this jurisdiction to pay within fifteen days from this date fifteen guilders to the appointed honorable treasurers, Abraham Staets and Sander Leendertsen, pursuant to the order of the hon. director general and this court, for the building of the bridges contracted for in the village of Beverwijck and to defray other expenses already incurred or still to be incurred. Furthermore, everyone who has been granted a lot, on which he has not yet built and which still lies vacant, shall pay half as much; and each free person residing in this jurisdiction and exercising his trade there six guilders; on pain, in case of failure to pay within the aforesaid appointed time, of forfeiting the first day after the period when payment should have been made three guilders, the next day six guilders, and so on successively each day twice as much, and finally of immediate attachment. And in order that no one may claim ignorance hereof, the honorable court aforesaid had caused this to be posted in the usual places.

Thus done, ratified and considered the second time by the aforesaid court in Fort Orange, this 17th day of the month of February 1654.

Underneath was written:

By order of the honorable court in this place;

and was signed: Joannes Dijckman.

It is resolved to summon the surveyor, Abraham Pietersz Vosburgh, to appear on the next court day, with reference to the garden heretofore granted to Jacob Jansz Schermerhooren, which it is understood has been given to Andries de Vos without the knowledge of this court.
It is resolved to order the surveyors to measure the lot behind the lot of Sander Leendertsen and enclosed by him. Whereupon the aforesaid Sander Leendertsen being summoned, he is asked whether the aforesaid small lot was also granted to him? He says that it was promised to him, but that it is not yet mentioned in his ground brief. It is resolved to communicate this to the magistrates of this court who at present are at the Manathans and about this and other points to send them a letter reading as follows:

To the Honorable magistrates Rutger Jacobsz, Andries Herpertsz, and Cornelis Theu. Van Westbroeck, at present at the Manathans
Honorable, Wise, Prudent and Very Discreet Friends and Fellow Members of this Court:
Enclosed herewith are authentic copies of the terms of sale of the house of Merten Herpertsz Metselaer and the subsequent resolution passed at the request of the purchaser, on account of the digging of a hole for a well or a cellar in the purchased lot, together with a memorandum for your honors in case Monsieur de Hulter should make any further request there to remain in the house that was sold, as lessee during the term of the lease, in which [copies] we hope you will find sufficient arguments and reasons against the frivolous contentions of Monsieur de Hulter aforesaid, trusting further to what your honors in such case may be pleased and able to advance in support thereof.

Herewith go also the measurements of the lot of Sander Leendertsen, showing how much was granted to him and how much he has enclosed with posts contrary to orders, which person, being on that account by order of the officer legally summoned to appear before the court, declared yesterday that the addition to his lot is not mentioned in his ground brief, but was promised to him, so that your honors will be pleased to make further inquiry about it. As far as we can judge, Sander Leendertsen has done this on his own authority; if so, the officer here will have to institute proceedings against him to prove his title. On the other hand, we should like to see this place disposed of as we with the consent of the hon. general decided, namely, to have Rutger Jacobsz and Willem Fredrixsz build a proper horse mill on it, for the use and convenience of the burghers here, the said place being all the more suitable therefor because it is close to the kill and the river, so that it is convenient to convey the grain to and from it by water. We have thus far not been able to find a more suitable location for this necessary structure. Furthermore, as Herman Bastiaensen has sold his house and should like to build another dwelling house on his lot on the First Kill, also toward
the river side, so far from the fort that it will obstruct this fort very little, [we hope] that he will be permitted to build, as he must have a place to live in.

Having nothing further [to communicate] for the present, we commend your honors, with our greetings, to the grace of God and remain,

Your honors' willing servants and friends and fellow members of this court, the president and magistrates of the court of Fort Orange and Beverwijck.

Fort Orange,
February 18, 1654

Was signed:
Joannes Dijckman
Jacob Schermerhoren
Jan Verbeeck

The memorandum follows:

Memorandum for the magistrates Rutger Jacobsz, Andries Herbertsz, and Cornelis Theunisz van Westbroeck, who have gone to the Manathans.

That herewith go authentic copies of the conditions of the sale of the house of Merten Herpertsz Metselaer and the resolution passed at the request of the purchaser concerning the digging of a hole for a cellar or a well in the lot sold next to the aforesaid house.

Which memorandum is intended to serve their honors at the Manathans if necessary in case Monsieur de Hulter should make there any further request to remain in the aforesaid house according to the lease drawn up between the aforesaid Metselaer and de Hulter.

First, that he, Metselaer, had no right to lease the said house in this manner, because various judgments against him, upon evidence of debt, had been given by this court before he leased the aforesaid house, to wit, that [102] he must make payment to his creditors within six weeks, according to the aforesaid judgments, on pain of attachment.

That he being unable to satisfy his creditors within the aforesaid time, [the court] was forced to proceed to attachment.

That the sale, having been made by the court, necessarily breaks the lease, as he had no right to grant it.

It being the accepted rule in such cases that sale breaks the lease, according to the custom of the fatherland and that although this is not
stipulated in the terms of the lease, the lease becomes void of itself, notwithstanding ordinarily the amount of the rent and the period of the lease are specified, which is done only to make the houses and real estate bring higher prices and which has also been the sole aim of this court in stipulating that the first payment must be made on the first of May next.

In the fatherland delivery takes place on payment of the first term, being the day on which the leases of houses usually begin.

No one may lease his real estate for a year and a day whose affairs are in a shaky condition and whose creditors have already secured several judgments against him;

For in the fatherland all leases in such cases are void when the first payment is made.

Even the insolvent person, if he lives in one of his houses, must vacate the same when the first payment is made;

For otherwise the insolvent person would allow himself as much time to live therein as he pleased, which is not permitted by the courts in Holland, as may be seen by thousands of cases, but must vacate at the time stated.

Furthermore, a mortgage on the aforesaid house for a year and a day has been granted and executed with the knowledge and at the request of the lessor, which states that the aforesaid house is specially mortgaged to Jan Jansz van Gottenburgh, to be sold by him when he pleases and whereas the purchaser has furthermore offered instead of the first payment only to pay the full amount upon delivery, it would be a great loss and detriment to the general creditors to whom larger or smaller amounts are due if, on account of this lease, they would have to wait a year and a day before being paid and thereby be deprived of considerable interest;

For the net interest per year, as calculated in this country, on the purchase price of the aforesaid house, plus the cost of necessary repairs to be made, will far exceed the stipulated rent paid by the lessee.

Furthermore, it is expressly stipulated in the terms of sale that the honorable court sells everything as it is, without either the purchaser or the lessee having the right to raise any questions, from whatever cause it may be.

And whereas Monsieur de Hulter at present dwells in this jurisdiction and therefore cannot be regarded otherwise than as a subject and burgher thereof, it is surprising that without notifying this court he brings further suit in the matter there.

If he denies that he is a burgher and subject of this jurisdiction, he
must without any gainsay depart therefrom according to the opinion of all reasonable minds;

For no one may reside therein, not only in this but in all other jurisdictions, without duly respecting and obeying the government and courts thereof.

In case he acknowledges it, it is surprising that he ignores his own competent judges and there seeks judicial relief.

Commissary Dijckman, plaintiff, against Jacob van Loosdrecht, defendant, for fighting.

Defendant's second default.

Joannes Dijckman
Jan Verbeeck
Jacob Schermerhooren
Jan Thomasz

[104] Tuesday, March 3, 1654

Present:

J. Dijckman
J. Verbeeck
J. J. Schermerhoren
Jan Thomasz

Commissary Joannes Dijckman, plaintiff, against the following persons:

Jan Hendrixsz. Defendant's first default.

Hendrick Gerritsz, for drinking at Hendrick Jochemsz's, after the ringing of the bell. Also first default.

The commissary, plaintiff, against Jurriaen Theunisz Glasemaker, innkeeper, and Claes vande Hoogebergh, on account of fighting on Shrove Tuesday at the house of Jurriaen Theunisz.

Jurriaen Theunisz, appearing, declares that his opponent came into his house drunk and beat him, thereby committing violence in his house.

It is decided to summon the parties again at the first opportunity.

Abraham Pietersz Vosburgh declares that having some time or some
days ago been called upon to survey the lot of Dirck Bensingh and being busy therewith and things not going as he, Bensingh, liked, he said to the aforesaid surveyor: “That stake standing there is more trustworthy than you are and if you do not survey according to those stakes standing there, you survey falsely,” or other similar remarks. The aforesaid surveyor maintaining that the above-written statements were made, it is resolved and decided to have the said Dirrick Bensingh and both the surveyors summoned again to appear on the next court day.

The commissary aforesaid, plaintiff, against Jochem *Becker* and Jacob Willemsz de Wolff, defendants, on account of fighting, which took place last Saturday evening at the house of Jacob Willemsz.

Jacob Willemsz declares that the old captain’s hens, sitting on the nest to lay, were chased off the nest by Jochem *Becker*, who said that they were his hens, and when Jacob said, “What do you mean? They are the old captain’s hens,” Jochem *Becker* challenged Jacob Willemsz to come outside the door. The latter not coming, he ran into the house after Jacob, grabbed him by the throat or neck, and gave him a sound beating, besides calling him an old dog, without the deponent having hit him in return.

The old captain, Willem Juriaensz, being summoned by the court, declares that what Jacob testified to above, happened as he said.

Willem Hoffmeyer being also summoned to testify regarding the aforesaid matter, the first default is entered against him, but it is decided to have him summoned again to appear on the next court day.

Jochem *Becker* declares that Jacob returned the blows and pulled him by the hair and called him, *Becker*, a dog and a son of a bitch. Resolved to summon him to reappear also.

Jacob van Loosdrecht *Timmerman*, having been fighting twice, once at the house of Hendrick Jochemsz and once at Pieter Bronck’s, is ordered to pay to the officer here the sum of ten guilders, with costs, to be paid immediately.

Abraham *Crabaat*, for having last Shrove Tuesday walked along the street in woman’s clothes, is, because it is the first time and because he offers the excuse that he did not know that he was doing wrong, condemned to pay a fine of six guilders for the benefit of the poor, with costs, to be paid immediately, provided that if he, *Crabatt*, or anyone else should hereafter undertake to do this again, he shall be arbitrarily punished as an example to others.
Albert Gerritsz, plaintiff, against Rut Arentsz Kleermaker, defendant, for 76:-- in sewant and 32 in beavers, which defendant owes plaintiff for wages earned and other things.

The court having heard the arguments on both sides, condemn the defendant to satisfy and pay the plaintiff the aforesaid within the space of twenty-four hours, on pain of immediate attachment.

Jan Labatie, plaintiff, against Jochem Becker, defendant, about some dispute concerning their respective lots. Resolved that this court itself will this afternoon make an inspection in order to be able to render a proper decision and to settle their dispute in accordance with the merits of the case.

[106] Thomas Sandertsen Smit, appearing before the court, declares his inability to pay the assessment of fifteen guilders on each house, nevertheless expressing his willingness in the matter.

The court having heard his reasons for being excused, accepts them insofar that instead of fifteen guilders, he will have to pay only five guilders, once, to the treasurer, being thereby for this time excused from making further payments, without any precedent being established thereby.

The court having seen and examined what the commissary ex officio as plaintiff has exhibited in writing against the delinquent, Jacob Jansz Stoll, orders the defendant aforesaid to present his written answer thereto promptly on the next court day, on pain, etc.

The schout of the colony of Rensselaerswijck having come into court has delivered the following communication:

To the Honorable Judges of the Court of Fort
Orange and Beverwijck

As officer of the colony of Rensselaerswijck, I respectfully petition your honors aforesaid as follows: Whereas it has pleased the Hon. Joannes Dijckman some time ago, at the house of the lord patroon, after the close of divine service in the afternoon, to read publicly to the congregation a protest concerning the announcement of the departure of Brant van Slichtenhorst, formerly director of the aforesaid colony, and it is [but] just that one should have positive knowledge, which one can not gather from hearsay alone, according to all [legal] customs, therefore, in my official capacity aforesaid, I request that an authentic copy of the aforesaid protest which was read may be exhibited and delivered to me,
in order that I may know the matter itself and the foundation thereof, whereupon I expect your honors' apostil and consent to be written in the margin hereof. Underneath was written: Your honors' willing servant, and was signed: Gerrit Swart, officer. Done this 3d of March 1654, at the house of the lord patroon of the aforesaid colony.

Whereupon it is resolved to make the following recommendation:

The honorable schout and officer of the colony of Rensselaerswijck having come into court, [107] has delivered a certain writing whereby a copy is requested of the protest against the reading or announcement made from the pulpit in regard to the affairs of Brant van Slichtenhorst, the former director of the aforesaid colony, served by Commissary Dijckman by order of this court on the 25th of January last in the church in the hearing of the congregation who were present. It states among other things that it is just that one should have positive knowledge, which one cannot gather from hearsay, according to all [legal] customs, and requests that an authentic copy of the aforesaid protest may be exhibited and delivered to him, in order that he may know the matter itself and the foundation thereof and that an apostil may be entered on his petition. This serves therefore to state that the court insofar does not judge his request unjust and therefore shall furnish him with an authentic copy, subject only to this condition that his honor shall first or at the same time deliver to this court in exchange a copy of what that forenoon was proclaimed in the matter by the Reverend Domine Gidionij Schaets, such being in accordance with all legal custom. This court is unable to see that it is otherwise bound to do so and therefore also intends not to send the protest to his honor or whoever else may demand the same in such a manner, which is deemed impudent, unless it receives beforehand what was read from [the pulpit]. Otherwise, it intends to delay the same until the arrival of the honorable director general, who according to his honor's letter meanwhile received we expect at the first opportunity and to whose wise judgment we shall gladly defer the matter, considering it without reason that in case the proclamation is refused us, this court should be bound to send and furnish the protest to their honors of the colony, but being ready and willing, if the proclamation is delivered to this court, immediately to remit a copy as aforesaid of the protest to the said officer, or whoever may ask for the same in the name of the colony.

Joannes Dijckman
Jan Verbeeck
Jacob Schermerhooren
Jan Thomasz
[108] Extraordinary Session, Friday, March 13[, 1654]

Present:

J. Dijckman          C. Theunisz
A. Herpertsz         J. Thomasz
R. Jacopsz
J. Verbeeck
J. J. Schermerhoren

Pieter Adriaensen Soo Gemackelijck, plaintiff, against Cornelis Vos, defendant.

Defendant’s first default. About a tub of butter shipped by plaintiff’s mother at the Manathans in defendant’s yacht and not delivered to the plaintiff, according to the exhibited letter written by plaintiff’s mother to plaintiff for that purpose, in which it is stated, as shown to this court, that there were 33 pounds of butter in it.

Furthermore, that the defendant the day before yesterday, at the house of Willem Bout, twice drew his knife and several times made a stab at the plaintiff with it, close to his body or belly.

The first case is adjourned until the arrival in person of the plaintiff’s mother herself, who is expected here any day from the Manathans, and the second case until later. Meanwhile, the plaintiff is ordered to advance the money to pay for the expenses of the court, further disposition in the matter to be made when it shall have been established who is right and who is wrong.

Herman Bastiaensen, plaintiff, against Pieter Adriaensen Soo Gemackelijck, defendant, for f750:-- for wages earned by him, of which f150:--, or thereabouts, are alleged to have been paid. The plaintiff demands payment of the entire amount, notwithstanding the defendant says that the contract made between them, which is exhibited, is not yet fully carried out, he being willing to pay the first five hundred guilders and the balance as soon as the work is finished according to the contract.

The court, having heard the arguments and debates on both sides, orders and adjudges that the defendant shall remain in custody until the work shall have been inspected by two impartial carpenters and that after they have made their report he shall be allowed to go home on bail. Claes Jansz van Baere* and Claes van Rotterdam are appointed inspectors to inspect the work this day and [109] to report their findings to this court,

* Baarn in the province of Utrecht
as compared with the specifications, of which a copy of the originals will be put into the hands of the appointed carpenters. The expenses involved therein shall be paid by the guilty or delinquent party, on pain of immediate attachment.

Joannes Dijckman
Rutger Jacobsz
Andries Herberts
Jan Verbeek
Jacob Schermerhooren
Cornelus Thonisen
Jan Thomasz

Tuesday, March 17, 1654

Present:
The Hon. General
The Heer Sille*
J. Dijckman
Rut. Jacopsen
An. Herpertsen
J. Schermerhooren
Cor. Theunesz
Jan Thomasz

Herman Bastiaensen Timmerman, plaintiff, against Pieter Adriaensen Soo Gemackelijck, defendant, about a certain dispute brought before the court on Friday last about wages earned in building the house of the aforesaid Gemackelijck.

The court having heard the debates and arguments on both sides and having also examined the written report of the two impartial carpenters appointed last Friday to inspect the work, order and adjudge that the plaintiff must still make in the aforesaid house a bol cosijn,† tongue and groove and make tight the ceilings, and hang the doors and windows. As to the one gable, which has not been tongued and grooved and planed according to the contract, but nailed down, the boards overlapping one another, this shall remain thus as an offset against the extra work that has

* Nicasius de Sille, councilor of New Netherland
† a single-frame window whose lower portion swings in or out
been done according to the written report. The court further condemns the plaintiff to pay the costs of the suit and orders the defendant, as soon as the aforesaid work shall have been done, promptly to pay the stipulated wages.

Resolved to draw an order on the honorable treasurers here in favor of the carpenter, Abraham Pietersz Vosburgh, in the sum of two hundred guilders to be deducted from the amount which he has asked for making the two bridges here in Beverwijck.

The court of Fort Orange and Beverwijck on the safe arrival here of the hon. director general and the Hon. Nicasius Sille, have deemed it necessary and proper to submit to their honors the following request, which was this day delivered to their honors:

That this court, [110] from experience, for reasons hereinafter stated, can (subject to correction) come to no other conclusion than that they are forced to request that the bench of Justice of the colony of Rensselaerswijck, which until now has been allowed to continue in the place where it was accustomed to meet, may be removed and ordered to [hold court] outside of this jurisdiction or the established limits.

For it is evident that they have caused the minister, Gidion Schaets, to announce and serve public notice in the church standing in this jurisdiction that all the inhabitants of this as well as of their jurisdiction who had any charges to bring against the person of Brant van Slichtenhorst must make them known and plead before their bench of justice, on pain of keeping forever silent, and that without regard of persons, whoever they might be, which cannot be understood otherwise as to include also (with all due respect) your honors and the respective members of this court.

How impudent this is, your honors can [readily see], it being contrary to your honors’ express written orders and command given almost two years ago to those of the colony, when this bench of justice was erected and established, we on our side, according to our bounden duty, having never attempted to treat the court of the colony in any other than a friendly way, saving the privileges of each, nor sought to be with the court of the colony aforesaid on any other terms than those of love and friendship, the two jurisdictions mutually respecting each other’s rights.

[Requesting therefore,] that it may be ordered and decreed that all persons residing in this jurisdiction may (saving the right of appeal) be summoned only before this bench of justice, without regard of persons.

And whereas those of the colony judge [otherwise, that] all, or such
as have taken the oath to the patroon, who at present reside in this jurisdiction and who intend to remain there, may be ordered, whenever the occasion may require it, to plead their causes before this bench and to stand trial here, after being legally cited or otherwise summoned to appear, if need be.

That the same, when residing in this jurisdiction, [111] may also be bound to take the common burgher oath, or in case of refusal be ordered to depart, since without it they cannot be deemed or adjudged otherwise than in contempt. An example whereof was seen by this court in the person of Brant van Slichtenhorst who, having been three times legally cited to appear in court by a private person of this jurisdiction, gave for answer that this court had no jurisdiction over him, as he had taken the oath to the patroon.

And if the court of justice of the colony should remain where it is, this can only tend to the great prejudice of this court, as they daily make the inhabitants of this jurisdiction believe that there is to be a change and that, being returned under the jurisdiction of the patroon, they will be regarded as perjurers, in consequence of which the inhabitants here can not be held as well as they should to their bounden duty and obedience, but become refractory and on the contrary forget the respect which they owe this court.

The colony extends far and wide, and between the farms established by the patroon there are still many lands which are not yet bought or occupied by the patroon or his subjects, but are cultivated by the natives themselves, to the great prejudice of this country; for the Indians being allowed to plant them, they imagine after a time that they belong to them and thereafter use the lands as their own, to the great loss and detriment not only of the inhabitants, but also of the land itself, which otherwise might be bought, paid for and cultivated by the inhabitants, to the great convenience of themselves and consequently of the country. It is therefore requested that these lands, which have not yet been purchased of the natives, may by order of your honors be bought, paid for and cultivated by the private inhabitants, according to your honors’ pleasure and with your consent.

As on the 8th of April next three of the magistrates will have been in consecutive service for two years and the term which they agreed to serve will therefore expire and the time arrive for others to take their places, we have below nominated a [112] double number of five persons, from whom three are to be chosen by your honors to fill the vacancies.
Abraham Staets
Volckert Jansz
Jan Labatie

left the service last year

Rutger Jacobsz
Andries Herpertsen
Cornelis Theunisz

whose time expires on the 8th of April

Jan Verbeeck
Jacob Schermerhoren
Jan Thomasz

have served one year

Nomination of four to complete the number of twelve, or to serve as magistrates:

Pieter Hartgers is one of the twelve
Frans Barentsz
Sander Leendertsen
Gerrit Jansz van Swoll
Adriaen Jansz van Leyden

The rest of what this court has to propose and to request, they request permission to propose orally, whereupon they expect your honors’ favorable recommendation.

Fort Orange,
March 16, 1654

Your honors’ very obedient and faithful [servants], the commissary and magistrates of Fort Orange and Beverwijck.

Delivered this day to the honorable gentlemen and signed by all the members of this court. After some verbal questions had been asked of their honors, orders were given to put them into writing for their honors, which was done, the contents or tenor being as follows:

To the Hon. Director General Peter Stuyvesant and the Hon. Nicasius Sille

The petitioners present with due reverence to your honors, Commisary Joannes Dijckman and the magistrates [113] of the Court of Fort Orange and Beverwijck, how they find by experience that, notwithstanding the placards that have been issued, whereby all tapsters residing in this jurisdiction are required to pay the regular and appointed tapsters’
excise on wine, beer, and distilled liquors which they tap, retail, or sell, in such way as the ordinance provides, the wine, beer, and distilled liquors are not entered as they should be,* but that much smuggling is going on and that the burghers here have to pay the tax put by the tapsters on the aforesaid liquors, whether they smuggle and thereby pay no excise, or not. The petitioners, therefore, would not be surprised if, in order to prevent the smuggling in the future, it were better to have the excise on beer, wine, and distilled liquors publicly farmed out to the highest bidder, and left to the farmer first for a year and thereafter for longer, according to your honors’ pleasure and decision, provided that the tapsters of the heavy beer and wines, before they are allowed to have these carried or brought into their houses or cellars, must obtain from the appointed collector, or a farmer, or farmers, of the excise a proper certificate that they have paid the excise, and furthermore that every burgher must also get a similar certificate from the collector or farmer of the [excise on] beer, wine, and distilled liquors, without however having to pay any excise, but only the fee for writing the certificate, under the penalty to be provided by your honors.

It is furthermore to be considered whether in the future those of the colony ought not to pay the established tapsters’ excise as well as the tapsters in this jurisdiction, who complain that they sell their beer, wine, and distilled liquors as cheap as those of the colony, notwithstanding the fact that they must pay the excise and in addition must do guard duty, as they [114] did last year, from which those of the colony are exempt, which they consider to be of benefit to the colony as well as to this jurisdiction. Also that they are bound, together with the other burghers of this jurisdiction, to bear the extraordinary charges for the maintenance of bridges, etc., which however are used also by the residents of the colony, yes, even had to help build up and fortify this fort, although in times of need it would serve as a place of refuge for those of the colony, as well as for themselves and other burghers, and other such like reasons.

Upon the complaint of the burghers here, the petitioners find and have daily experienced that the bakers do not act in good faith in the matter of baking bread for the burghers, but bolt the flour from the meal and sell it greatly to their profit to the Indians for the baking of sweet cake, white bread, cookies, and pretzels, so that the burghers must buy and get

* See LO, 142; and see LO, 184 for an ordinance of November 1654 pertaining specifically to Fort Orange and Beverwijck. Collection of such taxes was “farmed” out to the highest bidder; the amount collected above the bid was kept by the “farmer” as payment for his services.
largely bran for their money, and even then the bread is frequently found to be short of weight, and they ask one guilder, yes, as much as 24 stivers for such poor and short-weight baked bread. Which the petitioners in the interest of this community have thought it necessary to bring to your honors' attention, in order that in the future your honors may provide herein as you shall see fit, in the interest of the community here and especially of the plain and common people, who cannot bake themselves, so that if this continues the Christians must eat the bran while the Indians eat the flour; [praying therefore] that a proper weight and a price for the sale of proper bread may be set.

Whereas the people here, at Katskill, Esopus, and elsewhere are very short of schepel measures, whether whole, half, or quarter schepels, to measure grain or other commodities, your honors are requested to be pleased to order a reasonable quantity from patria to supply the people therewith [115], provided that everyone who receives one is to pay for it. Otherwise, one person and another measuring with a keg or kegs, great disputes are likely to be caused and to arise among the people.

Furthermore, as the petitioners are often compelled to take off time to attend to the duties of this, their accepted office, to the great loss and detriment of themselves and the public service, they request your honors to authorize that each of the magistrates during the term of his service or office be given a yearly salary at the discretion and pleasure of your honors, the same to be paid out of the excise, the general or other funds.

Expecting hereupon your honors' favorable recommendation, they are and will remain your honors' obedient and faithful servants, the magistrates of the court of Fort Orange and Beverwijck. Fort Orange, this 17th of March 1654.

Delivered this day to their honors, signed by all the members of this court. Received for answer that the written order and answer to this and the preceding petition would be sent as soon as possible from the Manathans.

Joannes Dijckman
Rutger Jacobsz
Andries Herperts
Jan Verbeeck
Jacob Schermerhooren
Cornelus Thonisen
Jan Thomasz
[116] Tuesday, March 31, 1654

Present:

Everyone

Jacob Luyersen, being summoned by the court to pay to the treasurers the fifteen guilders levied on every house pursuant to the resolution, has requested eight days' grace, which are granted him, when he must promptly pay the aforesaid sum to the treasurers.

Merten de Brouwer, for payment as before for his quota, absent.

Roeloff Jansz and Jacob Gerritsz, who have leased the house of Cornelis Theunisz for the indeterminate half, are ordered each to pay the half as required.

Commissary Dijckman, plaintiff, against Jochem Becker and Jacob Willemsen de Wolff, defendants, on account of assault and battery by Jochem Becker upon Jacob Willemsz de Wolff at his house on the last of February preceding.

The court having heard the statements of both sides and also having examined the evidence, it is resolved to condemn Jochem Becker to pay a fine of thirty guilders to the officer here within the space of forty-eight hours, on pain of attachment, and to order the aforesaid Becker, as is done hereby, to leave his neighbor, the aforesaid Jacob Willemsz de Wolff, and all others henceforth unmolested and in peace, on pain of arbitrary correction.

Commissary Dijckman, plaintiff, against Dirrick Bensingh, defendant, on account of abusive words spoken to the surveyors, which being further investigated by the court, it is decided to condemn him to pay a fine of twelve guilders to the officer before sundown.

Jan Thomasz, as attorney for Claes Thijsz Cnijver, plaintiff, against Willem Albertsen van Monickendam, defendant, about the delivery of some barrels to the value of f144:--, to be paid in grain or beavers.

The court having heard the statements and arguments on both sides, [117] it is resolved to condemn the defendant to pay the sum of f144:-- demanded by plaintiff, within the space of six weeks, on pain of attachment. Also, that meanwhile the defendant is not to depart from here without giving proper security for the payment aforesaid, provided that
whatever the defendant has paid on account shall be deducted from the requested sum.

Dirrick Bensingh, having appeared before the court, requests a strip of ground behind his lot. The magistrates, or some of them, are requested to make an inspection of the same.

Jacob Luyersen is notified that he must file his answer to the written complaint of the officer sent to his house by order of the court, on or before the next court day, subject to the penalty provided.

It is resolved to have Lambert van Valckenburgh summoned to appear in court on account of the things done or committed by him last Wednesday two weeks ago at the house of Gerrit Jansz van Swoll, together with the witnesses who were present.

The request of Philip Pietersz Scheuler to enlarge his lot at the hill a certain amount is granted; the president and Andries Herpertsz and Jacob Schermerhoren being appointed a committee to show him how far [he may go].

Albert Andriesz appearing in court complains that besides the planks received by him from Merten Herpertsz from De Hulter’s lot, there is due to him f70:3:12. Resolved to summon Merten Herpertsz to appear on the next court day to see if Sr. De Hulter cannot spare some more planks.

Resolved to draw the following orders on the treasurers for materials delivered to this fort and for wages:
   To the carpenters, in the sum of f128:10:, in settlement of an account of f242:—
   Albert Andriesz, for 116 boards at 24 stivers, f139:4:
   Also to Rut Jacopsz for boards delivered, per account to be shown.

Joannes Dijckman
Rutger Jacobsz
Andries Herpertsz
Jan Verbeeck
Jacob Schermerho[oren]
Comelus Thonise[n]
Jan Thomasz
Extraordinary Session, Thursday, April 2, 1654

Present:

Everyone,
except Cornelis Theunisz

Commissary Dijckman, plaintiff, against Claes van den Hoogen Bergh, defendant, about fighting of the defendant and Juriaen Theunisz on Shrove Tuesday at the house of Juriaen Theunisz. According to the declaration made by Juriaen Theunisz before this court on the third of March, the defendant came into his house drunk and assaulted and beat him.

The court, having heard the declarations and arguments of both parties, orders the defendant to pay a fine of sixty guilders, once, within the space of twenty-four hours, and not to go beyond the boundary posts until he has paid, on pain of further punishment. This covers also the abusive and threatening language addressed by the defendant to one of the members of this court while still in session, to wit, that if he, the defendant, had him in another place, he would teach him differently, etc.

Theunis Dirrixsz, appearing in court, requests that, Rut Arentsz Kleermaker having died, he may undertake the settlement of the estate of the deceased, provided that this court nominate and appoint two curators besides him.

The matter being taken into consideration, the petitioner’s request is granted, he being accepted and appointed as curator and the Hon. Rutger Jacopsz and Goosen Gerritsz being associated with him, three of them as curators to settle the estate of the aforesaid deceased as best they can and in due time to render a proper accounting thereof to this court.

Joannes Dijckman
Rutger Jacobsz
Andries Herperts
Jan Verbeeck
Jacob Schermerhooren
Cornelus Thonisen
Jan Thomasz
Present:

Everyone,
except Rutger Jacopsz

Marritgen Claesdr.,* plaintiff, against Roeloff Jacopsz, defendant, about an anker of brandy furnished by plaintiff to the defendant and money advanced to him.

The defendant's wife, appearing, says that the plaintiff sold two ankers of brandy to the defendant, of which to her knowledge she had one taken out of the cellar again, notwithstanding it had already been entered.

The court, having heard the statements and arguments of both parties, condemn the defendant to pay the plaintiff within the space of three days nine whole, good, merchantable beavers, leaving it to the choice of the plaintiff to deliver the other or second anker of brandy to the defendant and to receive ten beavers for the said anker and the money advanced, or, in case of failure to deliver said anker, to receive nine beavers. And in case the payment does not take place within the aforesaid time, it is ordered to proceed to immediate attachment.

Jacob Hendrixsz Sibbinick, plaintiff, against Jacob Adriaensen Rademaker, defendant, for ten beavers for wages earned on the house in which the defendant dwells.

Defendant's first default.

The Hon. Andries Herpertsz and Jacob Jansz Schermerhoren are appointed to lay out for Jacob Hendrixsz Sibbinck a garden next to the garden of Gillis Pietersz.

Commissary Dijckman, plaintiff, against Hendrick Biermans, defendant, for assault and battery.

Defendant's first default.

Pieter Bronck, for himself as well as for Anker Brijnsen and Lourens Lourensen, asks for six months' extension of time without having to pay any fines [for not building] on the lots granted to them in Beverwijck, because they cannot get nails and for other reasons. Their request is granted.

* abbreviation for Claesdochter, "daughter of Claes"
[120] Jacob Luyersz being summoned by the court on account of previous misdemeanors, the court, for various reasons known to them, have resolved to pardon the aforesaid person with reference to the misdemeanor committed by him and the heretofore-mentioned abusive words spoken to the officer.

The defendant appearing in court has begged forgiveness and stated that he knows nothing of the commissary and Officer Dijckman and his wife but what is honorable and virtuous. He is condemned, however, for assault committed on the public street to pay the officer at once a fine of 25 guilders, for the benefit of Officer Dijckman.

Joannes Withart, plaintiff, against Volckert Jansz and Pieter Hartgers, defendants, about payment for goods left in the year 1652 by Evert Tesselaer in the hands of the defendants.

Parties are referred to referees, Commissary Dijckman, Arent van Curler, Jan Baptista van Rensselaer, and Anthonis de Hooges, to agree on such terms as they can, the arbitrators to report their findings to this court.

Joannes Dijckman
Andries Herperts
Jan Verbeeck
Jacob Schermerhooren
Cornelus Thonisen
Jan Thomasz

[121] Tuesday, April 28, 1654

Present:
Everyone

Jacob Hendrixsz Sibbinck, plaintiff, against Jacob Adriaensen Rademaker, defendant, about ten beavers which are due to the plaintiff from the defendant for wages earned on the defendant’s house sold to Cornelis Segertsen.

The defendant admits the aforesaid debt.

The court orders the defendant to pay the aforesaid ten beavers to the plaintiff promptly on the first of May, which payment is to be made out of the first term of payment of the purchase money of the house, without any gainsay.
Jacob Jansz Schermerhooren, plaintiff, against Jacob Adriaense Rade-maker, defendant, for £118:13:--, which the defendant owes to Elijsabet Cornelis, of whom he has a power of attorney to collect the aforesaid money.

The defendant admits the aforesaid debt.

The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the aforesaid £118:13:-- in the following installments, to wit, £50:-- out of the first payment of the purchase money of the house sold by him to Cornelis Segertsen and the balance for the payment in full of the aforesaid sum out of the second payment, promptly, without any exceptions.

Claes Gerritsz, plaintiff, against Brant van Slichtenhorst, defendant, for 20 beavers of the defendant in the hands of the Hon. Rutger Jacopsz, attached by the plaintiff.

Defendant’s first default.

Goosen Gerritsen, plaintiff, against Merten Metselaer for £75:8:8, entered here for the sake of notification.

[122] Jan Witthardt, plaintiff, against Pieter Hartgers and Volckert Hansz,* defendant, about a claim for goods left by Evert Tesselaer in the hands of the defendants.

The court, having heard the statements and arguments on both sides, orders that the defendants may retain the sum of fifty guilders out of the plaintiff’s moneys in their hands, which has regard to the money advanced and expenses incurred on the side of the defendants during the litigation carried on by the contracting parties in Holland, upon condition that in case the plaintiff, or his principals there, are condemned to pay the costs of the suit, they shall have and receive for it the aforesaid sum. But in case it hereafter turns out to be otherwise and the defendants have definite advice and proof that the plaintiff is not condemned there in the costs aforesaid, the defendants shall be bound, instead of the fifty guilders which they retained, to pay promptly to the plaintiff or the authorized agents of Evert Tesselaer one hundred guilders, upon proof and evidence as aforesaid. Furthermore, the defendants are ordered to satisfy and pay immediately and promptly to the plaintiff the balance of the money for the goods which were left by Tesselaer in the custody of the defendants.

Gerrit Jansz van Swoll being summoned by the court to give testimony to the truth in regard to the dispute which took place at his house between

* See page 80n.
Andries Herpertsen and Lambert van Valckenborgh at the time of the departure of the hon. general, the defendant's second default [is entered against him].

Commissary Dijckman, plaintiff, against Hendrick Bierman, defendant, for assault and battery.

Defendant's second default.

Commissary Dijckman is ordered by the court to pay to Willem Albertsen van Monickendam, instead of f108:, which he owes him, eighty-eight guilders precisely within the space of fourteen days, and this on account of some abusive language addressed to the commissary according to the affidavits exhibited; he, Willem Albertsz, declaring before this court that he knows nothing further about the said commissary but what is honorable and virtuous.

A petition was read of Anthonio de Hooges, demanding payment by Jacob Adriaensen Rademaker, first, of f228:— for planks delivered by his father-in-law, Albert Andriesz; secondly, also by Jacob Adriaensen, of the sum of 35 beavers due to Jan Tjebkens Schellinger for merchandise delivered by said Schellinger to Jacob Adriaensen, according to the note; the petitioner being authorized to receive both sums aforesaid.

Jacob Adriaensen admits before this court that he owes both of said sums and whereas said de Hooges requests that payment be made out of the first payment on the house sold by Jacob Adriaensen to Cornelis Segertsen,* it is decided and ordered that the said payment shall be made in two terms, to wit, the half of both amounts out of the first payment and the remaining half out of the second payment which Jacob Adriaensen is to receive for the aforesaid house.

And as regards the said de Hooges's third request contained in this petition, to have added to his lot one and a half rods, extending south and north, which according to his ground brief it was heretofore measured short, the same is granted him.

It is decided to have Merten Herpertsen Metselaer summoned to appear on the next court day to answer various matters on the part of his creditors.

Robbert Vastrick, in the name of his brother, Gerrit Vastrick, demands

* In the margin is written: "The attachment of the money is declared valid."
of Jacob Adriaensen Rademaker, for merchandise delivered, according to his promissory note, the sum of $379:–, of which he, Jacob Adriaensen, has paid four beavers on account.

Jacob Adriaensen acknowledges the aforesaid debt.

Robbert Vastrick demands payment out of the first payment for the house sold by Jacob Adriaensen to Cornelis Segertsen.

The court condemns and orders Jacob Adriaensen Rademaker to pay the aforesaid $379:–, less four beavers, as follows, to wit: one hundred guilders before the departure of the returning ships sailing from here for patria and the balance out of the second payment to be received for the house sold by him, according to the contract made.

[124] An order drawn on the treasurers in favor of Goosen Gerritsz, in the sum of $6:10:–, for 13 pounds of nails delivered for the fort.

Cornelis Segertsen, having a claim of $41:10 against Jacob Adriaensen Rademaker, asks permission to deduct this from the first payment for the house purchased by him, which is granted. And in order that the receipt and distribution of payment to the creditors of Jacob Adriaensen Rademaker may proceed more orderly, the honorable magistrates, Andries Herpertsen and Jan Thomasz, are appointed and charged therewith.

Carsten, living with the Hon. Jan Thomasz, is for the present excused from paying the fine for his lot in Beverwijck due on the first of May.

Joannes Dijckman
Rutger Jacobsz
Andries Herperts
Jan Verbeeck
Jacob Schermerhooren
Cornelus Thonisen
Jan Thomasz
Friday, May 30, 1654*

Present:

J. Dijckman
R. Jacopsz
A. Herpertsen
J. Verbeeck
J. Schermerhoren
C. Thonisz
J. Thomasz

Willem Fredrixsz Bout is hereby ordered to take his choice, either, as soon as this is shown to him, to stop furnishing imported beer to retailers, or to stop tapping; also not to lay in large quantities of small beer in his house; he, Willem Fredrixsz, being ordered to give his answer to the court messenger upon the exhibition hereof and to state which of the two things according to the custom of Holland he intends to and will do, the court messenger to note the statement or answer hereon and to make his return thereof on the next court day.

Abraham Pietersz Vosburgh is ordered to begin the bridge across the third kill within eight days and to proceed with the work until completed; and in case he does not comply herewith, the contract shall again be let by the court at the said Vosburgh’s own expense and charge.

[125] According to the communication from the hon. general and the supreme council in regard to the nomination of officers made by this court, there have been chosen this day, in the place of the retiring magistrates, Rutger Jacopsz, Andries Herpertsen, and Cornelis Theunisz van Westbroeck, whose term of office has expired, the Hon. Sander Leendertsen, Pieter Hertgers, and Frans Barentsz Pastoors, who being summoned to come into court have taken the following oath of fidelity before the commissary:

We, the undersigned, Sander Leendertsen, Pieter Hertgers and Frans Barentsen, in the capacity of magistrates and judges of the bench of justice of Fort Orange and Beverwyck, promise and swear in the presence of Almighty God and our fellow brethren that we shall help to do true equity and justice between man and man and further in all matters to promote and assist the administration of justice and the government according to the best of our knowledge and ability; also, that we shall in

* apparent error by Dijckman for April 30, 1654
every way conduct ourselves loyally and faithfully toward the hon. states general of the United Netherlands, the hon. directors and masters of this New Netherland province and the hon. director general and council residing at New Amsterdam; with the further promise that we shall help to maintain here the Reformed Religion according to the Word of God and the regulations of the Synod of Dordrecht and not to tolerate publicly any sect, all in the best interest of this jurisdiction and community. So truly help us God Almighty.

The honorable retiring or former magistrates, Rutger Jacopsz, Andries Herpertsz, and Comelis Theunisz, are duly discharged from their oath and most highly thanked for their honors' former good fellowship and the good service rendered to the company and to the public.

[126] Tuesday, May 5, 1654

Present:

J. Dijckman
J. Verbeeck
J. Schermerhoren
J. Thomasz
San. Leendertsen
P. Hergers
F. Barentsen

Rutger Jacobsz, plaintiff, against Willem Albertsen van Monickendam, defendant, about 35:- for beer delivered.

The defendant admits the aforesaid debt.

The court orders the defendant to pay the aforesaid 35:-, or the sum demanded, to the plaintiff within the time of six weeks, on pain of attachment.

Rutger Jacopsz requests to have a garden to go with the house which he intends to build within a short time, on his lot next to his dwelling house, which request is granted. And as to the request of Leendert Philipsz, the Hon. Magistrates Jacob Schermerhoren and Sander Leendertsen are appointed a committee [to consider the matter].

Willem Albertsen, appearing before the court, acknowledges that he is satisfied by Rutger Jacopsz for two months' hire of his yacht, leased for the service of the hon. general.
Hendrick Driesz,* plaintiff, against Jochem Becker, defendant, for shooting the plaintiff’s dog three days ago on the public road.

Parties have compromised before this court, the defendant offering to have a young dog trained with others and when trained to deliver it to the plaintiff in the place of the dog that was killed; but as the deed was done on the public road and the plaintiff’s dog was killed, the officer is allowed one beaver, which the defendant is to pay within twice twenty-four hours.

Hendrick Driesz, plaintiff, against Merten Herpertsen Metselaer, defendant, for f200:--, which defendant is alleged to owe plaintiff according to the promissory note exhibited.

The defendant admits the aforesaid debt, which is entered here only for the purpose of notification.

Jan van Twiller, appearing for Gerrit Vastrick, has exhibited a promissory note of Merten Herpertsen in the sum of f449:--, which the said Herpertsen admits he owes.

Willem Albertsen van Monickendam, plaintiff, against Pieter Bronck, or Eldert de Goyer, defendants.

Defendants’ first default.

The commissary, plaintiff, against Hendrick Biermans, defendant, for assault and battery.

The defendant declares that he knows nothing about it. Case adjourned until the next opportunity. Meanwhile, the commissary is to make further inquiry.

Jochem Becker is ordered to line the [bank of the ] kill† on the side of Jan Labatie, as far as he, Becker, has dug it up, provided that the small corner of land provisionally added to his lot, to wit, Labatie’s, shall be left until further order of this court; an extract from this record to be sent to the homes of both of them to regulate themselves accordingly.

* Hendrick Andriessen van Doesburg
† the First Kill or Rutten Kill
Merten Herpertsen acknowledges that he owes the following amounts:

- Gabriel Leendertsen $f18:-$
- Hendrick Jochemsz $f80:-$
- Dirrick Jansz Kron, according to obligation $f27:-(beavers)$
- Volckert Jansz $f31:-$
- Pieter Hartgers, for beer delivered, that is to say, a beaver coat delivered $f32:-$
- Sander Leendertsen, for nails $f17:3:-$

Willem Fredriksz coming into court requests permission to continue the wholesale trade in beer, on condition that he will not lay in any beer in his house, other than that which is used for tapping and will enter this each time. It is decided that he may provisionally do so on that basis, provided that the beer which he does not tap, he must have the people themselves fetch from the brewery and that he may in no way take in or lay in any small beer in his house.

Claes Gerritssz, plaintiff, against the Heer Brant van Slichtenhorst, defendant, about 20 beavers due by defendant, attached by plaintiff in the hands of Rutger Jacopsz.

The plaintiff, appearing, submits a copy of a certain judgment given or pronounced by the hon. director general and the supreme council of New Netherland under date of July 19, 1652, whereby it appears that the defendant [128] on his third default of appearance was condemned at the Manathans by the hon. general and the supreme council aforesaid to return the pelties and goods which he had taken out of the plaintiff's chest, or the value thereof.

It is decided by this court that if the defendant has any objection to make against this, he may appear in person on the next court day, the plaintiff declaring that he intends to have the defendant for the third time legally summoned to appear at that time.

The commissary and president of this court is this day appointed to examine and look over the account of the collector, Pieter Rijverdingh, and to make a report of his findings.

Interrogatories upon which this court is to examine Volckgen Jansz, the wife of Jan Franz van Hoesem, and Jan Bembo, soldier.
How old are they?  Of competent age.

Whether the evening before the hon. general came up here, the commissary did not come to the house of Jan van Hoesem?  Answer, Yes.

Whether the commissary, coming there, did not find a party of drunken Indians?  Answer, Yes.

Whether among them there was not an Indian who drank himself drunk on good beer?  Answer, Yes.

Whether they know where it was obtained, that is to say, the good beer?  Answer, From the house of Willem Bout.

How large was the can?  Answer, A mengel can.

Whether the commissary did not give the Indian twelve stivers to have the can filled again?  Answer, Yes.

Whether they did not taste whether it was strong beer?  Answer, Yes.

[129] Resolved that Sander Leendertsen, Willem Bout, Jan Machielsz, Jan Hendrixsz, and Herman Bastiaensen shall build a convenient bridge in the road across the sewer which they have dug together. Also, that they must do so at the first opportunity, under the penalty to be provided, as otherwise it tends to obstruct the public road.

Joannes Dijckman
Jan Verbeeck
Jacob Schermerhooren
Jan Thomasz
Sander Lenrsen
Pieter Hertgertsz
Frans Barentsen Pastoor
Tuesday, May 12, 1654

Present:

Everyone

Willem Fredixsz, plaintiff, against Rutger Jacobsz, defendant.

The plaintiff, appearing much intoxicated before the court, is ordered to depart.

Jan van Hoesem, plaintiff, against Merten Herpertsen Metselaer, defendant, for 52:8:-, which the defendant owes plaintiff.

The defendant admits the aforesaid debt, which serves here by way of notification.

Jan Labatie is ordered to return at the first opportunity the ten barrels of lime and the 1,600 bricks which he borrowed from the commissary, which he agrees to do accordingly.

Jan Labatie, plaintiff, against Gillis Pietersz, defendant, about the purchase of plaintiff's house at the Manathans, sold by the defendant for the plaintiff, and some nails left by the plaintiff in the defendant's hands, requesting a proper accounting of both.

The defendant says that he has rendered an accounting at the Manathans in the presence of the purchaser of the house. The defendant undertakes further at the first opportunity to write about the account to the Manathans, so as to be able to make an accounting of one thing and another in proper form.

It is decided to give the defendant four weeks' time from this date and if within that time no accounting is made by the defendant, the plaintiff may apply to this court, when further action will be taken in the matter complained of.

Claes Gerritsen, plaintiff, against the honorable Brant van Slichtenhorst, defendant, about 20 beavers due by the defendant attached by the plaintiff in the hands of Rutger Jacobsz.

Defendant's third default.

The court orders Rutger Jacopsz to let the plaintiff have the 20 beavers and to satisfy him, upon sufficient security, in accordance with the judgment rendered by the hon. general and the high council.

Sijmon Groot is ordered to build within two months upon the lot that has been granted to him, on pain of having to pay the full fine.
Sijmon Groot, on account of Jan Peeck, demands of Merten Metselaer f61:-- according to the account.

Merten Metselaer admits the aforesaid debt. Entered here [by way of memorandum].

Pieter Lot is given until the fair* to build upon the lot granted to him. In case he does not build by that time, he shall pay the full fine and because he has not yet begun, he must pay one beaver to the officer.

Hendrick Gerritsen is to pay two beavers for not having built upon his lot.

Jacob Hendrixsz Sibbinck likewise two beavers.

Jacob Hendrixsz Sibbinck is granted a garden, adjoining on the west Gillis Pietersen, on the north Pieter Hartgers, on the east the wagon road, and on the south the thicket, in length 7½ rods and in breadth on the north side 4 rods and 10 feet and on the south side 4 rods.

Wijnant Gerritsen is ordered to pay a fine of f25:-- to the officer for not having built upon his lot and f6:-- for having fought; to be paid within 48 hours.

Luykas Pietersz f25:-- for not having built upon his lot, to be paid within 48 hours.

[131] Machiel Rijckertsen is condemned to pay four guilders to the officer for having last day of prayer sat drinking at the house of Jacob Luyersen during divine service.

Gabriel Leendertsen is ordered to hold back f25 out of the money which he must pay Lourens Jansz on account of the purchase of the house and to pay this to the officer because Lourens Jansz has not fenced in his garden.

Resolved that the gardens which have been laid out shall this afternoon be distributed by lot or otherwise to those who have made request therefor.

Abraham Pietersz Vosburgh being summoned by the commissary and officer to appear in court to settle as far as possible the disputes which have arisen among the burghers on account of the faulty surveying and

* The autumn fair was held on September 23.
also on account of some gardens, which without the knowledge of this court are being fenced in or have been granted away, the said Vosburgh is ordered to deliver into this court his surveyor's book, which he, Vosburgh, said he would consider doing. However, it is decided that the court messenger shall immediately go with the said Vosburgh to his house, he, Vosburgh being ordered to give the aforesaid book to the court messenger; but as the court messenger reports to this court the said Vosburgh's unwillingness in this matter, it is decided for the second and final time to send the court messenger to him, Vosburgh, with the same order as aforesaid. The said messenger reporting for the second time [Vosburgh's] unwillingness, it is resolved that tomorrow, upon his refusal to deliver the aforesaid surveyor's book kept by him, the officer shall take him into custody until further order of this court.

Upon the request of Elijsabet Cornelisdr., the Hon. Pieter Hertgerts, together with the Hon. Jacob Schermerhoren and the Hon. Cornelis Segertsen are appointed guardians of the two children left by Gijsbert Cornelisz, deceased.

Joannes Dijckman
Jan Verbeeck
Jacob Schermerhooren
Jan Thomasz
Sander Leendertsen
Pieter Hertgerts
Frans Barentsen Pastoor

[132] Tuesday, May 19, 1654

Present:

J. Dijckman
J. Verbeeck
J. Schermerhoren
J. Thomasz
Sander Leendertsen
Pieter Hertgerts
Frans Barentsz Pastoors

Willem Fredrixsz, plaintiff, against Rutger Jacopsz, defendant, about a barrel of beer or twenty guilders, which defendant claims to have
delivered to plaintiff upon mutual settlement of accounts and execution of a general receipt for beer delivered by defendant to the plaintiff. Plaintiff denies this and refers to the receipt executed and signed jointly by the defendant and Goosen Gerritsen.

Plaintiff is ordered to produce the aforesaid receipt in court together with the delivery book of the defendant, in order that the date, etc., may serve as evidence and the judgment of this court in the case may thereupon follow.

Leendert Philipsz being summoned to appear in court in regard to the lot granted to him and the officer's claim that it has not been built upon within the appointed time, the defendant, appearing, claims that the person of Herman Bastiaensen gave him permission to delay. Decided that Herman Bastiaensen shall be summoned to appear on the next court day together with his opponent.

Isaac Allerton, plaintiff, against Thomas Sandertsen Smit, defendant.

Plaintiff demands of defendant f195:— according to the note signed by him.

The defendant admits the aforesaid debt and promises to pay the plaintiff through Jan van Aecken out of the first payment for the house sold by him, [paying] to him or the aforesaid van Aecken, first the sum of one hundred guilders and a year later the balance, being f95:—, to the plaintiff or his agent and substitute here.

The court, having heard the statements on both sides and found that the parties are satisfied therewith, order that the said agreement shall be strictly carried out by the defendant, on pain, etc.

[133] Whereas it is found by experience and brought to the attention of this court that some of the inhabitants of this jurisdiction venture to sail in canoes, rowboats, or other vessels from here to the Esopus and Kats[k]il plain to sell brandy or liquor to the Indians along the way, or at the aforesaid places, to the considerable detriment of the good inhabitants there, it is decided to have notices posted that no one residing within this jurisdiction and consequently belonging thereto shall be allowed to sail thither from here in any rowboats, canoes, or other vessels without having the same inspected here by the officer and without having obtained proper consent to go thither from and in the name of this court, on pain of forfeiture by those who shall be found to have acted contrary hereto of the sum of fifty guilders for each offense for the benefit of the officer here.
Willem Fredrixsz Bout, being summoned to appear in court on account of the sale of strong beer to the Indians, the court, having also examined the affidavits and information secured by the officer for that purpose, condemn the defendant to pay a fine of twenty-five guilders for the benefit of the officer, with costs, to be paid within 48 hours.

Merten Herpertsz Metselaer, being summoned to appear in court by the following persons, acknowledges that he is well and truly indebted to them as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Debtor</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Willem Fredrixsz</td>
<td>211:–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacob Jansz Stoll</td>
<td>180:–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To Lourens Lourensen, twenty-six good, merchantable beavers, which is entered here by way of memorandum.

Jacob Jansz Flodder, having failed to build upon his lot granted to him in Beverwijck within the proper and specified time, is condemned to pay a fine of sixteen guilders for the benefit of the officer.

[134] Eldert Gerbertsen, because he has failed to enclose the lot that was granted to him within the proper and specified time, is condemned to pay within 48 hours a fine of ten guilders for the benefit of the officer.

Geertruyt Jeronimus, being summoned to appear in court on account of some abusive and slanderous words spoken and addressed to the members of this court that were present, the first default is entered against her.

Abraham Pietersz Vosburgh, being summoned to appear in court to show why he does not begin and complete the bridge across the second kill, according to the contract made by him, has brought forward some reasons for excuse. However, the appearer is ordered to begin the aforesaid work during the coming Pentecost week and then to complete it; otherwise, in case of further delay, the contract for the work shall at his own cost and charge be let by public bidding, as the failure to make or complete the bridge tends to the great inconvenience of the burghers here.

Jan Verbeeck, plaintiff, against Thomas Koninck, defendant, for the balance of payment due to plaintiff by defendant for the delivery and sale of a house to the defendant, in payment for which the plaintiff received some planks from the defendant, who sent them to the Manathans to Mr.
Jacob Barbier,* but which are said to have been found not merchantable there.

The defendant says that he received the planks from Evert Pels, who owed him good boards.

The plaintiff says that he has not seen the planks that were delivered.

The court, having heard the statements and arguments on both sides, is of opinion that the parties must recover their loss in connection with the planks delivered from Evert Pels, who delivered them, and if they have been shipped to the Manathans, there and in such way as they shall see fit.

It is decided that Goosen Gerritsen must without delay move back the palisades enclosing his lot at the hill [135] as far as the stakes that have been set by this court on the street line, besides the palisades, on pain of incurring the penalty to be provided, without prejudice to the demands of the officer.

Upon the request of Claes van Rotterdam and Oom Dirrick that they may each obtain a lot in connection with the gardens that were granted to them, it is decided to adjourn the matter until further instructions from the hon. general and the high council.

Stoffel de Timmerman, having set a post near his lot, far outside of the line, in the common road, to begin enclosing his lot from that point, it is ordered that the aforesaid Stoffel must remove said post and the Hon. Magistrates Jacob Schermerhoren and Pieter Hertgerts are appointed to stake out the proper line and to do or cause to be done whatever is required.

Upon the request of Cornelis Segertsen to have a piece of woodland and to be allowed to fence it in as a pasture for his cattle, lying behind the enclosed land of Jan Barentsz Poest, it is decided to give for answer that this court will this day make an inspection thereof in order afterwards to pass a resolution thereon.

Joannes Dijckman
Jan Verbeeck
Jacob Schermerhooren
Pieter Hertgerts
Frans Barentsen Pastoor

* Jacob de Hinsse
[136] Friday, May 22, 1654

Present:

J. Dijckman  
J. Schermerhoren  
J. Thomasz  
P. Hertgertz  
J. Barentsz Pastoor

Jacob Jansz Stoll, plaintiff, against Jacob Flodder, Eldert Gerbertsz, Willem Bout, and Sander Leendertsen, defendants.

The plaintiff exhibits a written contract made the day before yesterday between him and Jacob Flodder, whereby Jacob Flodder sold to the plaintiff certain two horses belonging to the sawmills, or being his, Flodder’s, which in accordance with the aforesaid sale were yesterday also delivered to the plaintiff by him, Flodder. [This], however, [is objected to by] the sureties of the said Flodder, to wit, Sander Leendertsen and Willem Fredrixsz Bout, in view of a special contract signed by the said Rodder in regard to [the lease of] the two mills on the Fifth Kill,* whereby his goods such as belong to mills and further all his other goods, none excepted, which he then had or might acquire or gain in the future, were specially mortgaged and pledged as security to the aforesaid sureties, as may appear more at large from the contract signed by Jacob Jansz Rodder, it being the intention of the aforesaid sureties, as they have openly declared before this court, that the horses that were sold may not be removed from there until and before Jacob Jansz Rodder shall have delivered to the lessee with the mills two similar, good horses, in the stead of those that were sold.

One thing and another being duly considered by this court, they are unable to conclude that at the request of the sureties the horses may not be removed until the aforesaid Flodder shall have brought to the aforesaid mills two horses as aforesaid in the stead of the two that were sold; on the other hand, in order to satisfy the claims which the sureties make in virtue of the aforesaid written contract signed by Jacob Flodder, he can first of all duly discharge the aforesaid sureties from the obligations of the bonds signed by them in regard to the aforesaid mills on the fifth kill, [137] since the claims of the sureties aforesaid in this matter, as soon as they are discharged, of themselves cease or become void.

* Located over a mile north of Fort Orange near the farm of Broer Comelissen; also known as Blommaert’s Kill, Patroon’s Kill, and later Flodder’s Kill. See VRBM, 193, for additional information.
Meanwhile, since the plaintiff claims that he suffers much loss, expense and damage by reason of the fact that the aforesaid horses are not delivered to him according to the bill of sale, the plaintiff can recover these from the person of Jacob Flodder, whether by further contract, arbitration, or otherwise, in all where and in such way as he shall see fit. Meanwhile the aforesaid Jacob Flodder is condemned in the costs of this extraordinary session of the court, advanced by the plaintiff.

Joannes Dijckman
Jacob Schermerhooren
Pieter Hertgerts
Frans Barentsen Pastoor

Thursday, June 4, 1654

Present:
Everyone

A letter having been received yesterday from the Hon. Director General Petrus Stuyvesant of the 31st of the preceding month, regarding the rumors about the English, ordering that the soldiers be sent down, both those who are in the service and those who were discharged some time ago, and also to enlist people in the country’s service and to send them down at the first opportunity, it is decided to have the drum beat and to enlist all those one can get and who present themselves, on condition that they be given a little money in hand, and further upon the wages of the hon. company without prejudice to this court. Furthermore it is decided to reply to the aforesaid writing as may appear at length from the letter book.*

Joannes Dijckman
Jan Verbeeck
Jacob Schermerhooren
Pieter Hertgerts
Frans Barentsen Pastoor

* Minuytboeck; apparently reference to a record book in which copies of letters and drafts of other documents were entered. Such a book no longer survives.
Tuesday, June 9, 1654

Present:

Everyone

Cornelis Theunisz, plaintiff, against Roeloff Jacopsz, defendant, about ten beavers and two guilders for house rent claimed by plaintiff from defendant.

The defendant admits the aforesaid debt.

The court, having heard the statements and arguments on both sides, condemn the defendant to pay the plaintiff the aforesaid ten beavers and two guilders in sewant within the time of six months, the attached property of the defendant to remain attached until he has paid or given sufficient security for the payment.

Roeloff Jacopsz, plaintiff, against Jan Gouw, defendant, about 7½ beavers which plaintiff owes the defendant and for which [the latter] has attached goods belonging to the plaintiff. The plaintiff says that money is due him from Jacob Adriaensen Rademaker and that he assigned this to the defendant in payment [of his debt]. It is decided that the plaintiff may have Jacob Adriaensen Rademaker summoned to appear before the court on the next court day.

The court having heard the complaint of Roeloff Jacopsz against Jan Gouw, that the latter was spending time daily with his wife, etc., the case is adjourned until the next court day, or some other time when further evidence about it may be presented.

Jacob Adriaensen Rademaker, plaintiff, against Jacob van Loosdrecht Timmerman, defendant, about some work which the defendant agreed to do for the plaintiff, and which he did not finish according to his promise, etc.

Defendant’s first default.

Jan Roelofsen, for not having built upon his lot in Beverwijck within the appointed and proper time, is ordered to pay one beaver within 24 hours.

[139] Pieter Bronck, plaintiff, against Huybert Jansz de Guyt,* defendant, about f74:— Which the defendant is alleged to owe plaintiff.

The defendant admits the aforesaid debt.

The defendant is ordered to remain under arrest until he pays the

* the rascal or rogue
seventy-four guilders demanded, or otherwise gives sufficient security that payment will be made within the space of three months.

Arent van den Bergh, plaintiff, against Arent Andriesz, defendant, on account of a dispute about a gun for which the plaintiff paid a certain sum in hand and which the defendant claims to be his gun.

The court is of opinion that the gun must be restored, provided the defendant bears part of the expense, as is proper.

Steven Jansz, plaintiff, against Merten de Brouwer, defendant, about f45 or f46 for wages earned by the plaintiff [for work] on defendant's house.

The defendant says that to his inconvenience the plaintiff quit the work which he had agreed to do. Furthermore that the defendant had the timber for two window frames* hewn for the plaintiff, which the plaintiff himself should have hewn.

The court, having heard the arguments on both sides, refers the parties to arbitrators, Herman Bastiaensen, surveyor, and Claes Jansz van Baere Timmerman, who are to inspect the work this day, according to the verbal agreement, and to make a report thereof to this court on the next court day.

Evert Pels, plaintiff, against Jan Fransen van Hoesem, defendant, on account of f62:--, which the defendant owes the plaintiff for the delivery of planks.

The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the aforesaid sum of sixty-two guilders, according to the note, within the space of twice twenty-four hours, on pain of attachment.

[140] Rutger Jacopsz and Goosen Gerritsen, in the name of those in the community here who keep cattle, have requested permission to close the common road with gates so as to form a corral for the cattle, on condition of building a footstep on each side. This is provisionally granted until further inspection.

Andries de Vos, having a power of attorney from Abraham Pietersen Vosburgh, has delivered to the court a written answer to the written complaint heretofore presented to this court by the commissary in regard to the offenses committed by the aforesaid Vosburgh. The same being read, the commissary and officer here has agreed to respond thereto at the first opportunity as required by law.

* krugs kosyns: two-framed windows (bol kosyns) whose dividers form a cross
There having been presented to this court the written report of the arbitrators, Herman Bastiaensen, surveyor, and Claes Jansz van Baren Timmerman, heretofore appointed to inspect the work in dispute between Steven Jansz and Merten de Brouwer, and to report thereon, it appears that Merten aforesaid, according to the best of their knowledge, is entitled to deduct the sum of eleven guilders from Steven Jansz’s account. The said report is approved and Steven Jansz is condemned, as he is found to have been at fault, to make proper compensation to the arbitrators in addition to having the eleven guilders deducted from his account.

Joannes Dijckman  
Jan Verbeeck  
Jacob Schermerhooren  
Pieter Hertgerts  
Frans Barentsen Pastoor

[141] Tuesday, June 16, 1654

Present:

Everyone  
except Sander Leendertsen

Jan Adriaenssen, plaintiff, against Evert Pels, defendant, for the sum of forty-four beavers and six otters, amounting together to the sum of four hundred guilders, in part payment of which the plaintiff, or his agent, has accepted an account against the hon. company for wages earned by the defendant, according to a power of attorney given to Jan Jansz van Gottenborg in favor of Willem Honttum. The aforesaid account amounts to two hundred eighty-five guilders, four stivers, so that there is still due to the plaintiff on account of his master, Willem Honttum, or whomever it may concern, the sum of one hundred fourteen guilders, sixteen stivers.

The court having heard the statements and arguments on both sides, is of opinion that the defendant must pay to the plaintiff the sum of one hundred fourteen guilders, sixteen stivers before the departure of the first ship from this country for patria, the above-mentioned account of $285:4$, shown to this court and accepted in payment by the plaintiff or his attorney according to the signature, serving to make up the payment in full.
The Hon. Andries Herperts has delivered in court an account of disbursements made last year to Keese Waeye, dispatched expressly with letter to the Manathans, amounting in sewant, etc., to f35:8 stivers; and for cutting quarry stones for the new Company's house next to Jochem Keteluyn, twenty guilders, amounting together to the sum of f55:8, stivers, which sum the collector is ordered to pay him. But as to his honor's further claims on account of his having been sent last year to the Manathans as a delegate from this court to the general Lantdagh,* the expenses whereof amounted according to the account to f150:–. The payment thereof is deferred until the hon. general shall have been written to about it.

[142] Leendert Philipsz, plaintiff, against Herman Bastiaensen, defendant, about the lot in dispute at the last session of the court.

Defendant's first default.

Jan Gouw, plaintiff, against Roeloff Jacopsz, defendant.

The defendant appeals to [the testimony of] Jacob Adriaensen Rademaker whom he may cause to be summoned to appear on the next court day.

Dirrick Bensinck, being summoned by the commissary and officer to appear before the court to testify in regard to the situation of his garden, drawn by lot by the Hon. Jacob Schermerhoren and with the leave and consent of this court transferred by him to the aforesaid Dirrick Bensinck, has declared as follows, to wit:

That last Pentecost morning, while he, Bensinck, was standing in front of his door, Andries de Vos and Abraham Pietersz Vosburgh came to him and asked him, Bensinck, first, whether he had complained about his garden to this court, whereupon he, Bensingh, said: "It is still my garden, although you people have enclosed it without my [consent]," and that he had enough reason to complain because they had taken his garden away from him without his knowledge and that they knew that it was his garden was certain, for they had previously asked him to contribute his share in erecting the fences between them. Whereupon they replied that rather than have him, Bensinck make much fuss about it, they would give him back his garden, provided that he would pay and reimburse them for the expenses incurred by them on the garden. Whereupon he, Bensinck, answered them that they had no power to give away the garden, but that the authority in the matter rested with this court, which had once granted

* provincial assembly
it to him. He therefore requests that he may be continued by this court in the possession of this garden and be allowed to keep what was once given to him, he, Bensinck, declaring further that Abraham Pietersz Vosburgh, upon resurveying the lots, found that on the side of his house, between him and Willem Hap, there was more ground [than originally stated] and that he had allotted them each a few feet of ground.

Commissary Dijckman, plaintiff, against Luykas Pietersen, defendant. Defendant's first default.

Interrogatories upon which the Hon. Andries Herpertsen and Cornelis Theunisz van Westbroeck, recently retired magistrates, have been examined.

Whether they gave their consent to the giving away of the garden of Dirrick Bensinck, formerly owned by Jacob Schermerhoren?

Answer, No.

Likewise of the garden which Luykas Pietersen has enclosed and for which he received a ground brief without the knowledge of this court?

Answer, No.

Likewise of the garden of Cornelis Vos, next to the Heer Rensselaer's?

They declare that they know nothing about it.

Likewise in regard to the extension of the lot of Abraham Pietersz Vosburgh, where he lives?

They declare that they know nothing about the new extension and the Hon. Andries Herpertsen declares that Abraham Pietersen Vosburgh has without their knowledge, on his own authority, added a piece to the Heer de Hooges's garden, given and allotted to him by this court. They offer, if need be and required, to confirm the preceding declarations by oath.

The petition of Pieter Lott for a garden to go with his lot is laid aside until the next court day.
The Hon. Volckert Jansz, formerly a magistrate, being legally summoned by this court to testify in regard to the lot of Abraham Pietersen Vosburgh, has testified as follows, namely: That he and other magistrates of this court had shown to the aforesaid Vosburgh the length and breadth of the lot, extending to certain stakes that were driven into the ground, and that the said Vosburgh in first enclosing his lot had governed himself accordingly and that he is not aware that any additional land was afterwards granted to the said Vosburgh. Also, as sworn surveyors ought to be trusted to make the survey, that he has not verified the number of rods of the survey.

Secondly, that the lot of Cornelis Vos, near the bridge by the Hon. de Hooges, was ordered to be laid out with an angle for the greater convenience in using the road across the bridge.

Wednesday, June 17, 1654

Present:
Everyone except Sander Leendertsen

A petition was read from Adriaen Jansz van Leyden requesting permission to have a lot next to the palisades of Thomas Jansz for a public house instead of a city tavern, in accordance with the consent obtained from the hon. general and the hon. high council of New Netherland, written in the margin of his petition, dated the 30th of April last past; whereupon it is decided that the respective members of this court shall first inspect the place. Commissary Dijckman and Jacob Schermerhoren being appointed to lay out and measure off the lot, they report to this court that in virtue hereof they have for this purpose laid out a lot for the aforesaid Adriaen Jansz van Leyden, next to the road along the palisades of Thomas Jansz, in width, front and rear, five rods, eight feet, in length fourteen rods, which is entered here by way of memorandum.

Joannes Dijckman
Jan Verbeeck
Jacob Schermerhooren
Pieter Hertgerts
Frans Barentsen Pastoor
[145] Extraordinary Session, Thursday, June 18, 1654

Present:

As above

A petition having been read of Andries de Vos and Abraham Pietersen Vosburgh, demanding proof of the term "delinquent" in the order issued on the statement of the offenses committed by Abraham Pietersen Vosburgh, filed in writing by the commissary and officer, it is decided to make an apostil thereon, as follows:

Whereas the honorable court ordered the defendant by Tuesday, being the next court day, to present his written rejoinder to a certain replication filed by the commissary and officer regarding the offenses committed by the defendant, which replication was sent to him by the court messenger and in the absence of the defendant and his wife presented by the court messenger to the defendant's attorney, the aforesaid court messenger has in a written return made to this court set forth that he received from the defendant's attorney the statement that the defendant's attorney, Andryes de Vos, was not inclined to receive any writ of the court until the charge of being a delinquent had been proved to the defendant by the officer and that the aforesaid attorney had received instructions to that effect from the defendant before his departure. Whereupon the court has concluded to order the aforesaid replication to be sent once more to the defendant's house, with the express command that he must strictly observe and comply with the order thereon issued by this court, the defendant, or his attorney, being further ordered once more [146], as they are hereby, that they, or either of them, must next Tuesday, without delay, appear before this court and at the same time deliver the documents or proofs which the defendant may have to submit in his defense, when the officer shall likewise produce his evidence to the contrary, to show that the defendant on all counts is a delinquent, as the officer fully undertakes to do.

And whereas the officer has requested that as he, in his capacity as aforesaid, is to prove the defendant to be a delinquent, the latter [may be ordered to] submit his counter proofs, if he has any, at the same time as the officer—which request the court judges to be not unreasonable—they finally order the defendant to appear on Tuesday next and to argue his case against the officer orally and in writing.

Joannes Dijckman
Jan Verbeeck
Jacob Schermerhooren
Tuesday, June 23, 1654

Present: All except Sander Leendertsen

Last Sunday a letter was received by this court from the honorable general, of the 17th of this month, wherein he advises the court of the bad news received from the north;* and that on that account, for the better defense of the Manathans, they were not only busy repairing the old works, but also obliged to begin some new ones. Complaining of the scarcity of money in the treasury, he requests that the most prosperous of the burghers here lend a helping hand and that this court would be pleased to negotiate a loan of money, on condition that it be returned within a year, either in duties, or otherwise, for which his honor and the honorable councilors offer their persons and property as security.

After careful consideration of the matter, this court has decided to summon the most prosperous and loyal citizens and to communicate the matter to them, with the recommendation that they assist the honorable general in this great inconveniency, who, having been summoned one by one and appeared in court, have signed for the following amounts, which they have agreed to furnish promptly for the purpose and on the conditions hereinbefore written, and which it is decided to send at once by the sloop of Jacob Sijmontsz Klomp, in company of two other sloops, which are lying ready to sail for the Manathans. They have contributed and signed as follows:

Arent Andriesz, two beavers f16.–
Cornelis Theunisz, 25 beavers 200.–
Andries Herpertsz, in sewant 70.–
Abraham Staets, in sewant 200.–
Gerrit Jansz Kuyper, 50 beavers 400.–

*Brought forward from preceding page f886.–
Jan van Hoesem, four beavers 32.–
Herman Bastiaensen, four beavers 32.–
Hendrick Jochemsz, in sewant 100.–
Jan Hendrixsz, four beavers 32.–

* New England
Joannes Dijckman, in sewant 28.–  
Jochem Becker, five beavers f40.–  
Jacob Schermerhoren, 12½ beavers 100.–  
Karsten Jansz Smit, 6 beavers and 2 f in sewant 50.–  
Pieter Loockermans, 1½ beavers 12.–  
Jacob Thijsz vander Heyden, 1½ beavers 12.–  
Adriaen Jansz van Leyden, 6 beavers and 2 f in sewant 50.–  
Volckert Jansz, 20 beavers 160.–  
Evert Jansz Wendel, four beavers 32.–  
Pieter Bronck, 2 beavers 16.–  
Frans Barentsen, in sewant 16.–  
Cornelis Vos, 2 beavers 16.–  
Pieter Hertgers, 12½ beavers and f70 in sewant 170.–  
Rem Jansen, 5 beavers 40.–  
Jan Labatie, 12½ beavers 100.–  
Jan Thomasz, in sewant 100.–  
Thomas Jansz, one beaver and f2 in sewant 10.–  
Claes Hendrixsz Timmerman, a promissory note payable by  
Willem Beeckman, to be collected by the Hon. General 50.–  
Willem Bout, 10 beavers 80.–  
Goosen Gerritsen, 15 skipples of wheat and 2 beavers 61.–  
Total 192½ beavers and f590 in sewant f2,225.–

But in recounting the number it was found that there was one beaver  
too much, which was sent also, as follows:  
144 whole beavers  
18 *drielingen*  
75 half beavers  

On the 26th of June the above amount was sent off by Jacob Sijmontsen  
Clomp and the wheat by the accompanying yacht of Claes Thijsz.  

[Done] in the presence of the honorable magistrates, Jan Verbeeck and  
Jacob Jansen Schermerhoren.  

* a substandard pelt; literally one-third less than full grown
[149] Tuesday, June 30, 1654

Present:

J. Dijckman
J. Verbeeck
J. Schermerhoren
Pieter Hertgerts
Frans Barentsz

Andries den Vos, as attorney for Abraham Pietersz Vosburgh, being summoned to appear in court, is notified and ordered once more and as an extra warning to himself and his principal that he must two weeks from this date produce in court all the evidence which he may have in defense of Abraham Pietersz Vosburgh, on pain, etc. Meanwhile the officer, Dijckman, has not been neglectful but offered to deliver to this court the documents and papers which he can produce in support of his charges against Abraham Pietersz Vosburgh and [requests] that the replication to which he must make answer be again sent to him by the court messenger.

Jochem Becker, being summoned by the commissary and officer to appear in court to testify whether Elmerhuysen Kleyn and Gerrit Slichtenhorst did not sell brandy to the Indians and whether he did not have certain knowledge thereof, more than the common rumor and complaint thereof among the burghers, has declared as follows:

That about two months ago, the exact day he has forgotten, he saw a certain Indian get brandy and drink it at the house,* who, coming out of their house drunk, picked up a mallet lying near the house of Jan van Hoesem, his neighbor, and therewith forcibly banged open the door of his house and thereupon greatly molested him and his family. Also, that when he banged with the mallet on the door, the door sprang out of its hinges, and that he committed many other outrages. Furthermore, that afterwards a Mahican, commonly called “Pimp,” being there in the house drunk and wishing to pay for the brandy drunk in the house, with enough money, so it seemed, was given a sound thrashing by Elmerhuysen and Slichtenhorst, and on coming out of the house made a good deal of trouble here and there.

* Marginal notation intended for insertion at this point: “He further declares that he saw them hold the glass in their hand and fill it with brandy, and that he drank it in the house, tapped in their own glass.”
Jacob Adriaensen *Rademaker* has requested that the attachment of the goods of Jacob van Loosdrecht at the house of Andries Herperts might be declared valid.

The same is declared valid.

*150* Willem Jansz Stoll, being summoned to appear in court on account of his having stabbed Dirrick Lammertsz, this day two weeks ago, at the house of Hendrick Jochemsz, with a knife, has sent in his stead Willem Fredrixsz, who, appearing, has requested in the name of the aforesaid Stol that the matter might be compromised, which the officer is ordered by this court to do, with this reservation, however, that in case he cannot agree with the parties to his satisfaction and as is proper, in the presence of two arbitrators, he can let the action stand, to be hereafter disposed of by this court.

Meanwhile, the witnesses who were present, having been summoned by the commissary and officer to appear in court, have declared as follows:

Interrogatories upon which the honorable court is to examine Hendrick Jochemsz, Jan Gouw, Thomas Sandertsen, and Wijnant Gerritsen.

Whether yesterday, two weeks ago, in the afternoon, they were not at the house of Hendrick Jochemsz?

Answer, Yes. They declare they themselves, with the exception of Hendrick Jochemsz, and some others were bowling there.

Whether they did not see there some persons who were bowling and who they were?

They declare that they saw no trouble, except during the last game.

Whether, in the course of the game, some trouble did not arise and through whom?

That Dirrick said to Willem Jansz Stoll, shaking him by the sleeve, without striking him, that he should keep his mouth shut, or he would sew it up with a waxed thread. Willem said that Dirrick was a beggar. Willem said: "Go and get a sword or a rapier and
Whether Willem Jansz Stoll did not come with a bare knife in his hand and unawares stab Dirrick?

They declare, Yes, and that he said among other things to Thomas Sandertsen: “Will you take it up for him?” stabbing and cutting in every direction.

Whether they know how Dirrick received the stab?

They declare that they paid no attention to it and do not know exactly how.

Whether Dirrick did not seek to retreat from one place to the other?

They declare, Yes, and that others meanwhile did their best to separate them.

[151] Stoffel de Timmerman, having come into court, requests payment for four bolt locks and one door lock for the guardhouse, the first being delivered for the bastions of the fort, amounting together to f9:--. Decided to grant him [payment] and draw an order on the honorable treasurers for the amount.

Joannes Dijckman
Jan Verbeeck
Jan Thomasz
Pieter Hertgerts
Frans Barentsen Pastoor

Tuesday, July 7, 1654

Present:
J. Dijckman
J. Schermerhoren
Jan Thomasz
Sander Leendertsen
Pieter Hertgerts
Frans Barentsz

Jochem Becker Backer being legally summoned by the commissary and officer to appear here on account of the declaration made before this
court on the 30th of the preceding month, which was again read to him, Becker, he has at the request of the president and officer with uplifted fingers, by solemn oath, confirmed the said declaration regarding the sale of brandy to the Indians by Elmerhuysen Kleyn and Gerrit Slichtenhorst, for so far as it appears thereby.

A petition was read of Dirrick Lammertsen van Otmarssen,* setting forth that yesterday three weeks ago, without any resistance on his part, he was stabbed and wounded by Willem Jansz Stoll, which said Stoll, as he understands, has agreed with the commissary and officer as to his due and the surgeon’s fee, and praying therefore that for his pain and suffering and loss of time he may also be granted some compensation by this court. Whereupon it is decided to note in the margin that the lieutenant may before the next court day file a statement about it with this court, when this court will take his claims into further consideration and pass a resolution thereon.

Marcelus Jansz, plaintiff, against De Paus,† defendant, concerning a dispute about a half barrel of beer.

The defendant as well as the plaintiff refer to the witnesses who were present.

The case is adjourned until the next court day, when the parties may have the witnesses summoned also.

Roeloff Jacopsz, plaintiff, against Trijntgen Jacopsdr., defendant, about an attachment levied by the defendant on some of the plaintiff’s goods.

The court, having heard the statements and arguments on both sides, decides and orders that the goods which are attached by the defendant shall remain attached until the plaintiff pays the defendant the sum which she claims the plaintiff owes her, or else gives sufficient security for the payment thereof.

Willem Albertsz van Monickendam, plaintiff, against Ellert Gerbertsen, defendant, concerning reimbursement for the payment of the cargo, advanced by the plaintiff for this court.

The defendant’s first default.

Cornelis Theunisz van Westbroeck, being summoned by the officer to appear before the court to testify whether any of the goods attached at

---

* Ootmarssum, in the province of Overijssel, Netherlands
† literally, "The Pope"
the house of Andryes Herpertsen, belonging to Jacob Loosdreght, have since the attachment been removed from the aforesaid house and delivered to the aforesaid Loosdreght; declares, Yes, and that he had certain knowledge thereof, offering to confirm, etc.

Luykas Pietersz is ordered to pay two beavers to the officer, because he has not built upon his lot within the appointed time, the same to be paid now, promptly within 24 hours, instead of the f25:- which he was heretofore ordered to pay.*

Merten de Brouwer and his wife have declared at the request of the officer that last Tuesday, in the evening, Seeger Cornelisz came and knocked at their door, wanting to drink there, and when at first he received no answer and was not let in, kicked in the door in such a way that the hinges sprang out of the posts and casing. Coming in with Jacob van Loosdreght, he wanted to have beer tapped, which they did not have and was not in the house. Not being able to get it, he used many vile and abusive words, calling him, Merten, a pimp and his wife a whore and [saying] that she was found with Frans Thomasz in the thicket. [They furthermore declare] that the lamp was put out and that Jacob van Loosdreght wanted forcibly to get on the woman's bed and that coming near [153] the bed, he did not hesitate to grab and touch her, but did so.

Adriaen Claesz Brant being subpoenaed to appear in court to give further evidence in the matter aforesaid, as far as it is in his power, has testified as follows:

That Seeger Cornelisz at the time mentioned first came and knocked at the door, wanting to come in, and when he received no answer, kicked it in with his feet or opened it by force in such a way that the hinges sprang out of the casing; that thereupon he came into the house by force, using many abusive words, but [that the witness], as he went to bed, knows nothing further about it.

Gijsgen and Claes Cornelisz declare that they were in the house but know nothing about it.

Merten de Brouwer, on account of various excuses offered by him, is, instead of the f15:- to be contributed toward the building of the bridges and other expenses, ordered to contribute promptly f10:-.

Neus;† or Jacob Adriaensz Rademaker, plaintiff, against Jacob van Loosdreght, defendant, about claims which the plaintiff alleges he has

* The value of a whole beaver at this time was f8.
† "nose," either a nickname based on a physical attribute or a garbled surname
against the defendant on account of his not carrying forward and finishing the work undertaken by him.

The defendant being absent, the court decided that the plaintiff may have the defendant summoned to appear on the next court day.

Defendant's first default.

Merten Metselaer declares that he owes Hermanus Hertogh no more than ten beavers, or f80:–, for goods bought and received.

Joannes Dijckman
Jan Verbeeck
Jan Thomasz
Pieter Hartgerts
Frans Barentsen Pastoor

[154] Extraordinary Session, Friday, July 17, 1654

Present:

Everyone

After mature consideration of the present juncture of time, this court has for various reasons thought it necessary to call some of the most favorably disposed of the citizens here before the court, to propose to them the necessity and the reasons which this court thinks it has to send a present to the Maquas: first because the Indians, on account of the scarcity of merchandise, have been obliged to give much more than ordinarily for the goods which they usually purchase. It is to be noted, however, that the good inhabitants themselves had to forego what was bought out of their own much-needed supply, which was the cause and origin of the high cost of the same. However, when the ships come here, [it is proposed] to promise them, according to the circumstances, to let them have the goods cheaper, on the old basis.

Secondly, to renew the old alliance and friendship between both sides.

Thirdly, in order that they shall in the future not kill our cattle, as has been happening up until now.

Fourthly, that in case the Indians should again make some presents, as they are accustomed to do, these shall be divided among those contributing, or [according to] their order, in proportion to the amount contributed by them.

Rutger Jacobsz has offered five fathoms of sewant, a kettle, and four axes.
Sander Leendertsen, six fathoms of sewant, three kettles, three axes and two pounds of powder.  
Jacob Schermerhoren, three pounds of powder.  
Commissary Dijckman, four fathoms of sewant.  
Pieter Hertgerts, two pounds of powder, six fathoms ditto, and four axes.  
Volckert Jansz, two pounds of powder, four fathoms of sewant.  
Andryes Herpertsen, four fathoms of sewant.  
Goosen Gerritsen, four fathoms of sewant.  
Frans Barentsz, three fathoms ditto.  
Jan Verbeeck, three fathoms of sewant.

Joannes Dijckman  
Jan Verbeeck  
Jan Thomasz  
Pieter Hartgerts  
Frans Barentsen Pastoor

Tuesday, July 21, 1654

Present:
  J. Dijckman  
  J. Verbeeck  
  J. Schermerhoren  
  Frans Barentsen

Pieter Bronck, plaintiff, against Luykas Pietersz, defendant, about a tavern debt amounting to f193:2:—.  
The defendant having been summoned and an attachment issued against him, the first default is entered against him.

Willem Albertsen, plaintiff, against Ellert Gerbertsen, defendant.  
Defendant’s second default.

Cornelis Houtewael, plaintiff, against Claes Hendrixsz, defendant, as surety for Jacob Jansz Flodder concerning the monthly wages claimed by the plaintiff, amounting to f60:—.  
The defendant’s first default.

Cornelis Gerbrantsen, plaintiff, against Jacob Sijmotsen Clomp, defendant, about f60:11 stivers, for wages earned on the defendant’s yacht.
The court having heard the statements and arguments on both sides, has ordered, as they do hereby, that the defendant shall pay the plaintiff before his departure from here the sum of $60:11:--, demanded, on pain of attachment.

[156] The Heer Johan de Hulter, plaintiff, against Willem Albertsen van Munickendam, defendant, about a jack* loaned by the plaintiff to the defendant, which was not returned in the same good condition as it was delivered by the plaintiff to the defendant.

The defendant says that he returned the same.

The parties may on the next court day appear before this court with their evidence to show whether the jack was returned in the same condition as it was delivered, when judgment in the matter shall be given.

The case of Seeger Cornelisz is adjourned until the next court day, or the first opportunity, to take the oaths of the witnesses.

This court having carefully considered the complaints made to it by Catharina Liberis, widow of Pieter Theunisz van Brunswijck, deceased, at Katskill, and also examined the provisional will made and afterwards approved by this court at the request of the petitioner, with regard to the surviving daughter of the deceased aforesaid, has concluded that in virtue of the will made [by the deceased] the aforesaid widow shall remain in full possession of the property there until such time as she may marry or die and, furthermore, that if she cannot come to a legal agreement with the aforesaid surviving daughter or her husband about the complaints made as aforesaid, they must both leave the farm at once, without being allowed to come on it again for the time of six months, or to give any orders there, on pain, etc. Meanwhile, this court shall in that case settle the matter in such a way as by both sides shall be considered reasonable and just.

[157] Abraham Pietersz Vosburgh being summoned by the commissary and officer to appear in court on account of the offenses committed by him and appearing with his attorney, Andryes de Vos, they both request that the matters pending before this court growing out of [acts] committed by him and his wife, Geertruyt Pietersdr., may at this [critical] juncture of time be resolved and settled by arbitrators to be chosen by both sides. Whereupon the court, taking into account the present dangerous times, condescend to do so, on condition that if they cannot come to any satisfactory agreement, the action shall remain unabated.

* This is most likely a device used to jack up the roof of a hooiberg, “hay barrack.”
On the side of the commissary were chosen and appointed the present honorable magistrates and on the defendant’s side Rutger Jacopsz and Goosen Gerritsen, whose award is inserted here as follows:

Abraham Pietersen Vosburgh and his attorney, Andryes de Vos, having this morning requested in court that the matters in dispute pending before this court [as to offenses] committed by the aforesaid Vosburgh and his wife, in actions, words, or deeds, may be settled by arbitrators, it is by way of compromise agreed by the arbitrators appointed by the defendant on the one side and those appointed by the honorable court on the other side that all causes of action and claims, which the officer may to this date have against the aforesaid Vosburgh, shall be concluded, annulled, canceled, and settled, on condition that the officer shall receive from the aforesaid Vosburgh as a fine the sum of two hundred fifty guilders, one-half to be paid promptly within six weeks and the other half within six weeks thereafter. However, the aforesaid Abraham Pietersz Vosburgh is to remain deposed from his office of surveyor. Andryes de Vos is to retain the garden enclosed by him, but the lot on which he resides and which he enlarged far beyond its proper limits, contrary to the order of this court, shall reduce in size according to the pleasure of this court, without any objection, and the whole extent of the garden of Luykas Pietersz shall revert, as it does hereby, to this court. Provided, finally, that the wife of Abraham Pietersz Vosburgh shall come and appear before this court and declare that she has nothing to say about any of the members but what is honorable and of good repute. For the faithful performance hereof signed with their own hands, this July 15, 1654.* Was signed: Abraham Pietersz Vosburgh. As arbitrators: Rutger Jacobsz, the mark of Goosen Gerritsen, set with his own hand.

Joannes Dijckman
Jan Verbeeck
Frans Barensen Pastoor

Tuesday, August 11, 1654

Present:

Everyone

In response to a communication from the hon. director general, the hon. commissary and Jan Verbeeck are appointed a committee [to confer with

* This date does not agree with that of the court session because the document was drawn up beforehand.
him] in accordance with the commission and instructions to be given to
their honors.

[The court] having heard the report of the honorable committee,
consisting of the commissary and Jan Verbeeck, [appointed to go] to the
Esopus to measure the lands there, and having furthermore examined the
petition of Evert Pels, stating that the lands bought by them looked to the
eye larger than they were actually found to be and requesting that he,
Evert Pels, may be allowed to purchase some of the land which the hon.
general intends to keep for himself, it is decided that the committee, when
it goes to the Manathans, is to confer about the matter there and exhibit
the aforesaid petition.

[159] Whereas some Indians, both Maquas and Sinneken* have this day,
according to ancient custom, made a present to this court, it is, in order
to give them some powder in return, thought proper to present them
among other things with 25 pounds of powder. The Hon. Abraham Staets
is therefore requested to let them have the same from the hon. company’s
powder which is in his honor’s custody, provided that he shall be
discharged by this court from the obligation to account for it to the hon.
general and hereafter communicate to his honor the contents of the
present. The aforesaid Indians have furthermore made some propositions
to which answer in proper form was made.

Joannes Dijckman
Jan Verbeeck
Jan Thomasz
Pieter Hartgerts
Frans Barentsen Pastoor

Tuesday, August 25, 1654

Present:

Everyone

Cornelis Houtewael, plaintiff, against Claes Hendrixsz, or Jacob Flod-
der, defendant, about wages earned by the plaintiff of the defendant,
amounting to the sum of sixty guilders.

The defendant is ordered to pay the aforesaid sixty guilders to the
plaintiff within the space of twenty-four hours, on pain of attachment.

* The Dutch referred to all the Iroquois tribes west of the Mohawk as Sinneken.
Jochem *Becker*, plaintiff, against Daniel Rinckhout, defendant, about some grain sacks which the defendant fetched and borrowed at the house of *Broer* Cornelis and which have not been returned.

The court orders the defendant to return the afore-mentioned borrowed sacks to the plaintiff within two days, on pain of paying a fine of ten guilders.

Geertruyt Pieters, having been legally summoned, the second default is entered against her.

[160] On account of credit given to the Indians, it is decided to grant and draw in favor of the Hon. Jacob Jansz Schermerhoren an order in the sum of f15:–

Upon the petition of the court messenger, Pieter Rijverdingh, it is decided that of the excise money received he is to retain the sum of one hundred guilders, and to put it on his account.

For important reasons it is decided to farm out this very afternoon the wine and beer tapsters’ excise from now until the first of May next, in accordance with the notices posted, and to let the farming proceed. On the conditions read to him, Jacob Hendrixsz Maat became the farmer and gave security in the sum of f1300:– for the period aforesaid.

Gerrit Seegertsen having made a request for a building lot, the matter is postponed until the return of the honorable committee [now] going to the Manathans.

Joannes Dijckman
Jan Verbeeck
Jan Thomasz
Pieter Hartgerts
Frans Barentsen Pastoor
November 1, 1654

Present:

J. Dijckman
J. Thomasz
Pieter Hertgertsz
Frans Barentsz

Geertruyt Pieters, the wife of Abram Pietersz Vosburgh, being legally summoned by the commissary, the third default is entered against her.

Jacob Hendrixsz Maat, having a week ago bid in the farming of the usual tapsters' excise on wine and beer, has presented a certain writing whereby he requests that in order the better to prevent frauds in the matter, the burghers as well as the tapsters may be ordered to obtain a proper certificate from the farmer or the impost master, to wit, of wines and strong beer only, paying two stivers for each certificate, before they be allowed to take them into their houses or get them from the brewers, or unload them from the incoming yachts and store them, and that the former ordinances on the subject may be renewed.

Whereupon it is decided to make the following apostil: Proper action thereon will be taken and for that purpose the ordinances will be published and posted anew and the same will in every way be enforced as is proper.

As to the second point, regarding the brewers, he may at any time inquire, or have inquiry made, what beer is brewed or imported, without being necessitated thereby to make an inspection of the brewers' houses. But the brewers shall not be allowed to furnish any strong beer to the burghers or tapsters until a proper certificate from the farmer, or impost master, or his collector, is shown to them, on pain, etc.

As to the third point, regarding the time within which everyone shall be obliged to get a certificate, or certificates, from the farmer, this is approved, on condition that the impost master shall have to post a notice, or notices, to that effect, stating where the collector resides, or where the certificates may be obtained.

The impost master is promised, however, that [the regulations] will in all respects be properly enforced.

The Hon. Jacob Jansz Schermerhoren having this day a week ago resigned from this court in order to go to patria, his honor is with a clasp of the hand thanked for his good comradeship, the pains which he has taken and the good service performed by him as a magistrate of the
honorable court here, both in the interest of the people and of the Hon. Company. At his honor's request, an extract herefrom will be placed in his hands to serve him by way of testimonial in case of need.

Joannes Dijckman  
Jan Thomasz  
Pieter Hartgers  
Frans Barentsen Pastoor  

Wednesday, September 2, 1654

Resolved to give Abraham Pietersz Vosburgh, in part payment for the two bridges made in Beverwijck, an order on the honorable treasurers for the sum of £75:--.

Joannes Dijckman  

[163] Tuesday, September 9, 1654*

Present:

J. Dijckman  
J. Verbeeck  
J. Thomasz  
Pieter Hertgerts  
Frans Brantsz  

Pieter Bronk, plaintiff, against Jacob Jansz Flodder, defendant, about £920:--, which the defendant acknowledges that he owes, less thirty guilders for some freight brought up the river for the plaintiff.

The parties are to agree about the payment if possible, otherwise they may apply again to this court.

Pieter Bronck, plaintiff, against Luykas Pietersz, defendant, about a tavern debt amounting to £192:13:--.

The defendant admits the debt. The defendant is ordered to pay the aforesaid sum of £192:13:-- to the plaintiff within the time of six weeks, on pain of attachment.

Jan Hendrixsz, plaintiff, against Jan van Aecken, defendant.

* The date should read September 8, 1654.
Claes Gerritsz being summoned by the court declares that Jan van Aecken let Jan Hendrixsz have a half interest in the purchase of the house of Thomas.*

Defendant's first default.

Joannes Dijckman
Jan Verbeeck
Jan Thomasz
Pieter Hartgerts
Frans Barentsen Pastoor

[164] Friday, September 12, 1654†

Present:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J. Dijckman</td>
<td>Pieter Hertgerts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Verbeeck</td>
<td>Frans Barentsz Pastoor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Thomasz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Jacob Hendrixsz Maet, being summoned by the court to testify whether he has not seen with the commissary that Maria Jans, the wife of Steeven Jansz, sold some brandy to the Indian woman or to the Indians, declares that Maria Jans tapped and gave to the Indian woman some brandy in a small pewter bottle and received therefore some sewant in the presence of others, such as Cees Pott, Claes Hendrixsz, and Ariaen Claesz, who for so far as they have seen it have confirmed this statement on oath. Ariaen Claesz declares that he saw a pewter bottle with brandy, which brandy to the best of his knowledge was tapped to the Indian by Maria Jans. The same is also sworn to by Jacob Hendrixsz Maet, who testifies that she poured the brandy which she gave to the Indian woman from a bottle in her cupboard, which he confirms on oath. Claes Hendrixsz declares that he tasted the brandy, but that he did not see from whom or how the Indian woman got the brandy.

Joannes Dijckman
Jan Verbeeck
Jan Thomasz
Pieter Hartgerts
Frans Barentsen Pastoor

* Thomas Sanders; see the October 20, 1654 session.
† The date should read September 11, 1654.
Tuesday, September 28, 1654*

Present:†

J. Verbeeck
J. Thomasz
F. Barentsz

Interrogatories on which this Honorable Court is to examine Marten Ottensen, commonly called Swager‡ Merten.

How old he is and where born? Answer, Thirty-four years, born at Amsterdam.

Whether he has not been at the house of Jacob Luyersz, where Cornelis de Sweet,§ now sailing on de Karremar, was present and on what day?

Says, Yes, and that he was there about the 25th of this month.

[165] Whether he was not called outside by the said Sweet?

Answer, Yes.

Whether among other things the said Sweet did not ask whether he was in any service and had sailed to the cape?

Answer, Yes.

Whether he did not ask said Marten if he intended to serve the queen?

Answer, Yes.

Whether he did not say, “I have something secret to tell you, but you must keep quiet?”

Answer, Yes.

Whether he did not say, “I have come here by order of the queen to spy out this place?”

Answer, Yes.

* The date should read September 29, 1654.
† Johannes Dijckman has been canceled from the list of those present.
‡ Swager: Dutch for “brother-in-law”
§ the Swede
Whether he did not [ask him] again to keep still, as otherwise it would cost them both their lives?

Answer, Yes.

Whether thereupon he did not promise to [let him] be his lieutenant in this service?

Answer, Yes.

Whether he, Swager Marten, the next day, asked the aforesaid Cornelis de Sweet if he knew what he had said to him?

Answer, He said, “Yes, but keep still about it.”

Whether also he did not ask Swager Marten whether he had two or three guns?

Answer, That he did have them at the Manathans. Whereupon he, Cornelis de Sweet, said, “All right, keep still; we’ll see what to do further when we get there.”

This day, the 28th of September, Swager Marten has taken the usual oath on the aforesaid questions and answers in the presence of the attending magistrates.

Jan Verbeeck
Jan Thomaasz
Frans Barenttsen Pastoor

[166] Extraordinary Session, September 30, 1654

Present:

J. Verbeeck
P. Hertgerts
J. Thomaasz

Andryes Herpertsen, plaintiff, against Femmetgen Westerkamp, widow of Hendrick Jansz Westerkamp, deceased, defendant.

The plaintiff demands that the defendant’s daughter serve out and fulfill her term of service according to her promise and contract.

The defendant requests [permission] to take away her daughter in accordance with the promise which she made her deceased husband on his deathbed, unless the plaintiff’s contract be held valid.
The court, having heard the statements and arguments on both sides, order that the promise made by the defendant to her deceased husband on his deathbed shall be carried out, on condition that the defendant's daughter shall live five weeks longer with the plaintiff, in order that he may in that time look out for another servant.

Uldrick Kleyn, having come into court requests that he may be released from confinement and set free, which is granted him on condition that he shall hereafter at the request of this court come to justify himself.

Joannes Dijckman
Jan Verbeeck
Jan Thomasz
Pieter Hartgers

Extraordinary Session, Thursday, October 15, 1654

Present:
J. Dijckman
J. Verbeeck
J. Thomasz
Sander Leendertsen
Pieter Hertgerts
Frans Barentsen

Maria Jans, the wife of Steeven Jansz, plaintiff, against Abraham Crowaet,* defendant, for payment of f148, one stiver according to a judgment of the court of the colony of Rensselaerswijck dated the 27th of August last past. At the request of the commissary and officer, the plaintiff declared that the attachment levied on the goods in the yacht of Jacob Sijmontsz Clomp was not vacated before his departure from here.

Eldert Gerbertsz, plaintiff, against Jacob Sijmontsz Clomp, defendant, about one hundred guilders which Jacob Clomp or the defendant received from the plaintiff and for which [167] he promised to deliver hogs to the plaintiff. [The plaintiff alleges] that the defendant brought some hogs up the river but sold them to others for eighty guilders.

The court, having heard the arguments and debates on both sides, enjoins the defendant from leaving here without having given sufficient

* Abraham Stevensen the Croatian
security. Meanwhile the officer here is requested and ordered on account of the wounding of Ariaen Claesz to take the sails and the rudder from on board the defendant’s [yacht].

The wife of Pieter Cornelisz having requested a lot, consent is given, but the granting of the lot is postponed until the drawing of the lottery.

Joannes Dijckman
Jan Verbeeck
Jan Thomasz
Pieter Hartgers
Frans Barentsen Pastoor

Tuesday, October 20, 1654

Present:
J. Dijckman
J. Verbeeck
J. Thomasz
Sander Leendertsz
Frans Barendsz Pastoor

Steeven Jansz, plaintiff, against Abraham Crowaet, defendant, about the preceding claims.

The court decides that Abraham Crobaet’s chest on board [the yacht] of Jacob Sijmontsz Clomp shall remain attached until the claim is satisfied.

Jan Hendrixsz, plaintiff, against Jan van Aecken, defendant.

The plaintiff says that in company with Jan van Aecken he bought the house of Thomas Sanders. Parties are referred to the Heer Anthoniuss de Hooges, Goosen Gerritsen, Gillis Pietersz, and Rem Jansz Smit, arbitrators, to agree if they can.

Adriaen Dirrixsz de Vries, being summoned to appear in court, complains that some time ago the officer served a notice on him and used many abusive words, complaining of the violence committed.
Cors Boutsen, appearing, requests to know the reasons for his detention. Is told that they will be communicated to him at the proper time.

Marcelis Jansz declares that Cors Boutsen came to his house with a knife on his hat,* wanting to assault him, and complains of violence committed at his house by Cors Boutsen, Luykas Pietersen, and Herman Janssen.

Gillis Pietersen declares that Cors Boutsen stood with a knife on his hat and challenged anyone to take it.

Uldrick Kleyn declares that he heard the window crash through, which the aforesaid three, to wit, Cors Boutsen, Luykas Pietersen, and Herman Jansz, broke in.

Eldert Gerbertsz still demands payment for the 100 planks delivered to Jacob Sijmontsz Clomp, for which he promised to bring him hogs from the Manathans, but which he sold and delivered as security to others, requesting that payment be made therefor and that the goods attached under the warrant of attachment against Jacob Sijmonsz Clomp may remain attached until he is satisfied. The request is granted.

Lambert van Valckenburgh has requested to have a lot. Postponed until the drawing of lots.

Hendrick Gerritsz requests to have a garden in connection with the house which he built on the lot purchased by him. He is notified that the matter will be taken into consideration at the first opportunity.

Theunis Cornelisz van Slingerlant requests a lot. Postponed until the drawing of lots. Likewise [the requests of] Albert Andriesz and Barent Albertsen.

Claes Jacopsz requests a lot. Postponed until the drawing of lots.

Joannes Magapolensis having requested to have a lot, a lot will be assigned to him at the hill, when the drawing of lots takes place. Carsten Carstertsen† and Gerrit Segertsen have also requested lots. Postponed as above.

* In the Dutch, met het mes op de hoedt, appears to be equivalent to the metaphor “with a chip on his shoulder”; in this instance indicating an aggressive action in which one person dares another to take the knife from his hat. Knives, pipes, and other objects were commonly carried by sticking them through slits in the crown of the hat.

† The patronymic is unclear. It could be an attempt at Carstensen or the name Caspertsen, which does not appear again.
Extraordinary Session, Wednesday, October 21, 1654

Present:

J. Dijckman
J. Verbeeck
J. Thomasz
Sander Leendertsen
Frans Barentsen Pastoors

Gillis Pietersen being duly subpoenaed and summoned, declares that Marcelis Jansz and Cors Boutsen both had their knives out and that Cors Boutsen came with a knife on his hat to Marcelis's house and again challenged anyone to take it.

Uldrick Kleyn declares that when they first came to the door they wanted perforce to have [beer] tapped and broke open the window through which Luykas Pietersz jumped in first; that Marcelis fetched his sword to defend himself, but that he [Pietersz] remained inside by force and that thereafter Cors Boutsen and Herman Jansz also broke in and, coming inside, tapped beer, in spite of the tavern keeper, from a half barrel which they gave away there to others.

Cors Boutsen admits that he also climbed through the window and that the window was broken open, but he does not know exactly by whom.

Luykas Pietersz declares that seeing Marcelis standing there, he was the first of the three to climb through the window and further declares as above.

Herman Jansz declares that he also climbed through the window but that, contrary to the declaration of Luykas Pietersz, he did not see Marcelis standing before the window.

Uldrick Kleyn declares that he heard the breaking of the window and that he saw them break in, to wit, Luykas Pietersz, Cortsz, and Marceles, whereupon and on all of this [evidence] it is decided to hold the persons of Cors Boutsen, Luykas Pietersen, and Herman Jansz in custody in irons until further orders.

Jacob van Loosdrecht asks for a lot. Deferred to the drawing of lots.
Joannes van Twiller requests permission of the court to have a door leading out of the fort. Decided to write about it to the hon. general [170] and to submit the matter to his wise and superior judgment. Meanwhile, the court is of the opinion that for the freedom of the fort it would be better and more suitable if he had [a door] on the west side, within the fort. However, they leave the matter to the pleasure of the hon. general.

Joannes Dijckman  
Jan Verbeeck  
Jan Thomasz  
Frans Barentsen Pastoor

Tuesday, November 3, 1654

Present:  
J. Dijckman  
J. Verbeeck  
J. Thomasz  
Sander Leendertsen  
Frans Barentsen Pastors

Jacob van Loosdrecht, plaintiff, against Maria Jans, being the wife of Steeven Jansz, defendant.

The plaintiff declares that the defendant sold brandy to the Indians and that he saw it.

Maria Jans declares that she said to the plaintiff that she would not do it for fifty, or even one hundred guilders, and that she did not sell any brandy to the Indians. She requests that the matter may be disposed of.

The officer having put the matter into the hands of the magistrates, it is decided not to make any decision regarding it until the case of Uldrick Kleyn is taken up also.

Jan Roelofsz is chosen and appointed surveyor besides Herman Bas-tiaensen, and is bound hereafter to take the surveyor’s oath.

Wednesday, November 25, 1654

Oath taken by Jan Roelofsz, surveyor, chosen and appointed by this court on the 3d of this month:
I promise and swear in the presence of Almighty God that without [favor or] prejudice to any one I shall do the surveying in the presence of [the two members of the] [171] committee of this honorable court. So help me God Almighty.

This day, on the date above written, Jan Roeloffs, surveyor, has taken the surveyor's oath.

Claes Hendricxs Timmerman requests a lot
Willem Jansz Stoll, [a lot]
Jan Labatie, a lot
Jan Lammertsz Soldaet, a lot
Adriaen Claesz requests a lot
Jacob Adriaensz Soo Gemackelijck, [a lot]

Joannes Dijckman

Tuesday, December 2, 1654*

Present:

J. Dijkckman
J. Verbeeck
Sander Leendertsen
Pieter Hertgerts

The honorable consistory here, plaintiff, against Claes Ripsz, has requested in the presence of the defendant that the defendant may be examined on [interrogatories] as follows:

We, the undersigned, Gedeon Schaets, minister, Rutger Jacobsz, elder, and Anthony de Hooges, deacon, being appointed a committee by the honorable consistory, request that the honorable court of Fort Orange and Beverwijck may be pleased to have Claes Ripsen, summoned before the court at our instance, according to our duty, answer the following interrogatories and then to take into consideration our arguments.

First, whether Klaes Ripsz does not admit having had intercourse with the sister [of] the wife of Teunis Jacopsz?

Answer, Yes.

* This date should be December 1 for a Tuesday; the following session was either held on the same day or December 8 was intended.
Whether he promised to marry her, or not?

Whether he has acknowledged that he is the father of the child of which the aforesaid woman was recently delivered, or not?

Whether he has requested to have the banns of marriage proclaimed here, or not?

Whether he was informed that it had already taken place, or not?

And for certain reasons he is asked whether he does not often sleep with her yet under one cover as man and wife?

All this, or the foregoing, being admitted by Klaes Ripsz, he is asked whether he is willing to marry her, or not?

When?

Answer, Yes, that he would marry her.

Answer, Yes.

Answer, Yes.

Answer, Yes, that he was so informed.

Answer, Yes.

Answer, Yes.

Says, He expects news from his father, whom he has written about it.

Especially that all excuses and evasions about this are absurd; if she should pass away, seeing that humans are mortal, that the same is consequently full of risk.

Firstly, because, although it may be argued that they are engaged, this is neither binding nor legal, as long as they are not united in marriage in the customary way.

Secondly, because as a consequence thereof the aforesaid child would be illegitimate.

Thirdly, because of people who live together like man and wife one can never know when the woman will again be pregnant by him.

Therefore, in view of the inevitable results and consequences above mentioned, we come to the positive conclusion that in order to avoid all scandal, to prevent further excesses, to promote good order, to maintain justice and finally to fulfill our bounden duty, Klaes Ripse must be united
with the aforesaid person at the first opportunity. Whereupon we expect the judgment of the honorable court aforesaid. Thus done in Fort Orange, the first day of December 1654. Was signed: Gedeon Schaets, pastor in loco, Rutger Jacopsz, elder, and Anthonius de Hooges, deacon.

The honorable court of Fort Orange and Beverwijck, having heard the arguments and debates on both sides, condemn the defendant to marry the aforesaid person even this day, and as the aforesaid woman is sickly and cannot well take the trouble [to go out], the Reverend Gedeon Schaets is requested to [perform the marriage at her house]. Otherwise, if he, Claes Ripsz, refuses to do so, he shall immediately be put in irons, until he marries her. Which being proposed to him, it was found that he had nothing but frivolous excuses to offer and therefore was put in irons.

J. Dijckman
Jan Verbeeck
Pieter Haertgerts

[174] Tuesday, December 1, 1654

Present:
J. Dijckman
J. Verbeeck
Sander Leendertsen
Frans Barentsen

Andryes de Vos, plaintiff, against Claes Gerritsz, Cornelis Pietersz, and Jan Andryesz, defendants, to give testimony to the truth, whether it is not known to them when the Heer Rensselaer, [either himself] or through Jan Barentsz Poest, took possession of the farm of Jan Barentsz Poest. They declare that they were there at that time and heard, to wit, Claes Gerritsz, that the removal of the grain by Andryes de Vos was refused and that he was forbidden to have further access to the barn, such being done by them jointly, or as the deponents declare by Jan Barentsz Poest, offering to confirm this on oath.

Baeffgen Pieters, plaintiff, against Maria Jans, defendant, in a dispute about a water pail.
Maria Jans claims that the aforesaid pail was given to her.

Baeffgen Pieters, plaintiff, against Aert Otterspoor, defendant, about a tavern debt of f19 or f20.
Defendant’s first default.
The Heer Johan de Hulter, having come into court, has exhibited a certain letter written to Cristoffel Davitsz by the hon. general. It is decided to have the same copied and in addition to notify him, Christoffel Davits, that he must give the aforesaid gentleman peaceful possession [of the land] in response to his complaints and if he sees fit have the case argued by his agents.

Maria Jans, plaintiff, against Abraham Crabaet, defendant.

The defendant's third default and he is ordered to satisfy the plaintiff before his departure, on pain of imprisonment for debt.

[176]* Pieter Bronck, being subpoenaed by the court, declares that he took a pair of compasses,† out of the hand of Jacob Flodder and broke them, while holding them during a dispute with Hendrixsz, deceased, but whether he, Flodder, intended to do any harm with them he does not know.

Mr. Jacob Chirurgijns declares that Jan Gouw cut Piet Bout across the hand.

Jan van Hoesem has brought into court f80:—, in addition to the f40:—, making together one hundred twenty guilders, in payment in full by Jan Fransz van Hoesem for the lot of Willem Juriaensen, according to the judgment [against him] by this court, dated the 25th of November last. Done.

Joannes Dijckman
Jan Verbeeck
Frans Barentsen Pastoor

Tuesday, December 15, 1654

Present:

J. Dijckman
J. Verbeeck
Jan Thomasz
Sander Leendertsz
Frans Barentsen Pastoors

Maria Jans, plaintiff, against Abram Crowaet, defendant, for f17:10:—

* E. B. O’Callaghan inadvertently omitted page 175 while numbering this volume.
† passer: the instrument used for describing circles and transferring measurements
and sixty guilders heretofore disbursed for convening the court in extraordinary session, and that he shall not depart from here until he has paid sixty guilders on account and in part payment of the sum of f138, with costs.

Whereas Maria Jans, the wife of Steven Jansz, defendant, on the third of November last, acknowledged before this court that she sold brandy to the Indians, it is decided that the honorable magistrates shall send her a sealed memorandum stating what she therefore and on that account ought to pay to the officer; that she must comport herself according to their good advice, with further warning not to do so anymore in the future, on pain of arbitrary correction.

[178]* Cornelis, who lives with Poest, has been assigned lot No. 5.

Joannes Dijckman
Jan Verbeeck
Jan Thomasz
Frans Barentsen Pastoor

Tuesday, December 22, 1654

Present:

J. Dijckman
J. Thomasz
San. Leendertsz
Pieter Hertgertsz

Interrogatories on which this honorable court, at the request of Andryes de Vos, is to examine Rijck Rutgertsz van Bunnick and Goosen Gerritsen.

Whether the witness, to wit, Goosen Gerritsen, does not admit having been some time ago with the plaintiff on the farm of Jan Barentsz Poest to trade together in regard to some dry boards and that they agreed about the purchase?

He admits that he heard it.

* Page number 177 was inadvertently omitted from the volume.
Whether he, Andryes de Vos, did not then go out of the door and among other things complain that against his will he had been forcibly deprived by Jan Barentsz of the use of the barn for the threshing of both oats and peas, and that he had thus far been unable to get a copy of the contract, and that he did not know at all how he must govern himself?

Whether he, Andryes de Vos, did not send him, Goosen Gerritsen, to go to the honorable secretary, Anthonius de Hooges, to ask for a copy of the contract about the purchase of the [179] wheat and that the plaintiff, Andryes de Vos, would get it two days later when he came to the fort?

Also, whether Secretary de Hooges did not reply then that the Heer Rensselaer had the contract and had locked it in his desk and that therefore he could not do so?

Whether Rijck Rutgertsz was not present on the farm and whether he acknowledges that he was present in the barn when the Heer Rensselaer and Monsr. Adriaen vander Donck and servant came there while they were busy putting the wheat in the barn as they were not allowed to put it in the barracks?*

Answers, Yes.

Answers, Yes.

Answers, That upon this request he went to Anthonius de Hooges.

Answers, Yes, that he asked for it, but received for answer that the Heer Rensselaer had locked it in his desk. This declaration he has confirmed by oath.

Answers, Yes.

* [hooi]bergen: “hay barracks,” four or five posted enclosures with moveable roofs
Whether he, Rijck Rutgertsz, does not acknowledge that he then did not know that the Heer Rensselaer had forbidden to put it in there because the summer wheat had to be put in there and that he was entitled to the barn as well as Andryes de Vos, as buyer of the grain, as he had bought his wheat on the same condition?

Which declaration Rijck Rutgertsz has confirmed by oath with uplifted fingers.

[180] Tuesday, December 22, 1654

Present:

J. Dijckman
J. Thomasz
Sander Leendertsen
Pieter Hertgerts

Jan Thomasz, plaintiff, against Willem Jansz Schut, defendant.

The plaintiff declares that the defendant has again hired himself out for a year at f240 and free washing.

The defendant denies it and says that he would rather leave than serve out the aforesaid time.

The court, having heard the arguments and pleadings on both sides, forbids the defendant to leave, or to hire himself to anyone in this jurisdiction during the aforesaid time, but orders him to serve out his time with the plaintiff, on pain of banishment, the defendant being further ordered to behave himself before any departure.

Roeloff Jacopsz, plaintiff, against Hans Inckluis, defendant, for f23:8:—

The defendant admits the aforesaid debt and promises to pay it within the time of six weeks.

Roeloff Jacopsz, plaintiff, against Merten Metselaer, defendant, for f5:8:—.

The defendant agrees to pay this at the first opportunity.

Luykas Pietersz, being summoned to appear in court, has with uplifted
fingers declared under oath that he is not guilty of breaking open Marcelis's window.

Cors Boutsz's defense having been heard, he is ordered to deliver 40 planks to the plaintiff.

Jan van Aecken, plaintiff, against Carsten Meyndertsen, defendant, about some hardware for which they sent beavers to Holland for joint account.

Defendant's first default.

Jacob Hendrixsz Maet has brought into court £160:— in seuntant, in payment of the first term of the lease of the excise, [181] which is entered here for future use.

Joannes Dijckman
Jan Thomasz
Pieter Hartgerts

Extraordinary Session,
Wednesday, December 23, 1654

Present:

J. Dijckman
Sander Leendertsen
Pieter Hertgerts
Frans Barentsz

Jan van Aecken, plaintiff, against Carsten Fredrixsz, defendant, about some beavers sent for joint account to Holland to obtain hardware, which has now arrived and is in the hands of the defendant.

The court, having heard the arguments and debates on both sides, orders the defendant to deliver to the plaintiff the goods sent over and to settle all accounts even this day, if it is possible, and the defendant is ordered to pay the costs of this extraordinary session, amounting to £28:10:—.

Joannes Dijckman
Pieter Hartgerts
Frans Barentsen Pastoor
[182] Tuesday, January 13, 1654 *

Present:

J. Dijckman
J. Verbeeck
Sander Leendertz
Pieter Hertgers
Jan Thomasz
Frans Barentsz

Evert Pels requests this honorable court that whereas by balance of accounts $276:7:-$ is due him from Jan van Bremen, the latter's property at Katskill may remain attached until he first and before all [others] shall have been paid.

There being likewise due to the Hon. Pieter Hertgerts from the said Jan van Bremen the sum of $270:-$, he requests that he may be promptly paid as soon as Evert Pels shall have been paid.

And there being due to Willem Fredrixsz Bout from the aforesaid Jan Dirrixsz van Bremen about three hundred guilders, he requests that the first two persons being paid he may and shall then, upon production of proper proof, be paid for one-third.

Which requests being approved, they are communicated to Jan Dirrixsz van Bremen by letter, to regulate himself accordingly in paying the persons who had his property attached.

Joannes Dijckman
Jan Verbeeck
Jan Thomasz
Pieter Hertgers
Frans Barentsen Pastoors

[183] Tuesday, January 26, 1654 [1655]

Present:

J. Dijckman
J. Verbeeck
J. Thomasz
Sander Leendertz
Pieter Hertgers
Frans Barentsz

* error for January 12, 1655
A petition was read of Jacques Thijsz, requesting permission to have the use of the lot granted to Mr. Jacob Chirurgijn* situated next to the lot of Commissary Dijckman. It has been decided to state in an apostil that for certain reasons, instead of the aforesaid lot, another lot, at the hill, has been granted to the petitioner, but if his wife and children should also need another lot for themselves, he may then again apply to this court.

Upon the request of Jacob Jansz Stoll of the one part and Claes Hendrixsz van Wtrecht of the other part about the [exchange of] said first party’s two houses standing in this fort and the other party’s [house] standing at the Manathans, at present occupied by [left blank], the commissary and officer here, together with the Hon. Sander Leendertsz and Pieter Hertgers, are appointed by this honorable court to have said parties come before them this morning to convey [said houses] legally to one another as they sold them in accordance with the contract of sale.

Klaas Ripsz, being summoned by the court and once more questioned in regard to the declaration made by him on the second of last December, has again persisted in said declaration, but there being read to him the declarations made on the 4th of the month at the request of the commissary and officer by the Reverend Domine Gidiony Schaets and Adriaen Jansz van Leyden, as shown by the said documents, [184] the aforesaid defendant, Klaes Ripsz, has suddenly denied the testimony given by him and declared that he knows nothing about the substance of it. It being then decided to summon the Reverend Domine Gidiony Schaets and Adriaen Jansz aforesaid to appear as witnesses in the presence of the defendant, the said witnesses, who deserve full credence, have made their former testimony seem conclusive, whereupon the officer has demanded sentence.

Having stood outside and being sharply examined in regard to the offenses committed by him in word and deed, the defendant has confessed his guilt, admitted that he acted wrongly, and prayed for forgiveness, which is granted with the understanding that the first time he is again heard to utter such words, he shall be punished as an example to others and that he must pay fifty guilders to the commissary and officer.

Joannes Dijckman
Jan Verbeeck
Jan Thomasz
Pieter Hartgers
Frans Barentsen Pastoor

* Jacob de Hinse, surgeon
Tuesday, February 2, 1655

Present:

J. Dijckman
J. Thomasz
San. Leendertsz
Pieter Hertgerts
Frans Barentsen Pastoors

At the funeral of the child of Hendrick Jochemsz, Claes Gerritsz said that Cornelis Vos had given the houses the [nick]names that are in circulation, which Hendrick Jochemsz overheard in the presence of Jacob Hap.

A written statement was read, signed by the Hon. Anthonius de Hooges and Adriaen Jansz van Leyden, of this date, regarding the matter of Cornelis Vos and Claes Gerritsz, in general as to the well-known accusation that Cornelis Vos and Claes Gerritsz had a hand in giving the names that are in circulation, according to common knowledge and the declaration of everyone, as may be seen more fully from the statement.

Jacob Hendrixsz Maet, plaintiff, against Pieter Bronck, defendant, for

\[
\begin{align*}
&f129.14:- \\
&23. 2:- \\
&f152.16:-
\end{align*}
\]

Of the old account of the farmer [of the excise], \(f274\) must [be credited] to his account toward payment of the second term, on account of wages earned by Abram Jacobsz, deceased, on the new Company’s house, leaving \(f93:18\), which Pieter Bronck must pay in addition to what the farmer receives.

Jochem Becker declares that Cornelis Vos gave the [nick]names and that he heard so from the mouth of Claes Rotterdam, his servant, at the harvest feast of Oom Dirrick.

Jochem Becker and Jan van Hoesem request that, as the old captain’s house is no longer fit for occupation, unless proper repairs are made first on it.

Before any repairs are made, fearing that an accident may take place, it is decided to have a further inspection made at a convenient opportunity.
Claes Gerritsz being summoned by the court and being asked whether he knew who was guilty of bestowing the [nick]names that are in circulation, declares that some time ago, sitting in the evening at the house of Cornelis Vos, he heard out of his own mouth that he had given the following names:

First, the house of Jan Thomasz, “The Cuckoo’s Nest” [*het Koeckoeck Nest*];
Goosen Gerritsen’s house he gave the name of “Concord” [*de Eendracht*];
Rut Jacopsz’s house “The Whistling Wind” [*Soesende Wint*], to the best of his knowledge;
The house of Jan van Aecken, “The Finch’s Nest” [*het Vinckenest*];
The house of Andryes Herpertsz, “The House of Ill Manners” [*’t Huys Onbeschot*];
The house of Philip Pietersz Schuldert, “Flying Wind” [*Vliegende Wint*];
Evert Wendel, “The Griffin” [*de Vogel Grijp*];
The house of Gerret Jansz, “The House of Discord” [*Haspel in de Sack op het Dack*];
The house of Dirck Jansz Kroon, “The Savingsbank” [*de Spaerpot*];
He also declared having heard the house of Mr. de Hooges mentioned, but that the exact name had escaped him.
Mother Bogaerdus’s house, “The Vulture World” [*de Gierswerelt*];
The house of Volckert Jansz is called “The Bird Song” [*de Vogelesanck*];
The Heer Rensselaer’s house, “Spoiled Early” [*Vroegh bedorven*];
Pieter Hertgerts’s house, he named “The Little Sparrow” [*het Huymusgen.*]†
Claes Gerritsz has with uplifted fingers confirmed the foregoing on oath.

A petition was read of Adriaen van Ilpendam, schoolmaster, praying for the exclusive right to keep day and night school and that the other one who begins to keep night school may be enjoined from doing so. It is

* It is difficult to understand the significance of these names beyond a literal translation. The real meaning is probably obscured by allusions clear to the seventeenth-century Dutch community but no longer evident to us today. For example, *Haspel in de Sack op het Dack* translates literally as “Spool in the bag on the roof”; however, the actual intention may lie in a deeper meaning that ties together an allusion evoked by this expression and a characteristic of the person involved.
† also an expression for a homebody
decided to note in the margin that the honorable court can for the present not find sufficient reasons for forbidding said schoolmaster to do so.

J. Dijckman
Jan Thomasz
Pieter Hartgers
Frans Barentsz Pastoor

[187] Tuesday, February 23, 1653 [1655]

Present:
J. Dijckman
J. Verbeeck
J. Thomasz
San. Leendersz
Pieter Hartgers
Frans Barentsz Pastoors

Upon the complaint of Grietgen Jacopsdr., that Cors Boutsz had assaulted her on the public street and annoyed her, it is decided that the officer shall gather further information about the circumstances and that meanwhile Cors Boutsen shall be released from his provisional confinement on [promise] to reappear and defend himself.

Eldert Gerbertsz requesting that he may be allotted a garden in connection with his lot, the matter is postponed for certain reasons until the river is open.

Jacob Hendrixsz Maet being summoned to appear in court and the charges against him having been read to him in regard to the things committed and done by him late last Sunday evening, he is ordered to submit his counter evidence on the next court day.

Willem Fredrixsz being summoned to appear in court declares that Sijmon de Backer and he, being at the house of Willem Fredrixsz, heard it stated that Claes Gerritzsz had named the eating house "Seldom Satisfied" [Selden satt] and the house of Cornelis Vos "The Finch's Nest" [het Vinckenest].

Sijmon de Backer being subpoenaed declares as above, to wit, like Willem Fredrixsz.

Grietgen Jacopsdr. declares that Cors Boutsen last evening pulled her
apron by force from her body and that she was forced to leave it in his hands and that it was kept by him, Cors Boutsen, although, as Grietgen Jacopz declares, she was not then asked for any payment. [Thus declared] in the presence of Adam Dingeman, to whose testimony she, Grietgen Jacopsdr., [188] refers and who may be further examined in regard to it.

Joannes Dijckman
Jan Verbeeck
Jan Thomasz
Pieter Hartgers
Frans Barentsen Pastoors

Thursday, February 25, 1655

Present:
J. Dijckman
J. Verbeeck
J. Thomasz
San. Leendertsz
Pieter Hertgerts
Frans Barentsz Pastoors

There was read a written petition of Pieter Adriaensen Soo Gemackelijck, stating that the impost master, Jacob Hendrixsz Maet, last Sunday caused to be attached two and a quarter barrels of good beer and also had them removed without first having notified him, notwithstanding the beer was brewed of his own grain, and excusing himself on the ground of former usage and custom in the matter.

Whereupon the honorable court has decided to give for answer that the petitioner was not unacquainted with the fact that he was not allowed to export any strong beer, wine, or distilled liquors out of this jurisdiction without having first secured a proper certificate from the impost master. Concerning which, in order the better to convince him thereof, he is hereby reminded of the ordinances of the hon. general and the high council published and posted to that end for several years and at different times, from which the petitioner had sufficient and definite knowledge on the subject and therefore was not allowed to do so on pain of the penalty thereto provided. Although he maintains that the beer was brewed from his own grain, the honorable court, knowing that the aforesaid ordinances were not published and posted without his knowledge, judge, according to the custom of the fatherland, that no
strong beer may be removed from one place or jurisdiction to the other, on pain of confiscation of the same, which being a custom of the fatherland, according to whose laws and regulations they must govern themselves in this country, they declare the aforesaid beer confiscated and furthermore, for good reasons, [condemn the petitioner] to pay the sum of fifty guilders to the impost master.

Interrogatories on which Hendrick Jansz Reur, court messenger of the colony of Rensselaerswyck, is to be examined at the request of the commissary and officer here.

First, whether before or about the time of the high water he was at the house of Steeven Jansz, called de Vrouwe Maria?*

Whether Herman Jansz van Valckenburgh was there, or came into the house?

Whether at that time he did not in a violent outburst say in the presence of the bystanders that the last time he was in irons he had seen the wife of the aforesaid commissary consort and whore around with other persons, indicating the size of the horns that were put on the commissary?

Who else was present?

Whether the deponent did not upbraid him and said: “You should keep still, for if the husband hears of it, he will fight with you?”

Whether he has anything else to say regarding it?

Answer, Yes, that he was there at that time.

Answer, He was in the house at that time.

Declares, Yes.

Supposedly, Jacob Loosdrecht.

Answer, Yes.

Answer, No.

* “The Woman Maria”; Steven Jansen’s wife appears in the records as Maria Jansen and was also known as Maria Goosens alias Lange Maria, “Tall Maria.” The tavern was named after her and probably had a sign hanging out front with this inscription on it.
On the 26th day of February, Hendrick Jansz Reur has confirmed these interrogatories by oath with uplifted [190] fingers.

Interrogatories on which this honorable court at the request of Commissary Joannes Dijckman is to examine Jacob Hendrixs Maet, he to answer categorically yes or no.

First, how old he is and where was he born?  
Says, About 24 years and born at Loosdreght.

Whether before or about the time of the recent high water he was at the house of Steeven Jansz, called de Vrouwe Maria, and when? 
Says, He does not know.

Whether at that time Harman Jansz van Valckenburgh, commonly called Scheele Herman* was not sitting there, drinking? 
Says, He saw him drinking.

Whether he did not hear him relate and declare that when he was last in irons he, Herman Jansz van Valckenburgh, aforesaid, saw the wife of the commissary aforesaid consort and whore around with others, showing with outstretched arms the size of the horns which were put on the said commissary’s head?

Answers and declares, Yes.

With offer, if need be, to confirm the foregoing by oath, this 26th day of February 1655.

Whether he has anything more to say and what?

Answers, No.

* "squint-eyed" Herman
Thursday, February 26, 1654 [1655]

Interrogatories on which Loys Jacobusz is examined in court

Present:

As above

First, how old he is and where born? About 22 years and born at Herentaels.*

Whether some time ago, and how long, at the house of Adriaen Jansz van Leyden, in the hallway, he did not reveal to Mr. de Hooges the scandalous name that was given to him, or his wife?

Answers, Yes.

Secondly, he is asked from whom he heard it?

Declares, That he heard it from Susanna de Truy, the wife of Evert Wendel Kleermaker.

Loys Jacobusz has offered, if need be, to confirm this, his declaration, by oath, and it is decided to subpoena both persons, the wife of Evert Wendel as well as Loys Jacobusz, to appear on the next court day.

Joannes Dijckman
Jan Verbeeck
Jan Thomasz
Pieter Hartgerts
Frans Barentsen Pastoor

Friday, February 26, 1654 [1655]

Present:

J. Dijckman
J. Verbeeck
J. Thomasz

* Herenthals, a city in the province of Antwerp, Belgium
The written demand and conclusion drawn up by the officer against Herman Jansz van Valckenburgh on account of various serious crimes committed by him having been examined, sentence in the matter is postponed until tomorrow.

[192] Saturday, February 27, 1655

Present:
Everyone

The written demand and conclusion of Joannes Dijckman, commissary and officer here, against Herman Jansz van Valckenburgh, at present in custody, being read and reread, the following sentence is pronounced on account of the crimes committed by him:

Sentence

Herman Jansz van Valckenburgh, at present in custody on account of various crimes committed by him, has, after being confronted with all evidence, voluntarily confessed that he committed them.

Firstly, the prisoner, some time ago, of his own accord, together with his accomplices broke open the window of Marcelis Jansz, of which complaint was lodged with the honorable court by the officer, and which, he confesses, was done by him out of pure mischief. Then, after the window was broken open, he climbed into the house and according to the complaints of Marcelis Jansz assaulted and molested him in his house; scattered the fire over the floor while starting a fire on the hearth of the inside room, without allowing the woman or the man of the house to come into the inside room to extinguish the fire, which had begun to burn one of the uprights and the floor; until finally the aforesaid Marcelis was assisted by the officer, who upon his complaint about assault went with him and found the conditions as described [193] and caused the fire to be extinguished; without which to all appearances the house would have suffered considerable damage from the fire that was started, indeed, would have been totally ruined.

Furthermore, secondly, he has confessed that recently when they
were riding the goose,* he (be it said without disrespect) defecated on man servant or one of the servants of the Heer de Hulter, commonly called Voogel, from head to foot on the public road, which he publicly confesses in accordance with the testimony thereof secured by Adriaen Claesz; therefore, violating and disturbing the peace on the public highways and streets.

Last Monday evening, coming to the house of Steeven Jansz, he threatened to beat him, Steeven, in his house, whereupon [the said Herman] taking off his coat, he, Steeven, was forced to turn [for help] to the officer, who took him into custody, first asking the witnesses who were present whether it was true, who declared [it to be] absolutely [true], as may be seen more at large by the declarations.

About the time of the recent high water, sitting at the house of Steeven Jansz with his legs in irons, he declared openly that he had seen the wife of Commissary or Officer Dijckman whore around with several persons, showing with outstretched arms the size of the horns which he saw put on the commissary, according to the information and sworn interrogatories procured thereof, which being read to him, he has publicly denied the same and declared that he knew nothing about it, the prisoner declaring that he was drunk that evening and that the next day he was informed by others that he had said so, but that he knows nothing about the aforesaid [194] person but what is consistent with honor and virtue.

Furthermore, he has here and there made much noise and created many disturbances by fighting, etc., which he must admit himself.

The honorable court, having heard these and other complaints and the same having been proved by his own confession, have condemned the prisoner, as they do condemn him on the demand made by the officer upon due evidence, pronouncing sentence in the name and on the part of the high and mighty lords the states general, his Highness the Prince of Orange, the honorable directors of the West India Company, and the honorable general and the high council of New Netherland, as follows: Herman Jansz van Valckenburgh shall be brought to the place where it is customary to execute justice and be put in the flogging iron, with a few rods hanging from the post above his head and on his chest a sign with the words "False Accuser." Furthermore, the prisoner shall be banished from this jurisdiction for the period of six consecutive years, upon the sole condition that, if the prisoner hereafter commits any further

* A game played at Shrovetide, sometimes referred to as the festival of Bacchus, in which a greased goose was suspended from a pole and riders on horseback attempted to carry it away by grabbing its neck. Objections to the event probably centered more on its association with a Catholic festival than on the accompanying drunkenness and disturbances.
offenses, the old charges and the new shall be dealt with together as they
deserve, the honorable court being moved to mitigate and reduce the
sentence to this extent in the hope that he may improve his conduct,
although the crimes committed by him demand greater and severer
punishment. Thus done and sentenced the 26th of February, and executed
on the 27th of this month of February, in full view of the people who
were present.

Joannes Dijckman
Jan Verbeeck
Jan Thomasz
Pieter Hartgers
Frans Barentsen Pastoor

[195] Tuesday, March 2, 1655

Present:
J. Dijckman
J. Verbeeck
J. Thomasz
San. Leendertsz
Pieter Hertgerts

Herman Jacopsz, plaintiff, against Claes Hendrixsz, defendant, about
one month and six days’ house rent which the plaintiff claims is due him
from the defendant. The respective parties being heard, it is decided to
refer them, as is done hereby, to referees and arbitrators, to wit, Andryes
Herpertsz and Rem Jansz Smit, to agree if they can.

Jan Barentsz Wemp, plaintiff, against Claes Gerritsz, defendant, about
a wagon which is broken and which was loaned by the plaintiff for the
use of the defendant. It is decided that the plaintiff, if he considers his
case just, may prosecute the matter further.

Cornelis Vos is given a copy of the deposition about the missing tub of
butter, to file his answer thereto on the next court day.

Joannes Dijckman
Jan Verbeeck
Jan Thomasz
Pieter Hartgers
Tuesday, March 16, 1655

Present:

J. Dijckman
J. Verbeeck
J. Thomasz
San. Leendertsz
Pieter Hertgertsz

Jacob Hendrixsz Maat, plaintiff, against Steeven Jansz Timmerman, defendant, about one hundred boards according to the note signed by the defendant.

The defendant admits the aforesaid debt and agrees to satisfy the plaintiff as required one month before the departure of the ships from this country for patria.

The honorable schout of the colony of Rensselaerswijck, plaintiff, against Claes Hendrixsz Timmerman, defendant, about certain interrogatories read to the defendant on account of the wounding of Evert Pels and Gijsbert Cornelisz,* as appears more fully from the document which is returned to his honor, together with the confession.

Jan Barent Wemp, plaintiff, against Claes Gerritsz, defendant.

Jan Thomasz, as attorney for the plaintiff, alleges that the wagon loaned by the plaintiff was broken by the defendant, but the defendant says that he did so in the service of Andryes de Vos.

Ordered that the plaintiff may summon the defendant, or Andryes de Vos, on the next court day to answer his complaint.

Wijbrugh Jacobsdr., plaintiff, against Aelgen Jansdr., defendant, on account of some abusive language said to the plaintiff at her house.

The defendant admits having said them, but appeals to the testimony of Hester, the wife of Herman Bastiaensen, whom the plaintiff may cause to be subpoenaed on the next court day.

[197] Cornelis Vos, being summoned to appear in court, is ordered and notified on the next court day promptly to clear himself of the charge of having given the familiar nicknames; also to explain the meaning of the words and to declare what induced him thereto and who advised him to do so and whether he did it alone, or who helped him with it.

* Other sources such as VRBM, 833 and 837 indicate that this is Gijsbert Cornelissen van Breuckelen and not Van Weesp.
Rem Jansz *Smit* requests a lot at the hill for his brother-in-law, Michiel *de Karreman*, which is granted him on the same conditions that are granted to others, the gardens to be assigned afterwards by lottery.

Jan van Hoesem and Jochem *Becker* request that the old captain’s* house, which is unfit to be used any longer as a bakery, may be put in a proper state of repair. They are notified that before anyone undertakes to bake in it, it must first be properly repaired, in order that no dreaded fire may break out there in the future.

And as to the charge that some bakers, who are freemen, bake without having taken the oath, it is decided to issue further resolutions on the subject, as elsewhere no one is allowed to exercise a trade who has not taken the burgher oath.

Upon the request of Ysbrant Eldersz to have a lot near the Third Kill, it is decided that an inspection shall be made of it.

[198] Hendrick Gerritsz *Kleermaker* and Rem Jansz *Smit* having appeared before the honorable court, Hendrick Gerritsz aforesaid acknowledges that he is satisfied and paid by Rem Jansz for a certain house and lot, standing and situated in Beverwijck, as he, Hendrick Gerritsz, bought the same lately from Rut Arentsz *Kleermaker*, deceased, bounded on the south by a wagon road, on the west by a plain, and on the east by Lourus Jansz, or whoever bought the house of him; hereby completely conveying and transferring said house and lot to the purchaser, Rem Jansz, from now on forever, without the grantor retaining any further right or interest in the same, just as it was granted and conveyed to him, Hendrick Gerritsz, by the curators appointed to administer the estate of the aforesaid Rut Arentsz *Kleermaker*, deceased; requesting that this conveyance made this day before the honorable court may be held and remain inviolate and that extracts from this register may be issued to the parties to serve them in the future. Which request being granted, copies will be issued as required.

Upon the written demand and conclusion of Joannes Dijckman, commissary and officer here, against Jacob Hendrixsz Maat, defendant, on account of deeds committed by him at the house of Steeven Jansz, both within and outside of the door, according to the evidence thereof, and also on account of drawing his knife on the 21st of February aforesaid, in the evening, and his own confession in the matter, it is decided to

* Willem Jeuriaensz
condemn the defendant to pay a fine of one hundred fifty guilders for the benefit of the aforesaid officer, with costs, payable promptly within forty-eight hours, without any exemption.

Joannes Dijckman
Jan Verbeeck
Jan Thomasz
Pieter Hartgerts

The same date.

Given and granted to the honorable magistrates five lots at the hill, marked No. 1 to No. 5.

Sander Leendersz, No. 1, on the west side of Philip Pietersz.
Frans Barentsz, No. 2, on the west side of Sander Leendertsz.
Pieter Hertgerts, No. 3, on the west side of Pieter, Frans Barentsz.
Jan Thomasz, No. 4, on the west side of the guardhouse.
No. 5, on the west side, adjoining it.*

Joannes Dijckman
Jan Verbeeck
Jan Thomasz
Pieter Hartgerts
Frans Barentsen Pastoor

[200] Tuesday, April 27, 1655

Present:

J. Dijckman
J. Verbeeck
J. Thomasz
Pieter Hertgertsz
Frans Barentsz Pastoors

Joannes Dijckman, commissary and officer here, plaintiff, against Abraham Pietersz Vosburgh, defendant.

Firstly, in the matter of a promissory note in the sum of two hundred fifty guilders in favor of the plaintiff, given by way of compromise for the crimes committed by the defendant, on which, in the presence of his arbitrators, Rutger Jacopsz and Goosen Gerritsz, he promised to pay one-

* The name of the owner of this lot was not recorded.
half within six weeks and the other half three months later, according to his own request and that of his arbitrators, but which has not yet been paid. The defendant appearing before this honorable court, he is for the reasons alleged by him given additional time to make payment within three weeks from this date, on pain of immediate attachment.

Secondly, the defendant is notified that insofar as he extended his lot contrary to the orders and regulations, he must draw in his lines within forty-eight hours, as otherwise the officer in his official capacity, by order and command of this honorable court, shall have to do so. However, he is warned once more and, if unwilling, [the officer is] to proceed with the execution of the first, as well as the second, order at the expiration of the periods aforesaid.

Joannes Dijckman, commissary and officer, plaintiff, against Cornelis Vos, defendant.

The defendant, appearing, hands in his defense, stating that he is not guilty of nicknaming the houses and persons, in direct contradiction to the oath of Claes Gerritsz. Whereupon it is decided that the officer must bring in his replication in legal form on the next court day, for the purpose of examining the parties with reference thereto.

[201] Grietgen Nanninghsdr., plaintiff, against Jan Witmont, defendant, on account of a pewter can with brandy which was stolen, [the plaintiff] requesting the return of her can.

Whereupon it is decided to notify her that this honorable court shall at the first opportunity take care that the afore-mentioned can be returned to her.

Claes Jacobsz promises to make the payment on account of his lot at the hill within forty-eight hours.

The commissary and officer here, plaintiff, against Jochem Becker, defendant, on account of a pail of good beer found some time ago among the Indians in going the rounds, referring to the testimony and declarations of Willem Jansz Stoll and Marcelis Jansz.

Jan Thomasz, plaintiff, against Willem Jansz Schut, defendant. The plaintiff refers to the testimony and declarations of Arent de Noorman and Marcelis,* but during the session of this honorable court the defendant has agreed to serve the plaintiff for two months, a week or two more or less, which will begin on the first of May next.

* Marcelis Jansen; see next page.
The honorable magistrates, Jan Verbeeck and Pieter Hertgerts, are appointed and chosen treasurers of this honorable court to receive the excise moneys of the impost master as well as the moneys for the lots which have been granted.

Evert Pels, plaintiff, against Pieter Bronck, defendant, because Pieter Bronck, in spite of the attachment of the property in the hands of Jan van Breemen, has not paid but removed the grain that was attached.

The defendant, that is to say, the plaintiff, refers to the testimony of Arent de Noorman and Marcelis Jansz, who were present in Katskill and whom the plaintiff may cause to be subpoenaed on the next court day.

[202] Femmetgen Albertsdr., plaintiff, against Jacob Willemsz de Wolff and Gerrit Slegtenhorst, defendants, the defendants being absent, about a bolting chest which was loaned to the defendants and which she needs and which she loaned to the defendants only at the leasing of the house.

The commissary and officer agrees to notify the defendants that they must return the chest free of costs and undamaged as they received it and do so immediately.

Maria Jans, wife of Steeven Jansz, is notified that she must promptly pay for the bed sold to the Hon. Pieter Hertgerts, with her consent and notwithstanding the attachment, or else deliver the bed to his honor within the time of three weeks.

The preceding two pages have been poorly entered by mistake and are therefore rewritten as follows: *

Giertgen Nanningsdr., plaintiff, against Jan Witmont, defendant, about a pewter can with brandy, which was stolen. Requests that the aforesaid can be returned.

Whereupon it is decided to notify her that this honorable court will at the first opportunity take care that the aforesaid stolen can be returned to her.

Claes Jacobsz promises within forty-eight hours to make the required payment for his lot at the hill.

The commissary and officer here, plaintiff, against Jochem Becker,

* At this point Dijckman was forced to recopy the minutes because of errors either noticed by himself or by others.
defendant, about a pail of good beer, found some time ago among the Indians by [the guard going] the rounds.

The defendant refers to the testimony and declarations of Willem Jansz Stoll and Marcelis Jansz, who are to be further examined about it.

Jan Thomasz, plaintiff, against Willem Jansz Schut, defendant, about a renewal of contract of service by the same, the defendant [203] to swear to the truth [of his statements]. Said service is alleged to be for the period of four months, commencing at the opening of the trade.

Parties thereupon agree and the defendant promises by hand clasp that he will serve the plaintiff for the period of two months, commencing the first of May next, or a week or two later, provided that he shall receive reasonable wages.

The honorable magistrates Jan Verbeeck and Pieter Hertgerts are chosen and appointed treasurers of this honorable court to receive the excise moneys of the impost master as well as the money that is promised and due for the lots that have been granted.

Evert Pels, plaintiff, against Pieter Bronck, defendant, because Pieter Bronck, notwithstanding the three attachments that were issued against the grain in the possession of Jan van Bremen, has not paid, but removed the grain thence. The plaintiff refers for corroboration of his statements to the testimony of Arent Andries and Marcelis Jansz, who being absent, but having been with the plaintiff at Katskill, may again be summoned to appear on the next court day to give further testimony in the matter as is proper.

Femmetgen Albertsdr., plaintiff, against Jacob Willemsz de Wolff and Gerrit Slechtenhorst, defendants.

Defendants’ first default, on account of a bolting chest, delivered to the defendants and which she needs, being delivered by the plaintiff only at the leasing of the house.

The commissary and officer agrees to notify the defendants that they must return the aforesaid bolting chest free of costs and undamaged as they received it, namely, immediately and as is proper.

[204] Maria Jans, wife of Steeven Jansz, is notified that she must promptly pay within three weeks for the bed sold to the Hon. Pieter Hertgerts, with her consent and in spite of the attachment, which was issued, or in default
thereof deliver the bed to his honor at the expiration of the aforesaid time.*

Extraordinary Session, Tuesday, May 1, 1655†

Present:

J. Dijckman
J. Verbeeck
J. Thomasz
Pieter Hertgerts
Frans Barentsz
Volckart Jansz

Volckart Jansz, appearing in court, protests against the way they now begin to set off the lot of the poorhouse and requests that a note be made thereof upon further inspection.

Pursuant to a letter sent to the honorable court by the honorable high council of New Netherland under date of the 11th of April last past, showing that his honor, Volckart Jansz, has been chosen by the honorable high council of New Netherland as a magistrate of this court and as a replacement on this bench of justice, in the stead of Jacob Jansz Schermerhoren, who has gone to Holland, [the said Volckart Jansz,] being summoned and the aforesaid order and letter having been read to him, has with some exceptions taken the following oath as magistrate of this honorable court.

The oath of Volckart Jansz reads as [205] follows: I, Volckart Jansz, chosen by the honorable high council of New Netherland as magistrate of this honorable court, to fill the place which has become vacant, promise and swear that as a good and faithful magistrate I shall to the best of my knowledge help this honorable court to administer law and justice, as required. So truly help me God Almighty.

On this date Volckart Jansz took his seat as magistrate of this honorable court and was wished much success therewith.

* This is the end of the repeated section.
† May 1, 1655, fell on a Saturday.
Jacob Jansz Flodder being arrested for some slanderous words spoken about this honorable court, is notified that next Tuesday at nine o’clock he must take care to appear and at the same time hear the demand and conclusion which the commissary and officer shall make and institute in regard thereto.

Femmetgen Alberts again requesting the return of her bolting chest, she is told that she may have her opponents summoned to appear on Tuesday next.

Rut Jacopsz requesting how much shorter he must make his garden on the west side, he is told that the court when it adjourns shall make a further inspection thereof and show him.

Herman Bastiaensz Roymeester,* requests that he may be discharged from his office of surveyor, or properly sustained therein, complaining among other things that Willem Teller has again moved out his palisades and that others had done likewise.

[The court decides that] he shall be upheld in his capacity of surveyor and that Willem Teller shall be notified that he must before Tuesday next move back the newly set palisades of his garden, on pain of having the honorable court act thereon and have the aforesaid extension removed.

Abraham Pietersz Vosburgh, appearing before this honorable court, requests that upon paying the fine for which he gave a note to the officer in the sum of $250:–, he may be exempt from the obligation to move back the fence of his lot, which is granted him on certain conditions, he to appear before this honorable court on Tuesday next, when, if the court approve of it, the piece of ground that has been added shall be granted anew, if it seems advisable and the court is satisfied therewith.

[207] Tuesday, May 9, 1655†

Present:

J. Dijckman
J. Verbeeck
J. Thomasz
Pieter Hertgerts

* _rooimeester_: “surveyor of buildings”
† _error for May 11, 1655_
Frans Barentsz
Volckert Jansz

A petition was read of Herman Jacobsz van Amsterdam, praying that he may be qualified as beer carrier. Whereupon it is decided to note in the margin that the brewers' helpers thus far perform this work themselves and that for this reason it cannot be taken away from them. And as for delivering the bread grain, that there is already a carter to do this.

Joannes Dijckman, commissary and officer here, has delivered to the court a certain replication to the answer filed by Comelis Vos, which it is decided to place in the hands of the opponent to file his rejoinder thereto on the next court day, to justify himself if he can.

A petition was read of Hendrick Jochemsz, requesting restitution of the one hundred guilders which he advanced to the hon. general and the honorable members of the high council, the same to be applied with others toward the payment of duties. Whereupon it is decided that the commissary and officer shall at the first opportunity when he goes to the Manathans take the aforesaid petition with him to promote the restitution through one merchant or another, or else and especially, as he bought therewith some goods of Theunis Tempelier, to promote the settlement or payment thereof, to wit, of the aforesaid one hundred guilders.

And as to his request for permission to build a room as an extention of his house, the commissary and officer shall be given orders to promote that as much as possible.

[208] A petition was read of the bakers of Beverwijck, requesting in the first place that the weight of bread be regulated. Whereupon it is decided to comment that this honorable court will do so at the first opportunity.

As to the abuse that some bakers continue to bake without having taken the oath, this will be prevented as much as possible.

And as to the request that they may form a guild, the honorable members of this court consider this for the present for certain reasons not advisable.

Pieter Bronck, being summoned, is enjoined from tapping strong beer, for the reason that he brews the same, in whatever manner it may be, and on acting contrary hereto he shall the first time forfeit 25 guilders, the second time forfeit 50 guilders, and the third time receive arbitrary correction, provided that he shall be permitted to draw the wines which he has now in the cellar according to the gage.
Rut Jacobsz, plaintiff, against Femmetgen Alberts Geverts, defendant, about the sum of about $400 which is due to the plaintiff by the defendant according to the account rendered thereof and of which the plaintiff demands payment.

The court, having heard the statements and arguments on both sides, order and condemn the defendant, Femmetgen Alberts, to pay the plaintiff each half year, in part payment of the account, the sum of one hundred guilders, promptly, on pain, etc.

Pieter de Vlamingh requests lot No. 5, toward the hill, of which a further inspection will be made.

[209] Marcelis Jansz and Arent Andriesz, being summoned to appear in court, declare at the request of Evert Pels that they heard from the mouth of Jan van Bremen that [Pieter Bronck] promised to pay Evert, but as the aforesaid person, being here, hid himself, it is decided that in returning from the Manathans they shall, if they please, in passing bring the aforesaid Jan van Bremen with them, in order that he may give an account of himself here before the honorable court.

Claes Jansz Timmerman and Willem Jansz Stoll declare that they heard Rijndert Pietersz say that he heard from the mouth of Dirrixsz van Bremen that Pieter Bronck had promised to pay Evert Pels, for which reason Evert Pels requests that Jan Dirrixsz van Bremen be summoned to come here at his own expense, if in the wrong, to appear before this honorable court to justify himself, which is granted.

Geurt Hendriksz, plaintiff, against Philip Pieters Scheuler, defendant, about an interest in a dragnet which the defendant bought of Geurt Hendriksz, whereupon it is decided that in order to learn the truth he may have those who entered the partnership and had an interest in the dragnet summoned to appear on the next court day, when the parties will be further examined.

Maria Jans, wife of Steeven Jansz, being summoned by the officer on account of a pewter pint measure, which she sold to the Hon. Pieter Hertgerts and which was stolen and given [210] to Jan Witmont and which belonged to Giertgen Bouts, she is notified that she must turn over to the
Hon. Pieter Hertgerts what she received for it in order that the missing pint may be returned free of costs and undamaged to Giertgen Bouts.

Jan Gauw requesting that he may have the lot which was heretofore granted to Carsten living in the *Grene Bosch* and which was not built upon within the proper time, this is granted him on condition that he agree with the officer and satisfy him with regard to the fine to be paid because the lot was not built upon within the stipulated time.

Hendrick Jochemsz is granted permission to have the burgher guard shoot the parrot on the third day after this coming *Pijnghsteren* provided he keeps good order and takes care that no accidents occur or result therefrom.

Steeven Jansz, plaintiff, against Jan Jansz, defendant, about some money due him for tavern expenses.

The defendant is ordered to pay the plaintiff one-half now or within a month and the other half two months later, promptly, without any exceptions.

Maria Jans, wife of Steeven Jansz, is ordered by this honorable court to suspend tapping in this fort for the period of two weeks from this date, precisely.

The commissary and officer is ordered to pay within the space of forty-eight hours to Jacob Jansz Flodder the sum of fifty-six guilders, being the same as what the officer received from the hands of the aforesaid Flodder on account of the late Hendrixsz, but the officer retains, nevertheless, entirely his cause of action on account of his

* "pine woods," now called Greenbush, a section of the city of Rensselaer; the English mistook Dutch *grene* "pine" for English "green," giving rise to such local placenames as Greenbush and Green Island.

† *den papegay door de burgerij te laten schieten:* an annual festival in the Netherlands, held on May Day or at Pentecost. Accompanied by three days of feasting, the local marksmen attempted to shoot the figure of a parrot from the top of a pole. The winner was proclaimed king of the marksmen until bested. See J. Ter Gouw, *De oude tijd* (Haarlem, 1874) 282; and George McCall Theal, *The History and Ethnography of Africa South of the Zambesi,* (London, 1909) 2:316.

‡ i.e., *pinkster* "pentecost," the Greek word for fifty; in the Christian calendar it indicates the seventh Sunday after Easter.
misbehavior in the public street on Saturday last in calling the honorable commissary and some magistrates of the court here bloodhounds and tyrants steeped in strong drink, for which he may proceed against him when time and opportunity offer themselves. *

The court of the colony of Rensselaerswijck, learning that the result and outcome of the fight between Steven Jansz and Jacob Hendricksz Maat, offers and furnishes them good reasons and motives for being vigilant and watchful with respect to the proper administration of justice according to their bounden duty, the fact in the case being that Steven Jansz was wounded and struck by the aforesaid Jacob Jansz† with a knife in his left side in such a way that he is in danger of losing his life and considering that the aforesaid fight took place within the district and jurisdiction of the aforesaid colony, they therefore propose and submit the matter to the honorable court of Fort Orange and Beverwijck with the friendly request, if Jacob Hendricksz above mentioned should happen to stay or sojourn in their honors' jurisdiction, that they would be pleased by legal process to deliver him into the hands of their officer in order that he may take full charge of the case [212] and proceed against his person as shall be found proper according to law, the equity hereof being founded on the reciprocal duty to accommodate [the court of Fort Orange and Beverwijck] in case a similar situation should present itself on their side, their honors being well aware that this is a mutual obligation resting upon all, especially associated, courts. Whereupon they expect a speedy resolution and answer, since there may be periculum in mora.‡

Done at the session of their honors of the aforesaid colony, this 2d of July 1655.§

Below was written:

By order of the same,

was signed: A. de Hooges, secretary.**

* At this point Johannes Dijckman ceased to keep the minutes of the court. It is presumed that he was incapacitated by drunkenness and insanity, and relieved of his office. Dijckman was replaced by Johan de Deckere.
† a mistake for Hendricksz
‡ Latin for "danger in delay"
§ error for June 2, 1655
**Anthonij de Hooges was secretary of the patroonship of Rensselaerswijck from 1644 to 1655.
Tuesday, June 8, 1655

Present:

J. Verbeeck
J. Thomasz
Sander Leendersz
P[iete]r Hartgers
Fr. Barentsz
V[olker]t Jansz

Rem Jansz Smit, plaintiff, against Willem Hap, defendant, about the final payment of 550 guilders in beavers, which the defendant still owes on the house bought of the plaintiff, standing in Fort Orange.

The court, having heard the arguments and pleadings on both sides, order the defendant to satisfy and pay the plaintiff the aforesaid sum of 550 guilders according to the bill of sale within three weeks, or at the latest at the end of the month of June, promptly, without any exception.

Andries de Vos, appearing, requests a copy of the testimony and interrogatories secured and drawn up some time ago by the honorable court.

[213] The impost master, Jacob Hendricksz Maat, being summoned by the honorable court to turn over to the court the third and last payment or term of the amount bid by him at the farming out of the excise here, has done so on his appearance in court, so that he has paid in full what he owed for the excise on wine and beer, from the first term to the last.

Same Date

Maria Goosens, wife of Steven Jansz, charged with and having confessed to the sale of some brandy to the Indians, is ordered to pay a fine of 300 guilders and prohibited from coming into this place for a year and six weeks, and this by way of pardon and intercession in her behalf on the part of the magistrates.

Tuesday, July 13, 1655

Present:

J. de Deckere*
J. Verbeecq
J. Tomasz
S. Leendersz
P[iete]r Hartgers
F[rans]s Barentsz
V[olker]t Jansz

* On June 21, 1655, Johan de Deckere was appointed commissary and vice director. In December of 1656 he went back to the Netherlands to settle private affairs. De Deckere returned to New Netherland in May of 1657 as receiver general and member of the council.
Johan de Deckere, commissary and officer here, _ex officio_ plaintiff, against Juriaen Jansz, defendant.

The plaintiff states and the truth is that the defendant on the 7th of this current month of July has not hesitated in the presence and hearing of the honorable magistrates, Pieter Harties and Frans Barentsz Pastoor, to denounce in scandalous, villainous and contemptuous terms the ordinance against going into the woods to trade, published on the first of July last, and to speak of it in such a way as if the magistrates of this court were thereby trying to reserve the entire trade to themselves; also, to make the aforesaid gentlemen, and hence the entire court, out to be and to call them so to speak before the whole world louts, who were incapable of carrying out the provisions of their placards and ordinances against those who violated them, all of which are matters of serious consequence in a well-regulated country where justice and government prevail, which ought not to be suffered, but severely punished.

Therefore, the plaintiff, in his capacity aforesaid, demands that the defendant shall be condemned to withdraw his statements here in court and furthermore, bareheaded, with folded hands, and on bended knees pray God and the court and the aforesaid two honorable magistrates for forgiveness, declaring that he is heartily sorry and promising that he will nevermore in the future do the same, nor anything like it, and that in addition he shall be condemned to pay for the benefit of the plaintiff the sum of six hundred guilders, with costs, or such other amount, etc.

The honorable members of this court having heard the verbal testimony of the defendant, condemn him to declare publicly that he spoke ill and that he is heartily sorry about it and further condemn him to pay for the benefit of the officer the sum of eighty guilders, to be paid within twenty-four hours, on pain of being apprehended. One-third hereof is set aside for the poor.

[215] _Idem_, plaintiff, against Willem Bout, defendant.

He demands condemnation in a fine of [blank] guilders because the defendant on the fourth of July last, on Sunday evening, after the ringing of the bell, continued to serve and tap liquor, etc.

Remitted.

_Idem_, plaintiff, against [blank].

He demands _ut supra_, because the defendant after the aforesaid time sat drinking.

_Ut supra._

_Idem_, plaintiff, against [left blank].
He demands *ut supra* because *ut supra*.

*Ut supra.*

[216] Tomas *Clabbert*, plaintiff, against Theunis Jacobsz, defendant.

He demands condemnation in the sum of f2,270:--., on account of the purchase of certain grain, less the amount that has been paid, etc.

The honorable court having heard the parties and also Andries de Vos, who interposed and undertook to carry on the defense for the defendant, and having taken into consideration all that is to be considered in the matter, condemn the aforesaid defendant and Andries de Vos, each *in solido*, to tender and pay the aforesaid sum of f2,270:--., less the amount that has been paid, provided that one paying, the other shall be released, without prejudice to the cause of action for damages which the defendant and the interposer think they have against the Heer Renselaer and his associates, for various reasons. *Actum ut supra.*


Jan Labatie, plaintiff, against Gillis P[ieter]sz.

Both absent.

Tierk *de Carreman*, plaintiff, against Abram Pietersz Vosburgh.

Both absent.

[217] Marcelis Jansz, plaintiff, against Corn. Pot, defendant, for the sum of f1101:1:--., for tavern expenses.

The magistrates condemn the defendant to pay the amount asked within the space of fourteen days.

Marcelis Jansz, farmer of the wine excise, jointly with the officer, plaintiffs, against Daniel Vervelen, defendant.

He demands that the defendant be condemned to pay a fine of five hogsheads of wine, or the value thereof. Also that a certain hogshead of wine, which on the 23d of May last was removed by the defendant without a permit, be declared confiscated, or [the defendant be condemned] to pay the value thereof, or other penalty, etc.

The court, having heard the parties on both sides and taken everything into consideration, declares the hogshead of wine, which according to his own confession was removed by the defendant on the 23d of May last, confiscated, provided that the defendant may redeem the same for the sum of eighty guilders. The second demand is denied.

Volkert Jansz and P[iete]r Hartgers, magistrates, cause it to be noted in
connection with the aforesaid sentence that it was decreed against their advice, it being on the contrary their opinion that the defendant ought to be absolved, provided he declare that the aforesaid hogshead is the same as that which he entered on the 15th of May at the farmer's office.

[218] Theunis Jacobsz, plaintiff, against Andries de Vos, defendant.

He demands that the defendant be condemned to indemnify him, the plaintiff, with reference to the judgment heretofore demanded by Tommas Clabbert against the plaintiff.

The defendant agrees to carry on the defense for the plaintiff.

Whereas it is said that Gerrit Banker through a certain Indian let some other Indians with their beavers come into his house, and Rut Jacobsz, Jacob Teunisz, Evert Wendel, and Philip P[iete]rsz, were then near there, they, being subpoenaed to testify to the truth for the benefit of the commissary and officer here, in his official capacity, have deposed and declared as follows:

Ruth Jacobsz declares that he asked the said Indian, and Philip P[iete]rsz, and Jacob Teunisz that they heard [him ask], whether the Indian had traded with certain Indians, to which the said Indian said, Yes.

The aforesaid Philip P[iete]rsz, and Evert Wendel declare in addition that the said Indian came out of the house of the said Philip P[iete]rsz, and that the said Indian spoke to them and picked up a certain package of beavers belonging to them and went away with it, whereupon all the other Indians speaking to the first Indian followed him and together were seen to enter the house of Gerrit Banker.

[219] Interrogatories upon which, at the request of Johan de Deckere, commissary and officer here, are to be heard and examined under oath Tomas Paulw, Willem Teljer and Sijmon Leen, all being summoned to testify to the truth.

Firstly, to ask the deponent's ages. Tomas Paulw declares that he is 54 years old; Willem Teljer, 39; and Sijmon Leen, 34 years.

Secondly, whether on last Wednesday the 7th July, they did not hear and see that a dispute and quarrel arose between Jochim de Backer and Gerrit Slechtenhorst, about the piling up of some wood? They declare, Yes.
Likewise, whether immediately after the said persons did not each pick up an ax and take hold of it to hack each other and whether this was not prevented and stopped by the intervention of the deponents?

Fourthly, whether he, Jochim de Backer, after the said separation, did not run home and, returning with a naked sword in the hand, went for the aforesaid Slechtenhorst?

[220] Fifthly, whether the said Slechtenhorst, seeing this, did not retreat to the house of the aforesaid Tomas Paulw, but was followed into the said house and whether the said Jochim, being seized by the said Tomas Paulw, lowered the sword and let him take it, or gave it to him?

Sixthly,* whether the said Slechtenhorst, seeing the said Jochim without sword, did not begin to fight with Jochim in the house of the said Tomas Paulw and whether the said Jochim in the course of the struggle and while the said Slechtenhorst lay underneath did not tear at his male organs in a scandalous way, causing him to yell and scream?

Whether the said Jochim, being threatened by Sijmon Leen to have his hand cut off if he did not stop tearing at the aforesaid improper place, was not somewhat frightened and disturbed thereby, got up and ran home through the bakery, out of the back part of the house?

They declare, That the said persons each took up an ax, but that they were stopped and prevented [from using them] and that Jochim de Backer was the first to take up the ax.

They declare, Yes.

Tomas Paulw and Sijmon Leen declare, Yes. Willem Hil says, That he knows nothing about it.

Sijmon Leen declares, That things took place as stated. Tomas Poulw declares, That he saw the said persons fight in his house. Willem Teljer declares, That he knows nothing about it.

Sijmon Leen declares, As stated. Tomas Paulw declares that he saw the said Jochim run away. Willem Teljer declares that he knows nothing about it.

* The original has “Fifthly” by mistake.
Whether they, the witnesses, did not also see that the said Slechtenhost then also ran home and returned with a naked cutlass, challenging the aforesaid Jochim to fight with him to the death, or to see who would be the bravest?

And what else followed after that?

Sijmon Leen and Tomas Paulw declare, As stated. Willem Teljer declares, That he saw the said Slechtenhorst come with a naked sword.

They declare, That Jochim de Backer then ran away and the said Slechtenhorst returned home.

Thus done and deposed on the date above written.

Claes Gerritsz appeared before the honorable magistrates and declared that in satisfaction of a certain judgment of the honorable court given under date of the 12th of May 1654 in favor of Rut Jacopsz for the restitution of the 20 beavers belonging to Brant van Slechtenhorst, which are in his custody, he specially binds his house and lot and furthermore his person and property, nothing excepted, submitting them to the control of all courts and judges.

Actum ut supra.

There was read a petition presented by Jochim Backer, Jacob Willemsz, Tomas Paulw, and Daniel Ringhaut, bakers, on which was entered and given the following apostil:

The magistrates hereby prohibit and forbid the petitioners to put any sugar, currants, raisins, or prunes in any bread which they bake and hence to sell the same, on pain of forfeiting fifty guilders for the benefit of the officer.

Actum ut supra.
Wednesday, July 14, [1655]

Present:

Everyone

Johan de Deckere, commissary and officer here, *ex officio* plaintiff, against Jochim *de Backer*, defendant.

The plaintiff says and declares it to be the truth that the defendant on the 7th of July tried to attack and injure the person of Gerrit Slechtenhorst with an axe, and being prevented from doing so, ran after him and pursued him with a naked sword into the house of Tomas Paulw; also that he fought with him there and tore at the male organs of said Slechtenhorst in a very scandalous way, trying to mutilate and ruin him, being only prevented from so doing by threats, whereby, in addition to disturbance of the public peace, also private injury and violence has been committed against the person and in the house of the said Tomas Paulw.

The plaintiff, therefore, in his capacity aforesaid, demands that the defendant [223] be condemned to pay a fine of one thousand guilders. The defendant requests a copy of the demand together with a copy of the interrogatories.

*Idem*, plaintiff, against Gerrit Banker, Herman Vetter.

The plaintiff says that it is the truth that the defendant lately, through a certain Indian, has not hesitated to entice some other Indians to come with their beavers into his house, contrary to the ordinance issued against it.

The plaintiff, *ex officio*, as above, therefore demands that the defendant be fined three hundred guilders and in addition be suspended from the exercise of his trade for the period of one year, all in accordance with the ordinance aforesaid, or [that the court impose such] other penalty [as it may see fit].

The magistrates, having given the defendants the privilege to deny the charges upon oath and they remaining thus far in default, have nevertheless granted them time until the next court day to take the oath, on pain of being condemned to pay the fines and penalties provided by the ordinance. Meanwhile the defendants are prohibited and enjoined from carrying on any trade or barter with the Indians until next Tuesday.

[224] Jacob Hap, appearing before the court, requests an apostil on his petition presented heretofore, namely, praying for permission and consent to purchase of the native Indians a small piece of land situated [blank].
It is decided to postpone the matter until the return of the honorable general* and to notify him that in case consent is given, he shall be the first one in line.

*Monsieur* Jan de Hulter appearing before the court requests letters of recommendation to the honorable council in New Netherland, in order that they may be pleased to pass and to cause to be published a certain ordinance to inhibit, restrain and control the insolence, opposition and disobedience of his servants in particular and others in general.

It is decided to communicate and recommend this to the honorable council.

[225] Tuesday, July 20, 1655

Present:

Everyone except Sander Leendertz

Interrogatories on which at the request of Johan de Deckere, commissary and officer here, ex officio, are to be heard and examined under oath Rut Jacobsz, Jan Daret, Philip P[iete]rsz, Goosen Gerritsz and Andries Jacobsz, all subpoenaed by the court to testify to the truth.

First, whether they, the deponents, on Friday last, did not hear a certain Indian acknowledge, confess and affirm that without any previous gift [on his part] he had received as a present from Catelijn Sanders, wife of Sander Leendertz, a piece of cloth, then shown by him and thrown around his body? They declare accordingly.

Whether the said Indian did not declare also that he still had all his beavers and that before [leaving] he would first trade with the said Catelijn? Declare, As above.

* Petrus Stuyvesant, director general of New Netherland, was absent from the colony on a voyage to Barbados and Curaçao from 24 December 1654 until 11 July 1655.
Whether the said Indian did say also that he would receive some more presents and what they were?

They declare, As above and that he would receive in addition to the piece of cloth a cloth remnant and stockings.

[226] What else can they testify to in this matter?

They declare, That they know nothing more about it.

Johan de Deckere, commissary and officer here, _ex officio_ plaintiff, against Catelijn Sanders, wife of Sander Leendertsz, defendant.

He demands that the defendant be fined three hundred guilders and suspended from her business for a year, in view of the fact that she has recently, or last week, undertaken to give or donate a piece of cloth to a certain Indian, contrary to the ordinance issued against the making of presents, or such other penalty, etc.

The defendant admits that she gave the Indian a piece of cloth, but that she received from him first two beavers and then a lynx coat.

The plaintiff persists in his demand by way of replication.

The defendant persists by way of rejoinder.

The plaintiff asks that the defendant make oath.

The magistrates order the defendant to declare under oath that she received as present from the aforesaid Indian first two beavers and then a lynx coat and that in return therefor she gave the said Indian a piece of cloth.

The defendant took the oath.

[227] _Idem_ plaintiff, against Jacob Backer, defendant and prisoner.

The plaintiff says and the truth is that the defendant last Friday did not hesitate to declare openly and in so many Dutch words that the sentence, meaning and referring to the sentence passed last Tuesday against Daniel Vervelen, was a false sentence; and whereas this is an intolerable wrong and flagrant insult to the honorable magistrates of this court, whom the plaintiff by virtue of his office ought to and is bound to defend.

Therefore, the plaintiff demands that the defendant and prisoner be condemned to make honorable and profitable amends for this wrong; honorable [amends], by appearing in court and there, bareheaded, with folded hands, and on bended knees praying God and the court for forgiveness and acknowledging that the said words thoughtlessly escaped him and consequently, that he is heartily sorry; and profitable [amends], by paying for the benefit of the plaintiff the sum of six hundred...
guilder, or some other amount.
The defendant denies the charges.
The plaintiff persists.

[228] Idem plaintiff, against Jochim de Backer.
The defendant to reply.
The defendant answers promptly in writing.
The plaintiff persists by way of replication.
The defendant by way of rejoinder requests copies of the plaintiff’s evidence.

Pieter Rijverdingh, plaintiff, against Huybert Jansz, defendant, for payment of the sum of £53:3:— on account of the purchase of a barrel of Holland beer.
The defendant admits the debt and requests eight days’ delay.
The court decides on favor of the plaintiff and grants the requested delay.

Johan de Deckere, commissary and officer here, ex officio plaintiff, against Gerrit Banker, to testify in accordance with the order made on the last court day.
The defendant refuses to take the oath.
The plaintiff therefore demands that he be condemned to pay the fines and penalties provided by the ordinance.
The court allows the defendant eight days’ time to make the said declaration under oath, on pain as stated in the previous order. Meanwhile, the defendant is prohibited from doing any trading or bartering with the Indians.

[229] There was read a petition of Teunis Corn[elisz] van Vechten, praying that he might be authorized and have the privilege to drive the cart, to the exclusion of others.
It is decided to defer the matter until the homecoming of the honorable general* and, in case the going around with the cart be granted to anyone in particular, to procure that he shall be the first in line for the position.
Actum the 20th of July 1655.

* See note, page 201, regarding Stuyvesant’s whereabouts.
Tuesday, July 27, 1655

Johan de Deckere, commissary and officer here, *ex officio* plaintiff, against Gerrit Slechtenhorst, defendant.

The plaintiff says and declares it to be the truth that the defendant on the 7th of July last ventured first to pick and start a quarrel with Jochim Wesselsz *Backer*, then to threaten him with a hatchet, and afterwards to fight with said Jochim *de Backer* in the house of Tomas Paulw, and on being separated from him by others, to pursue the said Jochim with a naked cutlass in his hand and to strike with the said cutlass against the transom bar of the door of the said Tomas Paulw, thereby, in addition to the public disturbance, committing personal injury and violence against the person and house of the aforesaid Tomas Paulw.

Therefore, the plaintiff demands that the defendant be condemned to pay a fine of six hundred guilders, or some other penalty, etc.

The defendant obtains a copy of the demand.

[230] *Idem*, plaintiff, against Jochim Wessels *Backer*, defendant, to produce his evidence and desist from producing [further testimony].

The defendant agrees to submit all his evidence on the next court day and then to desist from further production [of evidence].

*Idem* plaintiff, against Gerrit Bancker, defendant, to take the oath in conformity to the order of the preceding session of the court, on pain as stated therein.

The defendant says that as yet he is not willing to take the oath.

The plaintiff requests consequently that defendant be condemned to pay the fines and penalties provided by the ordinance referred to and mentioned in the aforesaid order.

The magistrates, seeing that the defendant not only remains in default, but openly in court refuses to take the oath, condemn the defendant to pay a fine of three hundred guilders and prohibit the defendant from doing any trading or bartering with the Indians for the period of an entire year.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Marcelis Jansz, defendant, because the defendant last Sunday served or continued to serve drinks during divine service.

Demands that the defendant be fined six guilders in accordance with the ordinance.

The magistrates condemn the defendant to pay a fine of four guilders.
[231] *Idem* plaintiff, against Willem Jansz Stoll. Demands that the defendant be fined three guilders because he sat drinking last Sunday during divine service at the house of Marcelis Jansz.

The defendant admits having been at the house of Marcelis Jansz aforesaid, but denies that he was drinking. Agrees voluntarily to pay the fine, which, however, for certain reasons will be refunded to him.

Robbert Vastrick, plaintiff, against Abram Pietersz, defendant, for the sum of sixty guilders, six stivers, according to his promissory note. Demands therefore that the defendant be ordered to pay the amount.

The defendant admits the debt.
The plaintiff requests judgment against the defendant.
The magistrates condemn the defendant to pay the amount asked in beavers, according to the promissory note and his admission made in court.

Abram Pietersz Vosburgh, plaintiff, against Pouwels Lammertsz, defendant, requesting that the defendant be ordered to serve the plaintiff six consecutive days, commencing on this date.

The magistrates, having heard both parties, condemn the defendant in the sum of twenty-four guilders, or otherwise, at his choice and option, to the aforesaid six days' service, to commence tomorrow.

[232] Steven Jansz, plaintiff, against Willem Hofmeyer.

Default.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Claes Wip, defendant.

Default.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Jan Gou, defendant.

Default.

Appeared before this honorable court, Frans Barentsz Pastoor, our fellow servant, and declared that he offered himself as surety for Pieter Bronck for the benefit of Casten and Meyndert *de Smits,* for the recovery of eleven and a half beavers, belonging to them and in the custody of Louris Jansz, he, the surety, promising to release the said Casten and Meyndert from all damage and claims, binding therefor his person, etc. Done on the date above written, in the presence of the undersigned magistrates.

Frans Barentsz Pastoor

* The brothers Carsten and Meyndert Fredericksz operated a blacksmith shop just south of the almshouse on the southwest corner of present-day Beaver Street and Broadway.
According to the notice received from the honorable general and the honorable high council, upon the nomination made by this honorable court, there were chosen, in the place of the retiring magistrates Jan Verbeeck and Pieter Hertgers, whose term has expired, Rutger Jacobsz, Andries Herpertsz, and as extraordinary magistrate, Dirck Jansz Croon. The two ordinary magistrates (Dirck Jansz Croon being away from home, or absent), after being summoned by the court, have taken the following oath of fidelity before the commissary:

We, the undersigned, in the capacity of chosen magistrates of the bench of justice of Fort Orange and Beverwijck, promise and swear hereby that together with our fellow magistrates we shall help to administer law and justice between man and man and according to the best of our knowledge and ability help to maintain and execute the same; also in all respects to conduct ourselves loyally and faithfully toward the honorable states general of the United Netherlands, the honorable directors and patroons of this New Netherland province, and the honorable general and council residing in New Amsterdam. So truly help us God Almighty.

On this date the oath was taken by Rutger Jacobsz and on the 26th by Andries Herpertsz, and they were wished much success.

And on the 20th of September the oath was taken by Dirck Jansz Croon.

[234] Johan de Deckere, officer here, ex officio plaintiff, against Gerrit Slechtenhorst, defendant, to make answer.

The defendant promptly makes answer in writing.

Idem plaintiff, against Jochim Wesselsz Backer, defendant, to submit all his evidence in accordance with the promise made on the last court day, and to desist from introducing further evidence.

Default.
Thursday, August 26, [1655]

Present:

R. Jacobsz
Andries Herpertsz
S. Leendertsz
Frans Barentsz
V. Jansz

Johan de Deckere, commissary and officer here, *ratione officy* plaintiff, against Gertruyt Nanninghs, defendant, about the fine of 6 guilders because the defendant served liquor on the day of prayer.

Default. Fine remitted.

Pieter Rijverdingh, messenger, coming before the court, requests that he may draw and receive the sum of fifty guilders out of the excise money.

The request being approved, it is decided to give him an order on the farmer of the excise.

Extraordinary Session on the 20th of September*

Present:

Frans Barentsz
Volckert Jansz
Rut Jacopsen
Andries Herbertsen
Dierck Jansen Croon

Considering the continuing bad news coming from the Indians, the magistrates deem it advisable to dispatch a yacht at once to the Manathans in order to learn the news, and to dispatch a yacht to the Isopus for the same [reason].

* This session of the court is written on a separate piece of paper (8 1/2 by 2 3/4 inches) bound mistakenly between pages 136 and 137 of the minute book; however, chronologically it should appear between pages 234 and 235 following the August 26, 1655 session. It relates to the surprise Indian attacks on Manhattan, Staten Island, and Pavonia in September 1655, known as the Peach Tree War.
Tuesday, November 23, 1655

Present:

Everyone except
R. Jacobsz

Pieter Lokermans demands of Claes Hendrixse restitution of ten beavers promised by him for a certain lot and consequently release from the obligation to purchase the same.

The defendant says that he is not bound to return the beavers, as he is prepared to deliver the patent to the plaintiff as soon as the river is open.

The court, having heard the parties, denies the plaintiff his demand and orders the defendant to fulfill his promise.

A petition is read of Ludovicus Cobes, requesting permission to keep day and evening school.

The petitioner's request is granted.

Upon the request of Jochim de Backer, presented in the form of a petition, namely, that the straw roof of the house of Willem Juriaensz may be condemned and he be ordered, on account of the danger involved, to cover the same with planks instead. The petitioner's request is granted and it is furthermore decided that the aforesaid Willem Juriaensz shall be ordered and urged to effect the same within eight, or at the longest within fourteen days.

After deliberation it is decided, for lack of a sufficient supply of money, to take out of the excise money the sum of two hundred guilders to be used at this juncture of time for necessary purposes.

Tuesday, November 30, 1655

Johan de Deckere, commissary and officer here, ratione officy plaintiff, against Jochim de Backer, to present his evidence at once and to waive the right to produce further testimony.

The defendant submits his evidence.

The court orders the witnesses to be reexamined.

Idem plaintiff, against Gerrit Slechtenhorst, defendant, to proceed with the case. The parties rest and submit no further evidence, requesting decision and sentence.
The court condemns the defendant to pay a fine of twenty-five guilders and costs.
The defendant states that he intends to appeal.

[236] *Idem* plaintiff, against Jacob Hap, defendant.
He demands that the defendant be fined 300 guilders for having now about three weeks ago ventured to injure and wound the person of Dirk van Hamel severely in the head with a tankard.
The defendant admits the charge brought against him by the plaintiff.
The plaintiff asks that sentence be pronounced.
The court condemns the defendant to pay a fine of thirty guilders, plus expenses.

*Idem* plaintiff, against the same defendant.
He demands that a fine of one hundred guilders be imposed because the defendant recently threw a knife, wounding his servant, Isaak Floris, in the shoulder.
The defendant says that the act was committed in haste.
The court condemns the defendant to pay a fine of twenty guilders, plus expenses.

*Idem* plaintiff, against the wife of Willem Bout,* defendant.
He demands that she be fined six guilders for having last Sunday served liquor or entertained company during divine service, contrary to the ordinance issued against it.
The court condemns the defendant to pay the fine requested, plus expenses.
Volckert Jansz asks to have it noted that the aforesaid sentence was passed contrary to his advice.

Pieter Rijverdingh, plaintiff, against Jan Gou, defendant.
Default.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Poulus de Noorman.
Default.

Johan de Deckere, commissary and officer here, appears and declares that he is appealing the above sentence pronounced against Jacob Hap to the honorable director general and council of New Netherland, serving notice that he will submit his grievances and prosecute his appeal there and in such way as he shall see fit.

* Geertruyt Nanninghs
Johan de Deckere, commissary and officer here, ratione officy plaintiff, against Jannitge Jansz, wife of Adriaen Dircksz Vries, at present a prisoner, defendant.

The plaintiff says that it is the truth that the prisoner did not hesitate on the 26th of September last, being Sunday, to sell brandy to a certain Indian, contrary to the placard and ordinance issued against it.*

He demands therefore, in the name of the supreme authorities of this province, the honorable director general and council of New Netherland, that the prisoner shall be brought to the place where it is customary to execute justice and that she shall there be publicly exposed at the whipping post and be punished with the rod; furthermore, that all her property shall be declared forfeited for the benefit of the officer, and that she shall be forever banished from this country and be condemned to pay the costs and expenses of the legal proceedings.

The court, having heard the confession of the prisoner, administering justice and preferring leniency to the rigor of justice, condemn the prisoner to be publicly exhibited at the whipping post, the rod being suspended above her head; declare her property, if she has any, forfeited for the benefit of the officer, and order her, as soon as the river is open, to leave this province forever, on pain of incurring heavier punishment, and condemn her to pay the costs and expenses of trial. Thus passed on the sixth of November in the presence of all the magistrates and pronounced on the first of December following; present, the commissary and all the magistrates.

J. De Deckere  Sander Lenrsene
1655 Frans Barentsz Pastoor
Volckart Jansz Rutger Jacobsz
Andries Herberts Dirck Jansen Croon

[238] Tuesday, December 7, 1655

Present:

Everyone except Volkert Jansz

Johan de Deckere, commissary and officer here, ratione officy plaintiff, against Hendrick Jochimsz, defendant.

* See LO, 182, for this ordinance.
He demands a fine of £12:0: because the defendant on Sunday last, in the fore- and afternoon, has entertained company, contrary to the ordinance issued against it.

The court, having heard the parties on both sides, condemn the defendant to pay the fine asked, plus expenses.

Idem plaintiff, against Herman Bamboes, defendant.

He demands a fine of £6:0, for reasons above.

The magistrates ut supra.

Appeared in court Jacob Willemsz Backer and Willem Jansz Schut, being subpoenaed to testify to the truth, and declared under solemn oath at the request of Johan de Deckere, commissary and officer here, that it is true that they, in the month of July last, were present at the house of Tomas Paul, when Jochem de Backer and Gerrit Slechtenhorst were fighting and that they consequently saw that the said Jochem several times tore at the said Slechtenhorst's genitals and that he, Jacob Willemsz, forced said Jochem's hand away from the said place and that they afterwards heard the said Jochem say that he would have torn them (meaning the said instrument with its appendages) from his body, if he had not been prevented therefrom. So truly may Almighty God help the deponents. Actum ut supra.

Idem plaintiff, against Jochem de Backer, defendant, requesting sentence, the order of the last court day being complied with.

The court, having heard the arguments and defense on both sides, having examined the documents respectively submitted, and having taken into consideration all that is to be considered, condemn the defendant to pay a fine of one hundred guilders and costs.

[239] Appeared in court Tomas Paul, Jacob Willemsz, and Hans Coenraets, and declared at the request as above, by true words, in the place of an oath, that it is true that on Saturday last, came to the house of the aforesaid Jacob Willemsz, the wife of Carsten de Noorman, having in her hands two round sugar cookies and saying, on being asked, that she had obtained or bought them at the house of Jochem de Backer; also, that some time thereafter there came to Tomas Paul's house a certain Indian from the house of Jochem de Backer, carrying an oblong sugared bun, which he said he had likewise obtained or bought at the house aforesaid. All of which they offer, if need be and required, to confirm by oath. Actum ut supra.

Marcelis Jansz, plaintiff, for the attachment of certain house rent amount-
ing to the sum of about f130:--, in the custody of Claes Hendrixse, belonging to Jacob Adriaensz Rademaeker, defendant.

He demands security in the amount of f[blank], for tavern expenses and beer furnished, plus expenses.

The court confirms the attachment.

J. De Deckere
1655
Frans Barentsen Pastoor
Rutger Jacobsz
Andries Herberts
Dirck Jansen Croon

[240] Tuesday, December 14, 1655

Present:

Everyone except
V. Jansz

Johan de Deckere, commissary and officer here, plaintiff, against Claes Hendrixse, defendant, for the fine of f1:10:--, because eight days ago in the evening, after the ringing of the bell, the defendant was found sitting in the tavern of Herman Bamboes, contrary to the ordinance made about this, plus expenses.

The magistrates condemn the defendant to pay the fine and costs.

Domine Gedeon Schaets requests in the name of Paulus Schrick payment by Gerrit Slechtenhorst of the sum of f100:--, belonging to Femmitge Aelbrechts, for which he offers to give security.

The court, having heard both parties, orders Gerrit Slechtenhorst to turn over and pay the requested one hundred guilders to Domine Schaets, under security for their restitution if it should be found to be proper.

Goossen Gerritsz, plaintiff, against Herman de Brouwer,* defendant

Default.

Dirck Bentsingh, plaintiff, against Michiel Rijckertz, defendant.

He demands the restitution of f250:--, received by the defendant on account of the sale of a certain lot, which the defendant is now unable to deliver in accordance with the provisions of the contract.

* possibly Harmen Harmensen Gansevoort, a brewer in Beverwijck in 1660
The court order the defendant to return the requested $250:-- to the plaintiff.

Johan de Deckere, ratione officy plaintiff, against Jochem de Backer, defendant, for a fine of $50:--, because the defendant last Saturday sold to a certain Indian a sugared bun, contrary to the ordinance passed on that subject.*

The defendant is willing to pay the fine, provided the charge be proved.

The plaintiff agrees to prove the same.

There appeared in court Domine Gedeon Schaets, who declared that he was offering himself as surety and principal for the restitution of the one hundred guilders which Gerrit Slechtenhorst has heretofore been ordered to pay for the benefit of P. Schrik, promising to release the said Slechtenhorst from all further claims, binding himself thereto as by law provided.

Gideon Schaets

J. de Deckere
1656
Frans Barentsen Pastoor
Rutger Jacobsz
Andries Herberts
Dirck Jansen Croon

Tuesday, January 18, 1656

Present:

Everyone

Jan Hendrixse, plaintiff, against Jan Baptist van Renselaer, defendant.

He requests compensation for a certain sow run over by the defendant's horse, valued at the sum of four beavers, or so much or less as the magistrates or honest people shall consider proper.

The court refers the parties to Goosen Gerritsz and Philip Pietersz Schuyler, arbitrators.

Thomas Chambers, plaintiff, against Jacob Hap, defendant. Default.

* See page 199 for this ordinance passed by the court of Fort Orange and Beverwijck.
Roelof Jacobsz, plaintiff, against Maerten de Boer, defendant and prisoner.

He demands payment of the sum of $1 1:2-$ due for tavern expenses, plus expenses.

Default.

Johan de Deckere, commissary and officer, demands a fine of sixty guilders for violation of attachment.

The magistrates keep the matter under advisement.

[242] Johan de Deckere, commissary and officer here, ratione officy plaintiff, against Willem Tellier, defendant.

He demands that the defendant be arbitrarily fined for having fought a week ago last Sunday with Tierck Claesz, plus expenses.

The defendant agrees to settle with the officer. The officer accepts.

Idem plaintiff, against Jan van Housen, defendant. Default.

Idem plaintiff, against Tierck Claesz, defendant. Default.

Idem plaintiff, against Jan van Bremen, defendant. Default.

Idem plaintiff, against Piet Bout, defendant. Default.

Frans Barentsz, our fellow member of board having shown to us that, except at his great discomfort, loss, and inconvenience, he is no longer able to attend to and take up the monthly collection ordered by the honorable Director General Petrus Stuyvesant, he requests therefore that he now be relieved from his duties as deacon, and that such other person as the court shall deem fit may be appointed and substituted in his place.

The court, having weighed the reasons of the aforesaid Pastoor, order and hereby request the person of Evert Wendel to take up the aforesaid collection in the place of the said Frans Barentsz, together with Willem Tellier, and to allow himself to be employed therein. Actum ut supra.

J. de Deckere
1656

[243] At the request of Thomas Chambers, he is granted and ceded a lot for a house, in width, front and rear, ten rods, eight feet; in length, twelve rods, eleven feet; adjoining to the north Abram Pietersz Vosburch, to the south Claes Hendrixse, and to the west the wagon road. This is to be understood as a lot for two gardens.

Frans Barentsz Pastoor asks to have a note made in connection with
this that he does not approve of granting the aforesaid request any further than to the third post of the lot and fence of Abram Vosburch.*

J. De Deckere  
1656  
Frans Barentsen Pastoor  
Volckart Jansz  
Rutger Jacobsz  
Andries Herberths  
Dirck Jansen Croon

Tuesday, February 1, 1656

Present:  
Everyone except S. Leendertsz

Tomas Chambers, plaintiff, against Jacob Hap, defendant.  
He requests that the defendant, according to his promise made on the last of December last past, before the members of both the courts, take the oath.  
The defendant requests eight days’ time in order to gather additional testimony in the meantime.

Arent Vogel, plaintiff, against Jan van Bremen, defendant.  
He demands the sum of /1 800:- as compensation for damages, which the plaintiff suffered through the defendant’s failure to haul logs for him, the plaintiff, according to his promise and verbal agreement, or at least, to haul as many as the defendant agreed to and was bound to haul.  
The parties agree to get together their evidence.

[244] Pieter Rijverdingh in the capacity of representing Steven Jansz, plaintiff, against Jacob de Looper, defendant.  
He demands and requests condemnation in the sum of f34:18, due for tavern expenses according to the book, plus expenses.  
The defendant admits the debt and requests that attachment be deferred for three weeks.  
The court orders the defendant to pay the f34:18 demanded and defers the attachment according to the request.

Idem plaintiff, in the aforesaid capacity, against Jan Gou.

* This paragraph and final sentence of the preceding paragraph were added after the signatures were recorded.
He demands payment of the sum of f35:4:- for expenses as above. The defendant admits the debt and agrees to pay within the time of two months.

The court passes judgment for the plaintiff, plus expenses, and allows six weeks’ delay.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Willem Hofmeyer, defendant. Demands judgment for f20:16, by balance of accounts, on account of expenses as above. The defendant claims that he settled with Mary Goossens and therefore does not owe as much. He agrees to prove it.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Poulus Maertens, defendant and attached debtor.* Default.

The officer demands a fine of sixty guilders for violation of the attachment. The magistrates take the matter under advisement.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Abram Pietersz Vosburch, defendant.

Default.

[245] Frans Barentsz Pastoor, plaintiff, against the same defendant.

Default.

Johan de Deckere, *nomine officy* plaintiff, against Tierck Claesen, defendant.

He demands that the defendant be fined sixty guilders for having fought last Sunday with Willem Tellier, and also for having killed a goat belonging to Sander Leendertsz.

The court, having heard the defendant’s confession, condemns him to pay a fine of two and a half beavers, plus expenses.

*Idem* plaintiff, against the same defendant, for having been found last Sunday in the company of the Lutherans, performing divine service, contrary to the ordinance issued against it.† Demands therefore that he be fined f6, plus expenses.

The court, having heard the confession of the defendant, condemns him to pay the fine demanded.‡

*Idem* plaintiff, against Hendrick Jochemsz, defendant.

He demands that the defendant be fined six guilders, plus expenses,

*gearresterede*: a person under attachment for debt owed (*WNT*, 2:696)
† See *LO*, 211.
‡ One hundred pounds Flemish; see ordinance cited in previous note.
for having a week ago Sunday and eight days continued to serve drink during divine service.

The court, having heard parties on both sides, condemn the defendant to pay the fine asked, plus expenses.

_Idem_ plaintiff against Jan van Bremen, defendant. He demands that the defendant be fined 300, for having in the month of May last past wounded the person of Hans Vos with a dagger, plus expenses.

The court refer the parties to two referees, one to be chosen by each.

[246] _Idem_ plaintiff, against Jochem _de Backer_, defendant, to proceed with the case.

The defendant persists in his former statement that he is satisfied to pay the fine, provided the crime can be proved.

The plaintiff agrees to do so.

_Idem_ plaintiff, against Jacob Hap, defendant. He demands that the defendant be fined 100, plus expenses, for having last week scandalously beaten and bloodied his wife* and thrown firebrands at her, so that the sparks or embers flew through the partition door into the plaintiff’s residence.

The defendant acknowledges that he beat his wife and drew blood.

The magistrates are of opinion that the defendant is not punishable because it happened between man and wife.

_Idem_ plaintiff, against Hendrick Jansz _de Koewachter_, defendant.

He demands that the defendant be put in irons and arbitrarily punished, because the defendant is suspected of having made and distributed some notorious lampoons, or pasquils.

The defendant admits having composed or helped to compose a lampoon or little verse, without having, as he says, injured anyone’s honor or reputation.

The magistrates order the defendant to be provisionally put in irons and held for further examination.

Jochem _de Backer_ has promised in court to satisfy the officer at the latest before Easter in regard to the fine of one hundred guilders, which he was condemned to pay on the 7th of December 1655.

* Geertruyt Andriessen
Resolved that on next Thursday everyone must bring in his account concerning what is due him on account of wages and materials furnished for the fort, the blockhouse, and its appurtenances,* as well as to what was contributed by him toward presents for the Indians and the ransom of prisoners from the Esopus. Also that on the date aforesaid the building and completion of the aforesaid blockhouse and the appurtenances thereof will be publicly let to the lowest bidder.

The commissary and officer, Johan de Deckere, requests that for so far as he is exercising the duties of secretary here, he may on that account and for the keeping of the minutes or court proceedings receive for each part of the work a reasonable salary and this provisionally.

The foregoing request being examined is flatly rejected by Rut Jacobsz and Volckert Jansz and laid aside by the other members of the court until the arrival of the Heer Stuyvesant.

J. Deckere
1656

Sander Lenrse
Frans Barentsz Pastoor
Volckart Jansz
Rutger Jacobsz
Andries Herberts
Dirck Jansen Croon

Tuesday, February 8, 1656

Present:

Everyone

Pieter Bronck, plaintiff, against Claes Teunisz. Default.

Maritge Dijckmans, plaintiff, against Abram Pietersz Vosburch, defendant, requesting a new writ of attachment upon a judgment of this honorable court dated the 27th of April last.

The court, having heard the defendant, grants the requested writ of execution and orders the defendant to pay the sum of £100:- within 14 days, delaying further attachment for the time of 6 weeks, plus expenses.

Frans Barentsz Pastoor, plaintiff, against Abraham Pietersz Vosburch, defendant, demanding payment of the sum of £214:6:- in beavers

* This was the structure at the intersection of present-day State Street and Broadway, which became the first Reformed church.
for beer delivered, according to balance of accounts. Also that the defendant be condemned to pay in addition the sum of $60:- for so much advance [in price] on thirty beavers not included, for which the plaintiff has been obliged to accept and receive $243:16 in sewant, at eight guilders per beaver, all according to the contract thereof.

The defendant, admitting the aforesaid debt of $214:6:, says nevertheless that he is not held to pay the same otherwise than for one-third part in beavers, which he agrees to do, claiming that this is sufficient and that in case of refusal and in virtue of the said offer he is not further or otherwise liable and demanding that all further claims and the $60:- demanded [by the plaintiff] be denied.

The plaintiff persists in his demand. The defendant likewise in his. The court, before rendering a decision in the matter, refers parties to Goossen Gerritsen and Willem Bout, arbitrators.

Johan de Deckere, commissary and officer here, plaintiff, against Tomas Paul.

Default.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Hans Coenraets.

Default.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Jochem *de Backer*, to proceed with the case.

The defendant offers to declare under oath that he did not sell any sugared bread to the Indian, as stated by the plaintiff, nor that he has any knowledge that such took place at his house.

The case is dismissed.

*[249]* *Idem* plaintiff, against the same defendant, demanding that the defendant be fined $12:-, plus expenses, because a week ago today a lightweight loaf of bread was found at the defendant's contrary to the ordinance made with reference thereto.*

The magistrates, having heard the defendant, condemn him to pay the fine demanded.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Daniel Ringhout, defendant, on account of the same offense.

The magistrates fine him as above.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Hendrik Jansz *de Koehlerder*, defendant, in detention.

* See *LO*, 262, for this ordinance.
Whereas the defendant, or person in detention, acknowledges that he wrote and made a lampoon, which being read is found to be slanderous and defamatory, the plaintiff persists in his conclusion or else demands that the defendant be condemned to pay a pecuniary fine, plus expenses. The magistrates condemn the defendant to pay a fine or penalty of 20 guilders and in addition 6 guilders for jailer’s fee and other expenses.

*Idem* plaintiff, having attached certain house rent and a house standing here in the fort, belonging to Aelbert de Noorman. As a defense the defendant claims lack of jurisdiction.*

He concludes that the attachment, as being duly and lawfully made, shall hold good until the termination of the case. Furthermore, that the defendant shall be condemned to pay first a sum of fifteen times six guilders and in addition a sum of twenty-five guilders, all because the defendant on Sunday a week ago together with fifteen other persons, was found holding separate divine service at the house of Willem Juriaensz, contrary to the ordinance passed against it.

Default with decree granting the attachment.

[250] Tomas Chambers, plaintiff, against Jacob Jansz Stol, defendant, to make oath as requested.

The defendant, having taken the requested oath, declares that he and Johanna de Hulter, in regard to the expense of having their grain thrashed at the Esopus, had agreed with the aforesaid Chambers on the following conditions, namely, that as soon as each of the three received his or her grain, or the same was thrashed, each party was to pay his own expenses, but that nothing was said or agreed upon as to each of them bearing, share and share alike, the total expense of thrashing the grain, except that it was agreed upon that the cost of shipping would be borne and paid by each in proportion to the quantity of grain. So help him God.

Interrogatories upon which, at the request of Johan de Deckere, commissary and officer here, is to be heard and examined Lambert van Valckenburch, sergeant of the burgher company, being summoned by the court to give testimony to the truth.

* The defendant refers to himself as an *overged[eachde]*, which indicates that he is not subject to this court’s jurisdiction. Albert Andriessen de Noorman was a subject of the patroonship of Rensselaerswijck and the jurisdiction of its court.
Whether he, the witness, yesterday, a week ago, in the evening, was not improperly treated on the public highway because he, as sergeant, by order of his captain, wanted to take one Willem Hap into the guard-house?

[251] Who the aforesaid persons were and how many there were of them?

Whether he, or they, did not come at him with drawn daggers, intending to attack him, in order to wrest the said Hap from his hands?

Who else was present there, what else happened in connection with the said assault, and how the same ended?

Answers, Yes.

Declares, That he saw but one person, without knowing who he was or being acquainted with him, only, that he heard that it must have been a certain tailor, the brother-in-law of Dirk Bent-singh.

Declares, That the aforesaid person did as stated in the question.

Declares, That of the persons who were present he does not know a single one and that he took the said Hap into the guard-house.

Thus done and attested on the date and before those written above.

J. De Deckere
1656
Sander Lenrsen
Frans Barentsen Pastoor
Volckert Jansz
Rutger Jacobsen
Andries Herbearts
Dirck Jansen Croon
Tuesday, February 15, 1656

Present:
Everyone

Marcelis Jansz, plaintiff, against Daniel Ringhout, defendant, about payment of f19:4:-, for the excise of three half aams of brandy on account of tapsters' excise.

The magistrates, having heard the parties, deny the plaintiff's demand.


Tierck Claesz, plaintiff, against Tomas Paul, defendant.

The magistrates, having heard the parties, refer them to Jan Tomasz and Cornelis Teunisz Bos, to reconcile the parties if possible.

Jacob Jansz Schermerhoorn, having order and power of attorney from Cornelis Boon, residing at Dordrecht in Holland, and in that capacity plaintiff against Jacob Loserik, defendant, about payment of the sum of f1065:6:-, in satisfaction of the second payment on the house bought of the said Boon by the defendant in the month of July of last year, 1655, plus expenses.

The defendant requests delay to recover the amount from Steven Jansz.

Granted by the court.

Jacob Loserik, plaintiff,
against Steven Jansz, defendant. Default.

Sander Leendertsz, plaintiff, against Tierk Claesz, defendant, about payment of f25:-, in compensation for a goat killed by the defendant, plus expenses.

The court refers the parties to Jan Verbeecq and Jan Tomasz, arbitrators.

[253] Johan de Deckere, commissary and officer here, ex officio plaintiff, against Hendrik Jansz Koetherder, defendant, demanding that the defendant be fined f100 for having this day a week ago drawn a knife on the person of Jacob Loserik.

The defendant admits having drawn a knife, but [says] that he injured no one.
The plaintiff demands sentence as above.
The magistrates take the matter under advisement.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Willem Hap, defendant.
Default.

*Idem* plaintiff against Jacob Loserik, defendant, demanding that he be fined three hundred guilders, plus expenses, for having this day a week ago attempted to injure the person of Hendrik Jansz *Koeherder* with a knife, in such a way that the stab made two holes and broke the knife, which was left in the coat of the aforesaid Hendrik Jansz, and that furthermore he threw him backwards into the water.

The defendant denies the charge but requests nevertheless a copy of the plaintiff's charges.
The plaintiff agrees.

*Idem* plaintiff against Aelbert *de Noorman*, defendant, to have the second default entered against him.
The plaintiff, in view of the defendant's failure to appear, requests the second default.
The magistrates grant the request.

[254] Rut Jacobsz, our fellow member of the court, having requested permission to make or suspend a waterwheel for a small mill in the kill behind his dwelling house,* his request is granted.

Volckert Jansz and Jan Tomasz, representing also Pieter Hertgers, having requested that the five or six feet of ground of the public road included within the enclosure of the lot on which their brewery stands, which have been provisionally granted to them by Commissary Johan de Deckere and Rutger Jacobsz, may be confirmed [to them] by the court as a body, their request is unanimously granted until further order to the contrary.

Upon the remonstrance and petition of Jochem *de Backer* that the resolution heretofore passed on condemnation of the straw roof of Willem Juriaensz and the order given for its removal may be executed, it is upon further examination of the matter and in consideration of the lack of means of the aforesaid Willem Juriaensz decided to delegate the

---

* Rutger Jacobsen's house backed on the First Kill, also known as the Fuyck Kill, which ran between State Street and Norton Street in present-day Albany. This waterway, which drained the hill to the south west of the village, was frequently called the Rutten Kill after the shortened form of his first name.
Hon. Commissary Johan de Deckere, together with Frans Barentsz Pastoor and Dirk Jansz Croon, magistrates, to persuade and request the neighbors of the aforesaid Willem Juriaensz to make a voluntary loan and contribution in money, as much as each one is pleased to give, to replace the condemned straw roof by one of planks, in order thereby, as far as possible, to prevent all danger of fire. The persons who contribute any money shall, as security for the restitution thereof, have a mortgage on the house or its improvement; the contributors after the death of the said Willem Juriaensz to be reimbursed from time to time out of the house rent in proportion to their respective contribution and in case the present owner of the lot of the aforesaid house, or the person who may acquire his title, should wish to tear down the said house after the death of the aforesaid Willem Juriaensz, he shall be obliged to reimburse them as aforesaid.

The aforesaid proposition having been made by the said delegates to the aforesaid persons, they have promised to contribute to the aforesaid purpose as follows:

- Jochem Backer has promised to furnish thirteen planks and the roof timbers;
- Sander Leendertsz, 12 planks and eight guilders' worth of nails;
- Rutger Jacobs, 5 planks;
- Andries Herpertsz, 8 planks;
- Jacob de Backer, 10 guilders;
- Jan van Housen, 25 planks;
- Jan Tomasz, privately, 12 planks;
- The same, jointly with Volckert Jansz and Pieter Hertgers, 15 guilders;
- The deacons, [blank].

For the restitution of all of which aforesaid moneys the commissary and magistrates, by virtue of their authority, bind and mortgage the aforesaid house and the improvements thereof for the benefit of the aforesaid persons.

The three ordinances sent by the hon. general and the high council of New Netherland to the commissary and the magistrates received and received by them on the 9th of this current month of February, being read and examined, it is decided to publish two of them relating to the combination and concentration of the separate dwellings and the farming
of the fur trade. The third, relating to the excise, or the payment of the 20th penny on slaughtered cattle, hogs, sheep and goats,* it is for important reasons considered inadvisable to publish or post, it being apparent that at this juncture of time and on account of the excessive expense [256] to which the community is put in repairing the fort, the blockhouse and other works thereabout, and in ransoming the prisoners, it would only cause complaint, opposition and friction, which at the first opportunity when the river is open will be submitted and brought to the attention of the aforesaid Hon. General and High Council.

Interrogatories on which at the request of Johan de Deckere, commissary and officer here, Jan Gou and Willem Berck are to be heard and examined under oath, they being legally summoned to give testimony to the truth.

First, whether they, the witnesses, on Tuesday last were not at the house and tavern of Baefge Pieters, and whether there were not also present Jacob Loserik and Hendrik Jansz Koetherder?

Whether some dispute did not arise between the aforesaid Loserik and Hendrik Jansz and about what?

Also, whether the aforesaid quarrelling persons did not draw their knives and attempted to hurt or wound each other and whether the said Hendrik Jansz in the course of the fight did not receive a cut in his thumb and afterwards a stab in his coat, in such a way that the knife of the said Loserik broke into pieces?

They declare in conformity [with the question].

They declare, Yes, and that the dispute arose because he, Hendrik, touched the said Loserik’s body; however, they saw no other cause or reason.

They declare that the said Loserik first went out of the door and was immediately followed by the said Hendrik; that they both drew their knives and that the said Loserik thrusting at his opponent struck his leather coat and that the knife broke into pieces, but that they do not know how, nor in what way, the said Hendrik received the cut in his thumb.

* See LO, 206–211, for these ordinances.
Finally, what else they have to testify in regard to this? They declare that the said Loserik, on delivering the thrust, ran up to the said Hendrick and pushed him backwards into the water.*

Thus declared and deposed on the date above written.

J. De Deckere  
1656  
Sander Lenrsen  
Frans Barentsen Pastoor  
Volckart Jansz  
Rutger Jacobsz  
Andries Herberths  
Dirck Jansen Croon

Tuesday, February 22, 1656

Johan de Deckere, commissary and officer here, ratione officy plaintiff, against Willem Jansz Stoll, defendant, about the fine of one hundred guilders, plus expenses, because the defendant yesterday three weeks ago, being the last of January, drew a knife on the sergeant of the burgher guard.

The defendant says that he has no knowledge of drawing the knife.  
The plaintiff agrees to prove the same.

Idem plaintiff, against Aelbert Andriesz, defendant, to answer the complaint and conclusion brought against the defendant on the 8th of this month.

The defendant admits the substance of the complaint and offers to pay twenty-five guilders, but refuses the further demands.

The plaintiff refuses the offer and persists in his complaint and conclusion.

The magistrates, having heard the defendant and his plea and examined the ordinance, condemn the defendant to pay the demanded fine of f115:--, declaring the attached house and house rent subject to execution as security for the fine.

* Baefie Pietersen's house and tavern stood along the south bank of the Vossen Kill.
Volckert Jansz has a note made of the fact that he does not concern himself with the aforeaid case or sentence.

_Idem_ plaintiff, against Hendrik Jansz _de Koeherder_, defendant.
Default.

_Idem_ plaintiff against Jacob Loserik, defendant.
The plaintiff persists in his demand by way of replication.
The defendant agrees to secure evidence.

Jacob Loserik, plaintiff, against Steven Jansz, defendant, in regard to security.
He demands that the defendant be ordered to guarantee him against all loss and damage and release him from all costs which may result from the suit brought against him, the plaintiff, on the last court day by Jacob Jansz Schermerhoorn.
The defendant requests an adjournment of eight days or until the river is open.
Granted by the court.

Pieter Bronck, plaintiff, against Claes Teunisz, defendant, requesting execution of a certain judgment in the sum of _f201:_— given by the court of the colony of Rensselaerswijck, declining settlement.
The court grants the desired execution, but at the defendant’s request nevertheless suspends the same for six weeks.

[259] Pieter Rijverdingh, plaintiff, against Jacob Adriaensz _Rademaeker_, defendant.
Default.

J. De Deckere
1656
Sander Lenrsen
Frans Barentsen Pastoor
Rutger Jacobsz
Andries Herberts
Dirck Jansen Croon
Tuesday, March 14, 1656

Present:

J. de Decker
Andries Herpersz
Rutger Jacobs
V. Jansz

Johan de Deckere, commissary and officer here, plaintiff, against Claes Jansz, defendant, about the fine of $6:- because the defendant on Sunday two weeks ago was found sitting in the tavern of Herman Bamboes, drinking.

Default.

Idem plaintiff, against Frans Pietersz for the same cause.

The magistrates condemn the defendant to pay a fine of $3:- and 12 stivers for costs.

Idem plaintiff, against Poulus Lambertsz, defendant, as above.

Default.

Idem plaintiff, against Claes Ribse, defendant.

Default.

Idem plaintiff, against Hendrik Jansz Koehlerder, defendant, asking that the magistrates give their opinion.

The magistrates condemn the defendant to pay a fine of $20:- to the officer and ten guilders for the bench.

The plaintiff protests against the above sentence as far as the ten guilders are concerned.

[260] Idem plaintiff, against the wife of Jan met den Baert.*

Default.

Idem plaintiff, against Annitge Lamberts.

Default.

Jacob Jansz Flodder, plaintiff,

against Margariet Slechtenhorst, to show cause why the defendant

* "with the beard," referring to Jan Helmsen, whose wife Griet is recorded three times in the deacons' account book of Beverwijck (held by the First Church of Albany) as Griet met den Baert.
had the plaintiff’s pantiles attached, or else, to have the attachment vacated.

Default.

J. De Deckere  
1656  
Sander Lenrsen  
Frans Barentsz Pastoor  
Rutger Jacobsz  
Andries Herberts  
Dirck Jansen Croon

Tuesday, April 4, 1656

Present:
  
  J. de Deckere  
  S. Leenderts  
  F. Barentsz  
  R. Jacobsz  
  A. Herpertsz  
  V. Jansz

Johan de Deckere, commissary and officer here, plaintiff, against Baeffgie Pieters, because the defendant last Sunday a week ago treated him, the plaintiff, very badly and by closing her door interfered with and impeded him in the exercise of his office, wherefore the plaintiff demands that the defendant be condemned to pay a fine of fifty guilders for the benefit of the poor and that in addition she be enjoined from exercising her trade for the space of six weeks and [condemned to pay] the costs.

The magistrates, having heard the defendant, condemn her to pay a fine of f25:-- within twenty-four hours, plus expenses.

Idem plaintiff, against Poulus Lambertsz, defendant, about the fine of f6:--, because on Sunday February 21 he was found during divine service in the inn of Herman Bamboes.

The magistrates having heard the confession of the defendant condemn him to pay a fine of f3:12:--

Idem plaintiff, against Claes Jansz, as above.

The defendant agrees to pay f3:--
   Default.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Herman Bamboes.
   Default.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Willem Jansz Stoll.
   Default.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Annetge Lamberts, about the fine of f12:- because the defendant has spoken and acted very badly toward him, the plaintiff.
   The magistrates, having heard the defendant, condemn her to pay a penalty or fine of f4:4—

*Idem* plaintiff, against Jacob Loserik.
   Default.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Piet Bout, defendant.
   The plaintiff says and the truth is such that the defendant last Saturday a week ago at the house of Herman Bamboes committed great insolence, disturbance, and violence, drew his knife and challenged and dared everyone, especially the aforesaid Bamboes, to thrust and cut in such a way that the said Bamboes was forced to complain about it to him, the plaintiff, as officer, wherefore the plaintiff demands that the defendant be condemned to pay a fine of two hundred guilders, plus expenses.
   The defendant says that he was pestered and teased by everyone and requests to have a copy of the complaint.
   The plaintiff accepts the aforesaid confession in his favor, but grants nevertheless the requested copy.

P[iete]r Colebrantsz, plaintiff, against *Moy* Aeltgie.†
   Default.

[262] There appeared in court Cornelis Barentsz Slecht, hereby certifying at the request of *Joffrou* Johanna de Hulter, widow of the late Johan de Hulter, that it is true that he, the appearer, during the late troubles with the Indians on the part of the aforesaid *Joffrou*, has delivered on account and for the behoof of Tomas Chambers, first, 150 pounds of butter, five *schepels* of flour, and four skeins. Also that with one Pieter Bruynen,

* weaver
† Aunt Aeltgie, wife of Huybert Jansen
one of the servants of the said Joffrou, he has attended and served sixteen
days at the house of the aforesaid Chambers, as is confirmed and
corroborated by the aforesaid Bruynen, also appearing here, and that he
served in the capacity of a cook at the aforesaid house. May God
Almighty help him, the appearer. Done on the date above written.

Sander Lenrsen
Frans Barentsen Pastoor
Rutger Jacobsz
Andries Herberts
Dirck Jansen Croon

Tuesday, April 18, 1656

Present:
Everyone except
A. Herpertsz

We, the undersigned, declare hereby that we offer ourselves as sureties
and principal debtors for the payment of such sums of money as have
been agreed to by the honorable court of this fort for the building of the
blockhouse church and the wages thereof, binding ourselves thereto
according to law. Actum ut supra.

Herman Bastiaensz, plaintiff, against Claes Jacobsz, defendant, about
payment of wages earned.

The magistrates, having heard the parties, refer them to Stoffel Jansz
and Claes Jansz, referees.

A petition being read of the bakers, requesting permission to charge and
receive 18 stivers for an ordinary wheaten loaf of eight pounds and five
stivers for a white loaf of one pound; their second request is granted.

[263] There appeared Pieter Hertgers and Cornelis Teunisz Bos, who
declared that they offered themselves as sureties for the performance and
fulfillment of all the conditions on Stoffel Jansz and Jan Roelofsz, in
accordance with the specifications and by public bid have agreed to build
the blockhouse church, binding themselves thereto according to law.
Done as above.

We, the undersigned, hereby declare that we offer ourselves as
sureties and principal debtors for the payment of such sums of money as
the honorable court have promised to Stoffel Jansz and Jan Roelofsz for
building the blockhouse church and the wages thereof, binding ourselves thereto as by law provided.

*Actum ut supra.*

Sander Lenrsen

Jan Verbeecq, Jan Tomasz, Jochem Keteluyn, Ancker Bruynsen and Arien Jansz van Leyden are ordered to build on their vacant lots within one month, or at least to put them in such shape that the work can to all appearances not be stopped but must necessarily proceed, on pain of being deprived of their lots which shall be placed at the disposal of the court, to which end an inspection will then be made. All this without prejudice to the rights already acquired or to be acquired by the officer. It is furthermore decided that all those who this day have not registered their lots in conformity with the order brought to every one’s knowledge by the notices that have been posted, shall be and remain deprived and divested of the same.

*Actum ut supra.*

Tjerck Claesz requests permission to have the lot of Jacob Jansz Flodder. Granted.

[264] We, the undersigned, promise hereby, each in his own capacity, that we shall indemnify and hold the aforesaid sureties, Sander Leendertsz and Philip P[iete]rsz Schuyler, harmless in regard to all costs and damages resulting from their surety bond inserted above. Therefore we submit ourselves as counter sureties, binding herewith our properties and those of our inhabitants, none excepted, submitting the same to the control of all courts and judges.

*Actum ut supra.*

J. De Deckere
1656
Frans Barentsz Pastoor
Rutger Jacobsz
Andries Herberts
Dirck Jansen Croon
Extraordinary Session, Wednesday, April 19, 1656

Present:

Everyone

P[iete]r Hertgers, Jan Thomasz, and Volckert Jansz, plaintiffs and persons attaching, against Herman Bamboes, defendant and person attached.

They demand that the attachment etc., and furthermore that the defendant be condemned to pay the sum of £1,408:—, one-third part to be paid in beavers or grain, on account of the delivery of beer, according to the tally kept thereof, with expenses; deducting what was paid.

The defendant admits the debt, except what he paid on it, and requests that payment of the balance may be delayed until the coming month of June, offering meanwhile to leave as a pledge and deliver into the hands of the plaintiffs the sum of one thousand guilders, upon condition that if he, the defendant, does not make any payment before that time, they, the plaintiffs, shall be at liberty to satisfy themselves out of the aforesaid money and that, in case he make payment meanwhile, they, the plaintiffs, shall be bound to deliver to him, the defendant, one hundred beavers in lieu of the aforesaid one thousand guilders.

[265] The plaintiffs accept the offer as it stands, provided that the thousand guilders be this day delivered into their hands.

The court accordingly condemns the defendant to pay the sum demanded, provided that he may deduct therefrom what he shall prove having paid thereon. Furthermore, it orders him to deliver this day the thousand guilders offered by him into the hands of the plaintiffs, under the conditions specified and aforementioned, and condemns him to pay one-half of the costs of this court.

Actum ut supra.

J. De Deckere
1656
Sander Lenrsen
Frans Barentsz Pastoor
Rutger Jacobsz
Andries Herberts
Dirck Jansen Croon
Tuesday, April 25, 1656

Present:
Everyone except V. Jansz

Johan de Deckere, *ex officio* plaintiff, against Juriaen de Glasemaeccker. Default.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Willem Tellier, defendant.

The plaintiff says and it is the truth that the defendant in the week before Easter did not hesitate to close and fence off at both ends with boards and palisades a certain common or public road, alley, or footpath, situated opposite the house of Abram Pietersz Vosburch, going to the river, whereby the same was made useless, notwithstanding the fact that the defendant, through the court messenger was forbidden and prohibited from doing so by the said Vosburch, as the party most directly concerned. Also that upon inspection by this honorable court and survey made by the surveyor it was found that the aforesaid defendant has enclosed within the fence of his garden about four and a half feet of ground of the aforesaid footpath or public road from the wagon road to the river and consequently lessened its width aside from the fact that the defendant has encroached at least a foot or two along the public road outside of the old palisades and thereby narrowed the public wagon road. All of which being seen and considered by this honorable court and the ground brief of the defendant’s garden being also examined, it clearly appeared therefrom that the defendant had unjustly taken possession of the aforesaid four feet and a half of land as well as the one or two feet of ground and added them to his garden in bad faith. The surveyor being thereupon, with the advise and consent of the magistrates who were present, ordered by the plaintiff to saw off and tear down the fence or boards at the end of the defendant’s garden, according to the tenor of the ground brief, the defendant began to address and assail not only the plaintiff but also the magistrates in very angry, abusive, and threatening terms, saying in particular that it would end in killing, putting himself with an angry face not only in a posture of defense but actually becoming aggressive, trying either to break the saw or by force or violence to wrest it from the hands of the surveyor and thereby as much as was in his power to prevent the carrying out of the order and command given to the surveyor, and openly notifying the court that force and violence were used against him by the said sawing and that he would complain thereof. To this must be added that the defendant some time ago has not hesitated
to state even in court that the building of the blockhouse, or certain proceedings by the court concerning it, would cry to Heaven for vengeance; all of which things were spewed out, spoken, or attested in the face of the court, or in the face or presence of the plaintiff and the magistrates while they were exercising their functions and therefore representing the supreme authorities of this province, and consequently in the highest degree injurious, intolerable, and of evil consequence. The plaintiff, in the capacity above mentioned, demands therefore [267] that the defendant shall immediately and without the formality of regular legal proceedings, as in this matter there is no need of any evidence or testimony and no further investigation is required, be condemned to pay a fine of f600:–

The defendant gave for answer that he did not care anything about the aforesaid matter and thereupon left immediately.

The plaintiff persists in his complaint and demand.

The defendant, having again come into court, has openly accused and told the president, Johan de Deckere, that he had forcibly deprived him of the aforesaid footpath or alley and given it to another person.

The president protests against this slanderous charge and demands honorable and profitable reparation, intending otherwise to bring suit and to prosecute it where and in such way as he shall see fit.

The magistrates order the officer to furnish the defendant with a copy of the aforesaid complaint.

Fop Jansz Timmerman, plaintiff, against Rutger Jacobsz, defendant, about payment of the sum of f42:– for ten and a half days’ wages at ½ beaver a day.

The magistrates having heard the parties, condemn the defendant to pay the sum of f42:–

Rutger Jacobs, Goossen Gerritsz and Teunis Dirxse, appointed by order of the court as curators of the estate and property of the late Rut Arentsz, and in that capacity, plaintiffs, against Johannes Dijckman, in charge of the administration of the effects, moneys and income of the said estate, defendant, about payment of the sum of f261:4:–, which he, Dijckman, according to his own statement and account spent less than he received.

The wife of the aforesaid Dijckman, appearing for him on account of his disability, says that she has no knowledge of the matter or of the money and therefore cannot respond.

[268] The plaintiffs persist in their demand.

The court, having examined the list or account in the aforesaid Dijckman’s own handwriting, from which it appears that there was a balance of f261:4:– left, condemn him to pay the sum demanded.
Johan de Deckere, commissary and officer here, *ratione officy* plaintiff, against Jacob Loserik, defendant, to have him present his evidence and to have sentence pronounced.

The magistrates, taking the matter under advisement, meanwhile refer the parties to referees, each party to choose one.

Frans Barents Pastoor, having power of attorney from Marcelis Jansz, excise master, plaintiff, against Jacob Loserik, former farmer of the excise, defendant, about payment of the sum of f183:– on account of some remnants of wine, beer, and brandy, which at the expiration of the defendant’s term of service were found in the cellars or houses of the tapsters according to the gager’s certificates.

The court, having heard the parties, holds the matter under advisement.

Teunis Slingerlant is at his request granted a garden.

J. De Deckere 1656

[269] Extraordinary Session, Monday, May 1, 1656

In the place of the retiring magistrates, Sander Leendertsz, Frans Barentsz Pastoor, and Volckert Jansz, according to the copy of the resolution of the hon. director general and council of New Netherland,* Jacob Schermerhoorn and Philip Peter Schuyler, of the double number nominated, have been chosen and confirmed as ordinary magistrates and Goossen Gerritsz as extraordinary magistrate, who, being summoned by the court, have taken the following oath of fidelity before the commissary, except Goossen Gerritsz, who with various excuses, such as they were, declined to do so.

We, the undersigned, being chosen magistrates of the bench of justice of Fort Orange and Beverwijck, promise and swear in the presence of God Almighty and our fellow members, that we shall help to do true equity and justice between man and man and furthermore cause to execute and help to promote all matters relating to justice or administration according to the best of our knowledge, and in all respects conduct ourselves loyally and faithfully toward the lords states general of the United Netherlands, the Honorable Directors of the Chartered West India Company, and the hon. director general and council of New Netherland, with the further promise that we shall help to maintain here the Reformed

* See *NYCD*, 14:347, for this resolution from *NYCM*, 6:362.
Religion according to God's Word and the regulations of the Synod of Dordrecht and not publicly tolerate any sect. So help us God Almighty.

After having been congratulated the aforesaid Schermerhoorn and Philip Pieters have this day taken their seats.

[270] The retiring magistrates are released from their oath and thanked for their faithful services and the performance of their official duties, with promise that with respect to their honorarium of $150:-- a year, their claims will, when the time is convenient and the treasury supplied with funds, be taken into consideration together with those of the present and future magistrates and be paid.

After deliberation it is decided and concluded that the magistrates who retire at the end of each year shall for the space of one year after the expiration of their term of office be exempt and relieved from attending the usual burgher watch, but that nevertheless, in case of need and when commanded, they shall be bound and liable to take part in all extraordinary rounds and do guard duty the same as other burghers. This resolution will be communicated and made known to the military council in order that they may hereafter govern and regulate themselves accordingly. Actum ut supra.

On the second of May Goossen Gerritz has taken the aforesaid oath of fidelity before the Commissary.

The above resolution, providing that the retiring magistrates, both the ordinary and extraordinary ones, shall for the space of one year be free and exempt from the usual rounds and guard duty (except under special circumstances when the public welfare and need require it), is confirmed and approved by us, so that the captain and lieutenant and the lower officers of the burgher guard are hereby ordered to let them enjoy this exemption. Given over our own and usual signature, this day, the 8th of November 1656.

P. Stuyvesant

[271] Tuesday, May 2, 1656

Johan de Deckere, commissary and officer here, ratione officy plaintiff, against Jacob Loserik, defendant, requesting a decision.

The court, having heard the parties on both sides and having examined the evidence submitted by them, condemn the defendant to pay
a fine of seven and a half beavers, to be paid within twenty-four hours.

Interrogatories on which at the request of Johan de Deckere, commissary and officer here, made ratione officy, is to be heard and examined Juriaen Jansz Glasemaeker, being summoned by the court to give testimony to the truth.

Whether he, the witness, on the 25th of March last was not at the house and tavern of Herman Bamboes and whether there was not also present one Piet Bout, who sought to make trouble and to revive an old dispute with him, the said P[ie]t Bout saying, “It cost me once nine beavers and I shall not stop even if it should cost me ten beavers more?”

Who else was present there?

Whether he, Piet Bout, did not draw a knife on him and intended to cut him, the witness, with it?

[272] Fourth, whether he, the witness, when the said P[ie]t Bout tried to hurt him and was stabbing at him, did not draw back and retreat to the back room and whether Jan Roelofsz thereupon did not say to said Bout, “You act like a scoundrel.” Also whether he, P[ie]t Bout, then did not try to attack the said Jan Roelofsz and if the said Jan Roelofsz, picking up a wood ax and hacking in the direction of P[ie]t Bout’s body, did not strike the door post?

Declares, Yes.

Declares, That among others Hendrik Jansz Koeherder, Jan Roelofsz, and Jacob Loserik were present there.

Declares, Yes.

Declares, Yes, but as to the questions in regard to Roelofsz, he did not see what took place but heard about it.
There appeared Herman Bamboes, who after the above questions had been read to him, declared that he agreed with the statements made therein and that they were in all respects in accordance with the truth. Done on the 30th of May 1656 in the presence of all the magistrates.

Thus done and declared on the date above written.

_idem_ plaintiff, against Jan Roelofs, defendant. Default.

_idem_ plaintiff, against Hendrik Jansz Koehlerder, defendant. Default.

Frans Barentsz Pastoor, plaintiff, against Jacob Loserik, defendant, to request that judgment be pronounced.

The court refers the parties to arbitrators, one to be chosen by each party.

Barent Aelbertsz is granted a garden behind the fort, marked No. 16; in width 4 rods, in length 8 rods.

Jan van Housen, appearing before the court, requests a lot at the hill to build thereon a house.

After deliberation his request is granted and a lot shall be assigned to him, for which he is to pay to the court, for the benefit of the public, the sum of sixty guilders.

[273] Tuesday, May 30, 1656

Johan de Deckere, _ex officio_ plaintiff, against Jan de Wever,* defendant. Default.

_idem_ plaintiff, against Willem Tellier, defendant, requesting sentence.

The defendant requests that the plaintiff be ordered to sign the complaint which he caused to be delivered to him.

The plaintiff, declining the aforesaid request as being irrelevant and impertinent, again requests that sentence be pronounced, the more so as he can produce no other witnesses than the members of the court themselves and the case therefore is not of a nature to follow the ordinary course of proceedings, much less to be held up and kept pending by reason of the aforesaid frivolous request.

The magistrates order the defendant to present all his evidence (if he thinks he has any) on the next court day, in order that the court may then

* Jan Martensen _de Wever_, see April 4, 1656 session.
make such disposition in the matter as it shall judge proper; in default whereof judgment shall be rendered de plano.*

*Idem* plaintiff, against Jan Roelofs, defendant. Default.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Willem Telier, in a case of atrocious slander.

The plaintiff says, and it is the truth, that the defendant did not hesitate on Tuesday, the 25th of April last, to throw in his face publicly and in open court the very villainous, hateful, and slanderous accusation that he had forcibly deprived him, the defendant, of and given to another person a certain alley or footpath, which the court in the week before Easter in accordance with the description in the defendant's own ground brief had decided not to belong to him, but to be a common alley or footpath; which is [274] so wide of the truth that he, the plaintiff, turning the aforesaid accusation around, can in accordance with the aforesaid decision of the court state on good authority that on the contrary he, the defendant, in contempt and disobedience of his lawful superiors has in the most unjust, violent, and forcible way tried to possess himself of the said footpath and in bad faith to fence off and use the same, in regard to which he, the plaintiff, has instituted a special action in this honorable court. The plaintiff, therefore, considering himself in the highest degree injured, affronted, and wounded in his honor, reputation, and office by the aforesaid false and impudent libel, slander, and accusation, and being consequently forced for the sake of reparation to resort to and make use of the means and ways of justice.

Demands that the defendant shall be condemned to make honorable and pecuniary reparation for the aforesaid villainous and false slander, namely, honorable reparation by appearing in court and there bareheaded and with folded hands and on bended knees praying God, the court, and the plaintiff, if he desires to be present, for forgiveness, confessing that he has unjustly and contrary to the truth made the aforesaid accusation and that he knows nothing of the plaintiff but what is honorable and virtuous and that he has in the aforesaid particular exercised his functions correctly and therefore that he is heartily sorry about it all, but promises never to do the like again; pecuniary reparation, by paying to the plaintiff, to be distributed by him among the poor, the sum of six hundred guilders, he, the plaintiff, being satisfied to declare under solemn oath that he would not care to suffer the said or similar insult again for the aforesaid or any larger amount, [275] demanding costs or other expenses.

The defendant persists in his previous statement.

* Latin, informally or in summary
The plaintiff asks that sentence be pronounced.
The magistrates [decide] as in the other case preceding this.

Jacob de Backer, plaintiff, having attached certain eighteen beavers belonging to Jan Gou, and at present in the custody of Jochem Wesselsen Backer, defendant.

He demands that the attachment [be sustained] and furthermore that judgment be given in the sum of £48:- for the recovery of money loaned.

Default and attachment sustained.


Arien Sijmonsz, plaintiff, against Herman Bamboes, defendant, about the payment of 50 beavers, being the balance of a larger sum due for the purchase of certain wines and other goods, according to the account thereof, the plaintiff being ready, upon the payment aforesaid, to restore to the defendant the servant that was left with him as security.

The court, having heard the confession of the defendant, give judgment for the number of beavers demanded.

Herman Bamboes, plaintiff, against Margriet Clabborts, defendant, about payment of the sum of £82:17:- for beer and other [liquors] fetched [at his tavern], according to the account thereof.

The court, having heard the parties on both sides and also the solemn declaration of the plaintiff, give judgment against the defendant for the sum of £71:17:-.

[276] Idem plaintiff, against Willem Hofmeyer, defendant.

Default.

Idem plaintiff, against Carsten de Noorman, defendant, about payment of the sum of £11:19:-.

The defendant admits the debt and requests a delay of four weeks.

The court consequently gives judgment, granting the delay requested.

Idem plaintiff, against Daniel Ringbout, defendant.

Default.

P[iete]r Rijverdingh, plaintiff, against Jan de Cuypers.

Default.

Jan Peeck, plaintiff, against Maria Dijckmans, for the payment of £1627:- on account of the purchase of certain two houses standing here
in the fort, with a garden thereto belonging, bid on, and bought by the defendant's husband at public auction, declining settlement. Otherwise, the plaintiff asks permission again to take possession of the aforesaid two houses and to offer and sell the same at public auction to the loss or benefit of the aforesaid [defendant's] husband and his sureties.

The court, having heard the parties on both sides, grants the plaintiff permission to take possession of the aforesaid two houses and garden and to sell them at public auction to the loss or benefit of the aforesaid Dijckman and his sureties.

Jacob Loosdrecht, plaintiff, against Steven Jansz.

Default.

[277] Cornelis Teunisz Bos, having power of attorney from Jacob Adriaensz, plaintiff, against Cornelis Segersz, defendant, for the sum of $537:-- by balance of account of the purchase of a certain house.

The defendant admits the debt and requests delay until fall.

The court orders the defendant to pay the sum demanded, delaying execution for the space of six weeks.

Pieter Bronck, plaintiff, having attached a certain sum of money in the custody of Frans Barentsz, belonging to Jan van Bremen, defendant.

The wife of the defendant asks for adjournment until her husband's return.

Upon the petition of a number of burghers and inhabitants of Beverwijck, requesting that the corral or palisades in front of their houses may be removed, the following apostil is entered:

The court, having taken the petitioners' or remonstrants' request into consideration, [favors it] to the extent [of promising] that, as soon as the church shall have been erected, due regard will be had to it, the court to make such disposition in the matter as the circumstances will then allow.

Philip Pieter Schuyler is granted and allowed an addition to his lot on which his house stands, at present surveyed as follows: adjoining on the south the wagon road, in length 9 rods, 5 feet; on the west side the hill, in width 5 rods, 11 feet; on the north side Pieter Hertgers, in length 9 rods, 7 feet; and on the east side Sander Leendertsz, in width 6 rods, 2 feet.

There was read a petition of some bakers, requesting that they and all other bakers, present and future, be prohibited from baking any confec-
tions for sale to the Indians.

Whereupon the following recommendation is given: It is left to the choice and option of the petitioners and all other bakers to bake and use the specified confections, or not. Done the 9th of June 1656.

[278] The officer and commissary, Johan de Deckere, notifies Dirk Bentsingh that he will institute his action against him on account of the very abusive and villainous terms used by him about the court before all the world, namely, that they were a pack of scoundrels, villains, and dogs, where and in such way as he shall see fit.

J. De Deckere
Rutger Jacobsz
Andries Herberts
Jacob Schermerho[orn]
Dirck Jansen Croon

Tuesday, June 17, 1656

Johan de Deckere, commissary and officer here, *ratione officy* plaintiff, against Jochem *de Backer*, defendant.

Second default.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Daniel Ringhout, defendant, for payment of the fine of f24:-- because the defendant on the first of this current month of June baked light weight white bread, contrary to the ordinance, and asking also that he be condemned to suspend his trade for the space of six weeks.

The court orders the payment of the fine and the suspension of his trade, respectively, as demanded, with expenses.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Willem Hofmeyer, defendant, for payment of the fine of f12:-- on account of the aforementioned matter.

The court orders the payment to be made.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Tomas Paul, defendant.

Second default.

[279] *Idem* plaintiff, against Cornelis Cornelisz, defendant, to pay the fine of f6:-- for some fighting.

The court condemns the defendant to pay the fine of four guilders.

*Idem* plaintiff, against P[jite]r Bout, defendant, to submit his evidence,
and furthermore requesting judgment.

The court refers parties to Frans Barentsz and Jan Verbeecq, referees.

Anna de Hulter, plaintiff, against Tomas Chiambers, defendant.

The plaintiff requests that the defendant be ordered to institute his action for any claims which he may have against her, *simul et semel,* on pain of having judgment given against him by default.

The defendant requests adjournment until next week.

Goossen Gerritsz, plaintiff, against Abram Prsz. Vosburch, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of the sum of f439:3, according to his promissory note, to be paid with 10 beavers and the balance in sewant.

The defendant admits the debt and offers to pay 100 guilders a week.

The court orders the defendant to pay the sum demanded as agreed to by him.

Jannitge Jans, plaintiff, against Hendrik *de Backer,* defendant.

First default.

Cornelis Cornelisz, plaintiff, against Willem Hofmeyer, defendant, about payment of the sum of f75:-- for tavern expenses.

The court, having heard the confession of the defendant, orders him to pay the sum demanded.

[280] *Idem* plaintiff, against Claes Uylenspiegel, defendant.

Second default.

P[iate]r Bronk, plaintiff, having attached the sum of f283:3, in the custody of Frans Barentsz, belonging to Jan van Bremen, against said van Bremen, defendant.

He demands that the attachment be sustained and furthermore that the defendant be ordered to pay the aforesaid f283:3, on account of the delivery of some goods.

The defendant acknowledges the debt.

The court gives judgment for the plaintiff and declares the money attached to be security for the debt and subject to execution.

Maria Dijckmans, plaintiff, having attached a certain sum of f84:-- in the custody of and belonging to as above, on account of house rent, to be paid in grain, beavers, or the value thereof

The defendant *ut supra.*

* Latin, together and at one time*
The court *ut supra*.

Poulus Cornelisz, plaintiff, against Claes Cornelisz van den Berch, defendant, about payment of 3 beavers, according to his promissory note.

The court refers parties to arbitrators, each party to choose one.

P[iete]r Rijverdingh, plaintiff, against Herman Bamboes, defendant, about payment of 28 beavers and 3 guilders, 9 stivers, for the delivery of some goods.

The defendant admits the debt.

The court gives judgment for the plaintiff.

P[iete]r Rijverdingh, plaintiff, against Herman Bamboes, defendant, about payment of 28 beavers and 3 guilders, 9 stivers, for the delivery of some goods.

The defendant admits the debt.

The court gives judgment for the plaintiff.

[281] Herman Bamboes, plaintiff, against Jochem *de Backer*.

Second default.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Daniel Ringhout, defendant, about payment of the sum of $42:-- for tavern expenses.

The defendant admits the debt and agrees to pay within six weeks.

The court gives judgment accordingly.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Willem Hofmeyer, defendant, about payment of the sum of $27:-- for tavern expenses.

The defendant admits the debt.

Judgment for the plaintiff.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Dirk Bentsingh, defendant, for payment of 5 beavers for canceling the purchase of a house.

The court gives judgment for the plaintiff.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Willem Hap, defendant.

Second default.

Salomon La Chair, plaintiff, against Abraham van Linthout, defendant, whose goods he caused to be attached, for payment of the sum of $328:-- by balance and settlement of accounts, at least by provisional judgment.

The defendant says that he has a counter claim, but he cannot present it at this place and time.

The court grants the provisional judgment asked and declares the goods attached to be security therefor and subject to execution, without prejudice to the defendant’s right in the principal matter at issue.*

---

* See *RNA*, 2:82, 100, for related proceedings.
Johan de Deckere, commissary and officer here, *ratione officy* plaintiff, against Pieter Bout, defendant, asking that judgment be given.

The magistrates condemn the defendant to pay a fine of four beavers.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Jochem *de Backer*, defendant.

He demands that the defendant be condemned to pay a fine of $24:- and in addition be suspended for six weeks from exercising his trade, all because the defendant on the 7th of this current month baked lightweight bread, contrary to the ordinance.

The magistrates, having heard the defendant, condemn him to pay the fine demanded and suspend him for six weeks from the exercise of his trade.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Tomas Paul and his wife, defendants, first, to pay a fine of $12:- for cause as above, and in addition $25:- because the defendant's wife called the plaintiff and the members of the court as they were making the inspection a pack of extortioners and devils.

The court condemns the defendant and his wife to pay the sum of $22:- within twenty-four hours, with expenses.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Geertruy Haps.* Default.

Johan de Deckere, commissary and president of the bench of justice, plaintiff *ratione officy*, against Willem Telier, defendant, to produce his evidence, if he has any, and asking that judgment be given accordingly.

The magistrates, having taken everything duly into consideration, order the defendant to pay the sum of one hundred guilders for the benefit of the plaintiff, with expenses.

*Idem* plaintiff, against the same defendant, all as above.

The magistrates as above order the defendant to pay a fine of $50:- for the benefit of the poor, with expenses.

Andries Herperts causes a note to be made that the aforesaid sentence was rendered contrary to his judgment, his opinion being that the aforesaid defendant on the first count should have been condemned to

* Geertruyt Andriessen, wife of Jacob Jansz Hap (Stol).
pay the sum of £150:- and on the second count a fine of 300 guilders and in addition be ordered to make honorable reparation.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Marcelis Jansz, asking permission to levy on Abram P[iete]rsz Vosburch the sum of £4:-, belonging to the defendant and attached in the hands of the said Vosburch.

The court orders Abram Vosburch to turn over the said four guilders to the plaintiff, against his receipt.

*Idem* plaintiff, having attached certain moneys in the custody of Tomas Chiambers, belonging to Jacob Loserik, requesting as above permission to levy the money in his custody.

The magistrates grant the request.

[284] Jacob Gerritsz, plaintiff, against Tomas Chiambers, defendant, about payment of the sum of £382:- for wages earned in building a barn, mill, and house, to be paid in beavers or grain.

The court, having heard the parties on both sides, orders the defendant to pay the sum of £382:-.

Anna de Hulter, plaintiff, against Tomas Chiambers, defendant, to institute his action.

The defendant exhibits a copy of his account.

The plaintiff takes a copy thereof.

Andries de Vos, plaintiff, against P[iete]r Bronk, defendant, for payment of the sum of £600:-, to be paid one-third in sewant and the rest in beavers.

The defendant admits the debt.

The court therefore gives judgment for the plaintiff.

P[iete]r Bronk, by virtue of a transfer [of claim] from Christoffel Davidts, plaintiff, against Jacob Gerritsz, defendant, for payment of the sum of £264:4:-, according to his note, payable in beavers or grain.

The defendant admits the debt.

The court gives judgment for the plaintiff.

Herman Jacobsz, plaintiff, against Jochem de Backer, defendant.

Second default.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Willem Hap, defendant.

Second default.

[285] Cornelis Cornelisz, plaintiff, against Claes Uylenspiegel, defen-
dant, for payment of the sum of £60:— for tavern expenses.

The defendant requests delay until the fall.

The court gives judgment for the plaintiff, granting delay of execution for six weeks.

Michiel Jansz, plaintiff, against Jan Michielsz, defendant, for payment of the sum of £31:1:—, by balance of accounts adjusted in the year 1646.

The court, having heard the parties on both sides, order the defendant to pay the sum demanded, denying the defendant his counterclaim.

There was submitted and presented a certain memorial of the honorable consistory of the village of Beverwijck, stating and setting forth that one Michiel Antonisz van Uytrecht, owing to a certain mistaken and untruthful report of a certain neighbor, who had lived in one and the same street next to one Grietge Jacobs, the aforesaid Michiel's first wife, that she, meaning instead of the wife, the mother, was dead and buried, had thereupon, mistaking the one for the other, married for the second time one Femmetge Aelberts, residing in Katskil; that since that time the first wife, the aforesaid Grietge Jacobs van Amsterdam, had also arrived here and revealed herself, whereupon the aforesaid Femmetge had declared that she wished no longer to live with the aforesaid Michiel Anthonisz, being of opinion that the said Grietge Jacobs, having the oldest papers, should have the priority and continue her marriage with the aforesaid Michiel Anthonisz, her own and lawful husband; that the same for the reasons aforesaid was also requested by the aforesaid Grietge Jacobs; furthermore, that the aforesaid case, being not [286] only of an ecclesiastical but also of a political nature, is referred to the Christian authorities to request their approval, the aforesaid consistory requesting finally that at the instance of the said Femmetge Aelbrechts she be granted letters of divorce.

The commissary and magistrates, having examined the aforesaid memorial and the request made therein and having summoned the said Michiel Anthonisz and Grietgen Jacobs to appear before them and confronted them with each other and heard their respective prayers and requests, have after mature deliberation considered, first, that the aforesaid case was contrary neither to the laws of God nor of man, the more so as the aforesaid Michiel Anthonisz had for nine years been out of the country and for a period of more than five successive years had heard or received no word or sign from the aforesaid Grietge Jacobs; also, that all marriages by mistake are ipso jure null and void, and finally, that the aforesaid Femmitge Aelberts has renounced her aforesaid matrimonial rights and relinquished them in favor of the aforesaid parties.
who were first joined in marriage. They therefore approve the aforesaid memorial and ordain, order, and consent that the said Michiel Anthonisz and Grietge Jacobs shall be and remain husband and wife and that the bonds of marriage between them are not dissolved by the second marriage with the aforesaid Femmitge, declaring the said Femmitge freed therefrom, restoring to her former liberty and granting her the right at all times to marry again, where and whomsoever she pleases, without interference or objection by anyone.

[287] Tuesday, July 17, 1656

Present:
Everyone except
Philip P[iete]rsz

Johan de Deckere, commissary and officer here, requests an interpretation of a certain order issued by this honorable court on the 18th of April last, in regard to certain persons who had and have thus far failed to build on their vacant lots and consequently whether Arien Jansz van Leyden was not then or is not now subject to a fine of $25:--?

The magistrates, having examined the aforesaid request, declare that they were then and are still of the opinion that the aforesaid Arien Jansz van Leyden is liable, and they therefore condemn him hereby to pay a fine of $25:--, on pain of forfeiting the lot, and this regardless of the provisions of the aforesaid order.

Daniel Ringhout, plaintiff, against Juriaen Teunisz, defendant, for payment of 21 beavers for the purchase and delivery of some brandy.
The defendant admits the debt and promises to pay the plaintiff before his departure for the fatherland.
The court accordingly awards judgment for the plaintiff.

Juriaen Teunis, plaintiff, against Baefge Pieters, defendant, for payment of 28 beavers for delivery of brandy.
The defendant acknowledges the debt.
The court accordingly awards judgment for the plaintiff.

Jan van Housen, plaintiff, against Hendrik Gerritsz, defendant.
Default.

Dirk Jansz Croon, plaintiff, against Sijmon Groot, defendant.
Default.
Idem plaintiff, against Cornelis Vos, defendant, for payment of the sum of $464, by balance of a larger amount for some merchandise delivered, payable in beavers with the interest thereon, according to the custom of the trade, or other arrangement.

The defendant admits the debt.

The court orders the defendant to pay the sum demanded within the space of 14 days, on pain of attachment.

Idem plaintiff, having attached certain $\frac{3}{2}$ beavers in the custody of Meyndert de Smith, and also $\frac{1}{2}$ ditto, in the case of Rem Jansz, belonging to Moy Aeltie, the wife of Huybert [left blank] against the said Moy Aeltie, defendant.

Default, with order sustaining the attachment.

Pieter Bronck, plaintiff, against Jan de Wever, defendant.

Default.

Appeared in court Mr. Abram Staets and Sander Leendertsz in charge of the receipt and disbursement of a certain contribution heretofore ordered and levied on homeowners for defraying the cost of the bridge and other expenses, who exhibited their account and turned over the balance of the money, amounting to $7:3:--. The same being accepted and the amount being verified, the court has expressed its satisfaction therewith and thanked them for their trouble, releasing them hereby from all claims for the money received.

Commissary Johan de Deckere has turned over to Rutger Jacobsz and consigned to him the sum of $93:5:--$, heretofore in his custody on account of Jacob Adriaensz.

[289] Wednesday, October 4, 1656*

The honorable officer, plaintiff, against Henderick Hendericksen Back-er, defendant.

The officer says that the defendant was fined by the court twenty-four guilders for having baked lightweight bread and ten guilders for using abusive language, which sentence he has refused to comply with and to

* There are no minutes for the preceding months of August and September. The hiatus may be explained by the sudden departure of De Deckere to return to the Netherlands. Beginning with this session, a contemporary copy of the minutes is preserved in the NYCM, vol.16, part 2. The copy covers the period from October 4, 1656, to December 12, 1656; wherever the copy contains additional information, it will be added to the text with annotation.
satisfy. Also, that on account of his refusal he was prohibited from
baking, which order in contempt of the court he has also refused to obey.
He answers that he has never baked bread for the Christians that was
found short of weight, but as to the Indians, he declares that he did not
know that it was forbidden.

Asked whether he was not fined thirty-four guilders by the court, he
answers, Yes.

Whether the first and second time that notice was served on him, he
refused to comply with and satisfy the sentence?
Answers, That he put it off until the arrival of the officer.

Whether, upon further refusal, he was not enjoined by the court from
baking for the space of six weeks?
Answers, That the court messenger served notice on him to that effect,
but that he gave the court messenger for answer, “Why should I stop
baking? My money is ready.”*

[290] The court messenger being thereupon personally examined and
the writ returned by him being inspected, his report is that the defendant
answered: “I’ll just have to keep on and see what comes of it.”

Being asked further whether he has been obedient and stopped
baking? Answers, No.

The officer demands that he be fined the double amount and be
suspended from the exercise of his trade for the period of three months
and remain under arrest until the fine is paid.

A vote being taken, the defendant is by a majority of those present
condemned to pay the fine demanded and as an example to others
suspended for the space of six weeks, or else ordered to settle with the
officer.

[P. Stuyvesant
Rutger Jacobsen
Anderies Herbertsen
Jacob Janssen Schermerhoorn
Philip Pietersen]†

* mijn gelt is gereet, there’s money to be made(?)
† This block of signatures has been cut from the original record book; the names have been
supplied from the copy in vol. 16, part 2 of the NYCM.
Whereas Egbertjen Egberts, innkeeper in the village of Beverwijck, in contempt and disregard of the ordinances and placards of the director general and council and in violation of the express prohibition of the commissary and magistrates of the aforesaid village, has not hesitated to sell beer to the Indians or, as she herself declares, to give it to them for some tapoesjens,* according to her own confession made without being subjected to pain or duress, therefore the officer, in his capacity of plaintiff, for the maintenance of the aforesaid ordinances and placards, in accordance with the published and frequently renewed ordinances, has demanded that the aforesaid Egbertje Egberts be fined five hundred guilders and moreover as an example to others be subjected to arbitrary corporal punishment and correction and be banished from this country, as the very sad and dangerous accidents resulting from the tapping, selling, or giving of wine or beer to the Indians indeed require, on account of the aforesaid disregard and violation of the original and frequently renewed ordinances and the sad accidents resulting from the drunkeness of the Indians, that the aforesaid Egbertjen Egberts as an example to others receive arbitrary corporal punishment therefor and be banished from this country. Nevertheless, the director general and the magistrates of this court, taking into consideration the voluntary admission of guilt by the said Egbertje Egberts and being for the present inclined to show leniency instead of rigor (on which, however, in the future no one is to rely), have sentenced and condemned the aforesaid Egbertjen Egberts as they sentence and condemn her hereby, to pay a fine of three hundred guilders, she to remain under civil detention until the judgment is satisfied or satisfactory security is given; the further demands of the officer being denied.

Thus done, sentenced, and condemned at the session of the hon. director general and the magistrates of the village of Beverwijck, this 6th of October 1656.

P. Stuyvesant
Rutger Jacobsz
Andries Herberts
Jacob Jansen Schermerhoorn
Philip Pietersen

* A bag or pouch used by the Indians to carry tobacco and other small items; probably made of deer skin and highly decorated, they were coveted by the European settlers.
Whereas Willem Hoffmeyer, born in Brazil, aged about twenty years, in notorious disregard and contempt of the well-meant ordinances and placards of the director general and council of New Netherland and in violation of the orders and directions of the commissary and magistrates of Fort Orange and the village of Beverwijck, has not hesitated to sell and peddle beer to the Indian barbarians, as he without pain or duress confesses and admits that he, Willem Hofmeyer, at present in custody, once with two half barrels in a canoe and afterwards, on the 22d, 23d, and 24th of July last past, with five half barrels of good and small beer mixed together, sailed up the river and sold and peddled the beer among the Indians (notwithstanding the strict prohibition of the director general and council) and what is worse, had it sold and peddled for him by one Indian to other Indians; and whereas, furthermore, in further contempt of court, the said prisoner, while he was being examined on account of said offense and released on bail, has not hesitated the same day to admit a drunken Indian to his house and contrary to expressed prohibition and warning of the court to pour out or sell beer to them, which disobedience, disregard, yes, contempt of good order and justice, as well as his frequent violations and transgressions of the well-meant ordinances and placards of the director general and council of New Netherland issued and repeatedly renewed against the dangerous and harmful sale of beer or wine to the Indians, are matters of very evil and injurious consequences, which in a land of justice, as an example to others, can and ought not to remain unpunished; therefore, the director general together with the magistrates of Fort Orange and the village of Beverwijck, in the name and on the part of the high and mighty lords, the states general of the United Netherlands, and the honorable directors of the Chartered West India Company, administering justice in the case, upon the complaint and demand of the officer and in view of his own free and voluntary confession, sentence and condemn the aforesaid Willem Hoffmeyer, now in custody, as they sentence and condemn him hereby, to pay a fine of five hundred guilders and to be banished from this country for the space of three years, he to remain in strict confinement until the judgment is satisfied. Thus done and sentenced at the session of the director general and the magistrates of Fort Orange. This day, the sixth of October 1656.

P. Stuyvesant
Rutger Jacobsz
Andries Herberts
Jacob Jansen Schermerhoorn
Philip Pietersen
Whereas Dirckie Hermense, innkeeper in the village of Beverwijck, in disregard and contempt of the ordinances and placards of the director general and council and contrary to the express prohibition of the commissary and magistrates of the aforesaid village, has not hesitated to sell, or, as she herself declares, to give, beer to the Indians for some *tapoesjens,* according to her own confession made without pain or duress, whereupon the officer, in his capacity of plaintiff, for the maintenance of the aforesaid ordinances and placards, in accordance with the provisions of the aforesaid and repeatedly renewed ordinances, has demanded that the aforesaid Dirckje Harmens be fined five hundred guilders and furthermore that, as an example to others, she receive arbitrary corporal punishment and correction and be banished from this country, as the sad and dangerous accidents resulting from the tapping, selling, or giving of wine or beer to the Indians indeed demand that, in view of the aforesaid disregard and violation of the aforementioned and repeatedly renewed ordinances and the sad accidents resulting from the drunkenness of the Indians, the aforesaid Dirckje Harmens, as an example to others, receive arbitrary and corporal punishment and be banished from this country. However, taking into consideration the voluntary confession of the aforesaid Dirckjen Harmens, the director general and the magistrates of this court are for the present inclined to show leniency instead of rigor (on which, however, no one should rely in the future) and have sentenced and condemned the aforesaid Dirckjen Harmens, as they sentence and condemn her hereby, to pay a fine of three hundred guilders, she to remain in civil detention until the satisfaction of the judgment or until she shall have furnished satisfactory security, the further demands of the officer being denied. Thus done, sentenced and condemned at the session of the director general and the magistrates of the village of Beverwijck, this 6th of October Anno 1656.

P. Stuyvesant
Rutger Jacobsz
Andries Herberts
Jacob Jansen Schermerhoorn
Philip Pietersen

The 12th day of October the officer arrested a certain drunken Indian, committing insolence, and brought him on a brewer's wagon to the fort where he was placed in confinement.

* See note page 252.
The 13th of October Anno 1656, at the request of the officer of Fort Orange, the Indian named Macheck Sipoeti,* a Mahican, was examined by Jan Tomassen, well acquainted with the Mahican languages, in the presence of the honorable Heer J. B. Rencalaer, director of the colony of Renselaerswijck, and the right honorable Ruth Jacobsen and Anderies Herbertsen, magistrates of the court of Fort Orange and the village of Beverwijck.

He was first asked where he had been drinking, whereupon he answered, in an Indian house, situated near the Gojer’s Kill.†

He was then asked where the Indians had obtained the brandy, whereupon he answered, on the east side of the river, from the Dutch, who lived there.

Thirdly, he was asked the names of the Dutch who had sold or given them the brandy, to which he answered that he did not know their names.

Finally, he was asked how large the cask was, whereupon he indicated the size in such a way that one could judge that it must have been an anker.

Rutger Jacobsz
Andries Herberts
La Montagne

[298] Ordinary Session, October 17, 1656

Present:
La Montagne, commissary‡
Rutger Jacobsen
Anderies Herbertsen
magistrates§

Frederick Hendericksz, skipper, plaintiff, against Henderick Jochimsen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment by the defendant of 37 whole beavers, due him on a promissory note.

The defendant admits the debt.

* Sipoety in NYCM
† near Schodack, N.Y., on the east side of the river
‡ Dr. Johannes La Montagne received his commission as vice director and commissary of Fort Orange on September 28, 1656. He had served on the council in Manhattan continually since 1638.
§ The list of magistrates only appears in NYCM.
The parties being heard by the court, the defendant is ordered to pay the plaintiff the number of 37 whole beavers, at once.

Jan Jansen van Ekel,* plaintiff, against Abraham Pietersen. The defendant having failed to appear the second time, default is entered against him.

Gossen Gerritsen, plaintiff, having power of attorney from the widow of Reyer Stoffelsen, against Gillis Pietersen. The plaintiff, in his capacity, demands payment of the sum of 200 guilders, according to a promissory note, which he exhibits in court.

The defendant admits the debt.

The parties being heard, the court orders the defendant to pay the aforesaid sum of 200 guilders within the space of two months.

Lowies Cobus,† having power of attorney from Jan Peeck, plaintiff, against Claes Ripsen, defendant.

He demands payment of 50 guilders made over to the defendant, arising from a certain fine.

The defendant says that he satisfied the former officer Dijckman for the aforesaid sum.

The wife of the aforesaid Dijckman, in the absence of her husband, acknowledges that the aforesaid sum was paid to her husband. The defendant is discharged by the court from the plaintiff’s demand.

[299] Gossen Gerritsen, attorney for the widow of Reyer Stoffelsen, plaintiff, against Christoffel Davids, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of 60 guilders in corn.

The defendant admits the debt and promises to pay, on condition that the note which he executed in favor of Reyer Stoffelsen be returned to him; also a silver beaker which he gave to Reyer Stoffelsen, deceased, to have it repaired in Holland.

The parties having been heard, the defendant is ordered by the court to pay the said sum of 60 guilders in corn within the space of six weeks, provided that the note, if there is one, be at the same time returned to him. As to the silver beaker, he is to corroborate his claim by testimony.

Gossen Gerritsen, plaintiff, against Lambert van Valckenborch, defendant.

The defendant failing to appear, first default is entered against him.

* Ekelen in NYCM
† Cobussen in NYCM
Gossen Gerritsen, plaintiff, against Claes Teunissen, defendant.
   The defendant failing to appear, first default is entered against him.

Isbrant Eldersen,* plaintiff, against Leendert Philipsen, defendant. The plaintiff demands the return of a pair of black cloth sleeves given to the defendant to be mended.
   The defendant admits that he received the same sleeves from the plaintiff, but claims that they were stolen from his house.
   The parties having been heard, the defendant is ordered to restore the sleeves in question, or pay 8 guilders cash for them.

Jan Gouw, plaintiff, against Harmen Jacobsen, defendant.
   The defendant failing to appear, first default is entered against him.

[300] Tomas Poulussen,† plaintiff, against Tjerck Claesen.‡
   The plaintiff demands payment of 6 beavers.
   The defendant admits the debt, but claims that 16 guilders is due him by the plaintiff for wages.
   The plaintiff maintains that he does not owe as much.
   The parties having been heard, the defendant is ordered to pay 4 beavers to the plaintiff within the space of six weeks, and meanwhile to settle the dispute in regard to the wages and after the liquidation to pay to the plaintiff the balance, if there be any, of the value of 2 beavers.
   NB The time of payment expires on the first of December.§

The officer, plaintiff, in a criminal case, against Jurriaen Glaessemaker, defendant.
   The officer says that the defendant in the night of the 11th of October, coming from the watch to the door of his house, knocked on said door and after it had been opened by his wife, drew his sword from the scabbard and struck his own wife with it, saying, "You damned whores and scoundrels," at which noise Cornelis Pietersen Hoogenboom, then lying asleep on a chest, woke up and fled to the garret, where he was followed by the defendant, who held a candle and his bare blade in his hand and finally, without exchanging words, cut and wounded Cornelis Pietersen in his right arm, as appears from the complaint of the said Cornelis Pietersen Hoogenboom, the report of Jacob De Hinsse, surgeon, and the testimony of Jan Barentsen Timmerman, Jan de Graef, and Pieter

---

* Eldertsen in NYCM
† Poulus in NYCM
‡ Claessen in NYCM
§ The nota bene is a marginal notation lacking in NYCM.
de Backer [301] examined by the honorable magistrates upon interrogatories.

The defendant says that on knocking on his door, he heard a noise of someone climbing into his garret, whereupon he conceived a suspicion of theft or other mischief, which caused him to visit his garret and finding there Cornelis Pietersen Hoogenboom hidden under a bedstead, he thought himself justified in attacking him in his own house as a thief or criminal, considering that the sun had set.

The magistrates, having learned from the report of the surgeon that the wound is not dangerous, and having heard from the witnesses the circumstances of the case, order, in consideration of the circumstances, that the defendant shall settle with the officer, provided, however, that he compensate Cornelis Pietersen Hoogenboom for maintenance, medicine, and lost time.

Gommer Poulussen* requests the court to grant him a place for a garden. The magistrates have taken the matter under advisement and will accommodate the petitioner according to the situation of the place.

La Montagne
Rutger Jacobsz
Andries Herberts

[302] October 21, Anno 1656
Extraordinary Session Requested by Marceles Janssen†

Present:

La Montagne, commissary
Rutger Jacobsen
Andries Herbertsen‡

Marcelus Janssen, plaintiff, against Pieter Adriaens, alias Soogemackelyck, defendant.

The plaintiff demands prompt payment of six hundred twenty-five guilders, which the defendant promised to pay him within the space of fourteen days, which have now expired.

The defendant brings into court 386 guilders in strung and loose sewant, which the plaintiff accepts. He consents that the attachment

* Pouwel in NYCM
† A marginal notation "paid" indicates that this suit was satisfied.
‡ This list appears only in NYCM.
against the defendant be vacated, but demands that he shall pay the costs of the extraordinary session.

The parties having been heard, the court orders that they shall each pay one-half of the costs of the extraordinary session.

Lowies Cobus, plaintiff, against the wife of Jeles* Fonda, defendant.

The plaintiff complains that the defendant without his knowledge or consent has taken an apron belonging to his wife hanging on the fence.

The defendant denies the charge and alleges that the plaintiff’s wife gave her the said apron as a pledge for five and a half beavers, and the defendant being not satisfied with the apron as a pledge for the said sum, the plaintiff’s wife gave her a bodice in addition.

[303] The parties having been heard by the court, the court, seeing that they have neither proof nor witness, order the defendant to confirm her statement by oath, giving her time until the next day to consider the solemnity of an oath.

Carsten Fredrickx,† being summoned by order of the court to place a value on his lot, which he must cede for the accommodation of this community in order to move on the said lot a house leaning against the church, demands one hundred beavers, whereupon the court decides to take the matter under advisement.

La Montagne
Rutger Jacobsz
Andries Herberts

[304] Whereas daily experience teaches us that in consequence of the foul and unswept condition of the chimneys, they often catch fire and generally the houses also, yes, frequently the neighboring buildings, especially when they are covered with flammable materials, whereby great damage is done not only to houses and goods but—sad spectacle—to people also; therefore, the vice director and magistrates of this court, wishing as a matter of their official duty to prevent such accidents to the best of their ability, do hereby order all burghers and inhabitants of Fort Orange and the village of Beverwijck, and each one in particular, to keep the chimneys of the respective houses clean and free from danger of fire, on pain of forfeiture of one pound Flemish for every chimney which shall be found dirty and declared as such 15 days after the publication hereof.

* Jillis in NYCM
† Fredricksen in NYCM
Done in Fort Orange, the 24th of October 1656.

La Montagne
Rutger Jacobsz
Andries Herberts

[305] Ordinary Session, October 24, Anno 1656

Present:

La Montagne, commissary
Rutger Jacobsen
Anderies Herbertsen
magistrates*

Johannes van Twillert, plaintiff, against Christoffel Davidts, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of the sum of 564 guilders, 8 stivers, according to the voluntary confession [of the defendant], whereupon judgment was given by the court of Rencelaerswijck and by virtue thereof an attachment secured against certain moneys in the custody of Jacob Janssen Stollen† and Tomas Chambert.

The defendant admits the debt and agrees that the plaintiff shall receive from the hands of the aforesaid Jacob Janssen Stollen and Tomas Chambert the moneys attached, up to the amount of his debt due to the aforesaid van Twillert.

The magistrates, having heard the confession and the consent of the defendant, [give judgment for] the aforesaid sum of 564 guilders, 8 stivers and order that the property in the hands of Jacob Janssen Stollen and Tomas Chambert may be levied upon by the plaintiff to the amount of 564 guilders, 8 stivers.

Jan Janssen van Eeckel, plaintiff, against Abraham Pietersen Vosbergh.‡

The plaintiff requests execution of the judgment given against the defendant on the 29th of August last, whereby the defendant was ordered to pay twelve beavers within six weeks, which have now expired.

The wife of the defendant, appearing instead of her husband, offers to pay cash in sewant, or in beavers in the spring.

The plaintiff accepts the offer of payment in beavers on the first of June, provided the defendant gives him one beaver by way of interest.

* This list only appears in NYCM.
† Stolin NYCM
‡ The names in this suit appear in NYCM as Van Eeckelen and Vosburgh.
The magistrates, having heard the agreement between the parties, order the defendant to pay the sum in question to the plaintiff on the first of June Anno 1657, provided that the defendant shall pay the plaintiff one beaver as interest.

The parties being heard, it is ordered that the defendant, Abraham Pietersen, shall personally appear.

Evert Pries, plaintiff, against Jan van Aecken, defendant.
The plaintiff demands compensation for damage suffered by him on goods in his chest, which was entrusted to the care of the defendant and which damage occurred through the defendant's fault.
The defendant says that he did not have the care of the chest, but that it was left at his house by the plaintiff.
The magistrates order the parties each to choose a referee to settle the matter.

Lowies Cobus, authorized to represent Jan Peeck, plaintiff, against Abraham Vosbergh, defendant.
The defendant failing to appear, default is entered against him.

Jan Gouw, plaintiff, against Harmen Jacobsen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of 17 and a half beavers.
The defendant offers to make payment in sewant or grain.
The magistrates, having heard the parties, order the defendant to pay the number of 17 and a half beavers within the space of six weeks.

Frans Barentsen, plaintiff, against Abram Pieter Vosbrugh.
The defendant failing to appear, first default is entered against him.

[307] Fop* Barentsen against Cornelis Vos, defendant.
The defendant failing to appear, first default is entered against him.

Cornelis Teunissen requests for Tomas Janssen Mingael a lot for a house, situated between Pieter Loockermans and Pieter Messen.†
The honorable magistrates have taken the matter under advisement in order to accommodate the petitioner in all fairness.

* Foppe in NYCM
† Meessen in NYCM
Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
End of October 1656

Present:

La Montagne, commissary
Rutger Jacobsz
Andries Harperss,*

magistrates

Juffrouw Johanna Thullert,† plaintiff, against Harmen Jacobsen, defendant.

The defendant failing to appear, default is entered against him.

Juffrouw Johanna Thullert, plaintiff, against Govert Hendricksen,‡
defendant.

The defendant failing to appear, default is entered against him.

Jochim de Backer, plaintiff, against Willem Hoffmeyr, defendant.

The parties having been heard, the court refers the case to referees
chosen by the parties respectively, to settle the matter in an amicable
manner.

Henderick Anderiessen, plaintiff, in a case of slander [308] against
Henderick Gerritsen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands reparation for injury to his reputation done by
the defendant.

The defendant denies that he has injured the plaintiff’s reputation in
any way.

The court orders the plaintiff to prove his charges.

Jan Janssen van Eeckelen, plaintiff, against Abraham Pietersen Vosber-
gen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands compensation for two hogsheads of French
wine, the balance of three, put by the plaintiff in the defendant’s cellar,
which were spoiled through the defendant’s fault, as the said defendant
refused to sell them to some who tried to buy them.

The defendant maintains that the said damage is not his fault, as the
wine was not placed in his care, but only put in his cellar with his consent
by the plaintiff, who gave him permission to sell as much of it as he

* Rutger Jacobsen and Anderies Herbertsen, respectively, in NYCM
† T’Hulter in NYCM; i.e., Madam Johanna de Hulter
‡ Geurt Hendericksen in NYCM
could, which he did, having sold one [hogshead]. The remaining two hogheads, which spoiled, he tried to improve with the help of Mr. van Hamel, as the plaintiff afterwards also sought to do with the said Hamel's help.

The parties having been heard, the court orders the plaintiff to take back the wine in question. In regard to the damage, [309] the plaintiff's demand is denied and the defendant is discharged.

Jochim de Backer requests the court to grant him a place for a garden.

The court will take the request under advisement and after inspection of the place requested, accommodate the said Jochim in all fairness.

The Honorable Anderies Herbertsen, magistrate, has declared before the court that the 27th of this month a Maquas Indian came quite drunk into his house and after committing many acts of violence left some goods in his house. Coming the other day to the said house to fetch his goods, he declared to the deponent that the wine which made him drunk was fetched by three Indian women from Barent Pietersen Molenaer.

Ordinary Session
November 7, 1656

Present:
La Montagne, commissary
Rutgher Jacobsz
Andries Harperss
Jacob Schermerhooren
Philip Pieterss
magistrates

Juffrouw Johanna t’Hulter, plaintiff, against Tomas Clabbert, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of a certain account delivered to the defendant.

The defendant maintains that he satisfied her by means of a counter-claim delivered to the plaintiff.

The parties having been heard, it is ordered by the court that the plaintiff shall within the space of fourteen days state her objections to the defendant’s account.

[310] Juffrouw t’Hullert, plaintiff, against Govert Hendricksen, defendant.
The defendant failing to appear, default is entered against him. *NB default from the second time to the third.*

Harmen Jacobsen, plaintiff, against Jan Roeloffsen, Gerrit Hendericksen, and Huybert Janssen, defendants.

Huybert Janssen appearing alone, acknowledged the debt.

The court orders the defendant, Huybert Janssen, to pay the admitted debt within the space of three months and orders first default to be entered against Jan Roeloffsen and Gerrit Hendericksen.

Arent vanden Berch, plaintiff, against Henderick Gerritsen, defendant.

The defendant failing to appear, first default is entered against him.

Cornelis Teunissen, plaintiff, in a case of slander against Abraham Stevensen Crawaet, defendant.

The defendant failing to appear, first default is entered against him.

The officer, in a case of slander and insolence, plaintiff, against Tomas Chambert, alias Clabbort.

The plaintiff demands reparation for abusive remarks made in his presence and that of the entire court about the honorable directors, the director general and council, and the entire court on the 6th of November last in the house of Willem Freedericksen Bout, where the [311] said court and the surveyors had met to decide some question regarding the survey.

The defendant excuses himself on the ground that he was drunk and does not know what he said or did, saying that he is sorry that he used offensive language to his superior authorities. He promises not to do it again and declares that he is ready to undergo such punishment as he deserves in case he should repeat the offense, begging pardon for the aforesaid misdeed.

The court, observing the defendant's sorrow and his promises, and considering the condition he was in when he uttered the said abusive remarks, excuses him for the present from undergoing the merited punishment and, preferring leniency to rigor, condemn the defendant to pay a fine of one hundred, fifty guilders, to be paid within the space of six weeks.

*NB the time will expire on the 20th of December.†

---

* The *nota bene* is a marginal notation indicating that this is the third default.

† This appears as a marginal notation in the original.
Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
November 22, 1656

Present:

La Montagne, commissary
Rutgher Jacobsz
Andries Harpersz
Jacob Schermerhooren
Philippe Pietersz
magistrates

Frans Barentsen Pastoor, plaintiff, against Abraham Pietersen Vosborch, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of a balance of twenty-seven pieces of beaver at $10 apiece, in sewant, which the defendant paid him in sewant at $8 apiece, so that there is still due him $54.

[312] The defendant maintains that he paid the twenty-seven beavers in full sewant, at $8 apiece.

The parties having been heard, the defendant is ordered to pay the plaintiff the sum of $54 in sewant.

Frans Barentsen Pastoor, plaintiff, against Jan van Breemen, defendant.

The defendant failing to appear, second default is entered against him.

Frans Barentsen Pastoor, plaintiff, against Jan Martensen, alias de Wever, defendant.

The defendant failing to appear, default is entered against him.

Lowies Cobus, as empowered proxy, plaintiff, against Frans Barentsen Pastoor, defendant.

The plaintiff says that he had ten beavers attached in the hands of the defendant, which ten beavers the defendant paid out in spite of the attachment.

The defendant says that he paid them by order of the court.

The plaintiff asks adjournment until the next court day, in order to have Pieter Bronsen* subpoenaed.

The court consents to the adjournment.

Fop Barentsen, plaintiff, against Cornelis Vos, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of one hundred, fifty guilders loaned

* Bronck in NYCM
by him to the defendant some weeks ago.
   The defendant denies that he owes the plaintiff any money.

[313] The court orders the plaintiff to prove the alleged debt on the
next court day by written contract or testimony of witnesses.

Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
November 28, 1656

Present:
La Montagne, commissary
Rutgher Jacobsz
Andries Harpertsz
Jacob Schermerhooren
Philippe Pietersz
  magistrates

Juffrouw de Hulter, plaintiff, against Jan Gouw.
   The plaintiff demands payment for 1200 pantiles, amounting to seven
and a half beavers.
   The defendant denies that he owes the plaintiff the sum of f64.
   The parties having been heard, the defendant is ordered by the court
to pay the plaintiff the sum of f64 in beavers within the space of six
weeks.

Cornelis Cornelissen de Jonge, plaintiff, against Claes Vijlens, defend­
dant.
   The defendant failing to appear, default is entered against him.
Foppe Barens, plaintiff, against Cornelis Vos, defendant.
   The plaintiff, pursuant to the order of the court of the 22d of Novem­
ber last, produces Marcelus Janssen and Harmen Bastiaensen as wit­
tesses, who, appearing, testify that being requested by the parties to
adjust their differences, the defendant’s wife said that she would not
speak of anything that happened before, as a result of which they, [314]
the deponents, parted without having accomplished anything.
   The court orders that a copy of the testimony of the witnesses be
delivered to the defendant for his consideration and if he has any
objections to make, he is to submit them on the next court day.

Arent van den Berch, plaintiff, against Henderick Gerritsen, defendant.
   The parties having been heard, the defendant is ordered to pay 3
beavers when called upon to do so.
The following persons are summoned to appear on account of their being found in the taverns after the ringing of the bell, contrary to the ordinance:*

Harmen Jacobsen Bambus, tavern keeper. Paid.
Jan Gauw. Default.
Harmen de Timmerman. Default.
Jan Eeckelen. Paid.
Teunis Jacobsen. Default.
Albert de Timmerman, tavern keeper. Paid.
Geurt Hendericksz. Default.
Gerrit Viesbeeck.† Default.
Daniel de Backer. Paid.
Henderick Clootendraeyer. Default.
Henderick de Snijder, alias Cordiael. Default.
Henderick alias de Stijve Snijder. Default.

Jacob Janssen van Noortstrant requests that the court appoint him gager of the casks.
   The court grants the request.

[315] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
 on the 5th of December 1656

Present:

La Montagne, commissary
Andries Harpartsz
Jacob Schermerhooren
Philippe Pietersz
   magistrates.

Anderies Herbertsen, as attorney of Goosen Gerritsen, plaintiff, against Claes Teunissen, defendant.
   The plaintiff demands payment of a note of $848:--.
   The defendant admits that he owes a certain balance of account and offers to pay it with his house, requesting that the plaintiff show his power of attorney.

* See LO, 258.
† Visbeeck in NYCM
The court orders the plaintiff to show his power of attorney on the next court day.

[316] Anderies Herbertsen, plaintiff in a case of slander, against the wife of Henderick de Backer, defendant.

The plaintiff says and complains that the defendant in his absence has called him a compound thief who stole her meat out of the tub and her firewood out of her house, which he offers to prove.

The defendant denies having made such accusations, but admits that she said that the plaintiff as her accuser has been the cause of her husband being obliged to pay a fine of $68, as a result of which they had to go without meat and wood.

The parties having been heard, the court orders the plaintiff to furnish the defendant with a copy of his complaint, to which she is to make answer on the next court day.

Jacob Schermerhoorn, plaintiff, against Christoffel Davids, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of 14 schepels of corn, being the balance of a promissory note executed more than 10 years ago.

The defendant denies that he owes the amount, but declares that he is satisfied to pay it if the plaintiff swears to it.

The plaintiff having taken the oath, the court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff two beavers in specie and 10 stivers in sewant.

Claes Hendricksz, plaintiff, against Gerrit Slechtenhorst, defendant.

The plaintiff demands the defendant's reasons for forbidding him to build on his own ground.

[317] The defendant says that the ground on which the plaintiff was busy building, belongs to him as lessee, and he maintains that no one has a right to build thereon without his consent during the term of his lease.

The plaintiff exhibits a lease in the defendant's own handwriting, in the margin of which was written that the plaintiff was to have the use of the area presently in controversy.

The defendant maintains that such use was granted to the plaintiff only to stack his wood there and to use the ground for bleaching purposes, offering to prove the same.

The parties having been heard, the court orders the defendant to prove on the next court day that he has granted the use of the yard to the plaintiff only for the purpose of stacking wood and of bleaching there.

Pieter Loockermans, plaintiff, against Matteus Abrahams,* defendant.

* Mattheus Abrahamsen in NYCM
The plaintiff demands payment of three and a half beavers. The defendant admits the debt and offers to pay, provided that the three beavers in the hands of Jan Gauw, which the plaintiff has caused to be attached, shall be left at his disposal. The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the three and a half beavers. Meanwhile, the attachment of the three beavers is sustained.

Matteus Abrahamsen, plaintiff, against Jan Gauw, defendant. The defendant failing to appear, default is entered against him.

[318] Foppe Barentsen, plaintiff, against Cornelis Vos, defendant. The defendant submits his defense in writing, of which a copy is asked by the plaintiff. The court orders the defendant to furnish the plaintiff with a copy of his defense, to which he is to file his answer on the next court day.

Albert Gijsbertsen Rademaeker, requests a certain lot for a garden. The court will first inspect the place so as to accommodate the petitioner according to its location.

Arent van Curler, as attorney of Adriaen Janssen van Leyden, tavern keeper in the colony of Renselaerswijk, plaintiff, against Marcelus Janssen, formerly farmer of the excise on wine, beer, and liquor sold by the tavern keepers of Fort Orange, the village of Beverwijck, and the dependencies thereof, defendant.

The plaintiff demands the return of an anker of brandy which the defendant about 13 months ago, on his own authority and without the knowledge of and consent of the officer of Fort Orange and the village of Beverwijck, unlawfully seized on the public street and highway and appropriated to himself, for which aforesaid anker of brandy, the principal duly ordered and directed his servant to obtain a retail certificate from the aforesaid farmer, as was actually requested, according to the affidavit filed herewith. The plaintiff requests therefore that the defendant be ordered to restore the aforesaid anker of brandy without loss or damage, all according to law.

Was signed: Arent van Curler.

The defendant requests a copy of the plaintiff's demand. The court orders that a copy of the plaintiff's demand be delivered to the defendant.
Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
December 12, 1656

Present:

La Montagne, commissary
Rutger Jacobsen
Jacob Schermerhoorn
Philip Pietersen

magistrates*

Harmen Jacobsen, plaintiff, against Pieter Stevensen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of $30, which the defendant owes.
The defendant admits that he owes $23:12, and no more.
The court orders the defendant to pay the acknowledged sum of $23:12.

Leendert Philipsen, plaintiff, against Tierck Claessen, defendant.
[320] The plaintiff says that the defendant, having rented a house from him, has without his knowledge sublet it to someone else and requests that the rent thereof be paid to him.
The defendant agrees to it.
The court orders that the plaintiff shall receive the rent of his own house.

Foppe Barentsen, plaintiff, against Cornelis de Vos,† defendant.
The defendant asks for a copy of the plaintiff’s demand.
The court orders the plaintiff to furnish the defendant with a copy of his demand, to file his answer thereto on the next court day.

Anderies Herbertsen, plaintiff, against Claes Teunissen, defendant.
The plaintiff exhibits his power of attorney.
The court refers the parties to the previously issued order.

Frans Barentsen Pastoor, plaintiff, against Jan van Bremen and Pieter Bronck, defendants.
The parties failing to appear, the second default is taken against Jan van Bremen and the first default against Pieter Bronck.

* This list only appears in NYCM.
† Cornelis Vos in NYCM
Claes Hendericksen, plaintiff, against Gerrit Slechtenhorst, defendant.

The defendant produces Jan de Ret* as a witness, who testifies that he was present when the copy [321] of the lease between the parties was changed or added to and that he heard the defendant simply grant the use of the area in question to the plaintiff, but that he did not hear him give any consent to build thereon.

* Dareth in NYCM

[33] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
January 9, 1657‡

President, J. La Montagne
Rutger Jacobsen
Anderies Herbertsen
Jacob Schermerhoorn
Philip Pietersen
magistrates

Lambert van Valckenborch, plaintiff, against Henderick Claessen and Gerrit Willemsen, defendants.

The plaintiff complains that the defendants beat him and his wife in his own house.

The defendants deny it and claim that the plaintiff chased them with a drawn sword out of his house and pursued them to the center of the fort.

The court orders the parties respectively to prove their assertions.

Jacob Janssen Schermerhoorn, plaintiff, against Reyer Albertsen, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant sicked his dog on his goats and killed one of them.

The defendant denies having done so.

The plaintiff produces as a witness Andries de Vos, who declares that he saw it.

The court condemns the defendant to pay the plaintiff twelve guilders for the goat which was killed.

† This is the end of the first volume of Fort Orange Court Minutes.
‡ The original court minutes for 1657 are missing; therefore, the contemporary copy in vol. 16 of NYCM is being used in its place, beginning with page 33.
Foppe Barensen, plaintiff, against the officer and Willem Brouwer, defendants.

The plaintiff complains that the officer took him into custody without cause.

The officer maintains that he took the defendant into custody on the complaint of Willem Brouwer, who claimed that the defendant had committed violence in his own house.

Willem Brouwer maintains that the complaint is true.

The plaintiff replies that he has committed no violence in the defendant's house, but that on the contrary, Willem Brouwer forcibly drove him out of the house with a broomstick, because he demanded payment for a pair of boots which he had sold to him, or to take measurements in order to make a pair of new ones.

The court orders the plaintiff and Willem Brouwer, the defendant, to prove their statements.

Foppe Barensen, plaintiff, against Cornelis Vos, defendant.

The parties having been heard several times, it is ordered by the court that each shall choose an arbitrator to settle their dispute in an amicable manner.

Immediately, the plaintiff chose for himself Stoffel Janssen and the defendant Anderies de Vos, to whom the court added Rutger Jacobsen, as mediator, to decide the question at issue to the best of their knowledge.

Lowies Cobussen, as attorney for Jan Peeck, plaintiff, against Frans Barensen Pastoor, defendant.

The plaintiff says that he caused ten beavers in the hands of the defendant to be attached, which the defendant in spite of the attachment paid to Pieter Bronck.

The defendant maintains that he paid the said beavers by order of the court.

Pieter Bronck, appearing before the court, acknowledges that he received the beavers in payment of a debt due by Johannes Dijckman.

The court orders the wife of Johannes Dijckman to pay the sum of eighty-four guilders to Jan Peeck, which she did immediately by bill of exchange through Commissary Johannes La Montagne.

Frans Barensen, plaintiff, against Marcelis Janssen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant an accounting of the farming of the tapsters' excise, in which he was a partner.

The court, having heard the parties, orders the defendant to render an accounting to the plaintiff before two arbitrators to be chosen by them
respectivey, which arbitrators were chosen by the parties at once, to wit, Hendrick Jochimsen and Willem Bout, who are hereby authorized to examine the account of the plaintiff and to settle the difference to the best of their ability.

[36] Rutger Jacobsen, plaintiff, against Claes Teunissen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands delivery of the defendant’s house, sold to him by the defendant, in payment of a certain sum due to him by Jacob Luyersen, deceased.*

The defendant acknowledges that he is ready to do so.

The officer of the court, as protector of the rights of orphans, objects to the delivery and requests that curators be appointed to preserve the rights of the surviving children of Jacob Luyersen, deceased.

The court appoints Jan Verbeeck and Evert Wendel curators to the aforesaid children.

The magistrates of this court, plaintiffs, against Henderick de Backer, defendant.

Default. The defendant failing to appear, default is entered against him.

Pieter Meessen requests a lot for a garden.

The court, after inspection of the lot, will accommodate the petitioner according to the situation of the place.

Tuenis Cornelissen requests permission to build another house on his lot.

The court will take the matter under advisement.

Claes Hendericksen, plaintiff, against Gerrit Slechtenhorst, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant has forbidden him to build on his own ground, to which the defendant replies that the ground on which the plaintiff is building belongs to him as lessee, maintaining that no one can build thereon without his consent during the term of his lease.

[37] The plaintiff shows a lease in the defendant’s handwriting, in the margin of which is written that the plaintiff is to have the use of the yard at present in controversy.

The defendant claims that such use was granted to the plaintiff only for the purpose of stacking his wood there and for bleaching, which he offers to prove.

* Jacob Luyersen, of Wageningen, Gelderland, who is the ancestor of the Kuykendall family.
Whereupon the defendant, on the 12th of December, produced before the court Jan Dereth, as a witness, who testified that he was present when the copy of the lease between the parties was changed or added to and that he heard the defendant simply grant the use of the yard in question to the plaintiff, but that he did not hear anything about consent to build thereon.

The court, having several times heard the parties in their dispute and examined the lease made between them and the additions thereto, decrees that the defendant, Gerrit Slechtemhorst, is to have the use of the yard now in controversy without molestation, according to the lease; consequently, that the plaintiff cannot build thereon to the prejudice of the defendant without his consent. It is also ordered that the plaintiff, Claes Hendericksen, according to the lease and the additions thereto, shall have the use of the kitchen as a dwelling for himself or others who acquire his right, and that of the yard to stack wood and for bleaching.

[38] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
January 23 1657

President, J. La Montagne
Rutger Jacobsen
Anderies Herbertsen
Jacob Schermerhoorn
Philip Pietersen
magistrates

The officer of Renselaerswyck,* plaintiff, [requests] by petition maintenance of justice in regard to some offense committed in the colony of Rencelaerswyck by Jan Joosten, producing to that effect the testimony of three witnesses.

Jan Joosten, appearing, produces other testimony to the contrary.

The court orders Jan Joosten to communicate a copy of his testimony to the petitioner, the officer. Meanwhile, he is to remain under arrest in the fort until he gives sufficient bail for the judgment in the case.

During the same session there appeared before the court Henderick Jochimsen, who offered himself as surety and principal for the satisfaction of the judgment for the person of Jan Joosten.

* Gerrit Swart, schout of the patroonship from 1652 to 1665
Frans Baren[ts]en Pastoor, plaintiff, against Jan van Bremen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of the sum of f73:5, due to him by balance of account.

[39] The wife of the defendant, in the absence of her husband appearing in his place, admits the debt.

The court, having heard the parties, condemns the defendant to pay the aforesaid sum in the space of six weeks, meanwhile declaring valid the attachment by the plaintiff of the defendant’s property in Katskil.

Jan Daniel, deputy schout, plaintiff, against Marcelus Janssen, innkeeper, defendant.

The plaintiff says that last Sunday he found three men drinking in the defendant’s house during the preaching, contrary to the ordinance.* He requests that the defendant be condemned to pay the fine mentioned in the aforesaid ordinance.†

The defendant replies that he did not tap, but that he served a quarter keg of beer to some carpenters who were working for him.

The court, having heard the parties, condemn the defendant, Marcelus Janssen, to pay the sum of f18:- according to the ordinance.

The vice-director and magistrates of Fort Orange and the village of Beverwijck and the dependencies thereof, observing the difficulty which they have at present in making up the account of the expenditures for the building of the church of the village of Beverwijck and other work during the past year, 1656, have decided that henceforth no work shall be let except by order of the court, granted either by themselves or their deputies, and that no money [40] shall be paid except upon their order. And in order that this may not be too troublesome for the court to grant every time, it is ordered that Commissary Johannes La Montagne shall hereafter issue such order, provided that he shall keep a record thereof, so as to know thereby at any time the state of the treasury.

Actum in Fort Orange, the 23d of February 1657.

* See LO, 258, for this ordinance.
† six guilders for each person found drinking illegally
Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
February 6, 1657

President, J. La Montagne
Anderies Herbertsen
Jacob Schermerhoorn
Philip Pietersen
magistrates

Foppe Barensen, plaintiff, against the officer of this court and Willem Brouwer, defendants.

The plaintiff demands to know the reason why the officer placed him in confinement.

The officer* says that he did so on account of a complaint of violence committed against Willem Brouwer made by Willem Brouwer.

The defendant admits having made such a complaint and [the plaintiff] requests that the officer make his complaint in writing.

The court orders the officer to give [a copy of] his complaint in writing to the defendant to make answer thereto on the next court day.

Teunis Spitsbergen,† plaintiff, against Abraham Pietersen Vosburch, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of 13 beavers and one hundred three guilders in strung sewant.

The defendant admits the debt and promises to pay the same in July.

The plaintiff demands cash payment.

The court, having heard both parties, orders the defendant to pay the sum demanded by the last of May.

Abraham Pietersen Vosburch, plaintiff, against Meyndert Fredericksen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment for some timbers, which he freighted in the woods and which the defendant hauled out of the woods; he is asking fifty guilders for them.

The defendant admits that he used the said timbers; however, he says that he did not have them hauled out of the woods, but that they were brought to him as lumber that had been lying in the woods for a long time; he offers to give f6:— for them.

* This is a reference to J. La Montagne, serving in his capacity as schout.
† Teunis Cornelissen van der Poel alias Spitsbergen
The court, having heard the parties, orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff $16:-- cash for the timbers in question.

[42] Rutger Jacobsen, plaintiff, against Claes Teunissen, defendant.
The court, having heard the parties, orders the curators appointed to administer the estate of Jacob Luyersen, deceased, to appear before the magistrates tomorrow at nine o'clock.

Eldert Gerritsen, plaintiff, against Foppe Barentsen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands fulfillment of a certain contract made with the defendant, whereby the defendant is bound to serve him for one year at $2:3 a day, which contract he shows.
The defendant admits it and declares that he is ready to fulfill the contract, provided that the plaintiff furnish sufficient sureties for the payment of his wages, every day, every week, or every month, as he pleases.
The court orders the defendant to fulfill the contract according to the conditions offered him.

Jochim Kettelhem, plaintiff, against Lubbert, Labitee's servant, defendant.
Default. The defendant failing to appear, default is entered against him.

Gerrit Swart, schout of Rencelaerswyck, plaintiff, against Lambert van Neck, defendant.
The plaintiff demands maintenance of justice in a case of assault resulting in bloodshed committed in Rencelaerswyck, according to a deposition which he produces.

[43] The defendant admits that he struck back at Henderick Gerritsen, who had struck him twice.
The parties having been heard and the deposition examined, the court condemns the defendant to pay one pound Flemish.

Gerritie Bouts,* plaintiff, against Henderick Reur, defendant.
Default. The defendant failing to appear, default is entered against him.

Jan Daniel, deputy schout, plaintiff, against Gerritt Hendericksen and Henderick Classen, defendants.
Default.

* Giertge Nanninghs, the wife of Willem Fredricksen Bout
The 7th of February there appeared by order of the court Jan Verbeecke and Evert Wendels, chosen curators of the estate of the surviving children of Jacob Luyersen, deceased, who accepted the said office and promised to acquit themselves of their duties to the best of their knowledge and ability.

The court, having considered the need of orphan masters in this place and experienced the faithfulness of Jan Verbeecke and Evert Wendels, have for the service and best interest of the country appointed them orphan masters, to take charge of all estates which [otherwise] would remain uncared for through the death of the husband or wife. Actum in Fort Orange, the 7th of February 1657.

Was signed: La Montagne, vice director and commissary of Fort Orange, Rutger Jacobsen, Anderies Herbertsen, Jacob Schermerhorn, Philip Pietersen

[44] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange, February 20, 1657

President, Johannes Lamontagne
Rutger Jacobsen
Anderies Herbertsen
Jacob Schermerhoorn
Philip Pietersen
magistrates

Jan van Hoesem, plaintiff, against Jochim Wesselsen Backer, defendant.

The plaintiff complains that the defendant kicked his daughter in the groin, so that she is unable to do any work.

The defendant says that his daughter, being in his service, was admonished by his wife to mend her ways as she was a young maiden, whereupon, she making some retort, the woman was moved to chastise her and kicked the plaintiff’s daughter with her foot in the behind.

The court orders the officer to take further information in the matter.
Abraham Staets, plaintiff, against Henderick de Backer, defendant.
Default.

The honorable magistrates, plaintiffs, against Henderick de Backer, defendant.
Default.

The officer, plaintiff, against Dirck de Goyer, defendant.
The defendant failing to appear, default is entered against him.

[45] Ordinary Session held in Fort Orange
February 27, 1657

President, Johannes Lamontagne
Rutger Jacobsen
Anderies Herbertsen
Jacob Schermerhoorn
Philip Pietersen

magistrates

Jan van Hoesem, plaintiff, against Geertruy, the wife of Jochim Wessel- sen Backer, defendant.
The parties having been heard, the court orders the plaintiff to hand in his complaint in writing and give a copy thereof to the defendant, who is ordered to file his written answer thereto on the next court day.

Abraham Staets, plaintiff, against Harmen Jacobsen Bambus, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of three hundred thirty-two guilders.
The defendant admits the debt and promises to pay the same in the space of six days.
The court orders the defendant to pay the aforesaid sum within the aforesaid time.

Marcelus Janssen, plaintiff, against Abraham Vosburch, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of f77:10:--, which the defendant owed him a year ago on account of the excise.
The defendant admits the debt and asks that payment may be delayed.
The court orders the defendant to pay the aforesaid sum within the space of fourteen days.
Baefjen Pietersen, plaintiff, against Jan Janssen Cuypers, defendant. Default. The defendant failing to appear, default is taken against him.

Foppe Barentsen, plaintiff, against Cornelis Vos, defendant.
2d default. The defendant defaults for the second time.

Foppe Barensen, plaintiff, against Willem Brouwer, defendant.
The court orders the parties respectively to submit their documents in order that after examination of them judgment may be given.
The honorable magistrates, plaintiffs, against Henderick de Backer, defendant.
The defendant is condemned to pay the court messenger the balance of $3:12, with costs.

Anderies Herbertsen, magistrate, complains that Henderick de Backer, said that he was the cause of the judgment against him.
Henderick de Backer, requests time until he has spoken with Jan Verbeeck.
The court has promised Jacob Adriaenssen the sum of $100:– and a lot at the hill, south of Pieter de Vlamingh, in lieu of his surveyed lot.

Appeared before the court Jan Verbeeck and Evert Wendel, orphan masters of the court, who declared that seeing the bad management of Christoffel Davids in administering the estate left undivided between himself and his children, the heirs of Cornelia de Vos, his deceased wife, they had thought fit for the preservation of the said property and the protection of the children to nominate and propose [47] the persons of Andries de Vos, the father of the said Cornelia de Vos, and Arent Anderiessen, uncle on his wife’s part of the said children,* as curators thereof, as far as the rights of the minor children are concerned; who, appearing before the court, have voluntarily agreed and promised upon oath to acquit themselves therein to the best of their knowledge and to the best advantage of the estate and the children. Wherefore the court has granted them authority as lawful curators of the said estate and guardians of the aforesaid children, with power to do therein and in all that is connected therewith as they jointly shall see fit for the benefit of the aforesaid estate and children, binding themselves to render an accounting whenever time or necessity shall demand it. Done in court at Fort Orange, the 27th of February Anno 1657.

* Arent Andriessen’s wife, Catalijntje de Vos, was a sister of Cornelia de Vos.
The 27th of February a letter was read in court of the honorable director general and council of New Netherland dated the 7th of February of this year 1657, containing an order to proclaim a day of prayer on the 7th of March, according to their written proclamation,* which after the third ringing of the bell was read publicly in Fort Orange, a copy thereof being sent by Lowies Cobussen, court messenger, to the Heer Rencelaer.

[48] Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
February 27, 1657

President, Rutger Jacobsen
Anderyes Herbertsen
Jacob Janssen Schermerhoorn
Philip Pietersen
magistrates

The honorable officer, plaintiff, in criminal matters, against Dirck de Gojer, defendant.
  2d default.
  The defendant failing to appear for the second time, default is entered against him.

The honorable officer, plaintiff, against Cornelis Teunissen and his wife, defendants.
  The defendant demands a copy of the plaintiff's complaint.
  The court orders the plaintiff to give a copy of his complaint to the defendant to make answer thereto on the next court day.

* See NYCM 8:458, for this proclamation. A day of prayer and thanksgiving was proclaimed February 6, 1657. The reasons given for the proclamation were to give thanks for having peaceful times, a healthy population, and an unexpectedly good harvest despite the fact that since the Indian troubles there had been no plowing or planting.
Ordinary Session held in Fort Orange
March 13, 1657

President, Johannes Lamontagne
Rutger Jacobsen
Anderies Herbertsen
Jacob Janssen Schermerhoorn
Philip Pietersen
magistrates

Abraham Abramsen, plaintiff, against Harmen Bastiaensen, defendant.
The plaintiff says that he was hired at the Manhatans by Henderick de Ruyter to serve the defendant and that he came here for that purpose and requests that the defendants engage him.
The defendant answers that he wanted to engage the plaintiff to do his work, not only to drive the cart but to spade and to cut wood in the forest, as he gave orders to Henderick de Ruyter to engage someone who would do all this work, but that the plaintiff refused to do it.
The plaintiff replies that he was engaged only to drive the cart.
The court, having heard the parties, orders the plaintiff to do such reasonable work for the defendant as his master shall order him to do, or, in case of refusal, to leave the service and to seek to recover damages from Henderick de Ruyter, who hired him.

Meester Jacob de Hince Churigijn, plaintiff, against Thomas Pouwelsen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment for the treatment of a wound of defendant's wife.
The defendant says that he has a contract with the plaintiff for two beavers a year.
The plaintiff replies that he made an agreement with the defendant in regard to the accidents which would occur naturally, but not about wounds which were inflicted deliberately, as this one was, offering to prove the same.
The defendant likewise offers to prove the contrary.
The court, having heard the parties, orders them respectively to bring in their evidence on the next court day.

Christoffel Davids, plaintiff, against Jacob Adriaensen Neus, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of $423:10:—.
The defendant admits the debt but claims that he does not have to pay
it until the next month of August, when he promises to pay, binding his house here as security for the payment.

Having heard the parties, the court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the aforesaid sum promptly in August, according to his offer.

Baefie Pietersen, plaintiff, against
1st default. Dirck de Gojer, defendant.
1st default. Egbert Timmerman, defendant.
1st default. Claes van den Berch, defendant.

Harmen Jacobsen, plaintiff, against Jan Eerhaers, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of a note and defendant's account.
[51] The defendant denies that he owes so much, as he paid a part of the amount.
The court orders the parties to settle with each other as far as possible and to appear before the court in regard to any difference that may remain between them.

Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
March 20, 1657

President, J. La Montagne
Rutger Jacobsen
Anderies Herbertsen
Jacob Schermerhoorn
Philip Pietersen
magistrates

Jan Lambertsen, plaintiff, against Jan Gaeuw, defendant.
Default. The defendant failing to appear, default is entered against him.

Jochim de Backer, plaintiff, against Mr. van Hamel, secretary of the colony of Rencelaerswijck, defendant.
The plaintiff complains that the defendant put more in the contract of sale than had been agreed upon by the parties, to wit, that the oven must be repaired by the purchaser and be used as it then was.
The defendant produces the Hon. Gerrit Swardt, schout of Rencelaerswijck, and Johannes van Twillert as witnesses, who declare that it was stipulated that the plaintiff and grantor [52] would deliver to the
purchaser the bake oven fit to be used by plastering it on the outside, but
was not to put any new bricks in it.

The parties having been heard, the court orders that the contract of
sale shall take effect.

Baefie Pietersen, plaintiff, against

Default for the second time. { Jan de Cuyper \\
          Dirck de Gojer       defendants \\
          Claes van den Berch \\
          Egbert Sandersen, }

The defendants failing to appear for the second time, default is entered
against them.

The officer, plaintiff, against

Claes Hendericksen  \\
Meeuwes Hoogenboom   defendants \\
Gijsbert van Loenen, 

The plaintiff says that Jan Daniel, the deputy schout, reported to him that
on the 7th of March, being the day of prayer ordered by the honorable
director general of New Netherland and proclaimed here, the defendants
played hockey on the ice, demanding therefore that the said defendants
be condemned to pay the fine indicated in the ordinance.

The defendants, appearing, maintain that they did not play hockey
and promise to prove it.

The parties having been heard, the court orders the defendants to
produce their evidence on the next court day.

The officer, plaintiff, against

Jan Joosten  \\
Jan Roeloffsen  \\
Adriaen Claessen \\
Henderick Bierman \\
Claes Ripsen \\
Pieter Maecker \\
Arent vanden Berch \\
Harmen Jacobsen \\
Albert de Timmerman }

The plaintiff says that it has been reported to him by [53] Johan Daniel,
deputy schout, that the defendants after the ringing of the bell were found
drinking in the tavern of Albert de Timmerman.

The defendants being unable to deny it, the court condemns them to pay the fine mentioned in the ordinance, to wit, each person f3:— and Albert Gerritsen Timmerman, innkeeper, the sum of f12:—

Appeared in court the Reverend Domine Gidion Schaets, who complained that Cornelis Teunissen Bosch had slandered him and injured him in his reputation and calling by claiming that he had seen the minister drunk at times.

And about Marretie, the wife of the aforesaid Cornelis Teunissen, for saying, “Those who are willing to feast and gorge themselves with the Domine are his friends and because I do not want to do it, I am a child of the devil. If I only could sit in church with a book before me, like the hypocritical devils, I would be a child of God, but because I refuse to do it, I am a child of the devil; but let me be a child of the devil.”

Appeared before the court, Claes Hendericksen van Uytrecht, Claes van Rotterdam and Cornelis Janssen, who at the request of Domine Gidion Schaets jointly declared that being at the house of Rutger Jacobsen, on the 19th of February last, they heard Marretie, Cornelis Teunissen’s wife, being drunk, say, “Those who are willing to revel and feast with the Domine are his friends and because I do not want to do it, I am a child of the devil. But if I could sit in church with a book before me, as the hypocritical devils do, I would be a child of God, and because I refuse to do it, I am a child of the devil. But let me be a child of the devil.”

The curators of the estate of Jacob Luyersen, deceased, plaintiffs, against Willem Janssen Stoll, defendant.

[54] The plaintiffs demand payment of the sum of f101:—, in which the defendant is found to be indebted on the books of Jacob Luyersen, deceased.

The defendant claims that he paid of this amount to Pieter Bronck, for the widow of Jacob Luyersen, deceased, the sum of eighty guilders.

The court, having heard the parties, orders the defendant to bring proof of his payment on the next court day.

The curators of the estate of Jacob Luyersen, deceased, plaintiffs, against Huybert Janssen, defendant.

The plaintiffs demand payment of the sum of f10:11.

The defendant admits the debt.

The court orders the defendant to pay the acknowledged debt within the space of ten days.
Extraordinary Session held in Fort Orange
April 14, 1657

Interrogatory of Hans Vos, residing at Katskill, conducted at the request of the officer, J. La Montagne, before the Hon. Anderies Herbertsen and Jacob Schermerhoorn, magistrates of the said court, April 14, Anno 1657.

1. How old he is and where born?

1. Answers, 40 years and born at Leunenborch.*

[55] 2. Whether last fall, being assisted by Michiel, his servant, he did not get two ankers of anise water at the rift of Katskil?

2. Answers, No.

3. From whom he received or bought the same?

3. Answers as above; did not get any.

4. Whether he did not sell the same to the Indians and to the Christians?

4. Answers, No.

5. Whether he, Hans Vos, did not order Michiel, his servant, to get another anker of anise water out of a canoe and whether he took the said anker to his house?

5. Answers, No.

6. Whether shortly afterward there was not another anker of anise water in his house?

6. Answers, That during the whole fall he has not had any wine except one anker from Eldert de Gojer and a half anker from Lourens Lourensen.

7. Whether he did not say, in the presence of Lange Gijsbert, If anyone denounces me or blabs that I sell, or have sold, any wine to the Indians, I’ll tie a rope with a stone around his neck and throw him into the kill?

7. Answers: No.

* Lüneburg in Hanover, Germany
8. Whether in the evening, before the trouble occurred, he did not sell some ten *kan* of brandy to the Indians?

8. Answers, No.

[56] 9. Whether after the accident had taken place he did not give to the said Indians a keg full of wine, containing seven or eight *kannen*?


Femmetien Albertsen, appearing before the aforesaid magistrates at the request of Hans Vos, declares that the Indians, having come into his house at Katskil, wanted to force Jan Anderiessen, her brother-in-law, to get a bottle of brandy at Hans Vos's, which they said they had paid for.

**Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange**

*April 17, 1657*

President, Johannes Lamontagne
Rutger Jacobsen
Anderies Herbertsen
Jacob Schermerhoorn
Philip Pietersen

magistrates

Jan Lambertsen, plaintiff, against Jan Gouw, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of the sum of *f29:15*, for work done for the defendant, of which he has received 4 *scheeps* of wheat.

The defendant admits that the plaintiff worked for him twelve days at *f2:* a day, amounting to *f24:--, for which he paid the plaintiff 8 *scheeps* of wheat at *f3:--* a *scheep*, so that he claims that he paid the plaintiff in full.

The court orders the parties to prove their statements on the next court day.

[57] Claes Janssen, that is to say Jacobsen, plaintiff, against Jochim *de Backer*, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of forty-two and a half beavers by virtue of a judgment by default.
The defendant admits the debt, but says that the time does not expire until the last of the month.

The parties having been heard, the court orders the defendant to pay the forty-two and a half beavers to the plaintiff on the end of the following July.

Willem Albertsen, plaintiff, against Storm Albertsen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of £30:-- for wages.

The defendant says that he has a counterclaim.

The court orders the parties to settle their accounts before two arbitrators to be chosen by them respectively.

Steven Janssen, plaintiff, against Egbert Sandersen, defendant.

The plaintiff says that he has had the defendant's money in the hands of Adriaen Appel attached and caused the said defendant to be summoned to hear the reason of the attachment.

Default. The defendant failing to appear, default is entered against him and the attachment provisionally declared valid.

Jan van Hoesem, plaintiff, against Geertruy Jeronimus, the wife of Jochim de Backer, defendant.

The parties having respectively declared that they desist from the further production [of testimony].

The court grants the parties papers to cease and desist.

Jan Tomassen, appearing before the court, complains to it that the officer through the court messenger has forbidden him to proceed with the construction of a shed, which he is building on his lot.

[58] The officer says that, having heard that the same was being erected contrary to the survey and orders of the director and the magistrates, he had forbidden it.

Jan Tomassen says that he built the shed for the accommodation of the Indians and promises to tear it down within the space of three months.

The court prohibits Jan Tomassen from using the aforesaid shed for the space of three months.
Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
April 24, 1657

President, Johannes Lamontagne
Rutger Jacobsen
Anderies Herbertsen
Jacob Schermerhoorn
Philip Pietersen
magistrates

Jan Lambertsen, plaintiff, against Jan Gouw, defendant.
  The plaintiff demands payment of f18:10:- for wages.
  The defendant admits that he owes f12:-.
  The court having heard the parties, orders the defendant to pay the
plaintiff the sum of f12:- and one half of the costs.

Baefje Pietersen, plaintiff, against Jan de Cuyper, defendant.
  The plaintiff says that she caused the defendant to be cited for the
third time to obtain payment of the sum of f25:- for wine and beer
consumed by the defendant at her house, and as he remains in default,
she requests that he be ordered to pay the plaintiff the sum of f25:-,
according to her account, which she produces, and the costs of the suit
estimated at f3:2:-. [59] The defendant failing to appear for the third
time, he is by default condemned to pay the plaintiff the sum of f25:-,
demanded within the space of eight days, under penalty of attachment,
and the costs of the suit estimated at f3:2:-.

Baefje Pietersen, plaintiff, against Meuwes* Hoogenboom, defendant.
  The plaintiff says that she had the defendant cited for the third
time to obtain payment of f38:10:- for wine and beer consumed by the
defendant at her house, and as he remains in default, she requests that he
be ordered to pay the sum demanded according to her account, which
she produces, and the costs of the suit.
  The defendant failing to appear for the third time, he is by default
condemned to pay the sum demanded, to wit, f38:10:-, to the plaintiff
within the space of eight days, under penalty of attachment, with costs
of the suit, estimated at f3:12:-.

Baefje Pietersen, plaintiff, against Poulus Martensen, defendant.
  The plaintiff says that she has had the defendant cited for the third

* Meuwes, or Meus, is an abbreviated form of Bartholomeus.
time to obtain payment of the sum of f56:— for wine and beer consumed by the defendant at her house, and as the defendant remains in default, she requests that he be ordered to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded according to the account, which she produces, and the costs of the suit, estimated at f3:12:—.

The defendant failing to appear for the third time, he is by default condemned to pay the plaintiff the sum of f56:— demanded within the space of eight days, under penalty of attachment, with the costs of the suit estimated at f3:12:—.

in default. Poulyn, plaintiff, against Claes Teunissen and Marten, Corlaer’s servant, defendant.

The officer, plaintiff, against

Poulyn Martensen
Gerrit Slechtenhorst
Harmen, de Brouwer
Marten Metselaer
Henderick Bierman, 

} defendants.

The plaintiff says that on the 19th of this month Pieter Bronck fought with Poulus Martensen and drew his knife in the house of Henderick Bierman, in the presence of Harmen de Brouwer, Gerrit Slechtenhorst, Marten Metselaer, Henderick Bierman, and others. Requests that the said defendants be examined in regard to the said deed.

Poulus Martensen, appearing before the court and being asked:


2. Whether he saw Pieter Bronck draw his knife? 2. Answers, That he cannot tell, as he was drunk.

1. Harmen de Brouwer being questioned whether he was present when Pieter Bronck and Poulus Martensen were fighting? 1. Answers, Yes.
2. Whether he took Poulus Martensen's side against Pieter Bronck?

1. Gerrit Slechtenhorst being asked whether he was present when Pieter Bronck and Poulus Martensen fought together?

2. Whether he did not see that Pieter Bronck drew his knife on Poulus Martensen?

1. Marten Metselaer, being asked whether he did not see Pieter Bronck and Poulus Martensen fight together?

2. Whether he did not see that Pieter Bronck drew his knife on Poulus Martensen?

1. Henderick Bierman being asked whether he saw Pieter Bronck and Poulus Martensen fighting together?

2. Whether he saw Pieter Bronck draw his knife on Poulus Martensen?

[61] 3. Whether he did not see that Pieter Bronck drew his knife?

1. Answers, Yes.

2. Answers, Yes.

3. Answers, That he is not an informer and will not say it. Being asked again on the 26th ditto, he answered that Pieter Bronck drew his knife.

1. Answers, Yes.

2. Answers, No, as he went into the other room when they began to fight.

1. Answers, Yes.

2. Answers, No.

1. Answers, Yes.

2. Answers, No. Being afterwards, on April 26, again examined, he answered that he saw that Pieter Bronck had his bare knife in his hand, but did not know what he intended.
Interrogatory of Gijsbert Teunissen, residing at Katskil, cited at the request of Hans Vos, conducted on April 24, 1657, at the request of the officer of Fort Orange and the village of Beverwijck before the magistrates of the said jurisdiction.

1. How old he is and where born? 1. Answers, 34 years and born in Gelderland.

2. Whether he ever saw or heard that Hans Vos sold some strong drink or brandy to the Indians at Katskil?

2. Answers, Yes, that he saw it.

3. Whether he ever heard that Hans Vos said, if there was anyone in his house who informed against him, he would tie a rope with a stone around his neck and throw him into the kill?

3. Answers, That he heard him say, "If there is anyone in my house who informs against me, I'll throw him out of the door."

Appeared before the court Michiel Verbruggen, residing at Klaverrack, lately servant of Hans Vos, in Katskil, who in the presence of Hans Vos confirmed the testimony given by him on the 5th of April 1657, in the presence of Lambert Janssen and Anderies de Vos.

Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
April 28, 1657

President, Rutger Jacobsen
Anderies Herbertsen
Jacob Schermerhoorn
Philip Pietersen
magistrates

J. Lamontagne, in his capacity as officer, plaintiff, against Hans Vos, defendant.

The plaintiff says that many complaints have reached him from the inhabitants of Katskil about the sale of liquor to the Indians by the defendant, contrary to the ordinance issued by the honorable director
general and council of New Netherland.* He has therefore investigated
the matter and caused several witnesses to be examined before the court
and, as it appears from their answers to the interrogatories hereto annexed
that the defendant is guilty thereof and this is a matter of dangerous
consequence which cannot be tolerated in a land where justice prevails,
he demands that the aforesaid defendant be condemned to pay the fine
of $500:— and furthermore be banished from the jurisdiction of this court
according to the ordinance, as an example to others.

The court, having examined the documents produced by the
honorable plaintiff as well as by Hans Vos, defendant, and finding that
the defendant is guilty of the charges brought against him, condemn him
to pay a fine of $500:— and in addition banish him, as they do hereby,
from the jurisdiction of the court for the period of three years, as an
example to others. Thus done and adjudged in Fort Orange, on the date
above written. Was signed: Rutger Jacobsen, Anderies Herbertsen, Jacob
Schermerhoorn, and Philip Pietersen.

[64] Jan van Hoesem, plaintiff, against Geertruy Jeronimus, defendant.

The plaintiff complains that the defendant kicked his daughter, who
was in her service, from behind when she stooped over, so that she
discharged much blood contrary to nature and for a long time was
confined to her bed, suffering great pain, as he shows by the report of
the surgeon and the affidavit produced to that effect.

The court, having examined the respective documents that are
produced, condemn the aforesaid defendant, Geertruy Jeronimus, to pay
the sum of thirty guilders for the pain suffered by the daughter and the
costs of the suit, valued at $4:16:—.

Actum in Fort Orange, April 28, 1657.

Was signed:

La Montagne,
Rutger Jacobsen,
Jacob Schermerhoorn,
Philip Pietersen.

* See LO, 258, for this ordinance.
Extraordinary Session, Tuesday

*primo* May 1657

In the place of Rutger Jacobsen and Anderies Herbertsen, retiring ordinary magistrates, and Dirck Janssen Croon, extraordinary magistrate, Captain Abraham Staets and Jan Tomassen, according to the copy of the resolution of the honorable director general and council of New Netherland, are chosen and confirmed as ordinary magistrates from the double number of those nominated, and Adriaen Gerritsen as extraordinary magistrate, who, being summoned by the court, have before the commissary taken the following oath of fidelity:

We, the undersigned, as chosen magistrates of the court of Fort Orange and the village of Beverwijck, promise and swear in the presence of Almighty God that with our fellow magistrates we shall help to do true justice between man and man according to law, and furthermore, to the best of our knowledge and ability, promote and help execute all matters concerning the government. Also, that in every respect we shall be loyal and true to the lords states general of the United Netherlands and to the honorable directors of the Chartered West India Company, as well as to the honorable director general and council of New Netherland; promising further that we shall maintain here the Reformed Religion according to God's Word and the regulations of the Synod of Dordrecht, and not tolerate publicly any sects. So truly help us God Almighty.

After being congratulated, the aforesaid Captain Abraham Staets, Jan Tomassen, and Adriaen Gerritsen have this day taken their seats.

The retiring magistrates are released from their oath and thanked for their good services and the performance of their duties, with the promise that as to their honorarium of one hundred fifty guilders a year, their claim will be considered together with that of the present and future magistrates and that in due time, when the treasury is well supplied, they will be paid.

After deliberation, it is thought fit and decided that the annually retiring magistrates for the period of one year after their discharge shall be exempt from the ordinary duties of the burgher guard, but that nevertheless, being ordered to do so in case of need, they shall be held to attend to all extraordinary rounds and watches the same as otherburghers,
according to the resolution passed on the first of May Anno 1657, confirmed by the honorable director and council of New Netherland.*

[66] Interrogatory of Martin Bierkaker,† conducted at the request of the officer of Fort Orange and the village of Beverwijck before all the magistrates.

1. Whether he did not see Steven Janssen Timmerman, draw his knife on Seger Cornelissen?

2. Whether he did not see the said Steven Janssen, carpenter, draw his knife on Seger Cornelissen and wound him?

3. Who were present at the time?

1. Answers, Yes.

2. Answers, That he did not see Steven Janssen wound Seger Cornelissen with his knife, but did see that Seger Cornelissen was wounded.

3. Answers, Pieter More, Jan,‡ Adriaen Appel and his wife,§ Willem Janssen Hap.

Henderick Pietersen, plaintiff, against Tjerck Claessen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment for a certain horse sold to him, the time of which expired more than a year ago.

The defendant admits the debt.

The court orders the defendant to pay the sum demanded within the space of one month and to furnish sufficient sureties for the payment to the satisfaction of the plaintiff and in addition to pay the costs of the suit, estimated at f 18:—.

* There is no ordinance for this date on this subject in the records; the ordinance passed on January 30, 1657, states that retiring magistrates are exempt from guard duty for a period of one year and six weeks, LO, 300.

† Marten Hendricksen, tavern keeper, who came from Hamelwörden in Hanover, Germany.

‡ The remainder of this name was inadvertently omitted at the end of the line.

§ The wife of Adriaen Jansen Appel van Leyden was Maeriecke Rijverdinxs van Dansick.
[67] Ordinary Session held in Fort Orange  
May 29, 1657

President, Johannes La Montagne  
Jacob Schermerhoorn  
Philip Pietersen  
Abraham Staets  
Jan Tomassen  
  magistrates

Sandert Leendersen, plaintiff, against Jacob Tijssen, defendant.
  The plaintiff demands payment of the rent of his house, leased to the 
defendant until the first of May Anno 1657.
  The defendant denies that he rented the said house for longer than he 
cared to live in it and claims that he vacated the said house in November 
Anno 1657.
  The parties having been heard, the court orders them to prove their 
statements on the next court day. Meanwhile, the defendant is ordered 
to pay the rent of the house for as long as he lived in it.

Jacob Schermerhoorn, plaintiff, against Henderick Anderiessen, defend­
  ant.
  The plaintiff complains that the defendant in the presence of some 
prominent people called him a thief, scoundrel, bloodhound, etc.
  The defendant admits that he called the plaintiff names, but declares 
that he does not know what abusive things he said, as he was drunk.
  The officer requests permission to interplead.
  The parties having been heard, the court orders the plaintiff to prove 
his charges on the next court day. Meanwhile, the officer is granted an 
order permitting him to interplead.

[68] Jan Labite, plaintiff, against Gillis Pietersen, defendant.
  The plaintiff demands settlement of his account for 100 pounds of 
nails, which he delivered to the defendant.
  The defendant says that he offered to settle the account a year ago 
and that the plaintiff did not appear to pay his counterclaim.
  The parties having been heard, they are ordered by the court to 
produce their respective accounts in order that judgment may be rendered 
as to the difference between them.

Frans Barendsen Pastoor, plaintiff, against Teunis de Metslaer, defendant. 
  The plaintiff demands payment in the sum of $21:--.
The defendant’s wife,* appearing in the place of her husband, admits that she owes f9:-- and no more.

The defendant, refusing to swear to her statement, the court, on the oath of the plaintiff, condemns her to pay the sum of f21:--.

Jan van Hoesem, plaintiff, against Mattheuwes Abrahamsen, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant agreed to build his house at the hill, but has now abandoned it, after having received half the wages.

The defendant says that it is not his fault, but the plaintiff’s, who would not allow him to work on it in the winter, and promises to take up the work again next Monday.

The court, in accordance with the offer made by the defendant, orders him to proceed with the work of the said house on Monday next.

[69] Jochim de Backer, plaintiff, against Willem Hoffmeyr, defendant.

The plaintiff demands that the defendant shall vacate his house, as he has sold it and must deliver it the last of May.

The defendant says that the house is not the plaintiff’s but his own, as the plaintiff gave it to him at his wedding party for a lot which belonged to him by patent and on which the plaintiff built a house during the defendant’s minority, the plaintiff being his stepfather and guardian.

The plaintiff produces a certain agreement entered into by the respectively chosen arbitrators from which it appears that the defendant released all his claim to the plaintiff for the sum of 700 odd guilders.

The court, having examined the agreement accepted by both parties, adjudge that the plaintiff, by virtue of the said arbitration, has the right to have the house in question vacated and orders the defendant to vacate it within the specified time.

Pieter Bronck, plaintiff, against Ulderick Kleyn, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of the sum of f395:--.

The defendant admits that he owes the plaintiff, but does not know how much and asks for an account.

The court orders the plaintiff to give the defendant an account, which the defendant is to pay within six weeks.

Pieter Bout, plaintiff, against Pieter Bosboom, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant is bound to bake bricks for him for the period of ten months, exhibiting a contract made between the Heer t’Hulter, deceased, and the defendant.

* Egbertjen Egberts
The defendant on the other hand produces an absolute release from Juffrouw Johanna, widow of the said Heer de Hulter, deceased.

The court, having examined the contract and the release, decide that the defendant is released from his contract by virtue of the release.

Pieter Bont, plaintiff, against Pieter Wollebrant, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of f68:–.

The defendant admits the debt.

The court orders the plaintiff to prove his claim on the next court day.

President, Jacob Schermerhoorn*
Philip Pietersen
Abraham Staets
Adriaen Gerritsen
magistrates

The officer, plaintiff, against Pieter Bronck, defendant.

The plaintiff demands that the defendant be fined f100:–, according to the ordinance† because on the 19th of April, at the house of Henderick Bierman, he drew his knife on Harmen, the servant of Jan Tomassen, in the presence of the said Henderick Bierman and other witnesses who, being examined before the court, have testified to the same.

The defendant says that he was quite drunk and therefore can neither admit nor deny the fact.

The court, having seen the witnesses produced, condemn the defendant to pay a fine of f100:– according to the ordinance.

The honorable officer, plaintiff, against Steven Janssen, defendant.

The officer says that the defendant, on the 19th of April last, at the house of Marten Bierkaker, drew his knife and wounded Seger Cornelissen Wip with it in two places, as appears from the affidavit which he produces in court; demanding therefore that the defendant, according to the ordinance, be condemned to pay a fine of three hundred guilders, or to work for eighteen months in irons at hard labor.

The defendant admits having committed the deed, but claims that he was forced to do so in self-defense and promises to prove it on the next court day.

* The court session was suspended at this point so that Lamontagne could remove himself from the bench and serve in his capacity as prosecuting officer or schour; Thomassen also removes himself from the bench because of his involvement in the first case.
† See LO, 62, 324, for this ordinance.
The court orders the defendant to prove his statement on the next court day.

The court of Fort Orange and the village of Beverwijck, having heard the complaints of the community in regard to the trading with the Indians, in which they claim to have suffered great loss because by ordinance they are prohibited from employing any brokers; therefore, wishing to give the community satisfaction, the court consent for this year to the employment of Indian brokers to carry on the trade. *Actum* in Fort Orange, this 6th day of June Anno 1657.

**Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange**  
**June 7, 1657**

President, Jacob Schermerhoorn  
Captain Abraham Staets  
Philip Pietersen  
Adriaen Gerritsen  
Henderick Jochimsen, lieutenant  
Lambert v. Valckenborch, sergeant

Pieter Jacobsen Bosboom complains that last Sunday evening, being the 4th of June, sitting in front of the guardhouse of the burgher guard, where he was lodging by permission of the magistrates, Marten *de Metselaer* came to him before the guard was set and asked him what had become of the candles? [72] Whereupon he answered that he did not know; to which Marten replied, “You took them.” The plaintiff answered, “You lie.” Marten immediately drew his sword and cut the plaintiff’s head as he made a move to get up.

Marten *Metselaer*, being examined and asked why he wounded Pieter Jacobsen Bosboom, answers that he told him he lied and called him a rogue.

Lambert van Valckenborch, sergeant of the burgher guard, who was present, says that on coming to the guardhouse he ordered a candle to be lit. Marten *de Metselaer* stepping outside the guardhouse asked Pieter Jacobsen Bosboom where the candles were? To which question Pieter Jacobsen Bosboom answered, “I don’t know.” Marten replied, “You stole them.” The aforesaid Pieter Jacobsen Bosboom then said, “You lie like a rogue and a scoundrel.” The aforesaid Marten then drew his sword and cut the said Pieter Jacobsen Bosboom’s head as he rose from his seat.

The court refers the matter to four magistrates, to wit, two from the
court and two from the court-martial, to render a decision in the case, namely, Jacob Schermerhoorn and Philip Pietersen Schuyler from the court and Captain Abraham Staets and Henderick Jochimsen, lieutenant, from the burgher guard.

[73] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
June 11, 1657

President, Johannes Lamontagne
Jacob Schermerhoorn
Philip Pietersen
Abraham Staets
Jan Tomassen
Adriaen Gerritsen
magistrates

Anderies de Vos, plaintiff, against Poulus Leendersen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment for ninety-one pine planks delivered to the defendant by Adam Roelantsen, to whom the plaintiff sent them four years ago to have said planks sold on his account at the Manhatans by Henderick Anderiessen, requesting that the said Henderick Anderiessen by his order may be cited and examined in the matter, producing also a note signed Poulus Leendersen vande Grist, whereby he acknowledges the receipt from Adam Roelantsen of ninety-three planks, at 25 stivers apiece, and offers to give security for all further demands.

Appeared before the court, Henderick Anderiessen, who at the request of Anderies de Vos declared that about four years ago he was asked by the said Anderies de Vos to take some planks to the Manhatans and to deliver the same to Adam Roelantsen, to be sold there on account of Anderies de Vos, which the deponent did, having delivered the said planks to Adam Roelantsen.

The defendant admits the receipt of the planks and that he wrote and signed a promissory note for them, but declares that he did not use them for himself, but for the city of Amsterdam in New Netherland, protesting that, according to the great burgher right granted to the city of Amsterdam in New Netherland, he cannot be cited before this court nor be attached.*

The court, considering the great loss which the plaintiff has suffered

* According to the privileges and exemptions of the great burgher right, established on January 30, 1657, for the city of New Amsterdam, a great burgher of the city was not subject to arrest by any inferior judges or courts of New Netherland; see LO, 300.
by being deprived of his money for so many years, as well as his present need and the fact that the promissory note is absolutely, without any restriction, made payable by the defendant, condemn the defendant to pay the sum of one hundred eighteen guilders, fifteen stivers to the plaintiff according to his own note, within the space of six weeks. Meanwhile, he is here, before his departure, to give sufficient security for the payment of the aforesaid sum, allowing him to bring such action for the recovery of the sum as the law may indicate.

On June 14, 1657, I, the court messenger of Fort Orange and the village of Beverwijck, served the above judgment on Sr. Poulos Leendersen vander Grist and read it to him in the name of the court, which said Vander Grist answered, "I appeal the judgment. I shall presently call on the Heer La Montagne to have the appeal entered."

Was signed: Lodovicus Cobus, court messenger.

On June 14, 1657, there appeared before me, Johannes La Montagne, commissary of Fort Orange and the village of Beverwijck, etc., the Honorable Poulus Leendersen vander Grist, who declared that he appealed, as he appeals hereby, the judgment given against him by this court in the suit between him and Anderies de Vos, requesting a writ of appeal, which is granted him on condition that according to law he here pledge the sum in question either by depositing it with the court or by giving sufficient security. Actum ut supra.

[75] Labite, plaintiff, against Jillis Pietersen, defendant.

The defendant, failing to appear, default is given against him.

Default. Pieter Bout, plaintiff, against
Default. De Backer, De Kuypers, and Juffrouw t'Hulter, defendants.

Default. Jacobus Teunissen, plaintiff, against
Default. Teuwes Abrahamsen, defendant.

Pieter Bronck, plaintiff, against
Default. Adriaen Appel, defendant.

Egbertjen, wife of Teunis Metselaer, plaintiff, against
Abraham Staets, plaintiff, against
Default. Harmen Jacobsen, defendant.

Default. Harmen Jacobsen, plaintiff, against
Default. Susanna Bierkakers,* defendant.

Frans Barentsen, plaintiff, against
Default. Jan van Breemen, defendant.

Tomas Janssen Mingael, plaintiff, against
Default. Jan Roeloffsen, defendant.

Default. Baefien Pietersen, plaintiff, against
Default. Willem Leendersen, defendant.

Default. Willem Geelgieter, plaintiff, against
Default. Claes Ripsen, defendant.

Marcelus Janssen, plaintiff, against
Default. Abraham Vosburch and Mattheus Abrahamsen, defendants.

Michiel Rombouts, plaintiff, against
Default. Poulus Jurcksen, defendant.

Rem Janssen, plaintiff, against
Default. Sijmon de Backer, defendant.

[76] Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
June 16, 1657

President, Philip Pietersen
Abraham Staets
Jan Tomassen
Adriaen Gerritsen
magistrates

The honorable officer, plaintiff, against Henderick Anderiessen, defendant.

   The plaintiff demands reparation for slander uttered by the defendant against the person of Jacob Schermerhoorn, magistrate of this court.

* Susanna Jansen, the wife of Marten Hendricksen Bierkaecker
The defendant produces his answer in defense and at the same time
an affidavit by Jacob Janssen Stoll.

The court orders the defendant to give a copy of his answer and
evidence to the plaintiff, who is to make replication thereto on the next
court day.

The officer, plaintiff, against Steven Janssen, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant, on the 19th of April last, in the
house of Marten Bierkaker, drew his knife on Seger Cornelissen and
wounded him with it in two places, for which crime the plaintiff had him
summoned before the court. Having after some defaults appeared before
the court, the defendant, after acknowledging the crime, claimed that he
had drawn his knife in self-defense, whereupon the defendant was
ordered to produce his evidence on the next court day. The plaintiff,
therefore demands that the defendant produce his evidence, if he has any.

The defendant submits some testimony in his defense.

The court orders the defendant to furnish the plaintiff with a copy of
his testimony.

[77] The officer, plaintiff, against Frans Barensen Pastoor, defendant.

The plaintiff says that on the 23d of April last, being in the company
of Magistrate Jacob Schermerhoorn, the Heer Gerrit Swart, schout of
Rencelaerswijck, Jan Verbeeck and Pieter Hartgers, former magistrates,
he and the aforesaid persons saw the defendant in an angry mood, with
a bare knife in his hand, making for Jacobus Teunissen, who, in order to
escape his clutches, was forced to jump a fence.

The defendant admits the deed, but excuses himself by saying that an
affront offered to him in his yard by Jacob Teunissen caused him to
pursue him with a knife in his hand.

The plaintiff replies that the flight of the said Jacob Teunissen, who
had no knife in his hand, proves sufficiently that he had committed no
assault and demands therefore that he be fined one hundred guilders
according to the ordinance.*

The court condemns the defendant to pay a fine of one hundred
guilders cash according to the ordinance.

* See LO, 62, 324, for this ordinance.
The 16th of June 1657, the sachems of the three castles of the Maquas sent to the Heer La Montagne, vice director, the chief named Sasiadego, who requested in the name of the said sachems that they be heard the same day. Whereupon the vice director convened the court.

At which meeting appeared the three sachems of the three Maquas castles, who after the usual ceremonies made the following propositions:

[78] Firstly, they request us as old friends that we should accommodate them with some horses to haul logs out of the woods to repair their castles and that we should protect their wives and children here in the village in case they should be involved in war with the Sinnekes, offering on this proposition a string of sewant amounting to f16:12:—.

Secondly, as all three castles belong to the same nation and they are bound to help each other in time of need, which cannot well be done without warning each other of their distress, they ask that we should assist each of the castles with a cannon and that the same should be drawn by horses from here to the flats,* being eight [Dutch] miles† from here. Upon which proposition they presented another string of sewant, amounting to f16:9:—.

Thirdly, [they state] that in passing through on their way to the Mahikanders they called on us to renew the old friendship between us and them. Whereupon they offered a third string of sewant, amounting to f13:10:—.

The officer, plaintiff, against Jochim Wesselsen, defendant.

The plaintiff says that owing to Willem Hoffmeyer’s failure to pay the sum of f500, which on October 6, 1656, he was by the honorable director general and the court condemned to pay, and for which sum the defendant and his wife became sureties, he has had f315:— of the aforesaid sum attached in the hands of Robbert Vastrick and requests that the attachment may be declared valid.

The defendant failing to appear, the court declares the attachment valid.

* de Vlackte, located along the Mohawk River at Schenectady
† approximately 22.4 English miles
[79] Ordinary Session held in Fort Orange
June 19, 1657

President, J. La Montagne
Philip Pietersen Schuyler
Abraham Staets
Jan Tomassen
Adriaen Gerritsen
magistrates

Pieter Bronck, plaintiff, against Adriaen Janssen van Leyden, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of the sum of f180:— which the
defendant has owed him for two years for beer delivered to him.
The defendant being unable to appear on account of his illness and
having asked the court messenger to appear for him, admits the debt and
asks six weeks’ delay of payment.
The court, considering the [length of] time [that the money has been
due], condemns the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum of f180:—
demanded within the space of 14 days, under penalty of attachment.

Pieter Bronck, plaintiff, against Arent vanden Berch, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of the sum of f72:10:—.
The defendant admits the debt and offers to pay the same within the
space of 14 days.
The court, having heard the confession and promise of the defendant,
condemns him to pay the said sum of f72:10:— to the plaintiff within the
space of 14 days.

Pieter Bronck, plaintiff, against Pieter Wollebrant, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of f68:—.
The defendant admits that he owes all but f24 of said sum.
The defendant refusing to swear to his statement and the plaintiff
taking the oath, the court orders the defendant to pay the aforesaid sum
of f68:— within the space of six weeks.

Pieter Bout, plaintiff, against Pieter Jacobsen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of the sum of f35:18.
The defendant says that he has a counterclaim.
The court orders the parties to settle with each other and to appear in
court about the balance of their accounts, if there is any.

Frans Barensen, former magistrate, appearing before the court, requests
payment of his honorarium, amounting for two years to the sum of £300:—, which sum the honorable director general promised to pay to each magistrate serving on the bench.

The magistrates, considering the nature of the promise, promise to pay the £300:— demanded as soon as the state of the treasury will permit.

On June 22, 1657, there appeared again the sachems, or chiefs, of the Mohawk castles, who asked the court for an answer to their propositions made on the 16th of this month. *Upon which request the court gave the following answer:

As to the first proposition, concerning the horses, the answer is that they have no horses of their own, but if they [the Indians] are willing to pay for them, they will try to persuade some people to accommodate them. As to lodging their women and children in the event of an emergency with the Sinneken, they are ready to do so for the sake of their old friendship, but they hope that it will not be necessary.

As to the second proposition, about the request for cannon, the answer is that the cannon do not belong to them, but to their superiors, who have given them to them for their defense, so that they can neither give them away nor loan them without their consent. They will write about it to the director general and await his reply.

As to the third proposition, about renewing the old friendship between us and them, the answer is that they are ready to maintain it and thank them for the favorable disposition which they show toward us.

Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
June 26, 1657

President, J. Lamontagne
Jacob Janssen Schermerhoorn
Philip Pietersen
Abraham Staets
Jan Tomassen
Adriaen Gerritsen
    magistrates

Rem Janssen, plaintiff, against Symon Volckersen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of £100:— arising from the lease of

* See page 304 for these propositions.
his house, the term of which expired a year ago.

The defendant admits the debt, but declares that he cannot pay it in beavers and offers to pay the said sum in good, merchantable sewant, counted at $f9:-$ for one beaver, within the space of eight days.

[82] The court orders the defendant, according to his offer and promise, to pay the sum of $f100:-$ in good, merchantable sewant, counted at $f9:-$ for one beaver, within the space of eight days.

Willem Hofmeyer, plaintiff, against Jochim Wesselsen Backer, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of the sum of six hundred guilders, according to the contract made between him and the defendant.

The wife, appearing instead of her husband, offers to pay according to the contract, to wit, if the plaintiff goes this year to Holland to pay in beavers; if not, to pay in sewant in the year 1658.

The court orders the plaintiff to be satisfied with the terms of his contract.

Tomas Janssen Mingael, plaintiff, against Jan Roeloffs, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of the rent of his house, amounting to five beavers.

The defendant admits the debt.

The court condemns the defendant to pay the sum demanded within the space of six weeks.

Michiel Rombouts, plaintiff, against Poulus Jurksen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of three beavers.

The defendant admits the debt and promises to pay it within the space of eight days.

The court orders the defendant to pay according to his promise.

[83] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange

June 26, 1657

President, Philip Pietersen
Abraham Staets
Jan Tomassen
Adriaen Gerritsen
magistrates
The honorable officer, plaintiff, against Steven Janssen, defendant.

The plaintiff says that on the 19th of April the defendant drew his knife on Seger Cornelissen and wounded him with it, on account of which he had the defendant summoned on the 28th of May, who, appearing before the court, maintained that he had done it for cause, offering to prove it. Having thereafter appeared before the court on the [blank] of May, he produced two affidavits, from which it appears that he deliberately, and not because he was forced, drew his knife and wounded Seger Cornelissen with it, for which the officer demands that Steven Janssen, the defendant, be fined $300:--, according to the ordinance.*

The defendant failing to appear, default is entered against him.

The same officer, plaintiff, against Henderick Anderiessen, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant, being in decent company, without any provocation, called Magistrate Jacob Schermerhoorn a scoundrel, a thief, and a bloodhound,† which charges, if untrue, gravely offend this court of justice and also the director and council of New Netherland who chose the said Schermerhoorn for such office, or else, if true, compel them not only to exclude the said Schermerhoorn from this court of justice but also to inflict corporal punishment on him, wherefore the plaintiff, as interpleader, demands that the defendant prove that the said Schermerhoorn is a scoundrel, a thief, and a bloodhound, in order to institute his action accordingly.

The defendant says that he gave the officer an answer to the complaint of Jacob Schermerhoorn and at the time a deposition of Jacob Janssen, his brother-in-law, whereby he proves that he had occasion to do so, and requests time to secure further testimony.

The court grants the defendant eight days' delay to produce further evidence and meanwhile orders the officer to furnish a copy of his complaint, in order to proceed according to law.

* See LO, 62, 324, for this ordinance.

† This pejorative's connotation was much stronger in the seventeenth century, inferring that a person was bloodthirsty or prone to usury.
Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
July 4, 1657

President, Johannes Lamontagne
Jacob Schermerhoorn
Philip Pietersen
Abraham Staets
Jan Tomassen
Adriaen Gerritsen

Default. Jan van Eeckelen, plaintiff, against
Default. Christoffel Davids, defendant.

Mattheus Abrahamsen, plaintiff, against
Default. Jan van Hoesem, defendant.

Tryntie Koorninckx, plaintiff, against
Default. Carsten de Noorman, defendant.

Foppe Barentsen, plaintiff, against
Default. Cornelis Vos, defendant.

Willem Hofmeyer, plaintiff, against
Default. Jochim Wesselsen, defendant.

Claes Gerritsen, plaintiff, against
Default. Jan Dareth, defendant.

Lowies Cobussen, plaintiff, against
Default. Jacob de Loper, defendant.

The Heer Jan Baptista van Rencelaer, plaintiff, against Pieter Bronck, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of $100:- in beavers, or twelve and a half beavers, and $426:- in sewant and also a balance of fifty guilders in sewant.

The defendant admits the debt and promises to pay the same in three weeks, or before the departure of the ships, which are now at the Manhatans.

The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sums demanded within the space of three weeks, according to his promise.

Jacob Janssen, plaintiff, against Henderick de Backer, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of the sum of f297:- in beavers.

The defendant admits the debt, but claims that he is not bound to pay more than two-thirds in beavers and one-third part in sewant.

The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff two-thirds of the sum demanded in beavers and one third part in sewant, counting three guilders, ten stivers for each schepel that he must pay in sewant.

Marcelus Janssen, plaintiff, against Mattheus Abrahamsen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of the sum of f96:19:-.

The defendant admits the debt and promises to pay in the space of fourteen days.

The court orders the defendant to pay the sum demanded according to his promise within the period of fourteen days, under penalty of attachment.

Marcelus Janssen, plaintiff, against Trijntie Kooninckx, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of a certain sum arising from the tapsters' excise.

The defendant says that the administrators of the estate have been appointed to whom the plaintiff must apply.

[86] The court refers the plaintiff to the curators appointed to administer the estate of Jacob Luyer, deceased, to obtain payment.

Marcelus Janssen, plaintiff, against Lowies Cobussen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of the sum of f38, arising from the farming of the excise.

The defendant admits the debt and asks six weeks' time in which to pay the aforesaid sum.

The court orders the defendant to pay the sum demanded within the space of six weeks, under penalty of attachment.

The Honorable Rutger Jacobsen, appearing before the court, declares that he sold his yacht to Harmen Jacobsen Bambus and has been unable to obtain any payment from him. He requests that the said yacht be attached here, as the said Harmen Jacobsen stays away from this place.

The court orders the attachment of the said yacht here in the name of the Hon. Rutger Jacobsen.

Albert de Rademaker, appearing before the court, complains that Harmen Jacobsen Bambus, who owes him 20 beavers and f150:- in sewant, absents himself from here and keeps himself in hiding in the Esopus. He requests that the said Harman Jacobsen be brought here at the expense of the party who shall prove to be in the wrong. Fiat.
The honorable officer, plaintiff, against Henderick Anderiesen, defendant.

The defendant asks time to produce his evidence. Fiat.

[87] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
July 10, 1657

President, Johannes Lamontagne
Philip Pietersen
Abraham Staets
Adriaen Gerritsen
   Magistrates

Jan van Eeckelen, plaintiff, against
Default. Foppe Barentsen, defendant.

Willem Hoffmeyer, plaintiff, against
2nd Default. Jochim Wesselsen, defendant.

Jacob Tijssen, plaintiff, against
Default. Jan Gouw, defendant.

Daniel Rinckhout, plaintiff, against
Default. Leendert Philipsen, defendant.

Claes Gerritsen, plaintiff, against
2nd Default. Jan Darech, defendant.

Teuwes Abrahamsen, plaintiff, against
Default. Jan Gouw, defendant.

Pieter Jacobsen, plaintiff, against
Default. Cornelis Vos, defendant.

Lourens Lourensen, plaintiff, against
Default. Henderick Bierman, defendant.

Frans Cooninck, plaintiff, against

Jaques de Fransman, plaintiff, against
Default. Pieter Stevensen, defendant.

[88] Rutger Jacobsen, plaintiff, against Henderick Bierman, defendant.
The plaintiff, stating that he sold his yacht to Harmen Jacobsen, who is now absent, requests that the excise due by the defendant, of which he [Harmen] is the farmer, and furthermore all other means of the said Harmen Jacobsen in the hands of the defendant, be attached for the benefit of the plaintiff.

The defendant agrees and promises to render an account of all the property of Harmen Jacobsen which is in his hands.

The court enjoins the defendant from letting any property of Harmen Jacobsen go out of his hands and from paying out any money, except by order of the court.

Jan van Eeckelen, plaintiff, against Kit Davidtsen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of $172:16:- in beavers.

The defendant admits that he owed the plaintiff the aforesaid sum, but maintains that in payment he assigned to him a note for $152:- due to him by Cornelis Slecht, which the plaintiff accepted, and offers to pay the balance of $20:16.

The court, having heard the parties, orders the plaintiff to be satisfied with the note given to him for the sum of $152:-, which he accepted, provided that the defendant pay him $20:16.

Volckert Janssen, plaintiff, against Cornelis Teunissen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of $852:15 for which the defendant is surety.

[89] The defendant acknowledges his obligation as surety and asks for extension of time of payment.

The court orders the defendant to pay within the space of six weeks.

Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
July 11, 1657

President, J. Lamontagne
Jacob Schermerhoorn
Philip Pietersen
Abraham Staets
Jan Tomassen
Goossen Gerritsen
Adriaen Gerritsen
magistrates

Daniel Rinckhout, plaintiff, against Leendert Philipsen, defendant.
The plaintiff says that he made a verbal contract with the defendant whereby the defendant was bound to accept all the sewant which the plaintiff was to receive in the space of two months and to give for it beavers at the rate of f10:6 per beaver, in accordance with which the plaintiff brought about two hundred seventy guilders in sewant to the defendant's house and left it there in his absence, which sewant the defendant nine days later sent back. He demands therefore that the defendant be ordered to fulfill the contract and to accept the sewant that the plaintiff has received and will still receive during the aforesaid time and satisfy him for it.

[90] The defendant acknowledges the contract, but says that the plaintiff did [not] deliver the sewant to him within the time agreed upon.

The plaintiff replies that the period of two months has not yet expired.

The parties having been heard, the court condemns the defendant to fulfill his contract and to pay the plaintiff the sum of about f270:-- in beavers at the rate of f10:6:-- for each beaver, and according to the contract to accept all the merchantable sewant which the plaintiff shall receive until the end of the term of the said contract and furthermore to pay the costs of the extraordinary session.

Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
July 11, 1657

President, Johannes La Montagne
Jacob Schermerhoorn
Philip Pietersen
Abraham Staets
Jan Tomassen
Goossen Gerritsen
Adriaen Gerritsen

Pieter Jacobsen, plaintiff, against Cornelis Vos, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of 29 whole beavers, which the defendant owes him according to a note which he produces in court, with the interest thereof for the period of one year since the expiration of the term of payment. He demands that the case be expedited, as he is an [91] inhabitant of Amsterdam in New Netherland, and cannot come here to attend to his business without suffering considerable loss.

The defendant acknowledges the note and the debt and offers to pay the same promptly in a month.

The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the 29 whole
beavers demanded promptly within the space of one month, under penalty of paying the expenses and loss which the plaintiff may suffer by reason of his failure to pay, together with the costs of the extraordinary session of the court.

Appeared before me, Johannes La Montagne, commissary of Fort Orange and the village of Beverwijck, the Honorable Rutger Jacobsen, and Gerrit Bancker, who offer themselves as sureties for the person of Jurriaen Janssen as far as the payment is concerned of the civil fine which the honorable members of the court may impose on the aforesaid Jurriaen Janssen. Actum in Fort Orange, July 20, 1657.

Was signed:

Rutger Jacobsen
Gerrit Bancker

[92] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
July 17, 1657

President, Johannes Lamontagne
Jacob Schermerhoorn
Jan Tomassen
Goossen Gerritsen

Tjerck Claessen, plaintiff, against
Default. Henderick Gerritsen, defendant.

Default. Claes Hendericksen, plaintiff, against
Default. Harmen Bambus and Dirck Bensick, defendant.

Jacob Tijssen, plaintiff, against
Default. Jan Gaeuw, defendant.

Default. Gijsbert van Loenen, plaintiff, against
Teuws Abrahamsen, defendant.

Jan de Wever, plaintiff, against
Default. \{ Willem Hap, Pieter Stevensen, Henderick Claessen, Arent vanden Berch, \} defendants.
Mr. van Hamel, plaintiff, against 
Default. Lambert van Valckenborch, defendant.

Default. Willem Albertsen, plaintiff, against 

Default. Adriaen Janssesn van Leyden, plaintiff, against 
Default. Willem Albertsen, defendant.

Jan van Hoesem, plaintiff, against 
Both in default. Henderick Gerritsen and Anderies van Sluys, defendants.

Tomas Cooninck, plaintiff, against
Default. \{ Gijsbert van Loenen \} \{ Claes vanden Berch, \} defendants.

[93] Teunis Templier, plaintiff, against 
Default. Henderick Bierman, defendant.

Jaques Tijssen, plaintiff, against 
Pieter Stevensen, defendant.

   The plaintiff demands payment of two beavers, for rent of his house. 
   The defendant admits the debt and offers to pay in good servient at the 
   rate of f10:- to one beaver, or in beaver value in specie, within the space 
   of three weeks. 
   The court orders the defendant to pay the sum demanded in three 
   weeks, according to his promise.

Stoffel Janssen, plaintiff, against Jan van Hoesem, defendant.

   The plaintiff demands payment of 28 beavers, for which sum he has 
   had attached a certain number of beavers in the hands of Cornelis 
   Teunissen, due to the defendant. 
   The court orders Cornelis Teunissen to put the attached beavers, to 
   the value of 28, into the hands of the plaintiff, in lieu of payment by the 
   defendant, as soon as his time to make payment shall have expired.

Mattheus Abrahamsen, plaintiff, against Jan Gouw, defendant.

   The plaintiff demands payment of three beavers for wages and the 
   costs of the suit. 
   The defendant, failing to appear for the third time, is because of his 
   contempt ordered by the court to pay the three beavers demanded, 
   together with the costs of the suit, rated at f4:-.
Jan Labatie, plaintiff, against Gillis Pietersen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands an account and payment for 100 pounds of nails furnished by him to the defendant.

The defendant offers to pay for 50 pounds of the said nails and to give therefor two and a half beavers, presenting at the same time his account.

The plaintiff accepts the offer.

The court, in accordance with the respective offer and acceptance, orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff for the 50 pounds of nails, two and a half beavers. As to the account, it is ordered that the parties shall each choose an arbitrator to settle the same.

Volckert Janssen, plaintiff, against Pieter Stevensen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of $111:-- in sawant.

The defendant admits the debt and promises to pay in four weeks.

The court orders the defendant according to his promise to pay the sum demanded in four weeks.

Pieter Adriaenssen, plaintiff, against Matheus Abrahamsen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of $46:-- for expenses incurred for board.

The defendant demands an account of the sum.

The court orders the plaintiff to render an account to the defendant and condemns the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum agreed upon within the space of 15 days.

Claes Gerritsen, plaintiff, against Jan Dareth, defendant.

The plaintiff demands the return of a gun for which he ordered a new gunstock to be made by the defendant.

The defendant answers that it was stolen from him by the Indians and offers to replace it by a new gun, or else to pay the value of it in beavers.

The plaintiff accepts the gun on condition that he may try it. Fiat.

Philip de Brouwer, plaintiff, against Jan van Hoesem, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of the sum of $150:-- in beavers, according to the defendant’s promise.

The defendant admits the debt and promises to pay the same in six weeks, or before the departure of the ships for the fatherland.

The court orders the defendant to pay the sum demanded, within the space of six weeks, according to his promise.

Pieter de Maecker, plaintiff, against Dirck Bensick, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of 23 beavers for wages. He declares that he has had four beavers in the hands of Dirck Janssen attached and
asks that the attachment be declared valid.

The wife of Dirck Bensick* appearing in the place of her husband admits the debt and requests an extension of time until the return of her husband.

The plaintiff replies that he is about to leave and cannot wait such an indefinite length of time.

The court orders the defendant’s wife to pay the sum demanded, or the balance thereof, within the space of six days, under penalty of attachment.

Rutger Jacobsen, appearing before the court, requests that the yacht which he sold to Harmen Jacobsen and which, the said Harmen Jacobsen being a fugitive from justice, has been sent here, may be used by him to carry freight, offering to deposit the rental until a decision is rendered in the case.

The court grants the request.

Pieter Maecker, requests that the two beavers’ worth of hardware which he has furnished for the church may be credited to him against the f20:— which he promised to give toward the building of the church. Fiat.

[96] The officer, plaintiff, against Pieter Bronck, defendant.

The plaintiff says that having been informed that the defendant, on the 17th of this month, contrary to the ordinance, † was seen in the woods [with some Indians], luring them on and going with them into the village, he has had the defendant summoned to find out the truth of the matter.

Pieter Bronck, the defendant, declares that on the 17th of this month he saw a Christian in the woods with a band of Indians, but does not know where he or the Indians went.

Being asked by the court to give the name of the person, he answers that he is not an informer and will not give the name of the person.

The court orders Pieter Bronck, within the space of twenty-four hours, under the penalty of fifty guilders, to give the name of the person or to take an oath that he does not know the person.

* Catalijn Samsons; after the death of Bensingh she married Harmen Thoomassen, master shoemaker.
† See LO, 63, for the original prohibition against going into the interior to trade with the Indians.
Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
July 18, 1657

President, Johannes La Montagne
Jacob Schermerhoorn
Philip Pietersen
Master Abraham Staets
Jan Tomassen
Goossen Gerritsen
Adriaen Gerritsen
magistrates

Dirck Keyser, plaintiff, against
Jan Roeloffsen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of thirteen beavers for merchandise that was delivered to the defendant and his partner, Jacobus Loockermans.

The defendant claims that his partner, Jacobus Loockermans, paid the beavers that were demanded and produces a daybook in which was written by way of memorandum that thirteen beavers were received and paid.

[97] The plaintiff produces his book, showing by the defendant’s account that the defendant still owes the plaintiff thirteen beavers.

The court, having heard both parties and examined both the books, asks the defendant to swear to them, which he refuses to do, demanding that according to law the plaintiff swear to his book, which he does in our presence.

The court, therefore, condemns the defendant to pay the number of thirteen beavers demanded, cash, and in addition the costs of the suit, estimated at 28 guilders.
Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
July 19, 1657

President, Johannes La Montagne
Jacob Schermerhoorn
Philip Pietersen
Jan Tomassen
Adriaen Gerritsen

magistrates

Goossen Gerritsen, plaintiff, against Jurriaen Janssen, defendant.

The plaintiff complains that the defendant has boasted and spread the rumor that he was engaged to Annetie Lievens, his own betrothed, as the defendant said in the plaintiff's presence. He requests that the defendant prove his statement, or else for defamation of his neighbor's honor be ordered to make honest reparation.

The defendant admits that he never pledged his troth to Annetie Lievens, nor that she pledged her troth to him, and that Cornelis Teunissen urged him to say that he was engaged to Annetie Lievens and offers to prove that the said Cornelis Teunissen said: "I am glad that Jurriaen Janssen is to keep his word." He furthermore admits that after having confessed in truth before the consistory that he was not engaged to Annetie Lievens, he maintained in the presence of Goossen Gerritsen, the plaintiff, that he was engaged to her, at the instigation, as he said, of the said Cornelis Teunissen, which assertion he declared to be true, praying forgiveness of the plaintiff.

The court, having heard the parties, orders Jurriaen Janssen, the defendant, in view of the seriousness of the matter, to remain under arrest in the fort until he furnishes sufficient security for proof in the case. Meanwhile, he is ordered to prove that Cornelis Teunissen instigated him to the said deed.

Upon the petition presented to the court by Leendert Philipsen, praying that a committee be appointed to determine the quality of the sevant which he is to receive from Daniel Rinckhout under a judgment of the 11th of this month, the court has authorized the Honorable Sander Leendersen and Pieter Hartgers to determine, after inspection of the sevant in question, which of it is current and which not. Actum in Fort Orange, the 19th of July 1657.
Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
July 28, 1657

Harmen Jacobsen, plaintiff, against

\[\begin{align*}
&\text{Abraham Vosburch, Willem Hofmeyer,} \\
&\text{Marten Metselaer, Tjerck Claessen,} \\
&\text{Henderick Gerritsen, Stoffel Davids,} \\
&\text{Claes Ripsen, Claes Janssen,} \\
&\text{Poulus Jurcksz, and Pieter Meessen}
\end{align*}\]
defendants.

[99] Jan de Wever, plaintiff, against

Default. Pieter Stevensen, defendant.

Harmen Jacobsen, plaintiff, against Henderick Bierman, defendant.

The plaintiff demands restitution of the farmer’s book.

The defendant says that the book in his custody was attached by order of the burghers in charge of the farming out of the aforesaid excise while the plaintiff was away from here.

The court orders the defendant, Henderick Bierman, to retain the excise book in his hands and to collect the excise, as the plaintiff left the said excise uncollected, provided that the defendant shall render an accounting of the profit of the said excise to the court, at the expiration of the term, in the presence of the plaintiff and the sureties.

Idem Harmen Jacobsen, plaintiff, against Henderick Bierman, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of seventeen and a half beavers.

The defendant admits the debt and promises to pay within six weeks.

The court, having heard the defendant’s admission and promises, condemns the defendant to pay the sum demanded within six weeks, under penalty of attachment.
Idem Harmen Jacobsen, plaintiff, against Pieter Bronck, defendant.

The plaintiff says that he has had the money in the hands of Jan Tomassen, which was attached by the defendant, reattached in the said hands, as the defendant had agreed to take it or accepted it in payment of a certain debt and then, by means of a new account, had tried to have the said account, which was to be paid in beavers, serve in payment of his last claim against Kit Davids, leaving the payment of this last claim, which was to be in sewant, to the plaintiff. He produces a deposition of Henderick Bierman and Evert Noldinck, in which they attest that the defendant, Pieter Bronck, after the liquidation of accounts, agreed to demand payment from Kit Davids.

The defendant denies that he made any such agreement.

The court orders Henderick Bierman and Evert Noldinck to confirm their affidavit by oath in the presence of the court or in the presence of two magistrates.

Idem plaintiff, against Barent Albertsen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of six beavers for a barrel of meat.

The defendant admits the debt.

The court orders the defendant to pay within six weeks.

Idem plaintiff, against Jacob Loper, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of six and a half beavers for a cart.

The defendant denies that the plaintiff delivered the cart to him, but admits that he bought it.

The court orders the defendant to pay the six and a half beavers within the space of 14 days, under penalty of attachment.

Jan Eerhaer, plaintiff, against Kit Davidsen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of the sum of £248:–.

The defendant admits that he did owe the sum demanded, but says that he paid £32:– on account and offers to pay the balance within the space of fifteen days.

The court orders the defendant to pay the balance within the space promised by him, under penalty of attachment.

Jan van Eeckelen, plaintiff, against Foppe Barentsen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of £22:– for wages and some materials delivered by him.

The defendant admits that he owes the plaintiff for the making of three coats, but claims that the defendant did not earn as much as that making them.
The court, having heard the parties, orders Jan Verbeeck and Jacob Tijssen, who have knowledge of such matters, to judge of the wages demanded, wherewith the parties are to be satisfied.

President, Jacob Schermerhoorn
Philip Pietersen
Jan Tomassen
Adriaen Gerritsen

The honorable officer, plaintiff, against Jacob Loockermans, defendant.

The plaintiff says that on the 22d day of July of this year, 1657, being a Sunday, the defendant, deliberately and without any occasion, shamefully cut Meuwes Hoogenboom with his knife, so that the said Meuwes Hoogenboom will be disfigured all his life, the wound extending from the left side of his forehead to the lower lip and reaching down to the bone. And whereas such a deed is against the ordinance of the high and mighty lords the states general and contrary to the placards published here,* the plaintiff, in his official capacity, demands that the defendant, in accordance with the aforesaid ordinance and placards, be condemned to pay a fine of three hundred guilders and in addition be ordered to compensate the patient for his suffering and lost time and to pay the surgeon's fee, or, in default thereof, that the defendant, instead of being sent to prison, be employed in the hardest labor which can be found in this country for the space of eighteen months.

The defendant admits having committed the deed and sues for pardon.

[102] The court, having heard the defendant's confession and seen the depositions of six irreproachable witnesses, who jointly attest that the defendant, deliberately and without cause, shamefully disfigured Meeuwes Hoogenboom with his knife, condemns the said Jacob Loockermans, in accordance with his confession and the testimony produced, to pay the sum of three hundred guilders and compensation for pain, lost time, board, and medicines of the interested party, which sums he is to pay while in irons, or, in default thereof, he is condemned to earn the said sums by the hardest kind of labor during the space of eighteen months, according to the ordinance.

Jurriaen Janssen, appearing in person before the court, declared in the presence of Goossen Gerritsen that the words which he spoke to the aforesaid Goossen Gerritsen, to wit, that he was engaged to Annetien Lievens, the present fiancée of Goossen Gerritsen, were false, and

* See LO, 62.
begged forgiveness of the said Goossen Gerritsen.

Goossen Gerritsen declares before the court that he has had sufficient satisfaction from Jurriaen Janssen, considering his youth.

The court grants Goossen Gerritsen a certificate of satisfaction and orders Jurriaen Janssen to come to an agreement with the officer about the pecuniary fine.

Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
August 1, 1657

President, Johannes La Montagne
Jacob Schermerhoorn
Philip Pietersen
Jan Tomassen
Goossen Gerritsen
Adriaen Gerritsen
magistrates

Reyndert Hoorn, plaintiff, against Adriaen Sijmonsen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of 108 beavers, due according to his promissory note.

[103] The defendant admits the debt and promises to pay cash, saying that he has never refused to pay and claims that he is not bound to pay any expenses. Whereupon appeared Jan Gouw and Jan Baresten, who attested that Adriaen Sijmonsen said: "I shall not pay you until you have an extraordinary session called."

The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the required number of beavers, to wit, 108, cash, according to his confession and promise, under penalty of attachment, together with one-half of the costs.

Whereas last Sunday, being the 12th of this month of August 1657, during the preaching, some drunken Indians committed many acts of insolence in this place and upon making inquiries a Maquas Indian was found, named Kanigeragae, who declared that he knew a house where the Indians obtained the brandy and offered, if we gave him a beaver, to get brandy in the said house; therefore, we, Johannes La Montagne, officer, Philip Pietersen Schuyler, and Jan Tomassen, magistrates, in view of the seriousness of the matter, on the 13th of the said month, having given a beaver to the aforesaid Indian, followed and accompanied him with Henderick Jochimsen, lieutenant of the burgher guard, which
Indian went to the south side of the boundary line, having in his hand an empty kettle, which we had with us, and with this empty kettle, went into the house of Marten Bierkaecker, we together remaining near the said house to watch the result. But as there were strangers in the said house, as we ourselves could hear from the noise, the Indian came back to us with his kettle empty. About three-quarters of an hour later the said Indian again went into the said house and came back to us having in his kettle about three pints of brandy and sugar, which he had obtained for the beaver which we had given him, so that we took the kettle with us and went into the house of the said Bierkaecker, where we found him and his wife quite amazed after we had asked them whether they had sold the brandy that was in the kettle to a Maquaese Indian with a white blanket for one beaver, as we had seen the said Indian go in and out of the house. All of which, we, the undersigned, upon oath declare to be true. Actum in Fort Orange, the 15th of August 1657.

Was signed: Johannes La Montagne
Philip Pietersen Schuyler
Jan Tomassen
Henderick Jochimsen.

Interrogatory of Marten Bierkaecker, inhabitant and innkeeper here, held at the request of J. Lamontagne, in his capacity as officer of Fort Orange and the village of Beverwijck, before the magistrates of the said court, the 15th of August Anno 1657.

1. How old he is and where born?
Answers, 30 years, born in Oldenborch. Susanna, his wife, answers, Aged 23, born in New England.

2. Whether he ever sold brandy to the Indians?
Marten answers, No.
Susanna answers, Yes.

3. Whether his wife, to his knowledge, ever sold any brandy to the Indians?
Answers, No.

4. Whether anybody in his house ever sold any brandy to the Indians?
Answers, No.
5. Whether on Monday, the 13th, he did not see or know that an Indian with a white blanket came into his house about nine o'clock in the evening and asked to buy a beaver's worth of brandy?

Answers, He saw the Indian and threw him out of doors in the presence of Marten Cornelissen and Seger Cornelissen. His wife answers: Yes.

6. Whether the said Indian, about three quarters of an hour later, did not come into his house and again asked to have a beaver's worth of brandy?

Answers, He did not see the Indian again. His wife answers: Yes.

7. Whether he, himself, or his wife, to his knowledge, did not sell brandy to the said Indian for one beaver?

Answers, He does not know. His wife answers, Yes.

[106] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange August 20, 1657

President, Johannes Lamontagne
Jacob Schermerhoorn
Philip Pietersen
Jan Tomassen
Goosen Gerritsen
magistrates

Mr. van Hamel, plaintiff, against 2d default. Lambert van Valckenborch, defendant.

Harmen Jacobsen, plaintiff, against Default. Abraham Pietersen Vosburch, defendant.

Harmen Jacobsen, plaintiff, against Default. Cornelis Vos, defendant.

Idem plaintiff, against Default. Henderick Bierman, defendant, and Evert Noldinck, defendant.
Idem plaintiff, against
Default. Adriaen Appel, defendant.

Idem plaintiff against
Default. Claes Ripsen, defendant.

Pieter Quackenbosch, plaintiff, against
Default. Pieter Bosboom, defendant.

Jan van Hoesem, plaintiff, against
Default. Gijsbert van Loenen, defendant.

Teunis de Metselaer, plaintiff, against
Default. Jan Gaeuw, defendant.

Harmen Jacobsen, plaintiff, against
Default. Jan Gaeuw, defendant.

Mr. van Hamel, plaintiff, against Pieter Loockermans, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of eight beavers and f9 in sewant.
[107] The defendant admits the debt and promises to pay within the
space of one month, in beavers, or in sewant, reckoned at f12:- for one
beaver.

The court, having heard the confession and the promise of the
defendant, orders him to pay the sum demanded within the promised
time of one month, under penalty of attachment.

Harmen Jacobsen, plaintiff, against Pieter Bronck, defendant.
The plaintiff says that he has had some money attached, belonging to
the defendant.

The court adjourns the case until the next court day, when the parties
are to bring in their evidence. Meanwhile, the money remains attached.

Idem plaintiff, against Jan Dareth, defendant.
The plaintiff demands an account of a certain amount and settlement
thereof.
The defendant produces his account in court.
The court orders the defendant to give the plaintiff a copy of his
account and to settle with each other.

Idem plaintiff, against Pieter Meessen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of the sum of f17:-.
The defendant admits the debt.
The court orders the defendant to pay the sum demanded in eight days, under penalty of attachment.

Idem plaintiff, against Daniel Rinckhout, defendant.

The plaintiff demands that the defendant take back the sum of f55 which he gave him in payment.

The court, having seen the sewant, adjudges the same not merchantable and condemns the defendant to take back the said sewant and to deliver other sewant in its stead within the space of eight days.

[108] Idem plaintiff, against Poulus Jurcksen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of f8:-.

The defendant denies the debt.

The parties having been heard, the court dismiss the plaintiff’s complaint.

Idem Harmen Jacobsen, plaintiff, against Willem Hoffmeyer, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of the sum of f13:10:-.

The defendant admits the debt.

The court orders the defendant to pay the sum demanded within the space of eight days.

Idem plaintiff, against Gerrit Hendericksz, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of the sum of f103:19:-.

The defendant admits the debt.

The court orders the defendant to pay the sum demanded within the space of 15 days, under penalty of attachment.

Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
August 20, 1657

President, Jacob Schermerhoorn
Philip Pietersen
Jan Tomassen
Adriaen Gerritsen

Goossen Gerritsen and the officer, as interpleader, against Teunis Cornelissen and Marretien, his wife, defendants.

Goossen Gerritsen, plaintiff, complains that the defendants in the month of February of this year, in his absence, came into his house and committed great insolence there. He produces the information taken by
the aforesaid officer in regard to said excesses and requests justice in the matter.

[109] The defendant requests time until the next court day to produce his counter evidence.

The court grants the defendant time until the next court day.

Idem officer, plaintiff, against Susanna Janssen, wife of Marten de Bierkaecker, defendant.

The plaintiff says that on Sunday, being the 12th, he discovered an Indian about ten o’clock in the evening who came from the house of Marten Bierkaecker, having with him a kettle in which was brandy and other strong liquor, as he proves by the testimony of three witnesses, given on the 15th of the aforesaid month, and also by the confession of the defendant herself.* And whereas this is a deed of very dangerous consequence, in violation of the placards issued by the honorable director general and council of New Netherland,† and a deed which cannot be tolerated in a place where justice prevails, therefore, the said La Montagne, in his capacity as officer, demands that the said Susanna Janssen, in accordance with the said placards, be condemned to pay a fine of $500: and the costs of the suit and furthermore be banished from this jurisdiction for the term of six years.

The defendant again confesses that she committed the said deed but says that she was moved by extreme poverty, her husband having double hernia and being therefore unable to earn his living and she being burdened with three small children, for whom she can buy no food except with beavers, which the week before had tried to buy in many places for sawant at $1 2:- apiece, but could not get. That, finally, she was asked [for the liquor] by an Indian who came twice to her house at night with a beaver, for which she gave him three pints of beer, brandy, French and Spanish wine, mixed together, praying of the court [110] forgiveness in consideration of her youth and extreme poverty, promising never to do so again.

The court, considering the dangerous consequences of the case and the severe placards, condemn the said Susanna Janssen, in accordance with the said placards, to pay a fine of [left blank].

* See page 324 for the interrogatory.
† See LO, 311.
Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
September 4, 1657

President, Johannes La Montagne
Philip Pietersen
Jan Tomassen
Goossen Gerritsen
Adriaen Gerritsen
magistrates

Mr. van Hamel, secretary of the colony of Rencelaerswijck, plaintiff, against Lambert van Valckenborch, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant on the 9th of July last, at a public sale which took place in the colony of Rencelaerswijck, bought the grain standing in the field of the farm which is now owned by Jan Labite for the sum of f700:--, according to the conditions of the sale, and because the defendant was unable to furnish securities, the plaintiff was forced to have the said grain again publicly sold in the village of Beverwijck on the 12th of July, when it was knocked down at f570:., and [111] as the plaintiff is bound to make good the loss suffered by the seller, he requests that the defendant, according to legal custom and practice, be ordered, according to the conditions of the sale, to make up the difference in the amount brought by the grain and also pay in cash the auction fees and other expenses, amounting to the sum of one hundred ninety-eight guilders, to wit: f103* for the difference in bids, f35 for auction fees, f3 for commissions, f12 for brandy consumed, and f18 for beer, and that the defendant be constrained to pay said sum by apprehension of his person.

The defendant admits the debt but says that there was no more than f4 worth of brandy drunk.

The court, having heard the parties, condemn the defendant to pay within eight days, under penalty of attachment, or apprehension of his person the sum of f130 for the difference in bids, f3 for commissions, and f35 for auction fees, amounting to the sum of f168:-- and order the defendant to settle with the tavern keeper as to the balance of the sum demanded.

Daniel Rinckhout, plaintiff, against Jan de Graef and Pieter Bosboom, defendants.

* The amount should be f130:--.
The plaintiff demands payment of $8:--.
The defendant admits the debt.
The court orders the defendant to pay the sum demanded in cash.

*Idem* Rinckhout, plaintiff, against Pieter Wollebrant, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of $60:--.
The defendant admits the debt.
The court orders the defendant to pay the sum demanded within the space of six weeks.

Harmen Bastiaensen, plaintiff, against Meyndert Fredricksen, defendant.

[112] The plaintiff complains that the defendant has called him a hay thief.
The defendant denies having said this, but admits that he said that he had had his hay which he cut carted away and demands restitution of the said hay.

Tjerck Claessen, being called as a witness, declares that he heard Meyndert Fredrickson say, "Harmen Bastiaensen has taken my hay," but not that he said that he was a thief.

The parties having been heard, the court orders Harmen Bastiaensen, the plaintiff, to restore the hay to the defendant within the space of eight days and furthermore on the next court day to prove that the defendant called him a thief.

Jan Bemboo, plaintiff, against Teunis Jacobsen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands restitution of 20 kannen of Spanish wine of which he suffered the loss through the breaking of a half aam, which the defendant, as beer carrier, let drop from the brewer’s crane.
The defendant says that the loss was caused by the weakness of the rim of the half aam and promises to prove it on the next court day. *Fiat.*

Eldert Gerbertsen, plaintiff, against Default. Arent Andriessen, defendant.

Willem Bout, plaintiff, against Default. Henderick Gerritsen, defendant.

Pieter Bosboom, plaintiff, against Default. Marten Metselaer, defendant.

Carsten Claessen, plaintiff, against Default. Jan Roeloffsen, defendant.
Harmen Jacobsen, plaintiff, against
Default. Adriaen Appel and Claes Ripsen, defendants.

[113] Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
September 4, 1657

Present:

J. La Montagne, that is to say,
Philip Pietersen
Jan Tomassen
Goossen Gerritsen
Adriaen Gerritsen
magistrates

The officer, plaintiff, against Henderick Anderiessen, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant on August 26, about ten o’clock in the evening, stood with naked sword in the middle of the street. He produces Jan Anderiessen, Abraham van Coesan, and Rem Janssen as witnesses and requests that they be examined in regard to the matter.

Jan Anderiessen, appearing before the court, declares that on the 26th of August, about ten o’clock in the evening, he saw Henderick Anderiessen standing in the middle of the street with an unsheathed saber* in his hand, but did not see him molest or threaten anyone.

Abraham van Coesan declares that on the 26th of August, about ten o’clock in the evening, he saw Henderick Anderiessen with an unsheathed saber standing in the middle of the street and coming with the saber toward the deponent, who said to him, “Keep away from me.” He declares that he did not know with whom he was dealing, but noticed that he was drunk.

Rem Janssen says that on the 26th of August, about ten o’clock in the evening, he saw Henderick Anderiessen, being drunk, standing in the middle of the street with an unsheathed saber in his hand, which saber his wife gently took away from him.

* een bloote houwer
Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
September 5, 1657

Present:
La Montagne
Jacob Schermerhoorn
Philip Pietersen
Jan Tomassen
Goossen Gerritsen
Adriaen Gerritsen
magistrates

Ulderick Kleyn, plaintiff, against Eldert Gerbertsen, defendant.

The plaintiff complains that the defendant, last Wednesday, being the 29th of August, called his wife a woman who had been flogged and branded on the scaffold at Amsterdam and said that she had whored around with the *malle boer* and *hageboom* named Jacob Klomp.

The defendant answers that the plaintiff's wife first called him a scoundrel and a thief and his wife a whore.

The plaintiff produces depositions of four women, which confirm the plaintiff's complaint.

The defendant in rebuttal produces Albert Gijsbertsen *Rademaeker*, who, appearing before the court, declares that last Wednesday, being the 29th of August, while Eldert Gerbertsen was at his house to settle accounts with him, Baefien Pietersen, the wife of Ulderick Kleyn, came to the aforesaid house and dunned him about some debts in such a way that a dispute arose between them, and the said Baefien Pietersen took hold of the said Eldert and called him a scoundrel.

The court, having heard the parties, orders the defendant to prove by sufficient witnesses that Baefien Pietersen first assailed and slandered him, in order according to the circumstances of the case to administer law and justice to the parties.

[113 bis] The court, having seen the written report of magistrates Rutger Jacobsen and Anderies Herbertsen, arbitrators appointed by the court on October 7, 1656, in which they, after examination of the previous and subsequent debts between Femmetjen *de Baxter* and Michiel Teunissen, find that to satisfy the debts made by the said Michiel Teunissen and Femmetjen *de Baxter*, during their association or partnership, there is

* the bakeress
still due by the said Michiel Teunissen the sum of 84:10:-, the court
confirms the opinion and findings of the aforesaid magistrates and
hereby condemns Michiel Teunissen to pay to Femmetie de Baxter, the
sum of 84:10, on condition that the said Michiel Teunissen shall thereby
be released from all debts which were contracted during their part­ner­ship.

Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
October 2, 1657

Present:
La Montagne
Jacob Schermerhoorn
Philip Pietersen
Jan Tomassen
Goossen Gerritsen
Adriaen Gerritsen

Adriaen Appel, plaintiff, against
Default. Lubbert, Labitee's servant, defendant.

Evert Noldinck, plaintiff, against
Default. Lubbert, Labitee's servant, defendant.

Marcelus Janssen, plaintiff, against
Default. Foppe Barentsen and Meester Adriaen,* defendants.

Pieter Stevensen, plaintiff, against
Default. Henderick Gerritsen, defendant.

[114] The honorable officer, plaintiff, against
Default. Cornelis Teunissen, defendant.

Cornelis Cornelissen, plaintiff, against Daniel Rinckhout, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment for his house.
The defendant maintains that the house was not delivered according
to the bill of sale and that the seller has thus far not finished two
chimneys, nor made the attic tight, according to said bill of sale.
The court, having heard the parties, orders the plaintiff to deliver the
house in question in accordance with the contract of sale, or in default

* Adriaen Jansen van Ilpendam, schoolmaster
thereof to have it finished out of the amount of the first payment.

Carsten Claessen, plaintiff, against Jan Roeloffsen, plaintiff.

The plaintiff demands payment of 22 beavers for a horse sold to him, [the defendant].

The court, having heard the parties, orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff 22 beavers within the space of two months.

The honorable officer, plaintiff, against Engeltjen Cornelissen, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant on the 14th of September went into the house of Wijnant de Kistemaeker, and without any cause insulted and beat said Wijnant's wife, named Trijntie Melgers, for which assault, committed against the law, he demands reparation and justice.

The defendant admits having committed such an assault, not in the house, but on the doorsill thereof, having first been attacked by Trijntie Melgers, and offers to prove this on the next court day.

The court, having heard the parties, orders the defendant to prove her assertion by sufficient witnesses.

[116] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange

November 13, 1657

Present:

La Montagne
Jacob Schermerhoorn
Philip Pietersen
Jan Tomassen
Goossen Gerritsen
Adriaen Gerritsen
magistrates

Evert Noldinck, plaintiff, against Jacob Teunissen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of f106:4:—.

The defendant admits the debt and promises to pay the same in 14 days.

The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum of f106:4: within the aforesaid time, under penalty of attachment.

Evert Noldingh, plaintiff, against Anderies van der Sluys, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of f28:15:—.
The defendant admits the debt and promises to pay the same in cash.
The court orders the defendant to pay the same within the space of 24 hours, according to his promise.

Evert Noldinck, plaintiff, against
Default. Pieter Stevensen, defendant.

*Idem* plaintiff, against

Tomas Pouwels, plaintiff, against Barent Albertsen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands damages for the loss which he suffered from a canoe which the defendant [in violation of] the ordinance took without the plaintiff’s knowledge and for which he offers *f*9:10.
The defendant admits the debt.

[117] The court, having heard the parties, condemn the defendant to pay *f*9:10 to the plaintiff and *f*25 to the officer, according to the ordinance.

Teunis Jacobsen, plaintiff, against Lourens Baliner, defendant.
The plaintiff, pursuant to the late order of the court,* produces before the court the half *aam* from which the Spanish wine in question was spilled in the falling of said cask caused by the weakness of the rim thereof, offering to confirm on oath that the said cask fell on account of its poor condition.
The court, having heard the parties and examined the half *aam* which was broken at the rim, adjudge that [the accident] was not the fault of the plaintiff, beer carrier, and therefore releases him from all liability and orders the defendant to recover his loss from the merchant who delivered the wine in the said cask.

Leendert Philipsen, plaintiff, against Henderick *de Backer* and his wife, defendants.
The plaintiff complains with Anderies Herbertsen about some atrocious slander, in proof whereof he produces some depositions.
The defendants ask for copies of said depositions.
The court, having heard the parties, orders the plaintiff to furnish the defendants with copies of the depositions which he produced in court, in order to make answer thereto on the next court day.

Marritjen Hendericksz, plaintiff, against Lowies Cobussen, defendant.

* See page 330.
The plaintiff demands payment of the rent of her house, amounting to the sum of 18 beavers.

[118] The defendant admits the debt, but says that he cannot pay in beavers.

The court, having heard the parties, condemns the defendant to pay the sum demanded within the space of six weeks.

Uldeirc Kleyn, plaintiff, against Eldert Gerbertsen, defendant.

The plaintiff asks that the defendant produce his evidence according to the last court order.*

The defendant excuses himself on account of a great misfortune which he has had in the meanwhile, and asks time until the next court session. Fiat.

Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
November 27, 1657

Present:

La Montagne
Jacob Schermerhoorn
Philip Pietersen
Jan Tomassen
Goossen Gerritsen
Adriaen Gerritsen
magistrates

Teunis Spitsbergen and Barent Pietersen, plaintiffs, against Default. Wijnant Gerritsen, defendant.

Robbert Engel, plaintiff, against Default. Henderick Gerritsen, defendant.

Foppe Barentsen, plaintiff, against Default. Egbert Sandersen, defendant.

Pieter Stevensen, plaintiff, against

\[
\begin{align*}
&\text{Adriaen Appel} \\
&\text{Barent Albertsen} \\
&\text{Henderick Gerritsen}, \\
\end{align*}
\]
defendants.

* See page 332 for the court order.
Default. Gillis Fonda, plaintiff, against
Default. Henderick Gerritsen, defendant.

Evert Noldingh, plaintiff, against
Default. Claes van Rotterdam, defendant.

[G119] Gerrit Swart, schout of the colony of Rencelaerswijck, plaintiff,
against
Default. Dirckjen Harmens, defendant.

Harmen Jacobsen, plaintiff, against
Default. Claes van Rotterdam and Abraham Vosburgh, defendants.

Baefjen Pietersen, plaintiff, against Eldert Gerbertsen, defendant.

The defendant produces his depositions, according to the last order.*

The plaintiff asks that the witnesses appear before the court to swear
to their depositions.

The court, seeing the reasonableness of the request, orders the defen­
dant to have his witnesses appear before the court on the next court day
to confirm their testimony on oath.

Anderies Herbertsen and Leendert Philipsen, plaintiffs, against
Henderick de Backer, and his wife, defendants.

The court, having heard the parties, orders the parties respectively to
communicate their depositions to each other before the next court day,
in order to pronounce judgment according to the merits of the case.

Jan Roeloffsen, plaintiff, against Henderick Reur, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of five beavers earned on the
defendant’s house.

The defendant admits the debt, but as the work which the plaintiff
agreed to do four years ago was not finished, he claims that he is not
bound to pay the five beavers, but on the contrary, claims that he is
entitled to damages for the loss which the defendant suffered thereby.

The court, having heard the parties, orders that each shall choose
a referee to settle the matter to the best of their knowledge.

Jan van Eeckelen, plaintiff, against Jan de Graeff, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of the sum of f84:14.

The defendant denies the debt.

The court orders the plaintiff to prove his claim on the next court day.

* See page 332 for this order.
Rutger Jacobsen, plaintiff, against Harmen Jacobsen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands security for his yacht, which he sold to the defendant and for which he has thus far not been paid, as he has heard that the defendant has sold the said yacht.

The defendant admits having bought the said yacht which was to be paid for according to certain conditions, and declares that he cannot furnish any security.

The court orders that the yacht in question shall remain attached until the defendant has satisfied the plaintiff.

Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
December 11, 1657

Jacob Tijssen, plaintiff, against Jan Gauw, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant owes him eight beavers, and he has caused the defendant to be cited for the third time for the recovery of the said number of beavers. The latter remaining in default, he asks judgment in the amount of the said beavers.

[121] The court, having according to law caused the defendant to be summoned three times by the court messenger and he not appearing, declares him to be in contempt and condemns him because of his contempt of court to pay the plaintiff the required eight beavers within the space of eight days and the costs of the trial, under penalty of attachment.

Tomas Janssen Mingael, plaintiff, against Default. Christoffel Davids, defendant.

Pieter Jacobsen Quackenbosch, plaintiff, against Default. Pieter Jacobsen Bosboom, defendant.

Teunis Spitsbergen and his partner, plaintiffs, against Default. Wijnant Gerritsen and Jan de Wever, defendants.

Foppe Barentsen, plaintiff, against Default. Egbert Sandersen, defendant.

Anderies de Vosch, plaintiff, against Default. Jan van Breemen, defendant.
Jacob Tijssen van der Heyden, plaintiff, against Default. Jan Gaeuw, defendant.

Cornelis van Breuckelen, plaintiff, against Default. Dirckjen Martensen, defendant.

Tomas Janssen Mingael, plaintiff, against Christoffel Davids, defendant.
   The plaintiff says that he settled with the defendant about the rent of the house of Jacob Anderiessen for f50:--.
   The defendant admits that he did so, but says that he was drunk.
   The court refers the case to the referees to be chosen by the parties respectively.

[122] Teunis Spitsbergen and his partner, plaintiffs, against Wijnant Gerritsen, defendant.
   The plaintiff demands payment of 18 beavers and f1:10:--.
   The defendant admits the debt according to the account.
   The parties having been heard, the defendant is ordered by the court to pay the said number of 18 beavers and f1:10:-- within three months.

Andries de Vosch, plaintiff, against Jan van Breemen, defendant.
   The plaintiff demands payment of f254:--.
   The defendant admits that he was indebted to the plaintiff but does not know how much and demands an account from the plaintiff.
   The court orders the plaintiff to render an account to the defendant.

[124]* Account of the fines that, according to the court record, have been imposed from the 4th of October Anno 1656 until the last of December Anno 1657.

Receipts

Page    2  Henderick Hendericksen Backer is fined  f68:--
Page    4  Egbertjen Egberts is fined            300:--
Page    6  Willem Hoffmeyer is fined             500:--
Page    8  Dirckjen Harmens is fined             300:--
Page  23  Tomas Chambert is fined                150:--
Page  63  Hans de Vosch is fined                 300:--
Page  70  Pieter Bronck is fined                 100:--

* Page 123 is blank.
Page 77 Frans Barentsen is fined 100:--
Page 102 Jacob Loockermans is fined 300:-- $2,118:--$

Of the above fines, by order of the hon. director general, half is appropriated to the honorable magistrates of Fort Orange and the village of Beverwijck, amounting to $1,059:--$

[125] Expenditures

Magistrate Rutger Jacobsz has received from Henderick Hendericksz Backer $68:--$

The magistrates have agreed to advance payment of the fine of Egbertjen Egbers because her husband worked on the church $300:--$

1656
October 21

Paid by order of the magistrates to Tomas Janssen Mingael and Willem Bout 50:--

1657
April 7 and 17

Paid by Jochim Wesselsen for Willem Hoffmeyer to Jan Roelofsen and Stoffel Janssen for wages earned on the church 150:--

August 10

Paid by Dirck Bensick to Francoys Boon for freight of the pulpit and the bell $32:--*

* The amount, which is in a damaged portion of the page, has been reconstructed from the total.
August 15

To Philip Pietersen Schuyler by Willem Fredricksz Bout for an Indian the sum of f60:--
so that the magistrates are bound to pay 30:--

October 6

Paid by Pieter Bronck on account of the fine of Hans Vosch and Pieter Meesen for wages earned on the church 100:--

December 27

Paid by Philip Hendericksz to Stoffel Janssen on account of the fine of Hans de Vos 100:--

Total /  830:--

[126] Receipts

Carried forward from the preceding page f1,059:--
which is due to the honorable magistrates to wit, half of the fines aforesaid.
Also paid to their honors, due to me by balance of accounts f 112: 18:--
Total f1,171: 18:--

[127] Expenditures

Carried forward from the preceding page f830:--:

1657
August 18

Paid to Willem Fredricksz Bout for tavern expenses when the magistrates
made an agreement with Mayndert and Carsten Fredricksz about the place where the house of Jan van Aecken stood 75:--:

November 15

Paid to Symon Janssen Romeyn for nails for the church 7:10:--

1658
January 18

Paid to Jan van Aecken on the order of the magistrates for the sum of f209 earned at the church, which order is signed N.I., the sum of 80:--:

ditto

To the same by the wife of Jacobus Loockermans on account of the fine imposed on her husband 68:--:

ditto

To the said Jan van Aecken by cash in payment in full of the sum of f209:-- earned by him at the church 11:8:--

Frans Barentsen has given the magistrates a note payable out of his honorarium for the amount of the fine due by him 50:--:

Pieter Bronck still owes the court on account of his fine 50:--:

Total f1,171:18:--
Account of the Fines

Debit

1656
October 14

By order of the honorable director general and council of New Netherland granted to the deaconry one-sixth part of the said fines, amounting to the sum of $353:-$

Credit

1657
February 15

To the deaconry paid by Jan Michielsz $80:-:–$

1658
March 2

To ditto by Jacob Loockermans $52:-:–$

ditto 6

To ditto by ditto Loockermans $68:-:–$

ditto 28

To ditto by Dirck Bensingh $100:-:–$
To ditto by Jan Martensz $24:-:–$
To ditto by Pieter Bronck $16:-:–$

$340:-:–$

There remains due by balance of accounts $13:-:–$

$353:-:–$
In the Name of the Lord, Amen.

Continuation of the minutes of the court of justice of Fort Orange, the village of Beverwijck, and the dependencies thereof, established in loco on the 10th of April 1652, by the honorable director general and council of New Netherland.

Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
January 8, 1658

Present:
La Montagne
Jacob Schermerhoorn
Philip Pietersen
Abraham Staets
Jan Tomassen
Goossen Gerritsen
Adriaen Gerritsen
magistrates

Tomas Janssen Mingael, plaintiff, against Christoffel Davids, defendant.

The parties having been heard, the court, in accordance with the previous decision, orders each party to choose a referee, which the plaintiff did in our presence; whereupon Tomas Janssen chose Cornelis Teunissen Bosch and the defendant, Christoffel Davids, Willem Brouwer.

Adriaen Appel, plaintiff, against Christoffel Davids, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of f93:12 per balance due for board and other items.

[2] The defendant admits the debt and promises to pay it within the space of six weeks.

The court condemns the defendant to pay the aforesaid sum of f93:12 within six weeks.

* With this page begins the second volume of court minutes held by the Albany County Hall of Records.
Teunis Spitsbergen, plaintiff, and his partner, against Dirckjen Harmens. The plaintiff demands payment of $60:--.
The defendant agrees to pay. The court orders the defendant to pay the sum of $60:--, cash.

Lowies Cobussen, plaintiff, against Default. Dirck Bensick and Pieter Bronck.

Cornelis van Breuckelen, plaintiff, against Dirckjen Harmensen, defendant. The plaintiff demands delivery of an anker of brandy for which he made cash payment in beavers. The defendant says that the beavers were no good. The parties having been heard, [the court] orders and condemns the defendant to satisfy the plaintiff within the space of eight days.

Anderies de Vosch, plaintiff, against Jan van Breemen, defendant. The plaintiff demands payment of the sum of $225:-- and 12 schepels of wheat. The defendant requests time to settle accounts with the plaintiff. The court orders the plaintiff to settle accounts with the defendant.

Dirckjen Harmens, plaintiff, against Willem Hoffmeyer, defendant. [3] Evert Noldinck, plaintiff, against Pieter Stevensen, defendant. The plaintiff demands payment of the sum of $57:15 for excise. The defendant admits the debt. The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the aforesaid sum of $57:15, within the space of one month.

Pieter Winnen, plaintiff, against Pieter Loockermans, defendant.

Philip Pietersen, plaintiff, against Mattheuw Abrahamsen, defendant. The honorable officer, plaintiff, against Jan Teunissen, defendant. The honorable plaintiff complains that the defendant in violation of the ordinance published here has sold brandy to the Indians.* The honorable plaintiff requests therefore that the defendant be condemned to pay a fine of $500, in accordance with the ordinance.

* See LO, 259–260, for this ordinance.
The defendant denies that he did so and demands proof.
The court orders the honorable officer to prove his charges.

The honorable officer, plaintiff, against Jan Anderiessen, defendant.
The honorable officer complains that the defendant in violation of the ordinance published here has sold brandy to the Indians, and the plaintiff therefore requests that the defendant be fined $500 in accordance with the ordinance.
The defendant denies that he did so and demands proof.

The honorable officer, plaintiff, against Pieter Jacobsen Bosboom, defendant.
The plaintiff complains that the defendant in violation of the ordinance published here has sold brandy to the Indians. The honorable plaintiff therefore requests that the defendant be fined $500 in accordance with the ordinance and be banished.
The defendant denies that he did so and demands proof.
The court orders the honorable officer to produce his evidence on the next court day.

Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
January 12, 1658

Present:
Jacob Schermerhoorn
Philip Pietersz
Jan Tomassen
Goossen Gerritsen
Adriaen Gerritsen
magistrates

The honorable officer, plaintiff, against Jan Teunissen, defendant and prisoner.
The honorable plaintiff says that the defendant (as he said before) sold brandy to the Indians, which the plaintiff proves by three irreproachable witnesses, and as this is a matter of dangerous consequence, which may cause the ruin of the country, he requests that the aforesaid delinquent, pursuant to the ordinance [5] published here on October 6, 1656, by order of the director general and council of New Netherland, be condemned to pay a fine of $500 and be banished from this province for
six years, as an example to others.

The defendant requests a copy of the plaintiff's complaint to answer the same according to legal procedure.

The court orders the plaintiff to furnish the defendant with a copy of his complaint to make answer thereto on the next court day.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Jan Anderiessen, defendant.

The honorable plaintiff says that the defendant (as he said before) has sold brandy to the Indians, which the plaintiff proves by three irreproachable witnesses, and whereas this is a matter of dangerous consequence, which may cause the ruin of the country, he requests that the said delinquent, pursuant to the ordinance published here on October [6], Anno 1656, by order of the honorable director general and council of New Netherland, be fined f500 and be banished from this province for six years, as an example to others.

The defendant requests a copy of the complaint, to make answer thereto according to legal procedure.

The court orders the plaintiff to furnish the defendant with a copy of his complaint, to make answer thereto on the next court day.


The plaintiff says that the defendant (as he has said before) has sold brandy to the Indians, which he proves by three irreproachable witnesses. And whereas this is a matter of dangerous consequence, which might cause the ruin of the country, he requests that the delinquent, pursuant to the ordinance published here on October 6, 1656, by order of the honorable director general and council of New Netherland, be fined f500 and be banished for six years, as an example to others.*

The defendant requests a copy of the complaint to make answer thereto according to law.

The court orders the plaintiff to furnish the defendant with a copy of his complaint in order that he may make answer thereto on the next court day.

The honorable officer, plaintiff, against Gijsbert van Loenen.

The plaintiff says that a certain *Maquas* Indian has declared in the presence of three credible witnesses that on the first of January 1658 he bought brandy from the defendant for one beaver, which declaration,

* There is no surviving ordinance of this date; however, see *LO*, 260, for the ordinance dated October 26, 1656.
according to the ordinance, must be accepted as complete evidence. He therefore, as officer, demands that the defendant be condemned to pay a fine [7] of 500 guilders and be banished from the country, according to the ordinance.

The defendant denies that he did it and offers to prove it.

The court, having heard the parties, orders the defendant to purge himself of the charges under oath, but if the defendant refuses to take the oath, it is ordered that he shall be taken into custody until further proof.

Poulus Cornelissen, plaintiff, against Gijsbert Martensen, defendant.

The plaintiff says that he sold a horse to the defendant and that in default of payment the defendant has reconveyed the horse to the plaintiff by bill of sale. And whereas the plaintiff has heard that the defendant sold the horse to Dirck Bensick, the plaintiff has had the said horse attached and requests restitution of the same.

The defendant requests that the horse may remain attached until the next court day, subject to payment of costs by the party in the wrong.

The court orders that the aforesaid horse remain attached until next Tuesday, subject to payment of costs by the party in the wrong.

[8] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
   January 15, 1658

Meester van Hamel,* plaintiff, against Christoffel Davids, defendant.

The plaintiff complains that the defendant has affronted him, having struck him three times and called him a forger and challenged him to fight, as evidence of which he produces a knife, which the defendant surrendered to the plaintiff.

The defendant denies that he did so, but admits that the knife, which the plaintiff produces, is his.

The court orders the plaintiff to prove his statements on the next court day.

Willem Fredricksz, plaintiff, against Marcelus Janssen, defendant.

The plaintiff complains that the defendant called him a thief in the presence of Philip Pietersen, Dirck Janssen Croon, and Henderick Jochimsen.

The court orders the parties to prove their statements on the next court day.

* Dirck van Hamel, secretary of Rensselaerswijck
Baefjen Pietersen, plaintiff, against Eldert Gerbertsen, defendant.
The defendant excuses himself because his witnesses are not at hand.
The court orders the defendant to appear with his witnesses on the next court day.

Pieter Winnen, plaintiff, against Pieter Loockermans, defendant.
[9] The plaintiff demands payment of f62 for planks, which payment must include two beavers.
The defendant admits the debt and promises to pay the same in the space of six weeks.
The court orders the defendant to pay the aforesaid sum within the space of six weeks, on pain of attachment.

Lowies Cobussen, plaintiff, against 2d Default. Dirck Bensick and Pieter Bronck, defendants.

Jan de Wever, plaintiff, against Default. Willem Hoffmeyer, defendant.

Hans de Vosch, plaintiff, against Default. Jan de Wever, defendant.

Gijsbert van Loenen, plaintiff, against Poulus Cornelissen, defendant.
The plaintiff says that Poulus Cornelissen, defendant, attached his horse, which was consented to by the court until this day. And whereas he has given the defendant a bill of exchange, he requests restitution of the horse or of the bill of exchange.
The defendant says that he received the plaintiff's bill of exchange and has long since intended to return it and now offers to do so, but he persists in maintaining the attachment.
The parties having been heard, the court orders that the horse shall be returned to the defendant, Poulus Cornelissen, provided that he shall receive nineteen beavers for his bill of exchange and that he shall pay the remaining six beavers to the plaintiff.

[10] Whereas Jan Teunissen, in violation of the ordinance issued by the honorable director general and council and published here, has on the 30th of December 1657 ventured to sell brandy to the Indians or natives of this country, as appears by the testimony of three irreproachable and sworn witnesses and by his own voluntary confession; therefore, we, having seen the conclusion of the officer, requesting enforcement of the ordinance, and the answer of the party to the aforesaid conclusion, having duly considered the matter and called upon God, have by a plurality of
votes deemed this to be a matter of dangerous consequence, which cannot be tolerated in a place where justice is maintained. Therefore, doing justice in the matter of the conclusion of the said officer, we condemn the aforesaid Jan Teunissen, as we condemn him hereby, according to the ordinance published on the 6th of October 1656, to pay a fine of five hundred guilders and the costs of the trial and furthermore to be banished from this country for the period of three years. Thus done in the meeting held in Fort Orange on the 22d of January 1658.

Jacob Jansen Schermerhooren
Abraham Staets
Adriaen Gerretsen

[11] Whereas Jan Anderiessen, in violation of the ordinance issued by the honorable director general and council and published here, has on the 30th of December 1657 ventured to sell brandy to the Indians or natives of this country, as appears by the testimony of three irreproachable and sworn witnesses; therefore, we, having seen the conclusion of the officer, requesting enforcement of the ordinance, and the answer of the party to the aforesaid conclusion, having duly considered the matter, have by a plurality of votes deemed this to be a matter of dangerous consequence, which cannot be tolerated in a place where justice is maintained. Therefore, doing justice in the matter of the conclusion of the said officer, we condemn the aforesaid Jan Anderiessen, as we condemn him hereby, according to the ordinance published on the 6th of October Anno 1656, to pay a fine of five hundred guilders and the costs of the trial and furthermore to be banished from this country for the period of three years. Thus done in the meeting held in Fort Orange on the 22d of January Anno 1658.

[12] Whereas Pieter Jacobsen Bosboom, in violation of the ordinance issued by the honorable director general and council and published here, has on the 30th of December 1657 ventured to sell brandy to the Indians or natives of this country, as appears by the testimony of three irreproachable and sworn witnesses; therefore, we, having seen the conclusion of the officer, requesting enforcement of the ordinance, and the answer of the party to the aforesaid conclusion, having duly considered the matter, have by a plurality of votes deemed this to be a matter of dangerous consequence, which cannot be tolerated in a place where justice is maintained. Therefore, doing justice in the matter of the conclusion of the said officer, we condemn the aforesaid Pieter Jacobsen Bosboom, as we condemn him hereby, according to the ordinance published on the
6th of October Anno 1656, to pay a fine of five hundred guilders and the costs of the trial and furthermore to be banished from this country for the period of three years. Thus done in the meeting held in Fort Orange on the 22d of January 1658.

[13] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
January 22, 1658

Baefjen Pietersen, plaintiff, against Elbert Gerbertsen, defendant.

The parties having been heard, the court orders the parties respectively to have their affidavits confirmed by oath on the next court day.

Goossen Gerritsen, plaintiff, against Claes Teunissen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of a promissory note of f848, on which f271:14 has been received. He requests payment of the balance.

The defendant says that the debt was contracted not in his time, but in the time of his wife's former husband, Jacob Luyersen, deceased, and maintains that the plaintiff must sue not him, but the curators, for payment.

The court orders that the plaintiff must sue the curators appointed to administer the estate of Jacob Luyersen, deceased, for payment.

Lowies Cobussen, plaintiff, against

Vieter Bronck

Default, and Dirck Bensick, defendants.

The plaintiff demands payment of his salary for taking, at the request of the defendants, an inventory of the effects of Hans Vosch, in Katskill, for which he claims he earned f18:-- in three days.

Pieter Bronck, defendant, appearing, admits that he, Dirck [14] Bensick, and Philip Hendericksz employed the plaintiff, but claims that Hans Vosch must pay the expenses.

The court orders the defendant to pay the aforesaid sum of f18:-- to the plaintiff, reserving his right to recover the amount from the person whom the court will designate to him.

Cornelis Teunissen, plaintiff, against Jacob Janssen Stolle, defendant.

The plaintiff maintains that as he has farmed the slaughter's excise, those of the Esopus and Katskill must also pay the aforesaid excise.

The defendant says that those of the Esopus are exempt from all excise for the space of four years more, according to the "Exemptions
of New Netherland," and in case they are liable, the proceeds must redound to the benefit of their place, according to the ordinance drawn up by the director general and council of New Netherland.*

January 29, 1658. The court refers the matter to the director general and council of New Netherland.

Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
February 8, 1658

Present:

Philip Pietersen
Jan Tomassen
Abraham Staets
Adriaen Gerritsz

The honorable officer, plaintiff, against Jochim de Backer, defendant.

The plaintiff complains that the defendant beat an Indian, who went with the court messenger to secure evidence as to the Indian’s complaint that he had lost his notas† with servant at Jochim de Backer’s house, and furthermore that the defendant threatened to beat the court messenger.

The defendant admits that he beat the Indian.

The court condemns the defendant to pay a fine of two pounds Flemish for the poor and releases him from confinement and irons.

Philip Pietersen
Abram Staes
Jan Tomasz
Adriaen Gerretsen

[15] The officer protests about the refusal to maintain justice, tending to the ruin of the country and hereby appeals to the director and council of New Netherland.

Actum in Fort Orange, in the presence of the magistrates, the 8th of February 1658.

La Montagne, officer
in Fort Orange

* See LO, 209, for this ordinance.
† a pouch carried by the Indians for personal items; possibly the same as a tapoesjen
Abraham Pietersen Vosburch, plaintiff, against 
Default. Tjerck Claessen and } defendants
Cobus Teunissen,

The plaintiff complains that the defendant had a tree hauled out of the woods, which he had cut down for lumber.
The defendant admits that he did so, saying that the log had lain in the woods for three years.
The court dismisses the plaintiff’s complaint against the defendant on the ground that the plaintiff did not have his log hauled out of the woods within the space of three years.

The 5th of February, Albert Gijsbertsz confirmed by oath his affidavit against Baefien Pietersen, which he handed in at the request of Elbert Gerbertsen.

Reyer Albertsen, plaintiff, against Gijsbert van Loenen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of four beavers and has caused the same to be attached in the hands of Dirrick Bensick.
The court declares the attachment valid and orders that the aforesaid four beavers be paid to him.

[16] The wife of Jan van Hoesen, plaintiff, against Gijsbert van Loenen.
The plaintiff demands payment of a certain sum of money and says that she has a note.
The court orders the plaintiff to produce her note on the next court day.

Pieter Bout’s wife, plaintiff, against Pieter Jacobsen Bosboom, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of a certain sum of money.
The defendant produces a counterclaim.
The court orders the parties to settle with each other and to appear before the court on the next court day about the difference between the two accounts.

Poulus Martensen, plaintiff, against Harmen Bastiaensen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of two beavers for keeping a cart in repair for the space of one year.
The court orders the plaintiff to pay the defendant the aforesaid two beavers within the space of six weeks. At the same time the defendant is released from the care of the cart, as the plaintiff has sold the cart.*

* The words plaintiff and defendant should be reversed.
The honorable officer, plaintiff, against Jan Martensz alias de Wever, defendant.

The officer complains that the defendant sold brandy or beer to the Indians, which is in violation of the ordinance.

The defendant denies that he did it, as he was not at home.

[17] The court sends the parties back for further evidence.

The plaintiff produces Jurriaen Teunissen and Barent Oesterman, who are examined on interrogatories as follows:

Interrogatory of Jurriaen Teunissen and Barent Oosterman

[1.] Whether he did not see that last Wednesday, in the house of Jan de Wever, by him, his wife, his maid, or someone else, beer was served in a pewter pint measure out of a large jug to two Indians who were lodging there and sat by the fire?

Jurriaen Teunissen answers, That he did not know and paid no attention.

Barent Oosterman declares, That he saw that the Indians drank small beer from the kan.

2. Whether he did not see the Indians drink from the pewter pint measure?

Jurriaen Teunissen answers, No.

Barent Oosterman answers, Yes.

Was signed:

Jurriaen Teunissen
Barent Oosterman

[18] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
February 12, 1658

Present:

La Montagne
Philp Pietersen
Abraham Staets
Jan Toomassen
Adriaen Gerritsen

Jan van Hoesem, plaintiff, against Gijsbert van Loenen, defendant.

The plaintiff produces the promissory note in his favor, which the
court ordered him to present on the next court day, amounting to the sum of £210:-, for tavern expenses.

The defendant admits the debt.

The court orders the defendant to satisfy the plaintiff by [cash] payment, security, or draft payable in Holland, within the space of 14 days.

Baefjen Pietersen, plaintiff,
against
Default. Albert Rademaeker
Default. Rebecca de Trucx
Default. Geertruy Feckmans
Default. the wife of Albert Rademaeker
Default. Grietjen Brughmans, defendants.

Jan de Wever appeared and by oath confirmed his testimony, which he produced against Befien Pietersen, at the request of Eldert Gerbertsz.

Henderick Harmensen, plaintiff, against Daniel Rinckhout, defendant.

The plaintiff says that he leased the defendant’s house and contracted that if either one of the parties should cancel the lease, he would the next day have to give a tun of beer and three kannen of brandy.

[19] The defendant acknowledges that he made the same contract and that the next afternoon or evening he canceled it.

The court orders the defendant to deliver the house, or in the default thereof to give a barrel of beer and three kannen of brandy according to their contract.

The officer, plaintiff, against Jochim de Backer’s maid, named Anneken.

Being asked whether she did not see that an Indian left his notas on the counter or in the house when he bought cake from her, she answers, No.

Hans Vosch, deputy schout, plaintiff, against Jan de Weever, defendant.

The plaintiff, as deputy schout, complains that several times he has found people in the defendant’s house, drinking, both after the ringing of the bell and during divine service, and requests that the defendant be fined according to the ordinance.

The court condemns the defendant to pay the plaintiff for each person found present and to recover the amount where he can.
[20] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange  
February 19, 1658

Present:

La Montagne  
Jacob Schermerhoorn  
Philip Pietersen  
Abraham Staets  
Jan Tomassen  
Goossen Gerritsen  
Adriaen Gerritsen

Philip Pietersen, attorney for Carsten de Noorman, plaintiff, against  
Hans Vosch, defendant.

The plaintiff demands fulfillment of a contract made between both  
parties about the purchase of the plaintiff’s land, situated behind Marten  
Hendericksen’s, for the sum of f150:-- and produces the aforesaid  
contract.

The defendant says that the purchase was canceled and produces  
Philip Hendericksen as a witness.

The parties having been heard, the court orders the defendant to  
appear personally on the next court day to defend himself.

Pieter Loockermans, plaintiff, against  
Default. Meeuws Hoogenboom, defendant.

Jacob Janssen Flodder, plaintiff, against Hans Vosch, defendant.

The plaintiff demands the return of an account of f54:--.

The defendant produces a counterclaim and says that he settled with  
the plaintiff at Jan de Wever’s, for a kan of brandy.

The court orders the defendant to prove that he settled with the  
plaintiff for a kan of brandy.

[21] Baefien Pietersen, plaintiff,  
against  
\[
\begin{align*}
&\text{Rabecca de Trucx} \\
&\text{Geertruy Fexmans} \\
&\text{Grietjen Brugmans} \\
&\text{the wife of Albert de Rademaeker,}
\end{align*}
\]

defendants.

Harmen Jacobsen, plaintiff, against Albert Gijsbertsen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of the balance of the purchase price
of a house and lot, which he sold to the defendant.

The defendant says that the plaintiff did not deliver the whole of the lot.

The court orders that the lot shall be viewed by their honors.

Harmen Jacobsen, plaintiff, against the honorable officer La Montagne, defendant.

The plaintiff demands restitution of an anker of brandy, which the defendant, as officer, confiscated in the yacht den Eendracht.

The defendant admits that he did so, because the plaintiff had shipped eight ankers of brandy in the said yacht, without permit or the knowledge of the officer, in violation of the ordinance, and he demands that the plaintiff be condemned to pay a fine of 500 guilders according to the ordinance.*

The honorable officer, plaintiff, against Jacobus Teunissen and Jan Roelofsen, defendants.

The plaintiff complains that the defendants drew knives on each other.

The [first named] defendant denies it, but says that Henderick, alias de Koehlerder, drew his knife on Jan Roeloffsen and made a stab at his side, which glanced off.

Hans Vosch, deputy schout, plaintiff, against Pieter Janssen Lamaeker.

The plaintiff says that the defendant complained to him that he was cheated.†

The defendant denies that he complained.

The court orders the plaintiff to prove on the next court day that the defendant complained that he had been cheated.

[23] Whereas Jan Anderiessen de Graeff and Pieter Jacobsen Bosboom, on the first of January 1658, when a certain Maquas Indian came to the house to return a keg containing about 1½ kannen of brandy which he said he had bought there from them for two beavers and which was not enough, ventured, on his coming into the house, to take the keg with brandy away from him by force and to hide the same without returning his beavers, for which the honorable court, in order to prevent certain consequences and further mischief, satisfied the Indian. Therefore, said

* See LO, 233, for this ordinance.
† afgeslagen; possibly something to do with the price of a gunstock; also possible that afgeslagen refers to a fight between the plaintiff and the defendant, during which the defendant was “beaten up.”
wanton violence and hostility shown to the Indian being no small matter, but a matter of serious consequence, the honorable court, having duly considered the matter according to the testimony and evidence, have sentenced, as they do sentence hereby, the delinquents, each of them, to pay a fine of one hundred twenty-five guilders and in addition the two beavers which their honors paid to the Indian, and furthermore all costs of the trial, etc. Thus done in the court of Fort Orange, the 11th of March 1658.

Abraham Staas
Jan Thomasz
Adriaen Gerretsen

[24] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
March 11, 1658

Present:
J. La Montagne
Jacob Schermerhoorn
Phillip Pietersen
Abraham Staets
Jan Tomassen
Goossen Gerritsen
Adriaen Gerritsen

Dirrick Janssen Croon, plaintiff, against Daniel Rinckhout, defendant.
The plaintiff complains about the payment for some brandy, which the plaintiff sold to the defendant and for which the defendant paid 50 beavers on account. Among these 50 beavers are 30 three-quarter skins, so that the plaintiff demands other beavers.
The defendant admits that he bought the brandy.
The court orders the defendant to replace the aforesaid three-quarter skins by good, whole beavers or pay for them within the space of six weeks.

Jacob Janssen Flodder, plaintiff, against Hans Vosch, defendant.
The parties appearing for the second time before the court about the difference between their accounts against each other, the plaintiff denies that he bought 200 cabbages from the defendant. The plaintiff refusing to swear to his statement and the defendant having taken the oath, the
court, upon liquidation of the accounts, adjudges that the defendant must pay the plaintiff $11.

Marcelis Janssen, plaintiff, against Meyndert Fredricksz, defendant.

The plaintiff, as farmer of the burghers’ excise,* complains that the defendant has had a half barrel of good beer brought into his house without permit.

[25] The defendant says that he earned it with his fellow workers and that it was brought into his house.

The court orders the plaintiff to recover payment from Albert de Noorman, who had it brought into the defendant’s house.

J. Provoost, plaintiff, against
Default. Harmen Jacobsen, defendant.

Mr. Corler, plaintiff, against
Default. Harmen Jacobsen, defendant, whose property is attached.

Jan Tomassen, plaintiff, against Evert Hedemans, defendant.

The plaintiff demands restitution of an empty half cask, which he had sawed in two.

The defendant admits it, but says that he found the cask in the river.

The court orders the defendant to pay for the half cask and forbids him to do so again.

Anderies Herbertsen, plaintiff, against Hans Vosch, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of 48 schepels of corn for which the plaintiff gave the defendant goods to be bartered. The defendant remaining in default, the plaintiff demands restitution of the goods or the corn.

The defendant admits the debt.

The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff one crown† for each schepel of corn.

The nomination of magistrates

Pieter Hartgers 7 votes
Francoys Boon 7 votes
Sander Leendersen 7 votes
Willem Teller 5 votes

* This is identical to the tax most often referred to as the excise on beer, wine etc.; see page 109n for the function of the farmer.
† a monetary unit worth two guilders
Jan Verbeeck 6 votes
Dirck Janssen Croon 4 votes

[26] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
March 26, 1658

Present:
Lamontagne
Jacob Schermerhoorn
Philip Pietersen
Goossen Gerritsen
Abraham Staets
Jan Toomassen
Adriaen Gerritsen

Barent Albertsen, plaintiff, against Teuwes Abrahamsen and Pieter Loockermans, defendants.

The plaintiff says that he engaged the defendants to build his house more than two years ago and that they make no progress with the house.

The defendants say that it is because of lack of materials.

The court orders the defendants to go to work within the space of 14 days and not to quit until the house is finished.

Cornelis Cornelissen, plaintiff, against Michiel Poulussen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of the sum of £162, being the remainder of £330.

The defendant admits the debt, but claims his entire freight charges of last fall, according to the agreement with the plaintiff, which amount to 90 guilders.

The plaintiff replies that it is not his fault that the defendant did not get his complete cargo, as he had a raft of planks made, but the defendant departed during the night, leaving the raft behind.

The defendant says that as the plaintiff had not delivered his planks to him near the work and he was able to get a cargo here, he left during the night for fear of the ice and claims the freight.

[27] The court orders the defendant to give security for the payment of the sum of £162 cash, or to remain under arrest here in Fort Orange, reserving his claim for freight charges until further proof.
Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange, March 29, 1658

Present:
La Montagne
Jan Tomassen
Goossen Gerritsen
Adriaen Gerritsen

Jan Harmensen, plaintiff, against Dirck Bensick, defendant.

The plaintiff complains that the defendant beat him in his own house with an unsheathed sword and shows the marks and streaks to the court.

The defendant denies that he did so.

The plaintiff produces as witnesses Comelis Teunissen and Jacob Tijssen, who declare that they saw Dirck Bensick several times beat the plaintiff, to wit, Jan Harmsen, with his naked sword, but do not know the reason why.

The court, having heard the parties and considered the matter, condemns the defendant to pay a fine of one hundred fifty guilders and the costs of the trial.

Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange, on Wednesday, the First of May Anno 1658

In the place of the retiring ordinary magistrates, Jacob Schermerhoorn and Philip Pietersen, and Goossen Gerritsen, extraordinary magistrate, according to the extract from the resolutions of the honorable director general and council of New Netherland, Pieter Hartgers and Francoys Boon, as ordinary magistrates, and Dirck Janssen Croon, as extraordinary magistrate, have been chosen and confirmed from the double number nominated, who, having been summoned by the court, have taken the following oath of fidelity before the commissary:

We, the undersigned, as chosen magistrates of the bench of justice of Fort Orange and the village of Beverwijck, promise and swear in the presence of God Almighty and our fellow members, that we shall help to administer law and do true justice between man and man and furthermore, according to the best of our knowledge and ability, carry out and help promote all that concerns justice and administration, as also in all
respects to be true and faithful toward the lords states general of the United Netherlands, the honorable directors of the Chartered West India Company and the director general and council of New Netherland, with the further promise to help maintain here the Reformed religion according to the word of God and the regulations of the Synod of Dordrecht and not to tolerate publicly any sects. So help us God Almighty.

[29] After having been congratulated, the aforesaid Pieter Hartgers, Francoys Boon, and Dirck Janssen Croon this day took their seats.

The retiring magistrates are discharged from their oath and thanked for their good services and the performance of their duty, with promise that with regard to their honorarium of £150 a year, they will, at the opportune time and when the treasury is well supplied, receive consideration and be paid the same as the present and future magistrates.

Upon deliberation it is agreed and decided that the magistrates, who retire annually, shall for the space of one year be exempt from mounting the ordinary burgher guard, but nevertheless, in case of need and when commanded, be obliged to do all extraordinary guard duty the same as other burghers, according to the resolution passed on *primo* May 1658; confirmed by the honorable director general of New Netherland.*

[30] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange

*primo* May 1658

Present:

La Montagne
Abraham Staets
Jan Tomassen
Adriaen Gerritsen

Jacob Janssen Flodder, plaintiff, against Jan *Roodthaer*,† defendant.

Carsten Fredricksz, plaintiff, against Jan Harmsen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of £1150.

The defendant admits the debt and requests two months' time.

* This confirmation must have been made in advance of the formal resolution because Stuyvesant does not appear to have been in Beverwijck during this session of the court.

† Literally, red hair; this is Jan Hendricksen van Salsbergen *Timmerman*. He appears in the records with various combinations of these components for his name.
The court condemns the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum of £1150 demanded within the space of six weeks, on pain of attachment.

Henderick Jochimsen, plaintiff, against Hans Vosch, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of a certain sum of money for food and drink supplied at his house to Dirck Bensick and Pieter Bronck by order of Hans Vosch.

The defendant denies the debt and says that he knows nothing about it.

The court orders the plaintiff to recover the amount as best he may from Dirck Bensick or Pieter Bronck, who spent it at his house.

Mr. Arent van Curler, plaintiff, against Default. Jan Gauw, defendant.

Volckert Janssen, plaintiff, against Adriaen Appel, defendant.

The defendant demands payment of £850:9:-.

The defendant admits the debt and requests time.

The parties having been heard, the court condemns the defendant to pay the sum of £850:9:- demanded within the space of six weeks, on pain of attachment.

Hans Vosch, plaintiff, against Default. Foppe Barentsen, defendant.

[31] Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange

**primo May 1658**

Present:

La Montagne
Jan Tomassen
Pieter Hartgers
Francoys Boon
Adriaen Gerritsen
Dirck Janssen Croon

Leendert Philipsen, plaintiff, against Henderick *de Backer*, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant rented his house from Tjerck Claessen, also lessee (to wit, the first lessee), and demands payment therefor.

The defendant says that he does not know the plaintiff, as he rented
the house from Tjerck Claessen and that he regards the said Tjerck as the lessor.

The court orders the plaintiff to recover the amount from Tjerck Claessen and dismisses his complaint.

Johannes Provoost, plaintiff, against Henderick Bierman, defendant.

The plaintiff, as attorney for Fredrick Philipsen, demands of the defendant payment of 88 beavers, due to the said Fredrick by the defendant and Harmen Jacobsen, partners, according to the promissory note, which he produces.

The defendant denies the debt and the bond.

The court, having heard the parties and examined the note which was produced, seeing that the note was executed and signed only by Harmen Jacobsen, dismiss the plaintiff’s complaint until he produces further proof, or orders him to recover the amount from Harmen Jacobsen.

Tuenis Spitsbergen and his partner have received of Wijnant Gerritsen 11 beavers of a total of 18, so that there are still 7 beavers due to the said Spitsbergen.

[32] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
May 7, 1658

Present:

Lamontagne
Jan Tomassen
Pieter Hartgers
Francoys Boon
Adriaen Gerritsen
Dirck Janssen Croon

Pieter Adriaensen, plaintiff, against
Default. Teuves Abrahamsen and Pieter Loockermans, defendants.

Mr. van Corler, plaintiff, against Jan Gauw, defendant.

The plaintiff demands restitution of a canoe, which the defendant without knowledge of the plaintiff has taken from the shore.

The defendant [says] that without knowing it he has taken the plaintiff’s canoe, because there were two canoes and he took the wrong one. He offers another canoe [instead].
The court, having heard the parties, condemns the defendant to restore the plaintiff's canoe, or another as good as his, within the space of 24 hours, and to pay the costs of the suit.

The former magistrates, plaintiffs, against Teunis Teunissen Metselaer, defendant.

The plaintiffs demand payment of the fine imposed on his wife a year and a half ago.

The defendant admits the debt and humbly requests delay in payment and grace.

The court condemns the defendant to pay f100 in a month and the balance a year later.

Albert Gerritsen, plaintiff,
against
Willem de Backer
Pieter Loockermans
Foppe Barensen,
defendants.

[33] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
May 14, 1658

Present:
Lamontagne
Jan Tomassen
Pieter Hartgers
Francoys Boon
Adriaen Gerritsen
Dirck Janssen Croon

Barent Slecht, plaintiff, against Pieter Bronck and Jacob Adriaensen, defendants.

The plaintiff demands payment of f64; for which Pieter Bronck in Katskil became surety for Jantjen de Iersman.

The defendant says that he gave the plaintiff an order on Jacob Adriaensen, to wit, orally.

The plaintiff replies that if Jacob Adriaensen will pay in three days, he will be satisfied. If not, he will maintain his claim against Pieter Bronck.

The court condemns the defendant, Pieter Bronck, to pay the sum of
f64 demanded to the plaintiff within the space of eight days, or to furnish
surety to pay within six weeks, the defendant to recover the amount if
he can from Jacob Adriaensen.

Cornelis Wijncoop, plaintiff, against
Default. Tjerk Claessen
and his servant, defendants.

Cornelis Wijncoop, plaintiff, against Cornelis Teunissen, defendant.
[34] The plaintiff claims compensation for winter fodder of a bull
owned in common and demands two beavers for it.

The defendant denies the debt and maintains that the plaintiff is also
liable, as the bull is owned in common.

The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff 10 guilders in
sewant for fodder within the space of three days and to recover the
amount as best he may.

Jan Roelofsen, plaintiff, against
Default. Willem Hoffmeyer, defendant.

Jan van Hoesem, plaintiff, against Albert de Rademaecker, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of the balance of 113 schepels of
wheat, according to a contract which they had with each other at the rate
of 3 schepels of wheat for one beaver, of which 75 schepels have been
paid for, so that there is still due the plaintiff 38 schepels of wheat.

The defendant not being able to deny his signature, he, the defendant,
is ordered by the court to pay the plaintiff the remaining 38 schepels of
wheat within the space of six weeks.

Jacob Teunissen, plaintiff, against
Default. Trijn Claes, defendant.

Marcelus Janssen, plaintiff, against
Default. Meeuwes Pietersen, defendant.

Reynier Wisselpenningh, plaintiff, and Tomas Lodowijcksen, coplaintiff,

against
Willem Teljer
Evert Wendels
Jan Verbeeck
Pieter Hartgers,
defendants.
The plaintiff, for making the small baptistry of the church, claims two-third parts [of the payment] in beavers and the other third part in sewant, the total amounting to the sum of 270 guilders.

The defendants say that as the deaconry was not well supplied with beavers, it was agreed that they should pay one-third part in beavers and two thirds part in sewant.

The plaintiff has confirmed his statement by oath, the court orders the defendants to pay the plaintiff two-third parts in beavers and one third part in sewant.

The honorable officer, plaintiff, against Luycas Pietersen, defendant.

The honorable plaintiff complains that the defendant in the evening after Easter day acted very insolently toward the deputy schout. Meeting the said deputy schout with a firebrand, he called out: Waerdal,* struck the said deputy schout on the breast and committed great violence.

The defendant denies it and refuses to take his oath.

The court orders the defendant to be taken into custody, unless he give sufficient bail for his appearance on the next court day.

[36] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
May 21, 1658

Present:
Lamontagne
Abraham Staets
Jan Tomassen
Pieter Hartgers
Francois Boon
Adraien Gerritsen
Dirck Janssen Croon

Henderick Janssen Reur, plaintiff, against
Default. Teunis Cornelissen, alias Jonge Poentie, defendant.

Jan Roeloffsen, plaintiff, against
Default. Willem Hoffmeyer, defendant.

Issack de Foreest, plaintiff, against Jacob Teunissen, defendant.

The plaintiff, attorney for the honorable Johannes Nevius, demands

* This is possibly an attempt at the German military challenge wer da: who goes there?
payment of one half of his wife's passage money from Holland to New Netherland, amounting to the sum of £60:--.

The defendant's wife not being able to deny the promissory note or her signature to it, the defendant is ordered by the court to pay the plaintiff the sum of sixty guilders demanded within the space of six weeks.

Barent Pietersen, plaintiff, against Harmen Bastiaensen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands fulfillment of a contract made between the plaintiff and the defendant.

The defendant acknowledges to have made the contract between him and the plaintiff.

[37] The court, having heard the parties, orders the defendant to fulfill the aforesaid contract; if not, the plaintiff may sue, the costs to be paid by the party who is in the wrong.

Hans Vosch, deputy schout, plaintiff, against Marcelus Janssen, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant fought with Barent de Brouwer, for which by way of fine he retained a pound Flemish which he owed the defendant, whereupon the defendant called the plaintiff a scoundrel. He demands reparation of honor.

The defendant denies that he fought with Barent de Brouwer, but acknowledges that he said that the plaintiff was a scoundrel because the plaintiff unjustly kept a pound Flemish out of what he owed him.

The court having heard the parties, the defendant is fined two pounds Flemish, because he called the plaintiff in his official capacity, on account of a small matter, a scoundrel.

The honorable officer, plaintiff, against Luycas Pietersen, defendant.

The plaintiff complains, as may be seen in the minutes of the preceding court day, about the very insolent and violent conduct toward Hans Vosch, the deputy schout, in his official capacity, of which the defendant has not been able to purge himself, as he has several times refused to take the oath. The aforesaid deputy schout having taken the oath, the plaintiff requests judgment.

[38] The court having considered the matter, the defendant refusing to take the oath, and the deputy schout having taken his, the defendant is condemned by the court to pay a fine of sixty guilders and the costs of the trial and to remain under arrest here in the fort until he shall have furnished sufficient security.
Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
June 4, 1658

Present:

Jan de la Montagne
Jan Tomassen
Pieter Hartgers
Adriaen Gerritsen
Francois Boon

Pieter Roode, plaintiff, against
Toomas Janssen, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant, by whom he was hired as assistant servant, holds back his tips while employing him to haul grain, which he claims he is not bound to do. He demands that his tips be turned over to him and that he be employed in the brewery, or that he be discharged from his service.*

The defendant consents to the plaintiff’s release from his service, provided that he satisfy the defendant for the money received over and above his wages either by making payment or giving security, or else that he shall fulfill the term of his service.

Fiat the defendant’s offer.

Hans de Vos, plaintiff, against Jan van Breemen, defendant.

The parties having been heard, the matter is referred to arbitrators, chosen by the parties in the presence of the court, to wit, Henderick Jochemsen for the plaintiff and Pieter Bronck for the defendant.


The parties having been heard, it is ordered that the defendant shall submit his answer in writing on the next court day.

Hendr. Reur, plaintiff, against
Teunes Cornelis, defendant.
Defendant in default.

---

* Tomas Jansen lived next to Marten Hendricksen’s brewery and probably worked there; Jansen apparently hired Pieter Roode to assist him either in the brewery or in the tavern but instead used him to haul grain for which no tips were forthcoming.
Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
June 18, 1658

Present:

J. De la Montagne
Jan Toomassen
Pieter Hartgers
Francois Boon
Adriaen Gerritsen

Wijnant Gerritsen, plaintiff, against Abraham Vosburch, defendant.

The plaintiff requests that the agreement made on the 28th of May 1658 between him and the defendant through the intermediary of Jan Verbeeck and Ariaen Appel in the presence of D. van Hamel, secretary of Rensselaerswijck, may be approved by the court.

The defendant acknowledges that he made such an agreement, but declares that there are some obscure points in it which he should be glad to have explained.

The court, having heard the parties and examined the agreement between them, refer the parties to the aforesaid arbitrators and for the explanation of the same to the gentlemen of the court of Rensselaerswijck.

[40] Hester Fonda, plaintiff, against Hans Vos, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of three beavers for a gun sold to the defendant.

The defendant admits that he bought the gun for £23 in sewant, of which he paid £16 on account.

The court, having heard the parties, order the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum of £14.

Flip de Brouwer, plaintiff, against Albert Gerrits and Jan van Eckel, defendants.

The plaintiff requests a writ of execution of a judgment in his favor rendered by the court of Rensselaerswijck against the defendants.

The defendants acknowledge that such a judgment was given.

The court orders that the said judgment shall be executed according to law.

Jan Harmensen, plaintiff, against Albert Gerritsen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of the sum of 373 guilders.

The defendant admits the debt.
The court condemns the defendant to pay within the space of six weeks, on pain of attachment.

Hendrick Reur, plaintiff, against Hendrick Gerrits, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of the sum of 22 guilders, 4 stivers. The defendant admits the debt. 
*Fiat* condemnation, to pay within ten days, on pain of attachment.

[41] Arent van den Berch, plaintiff, against Teunis Jacobsen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of 12 guilders for a hog which he [the defendant] is alleged to have run over.
The defendant denies that he did so.
The parties having been heard, it is ordered that the plaintiff shall prove his charges on the next court day.

Extraordinary Session held in Fort Orange
June 19, 1658

Present:
Jan Tomassen
P. Hartgers
Francois Boon
Adriaen Gerritsen

The honorable officer, plaintiff, against Jurriaen Teunesen, *Glase-maecker*, defendant.
The plaintiff says that the defendant laid in his cellar two half barrels of good beer without certificate from the farmer of the excise, which is contrary to the ordinance of their high mightinesses, the states general.* The plaintiff, therefore, in his official capacity demands that the defendant be fined f200 and be suspended from tapping for the period of two years.
The defendant admits that he put the two half barrels of beer in his cellar and that they were found by the farmer of the excise accompanied by the court messenger, for which, as he [42] afterwards heard, no excise certificate had been obtained. But he claims that it was not his fault, but Tenuis Jacobsz *de Bierdraeger's*, whom he ordered to do so, and that the failure was due to Huybert Jansen, whom the beer carrier put in his place.

* See *LO*, 263–65, for this ordinance.
Teunis Jacobsen *Bierdrager*, being examined about the matter before the court, acknowledges that he put Huybert Jansen in his place in the tavern to carry beer, but denies that he had orders from the defendant to fetch a certificate.

The parties having been heard, the court orders the defendant to satisfy the plaintiff or to settle with him.

Ditto officer, plaintiff, against Flip *de Brouwer*, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant has had his servant, without the help of the beer carrier, take beer out of his brewhouse into the cellar of Juriaen Teunesen *Glasemaeccker*, tapster here, which is a violation of their high mightinesses' ordinance and regulations. He, the officer, *ex officio*, demands that the defendant be fined f200 and in addition be suspended for the period of two years, according to the aforesaid regulations.

[43] The defendant says that it happened during his absence through the ignorance of his servant, who does not know of such regulations. He therefore requests to be excused from paying such fine, promising to see to it that it shall never occur again in his brewhouse.

The parties having been heard, the court orders the defendant to satisfy the plaintiff or to settle with him.

**Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange**  
**June 25, 1658**

Present:

J. de la Montagne  
Abraham Staets  
Jan Tomas  
P. Hartgers  
Francois Boon  
Adriaen Gerritsen  
Dirck Jansen Croon

Govert Loockermans, plaintiff, against Abraham Vosburch, defendant.

The plaintiff, as attorney for the curators of the estate of Hardenberch,* demands payment of 7 beavers, with the interest thereof and costs.

The defendant admits the debt.

* Jan Hardenberch
The court, having heard the parties, orders the defendant to pay the sum demanded, with the interest thereon from the expiration of the term and reasonable expenses incurred in promoting the payment, within the space of ten days, on pain of attachment.

Govert Loockermans, attorney as above, plaintiff, against Jackes Tijsen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of \(100\) in beavers and \(150\) in sewant.

The defendant admits the debt and declares that at present he has no means of paying on account of his serious accident and prolonged illness. He offers a mortgage on his house here as security for the debt.

The plaintiff accepts the offer. Fiat.

Jacobus Jansen, plaintiff, against Jan Tomassen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of \(28\), which Lubbert, the defendant’s servant, owes him and which the defendant has agreed to pay, having accepted the amount.

The defendant denies that he agreed to pay the debt or to accept the account.

The court orders the plaintiff to prove his statement on the next court day.

Rut Jacobsen, plaintiff, against Harmen Yden, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of \(34\) and \(4\).

The defendant admits the debt.

The court orders the defendant to pay within the space of fourteen days.

Anderies de Vos, attacher and plaintiff, against Jan Verbeeck, defendant.

The attacher says that about four years ago he furnished through Adam Roelants to P. Leendersen vande Grist at the Manathans eighty pine planks, at 25 stivers apiece, as appears from the promissory note written and signed by the said Poulus Leendersen vande Grist, for which sum the plaintiff caused the said P. Leendersen vande Grist, being here, to be cited, who, appearing before this court, acknowledged that he had received the said planks and written and signed the note for them, but objected that the said planks were used, not for himself, but for the fort in the city of Amsterdam in New Netherland, maintaining that he was not amenable to this court and not liable to attachment according to the privileges of the great burgher right granted to the city of Amsterdam in New Netherland. Judgment in this matter was given by the commissary.
and magistrates of this court, whereby the said Poulus L. v. Grist under date of June 11, 1657, was condemned to pay the sum of £1 18:15 within the space of six weeks and ordered to give sufficient security before his departure, the court granting him the privilege to recover the amount from whomsoever the court would designate. The said Poulus Leendersen having appealed this judgment on the 14th of the said month to the director general and council of New Netherland [46] and not having prosecuted said appeal within the space of one year, the plaintiff claims that the appeal must be dismissed and requests that the money attached, for so far as he is concerned, with the interest thereof, be paid to him by Jan Verbeeck, under guarantee of restitution in case of need.

The court orders Jan Verbeeck, the defendant, to pay the plaintiff out of the money attached as much as the sum of £1 18:15, with the interest thereof since the 11th of June 1657, provided that the plaintiff give security for the restitution in case of need and that he have notice hereof served on P. L. vande Grist, in order that he do not plead ignorance.

Anderies de Vos, appearing before the court, requests that Claes Gerrits, whom he had summoned, shall confirm by oath a certain affidavit which he, Marten Herpertsen and Harmen Wollemans, on the 3d of February 1656, made out before the Heer van Hamel, secretary of Renselaerswijk, and at the same shall produce the said affidavit in court.

[47] Having appeared before the court, Claes Gerrits has, after the reading of the said affidavit, in the presence of the court declared under solemn oath that the same was true and correct.

Jan van Hoesem, plaintiff, against Gijsbert van Loenen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of £210:–.

The defendant acknowledges the debt and promises to pay each month £12.

The plaintiff accepts. Fiat.

Pieter Bronck, plaintiff, against Jan Teunissen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of £153: in beavers.

The defendant acknowledges the debt, but objects that the defendant has not fulfilled the contract between the parties.

The parties having been heard, the court orders the plaintiff to produce the contract on the next court day.

Willem Fredricksen, farmer of the excise, against Henderick Claessen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of the excise amounting to £100.
The defendant acknowledges the debt.
The court condemns the defendant, etc.

The plaintiff demands payment of f18.
The defendant admits the debt, but says that he worked four days for the plaintiff at f2:10 per day.
The court orders the defendant to pay the remaining f8 within the space of 14 days.

Rutger Jacobsen, plaintiff, against Default. Adriaen Apell, defendant.

Albert Gerritsen, plaintiff, against Default. Barent Albertsen, defendant.

Idem against Matteus Abrahamsen, defendant. Default.

Albert Gerritsen, plaintiff, against Default. Pieter Lamaecker, defendant.

Pieter Loockermans, plaintiff, against Default. Pieter de Brouwer, defendant.

Teunis Slingerlant, plaintiff, against Default. Femmetien de Baxter, defendant.

Jan de Wever, plaintiff, against Jan Eeraerts, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of f25:--.
The defendant admits the debt.
Fiat. Paid.

[49] Ordinary Session held in Fort Orange,
July 2 Anno 1658

Present:
La Montagne
Jan Thomassen
Abraham Staets
Pieter Hartgers
Francois Boon
Adriaen Gerritsen
Dirck Janssen
Govert Loockermans, plaintiff, against Femmetien de Baxter, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of f166, with the interest on it, as appears from the promissory note, which he produces, executed in the year 1655.

The defendant admits the debt and requests one year's time.

The plaintiff consents thereto, provided that he have a mortgage on the defendant's house. *Fiat.*

*Idem* plaintiff, against Teunis Comelissen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of f176 in beavers, being the balance of a larger sum, with the interest thereof for one year's time, as appears from the promissory note which he produces.

The defendant, appearing by his wife, admits the debt and offers to pay in good, merchantable sewant at f10 for one beaver.

The court, having heard the parties, condemns the defendant to pay the aforesaid sum at f10:10 per beaver within the space of 14 days.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Lambert van Valckenborch, defendant. Default.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Reynier Wisselpenningh, defendant.

The plaintiff says that he has attached the sum of f200 in the hands of the defendant, of which sum the defendant has paid f143:15, so that there is still due the sum of f65:5; which attachment is provisionally declared valid.

Teunis Slingerland, plaintiff, against Femmetien Alberts, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of f445, as appears from the promissory note, which he produces.

The defendant admits the debt and offers to pay in the space of a year.

The plaintiff accepts the offer on condition that he be given a mortgage on the defendant's house. *Fiat.*

Volckertien van Hoesem, plaintiff, against Femmetjen de Baxter.

The plaintiff demands payment of seven beavers, being the balance of 13, according to a promissory note, which she produces.

The defendant admits the debt.

The parties having been heard, the court orders the defendant to pay the aforesaid seven beavers in six weeks.

Pieter Bronck, plaintiff, against Jan Teunissen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of 15 guilders in beavers for rent of his house.
The defendant denies the debt because the plaintiff has not fulfilled his contract.

The court, having heard the parties, orders the parties to appear before the court on the next court day to prove the indebtedness or nonindebtedness by means of the contract or otherwise.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Jacob Teunissen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of 23½ beavers for house rent.

The defendant denies that he owes 23½ beavers, because the plaintiff has failed to fulfill his contract.

The court sends the parties back until the next court day for further proof and orders them to produce at the same time their contract.

[51] Jan Gauw, plaintiff, against Tjerck Claessen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands 5 or 6 beavers.

The defendant admits the debt, but claims a discount, as he has had no accommodation, the plaintiff being obliged to do some masonwork for him.

The parties having been heard, the court orders the plaintiff to carry out his agreement and condemns the defendant to pay the sum demanded after the work is done.

[54]* Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange

July 9, 1658

Present:

La Montagne
Abraham Staets
Jan Tomassen
Pieter Hartgers
Francoys Boon
Adriaen Gerritsen
Dirrick Janssen Croon

Jan van Hoesem, plaintiff, against Tjerck Claessen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of f100 in beavers and f28 in sewant, as appears by the promissory note, which he produces.

The defendant admits the debt.

The parties having been heard, the defendant is ordered by the court

* Pages 52 and 53 are blank.
to pay the plaintiff the f100 in beavers and f28 in sewant in the space of three weeks.

Rutger Jacobsen, plaintiff, against Cornelis Vosch, defendant.
   The plaintiff demands payment of f430 in sewant.
   The defendant admits the debt.
   The court orders that the second payment on the [defendant’s] house shall be security for the debt and that [the plaintiff] shall receive his share in proportion with others.

Idem plaintiff, against Jan van Breemen, defendant.
   The plaintiff demands payment of a balance of account, amounting to f160.
   The defendant admits the debt.
   The court orders that the plaintiff shall receive consideration together with other creditors and condemns the defendant to pay the sum demanded.

[55] Rutger Jacobsen, plaintiff, against Femmetjen de Baxter, defendant.
   The plaintiff demands payment of f230:8:—.
   The defendant admits the debt.
   The court orders the defendant to pay the sum of f230:8 demanded in the space of one year. Meanwhile, the large house of the defendant remains mortgaged for the payment of the aforesaid sum.

Foppe Barentsen, plaintiff, against the wife of Claes van Rotterdam.
   The plaintiff complains that the defendant called him an informer and a scoundrel.
   The defendant denies that she did so.
   The court orders the plaintiff to prove on the next court day that the defendant called him so.

Foppe Barentsen, plaintiff, against Cornelis Vosch, defendant.
   The plaintiff demands payment of f60.
   The defendant admits the debt.
   The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the f60 in the space of six weeks.

Juffrouw de Hulter, plaintiff, against Jacob Tijssen, defendant.
   The plaintiff demands payment for 5,500 bricks.
   The defendant admits the debt, but says that the plaintiff promised the defendant to deduct the amount from the account of her servants, which the plaintiff denies.
The court orders the defendant to prove his statement on the next court day.

[56] Juffrouw de Hulter, plaintiff, against Cornelis Vosch, defendant.
   The plaintiff demands payment of 12,000 bricks and 1,600 pantiles, as appears by the account which she produces, for which sum she attached the first payment for his newly sold house.
   The defendant admits the debt and requests that the payment for the said materials be deferred until the second payment for his newly sold house.
   The plaintiff accepts.
   The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff for the aforesaid pantiles and bricks out of the second payment for his newly sold house.

Cornelis Vosch requests that Abraham Staets may receive 7 beavers out of the first payment for his house.

Jan Joosten, plaintiff, against Albert Gerritsen, defendant.
   The plaintiff gives notice of the attachment of the first payment for his house, on account of failure to pay the sum of $269:10, in beavers.
   The defendant admits the debt.
   The court condemns the defendant to pay the plaintiff the above mentioned sum out of the first payment for his house in the space of two or three days.

Harmen Vedder gives notice of the attachment of the first payment for the house of Albert Gerritsen in the sum of $245.
   The court declares the attachment valid.

Harmen Vedder, plaintiff, against Dirck Bensick, defendant.
   The plaintiff gives notice of the attachment of the defendant’s house in the hands of Cobus de Snijder, who became the purchaser of the house for the sum of $671:10:--.
   [57] The defendant admits the debt.
   The court declares the attachment valid and orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff in proportion to other creditors out of the first payment.

Harmen Vedder, plaintiff, against Default. Marten Bierkaecker, defendant.

Pieter Jelle, plaintiff, against Cornelis Vosch, defendant.
   The plaintiff demands payment of nine beavers.
   The defendant admits the debt, but says that the plaintiff must finish
his work.
    The plaintiff replies that he has a contract with the defendant.
    The court orders the plaintiff to prove on the next court day that he
has a contract with the defendant.

Teunis Slingerlant, plaintiff, against Femmetjen de Baxter.
    The plaintiff demands payment of $445.
    The defendant admits the debt.
    The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded
in proportion to the other creditors.

Teunis Spitsbergen, plaintiff, against Cornelis Vosch, defendant.
    The plaintiff demands payment for materials furnished for the
defendant's house, amounting to $126:8, for which he attaches the first
payment for the house.
    The defendant admits the debt.
    The court declares the attachment valid and orders the plaintiff to be
paid in proportion to the other creditors.

[58] By order of the court a record is made of the length and breadth of
the lot of Teunis Spitsbergen, situated to the north of the churchyard: in
width 5 rods, 2 feet; in length, in the middle, nine rods, eight feet; in
width, on the east side, 5 rods, 9 feet.

Claes Bever, plaintiff, against Marcelus Janssen, defendant.
    Whereas the defendant,* on the preceding court day, was ordered to
prove that he had not given complete power to the arbitrators and whereas
he has failed to produce such proof, he, the plaintiff, is ordered by the
court to pay the defendant one beaver, according to the award of the
arbitrators.

Pieter Bronck, plaintiff, against Jan de Wever, defendant.
    The plaintiff demands payment of $196:--.
    The defendant admits the debt.
    The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum of $196:--
demanded in the space of six weeks.

Pieter Bronck, plaintiff, against Cornelis Vosch, defendant.
    The plaintiff demands payment of a certain sum of money.
    The defendant admits the debt.
    The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded

* mistake for plaintiff(?)
in the space of six weeks.

Dirck Janssen Croon, plaintiff, against Daniel Rinckhout, defendant.
    The plaintiff demands payment of thirty beavers.
    The defendant admits the debt.
    The court condemns the defendant to pay the 30 beavers to the plaintiff in the space of 14 days.

[59] Jan de Jongh, plaintiff, against Pieter Riverdingh, defendant.
    The plaintiff demands payment of 10 beavers for tavern expenses and shows an account.
    The defendant denies that he owes 10 beavers and claims that only the board was to be paid in beavers and that the debt for drink was to be paid in sewant.
    The plaintiff replies that the defendant should have paid at the Manhatans in sewant and not have waited until he came here to be dunned. He therefore claims that the defendant ought to pay in beavers, market value.
    The court, having heard the parties, orders the defendant to pay the account in beavers in the space of 24 hours.

Jan Hendericksz, plaintiff, against Cornelis Vosch, defendant.
    The plaintiff demands payment of $111:-.
    The defendant admits the debt.
    The court condemns the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum $111 demanded and orders that the plaintiff shall compete on even terms with other creditors in getting his pay out of the second payment [for the house].

Mr. Boon,* plaintiff, against Roeloff Swartwout, defendant.
    The plaintiff demands payment of the debt incurred by the former husband of the defendant's wife and exhibits a promissory note executed by Antony de Hoges, deceased.
    The defendant says that, inasmuch as his wife's former husband was in the employ of the patroon and the debt was incurred in the service of the same, the plaintiff must recover the same from the patroons in Holland.
    The court orders the parties to go to the Heer Rencelaer to request him to write about the matter to the patroons in Holland. Meanwhile, the defendant is ordered to pay the sum demanded.

* Francoys Boon, attorney
Fredrick Philipsen, plaintiff, against Henderick Bierman, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of 44 beavers, for goods received by the defendant in company with Harmen Jacobsen, deceased.*

The defendant admits the debt and that he received the goods in company with Harmen Jacobsen, deceased, but says that he and Harmen Jacobsen, deceased, severed partnership and that Harmen Jacobsen must pay, and that he took over the debts.

The court orders the defendant, according to his confession, to pay the plaintiff the 44 beavers demanded, the defendant to recover the amount if he can from the curators of the estate of Harmen Jacobsen, deceased.

Willem Brouwer, plaintiff, against Christoffel Davids, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of f48 and a pair of shoes, together with ten guilders advanced to the defendant for an Indian.

The defendant admits the debt of f48 and a pair of shoes, but denies that he owes the ten guilders given to an Indian.

The court orders the defendant to pay the f48 and a pair of shoes, amounting together to f54, but orders the plaintiff to prove in six weeks that he advanced f10 for an Indian.

Jan Martensen, plaintiff, against Arent van den Bergh, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of f65 for the rent of his house.

The defendant admits the debt.

The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the f65 in the space of six weeks.

Jan Martensen, plaintiff, against Christoffel Davids, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of a certain sum for tavern debt.

The defendant denies a part of it.

The court condemns the defendant to pay the plaintiff f25, according to the ordinance that a tavern keeper may not give credit for more than f25.†

Arent van den Bergh, plaintiff, against Baltus Gerritsen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of the sum of f100.

The defendant admits the debt.

The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded in the space of six weeks.

* Harmen Jacobsen (Bamboes) was killed by Indians at the Esopus on May 1, 1658.
† This ordinance has not been found.
Pieter Bronck, plaintiff, against Jan Teunissen, defendant.
  The plaintiff demands payment for the rent of his house, amounting to the sum of \( \text{f}150 \).  
  The defendant admits the debt.  
  The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the \( \text{f}150 \) in the space of six weeks.

Pieter Bronck, plaintiff, against Jacob Teunissen, defendant.
  The plaintiff demands payment of \( 22\frac{1}{2} \) beavers for rent of his house.  
  The defendant admits the debt.  
  The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the \( 22\frac{1}{2} \) beavers in the space of six weeks.

[62] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange,  
July 16, 1658

Present:
  La Montagne  Francoys Boon  
  Jan Tomassen  Adriaen Gerritsen  
  Pieter Hartgers  Dirck Janssen Croon  
  Abraham Staets

Poulus Schrick, plaintiff, against Adriaen Appel, defendant.
  The plaintiff demands payment of \( \text{f}56 \).  
  The defendant admits the debt, but claims payment for a chicken coop built against the plaintiff's house.  
  The plaintiff replies that it was done without his knowledge.  
  The court orders the defendant to pay the sum demanded and to seek to recover the amount from the person whom the court will designate.

Volckert Janssen, plaintiff, against Cornelis Teunissen, defendant.
  The plaintiff demands payment of 100 beavers, for which he was surety.  
  The defendant admits the surety bond and the debt, but claims that the sum must be paid in grain.  
  The court orders the defendant (according to the previous judgment of July 10, 1657)* to pay the sum demanded in cash, according to the contract made with each other.

* See page 312 for this judgment.
Henderick van Dijck, plaintiff, against Gerrit Slechtenhorst, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of 96 beavers for merchandise delivered.
The defendant admits the debt, but says that the term has not yet expired.
The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff 12½ beavers in cash and the balance in the month of August next.

[63] Kees Hoogenboom, plaintiff, against Madam de Hulter, defendant.
The plaintiff says that the defendant has written a note to Jacob Tijssen that the defendant would pay for the making of the plaintiff’s clothes.
The defendant denies it and says that she never gave such a note and that by balance of account there is still coming to her [blank].
The court condemns the plaintiff to pay Jacob Tijssen’s charges for making his clothes.

Juffrouw de Hulter, plaintiff, against Jacob Tijssen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of 8 beavers and ½ in sewant.
The defendant admits the debt, but claims compensation for making clothes for her servant.
The court condemns the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded in cash. Furthermore, as to the servant, further proof is required.

Claes Bordingh, plaintiff, against the wife of Carsten de Noorman, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of £12 for watermelons.
The defendant denies the debt and says that she has paid.
The court orders the defendant to prove that she paid.

Daniel Rinckhout, plaintiff, against Jacob Teunissen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of 4 beavers.
The defendant admits the debt.
The court condemns the defendant to pay the plaintiff the 4 beavers in the space of eight days.

Idem plaintiff, against Meuwes Hoogenboom, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of 4 beavers.
The defendant admits the debt.
[64] The court orders the defendant to pay the 4 beavers in the space of three weeks.
Harmen Vedder, plaintiff, against Marten Hendericksz, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of f95:10 in beavers.
The defendant admits the debt.
The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded in beavers on the first of August, on pain of attachment.

Caspaer Caspersen, plaintiff, against Rutger Jacobsen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of f20.
The defendant admits the debt, but says that there is still money due to him for the yacht of Bambus.
The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff f20 and to seek to recover the amount from the estate of Bambus.

Philip de Brouwer, plaintiff, against Jacob Loockermans, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of f92, including $6\frac{1}{2}$ beavers.
The defendant admits the debt.
The court, having heard the parties, orders the defendant to pay to the plaintiff the sum demanded in beavers for so far the payment must be in beavers, or in sewant at f12 per beaver, and the rest in sewant, within the space of three weeks.

Adriaen Sijmonsen, plaintiff, against Albert Gerritsen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of the sum of 25½ beavers.
The defendant admits the debt.
The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded and decrees that the plaintiff shall have the preference over others out of the second payment for the house sold by the defendant.

[65] Claes Bordinh, plaintiff, against
Default. Pieter de Brouwer, defendant.

Tierck Claessen, plaintiff, against
Default. Volckertjen van Hoesem, defendant.

Daniel Rinckhout, plaintiff, against
2d Default. Henderick Gerritsen, defendant.

Pieter Bronck, plaintiff, against

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Claes vanden Berch</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Henderick Claessen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barent Oosterman,</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

defendants.
Albert Gerritsen, plaintiff, against

Default. \{ Willem Hap, defendant
\{ Willem Hoffmeyer, defendant

Baltus, the servant of Tomas Janssen, plaintiff, against

Default. Dirckien Martensen, defendant.

The honorable officer, plaintiff, against Pieter Bronck, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant on the thirteenth of this month, without cause, wantonly beat Barent Oosterman and the next day, because he had complained to the officer, again beat the said Barent Oosterman, whereupon Barent Oosterman took refuge in the fort, requesting protection against the defendant, so that the officer, on these complaints, took him under his protection. The 15th instant, the said Pieter Bronck called on the officer and asked him if the said Barent Oosterman had complained to him? The honorable officer told him, Yes, and that he had taken him under his protection from the violence of the defendant. Whereupon the said defendant said, “The devil take him. I’ll give him something to remember.” He immediately went to Hans de Vosch’s, where the aforesaid Barent Oosterman was, and in the presence of many people he beat without warning the said Barent Oosterman until blood flowed, which was seen by the plaintiff himself who separated them. The aforesaid officer complains of assault and violence committed against civic liberty, against justice and against the respect which everyone must bear [toward his fellow man]. He therefore demands that the defendant, for his assault and insolence, be banished for the space of three years, as an example to others.

The defendant admits that he beat [Barent Oosterman] three times, the last time in the fort, but says that he had reason for it, which he promises to prove on the next court day.

The court, having heard the parties, orders that the defendant, being a burgher, be released from confinement and that he must produce his proof on the next court day, under penalty of arrest.

[67] The honorable officer, plaintiff, against Poulus Janssen, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant on the 12th of this month, about midnight, carried a small cask of brandy from the fort to the Indians in front, as appears from the affidavits of three trustworthy witnesses, and requests that he be examined upon interrogatories.

The court orders that the defendant be examined upon interrogatories, in order to hear the truth of the matter.
Interrogatory of Poulus Janssen held at the request of the officer in the presence of the honorable magistrates of this court.

1. How old he is and where born? Answers, 32 years; born at Gourcum.*

2. Whether last Friday night, about 12 o'clock, he did not take a small cask of brandy from here to the Indians' house on the island directly opposite? Answers, Yes.

3. From whom he obtained the small cask of brandy? Answers, From Hans Vosch.

4. In what way he received the brandy? Answers, Hans Vosch let him have a small cask over the north east bastion by means of a rope through a loophole.

5. How often he has done this with Hans Vosch? Answers, Does not know how often, but says that Hans Vosch himself at another time brought a small cask of brandy in the canoe.

6. Upon what condition he went out selling brandy? Answers, Upon one-third of the profits, the wife of Hans Vosch having put one third part water into the brandy.

July 17th, the magistrates again assembled and reexamined Poulus Janssen and confronted him with Hans de Vosch. After the reading of his previous statements, he persisted therein and affirmed that Hans de Vosch gave him the brandy from the northeast bastion of the fort and says that he has several times helped him carry brandy out of the fort. He states that he gave the beavers, which he received from the Indians for the brandy, to Hans Vosch's wife, and he presumes that the beavers are still in the house, as he saw them yesterday evening. Hans de Vosch absolutely denies this and protests that he is not guilty of the deed and

* Gorinchem in the province of South Holland
NEW NETHERLAND DOCUMENTS

demands proof.

[69] On the same date, the officer and two magistrates, Jan Tomassen and Adriaen Gerritsen, accompanied by Poulus Janssen, went to the house of Hans de Vosch and searched the same. They did not find the beavers but found the whole house empty of furniture, with the exception of one bed, which lay on the floor.

Geertien, the wife of Hans Vosch, acknowledges that she once gave Poulus Janssen 32 pints of brandy, for which the aforesaid Poulus Janssen was to give her one beaver for each 20 mutsjes, but she denies that she gave orders to sell to the Indians.

Johannes La Montagne, in his capacity as officer, having seen the testimony of three witnesses and the confrontation of their testimony with Poulus Janssen, at present a prisoner, in the presence of the court, and his voluntary confession made without pain or irons, whereby it appears that the said Poulus Janssen, in violation of the ordinance, has ventured several times to sell brandy to the Indians, which is a matter of very dangerous consequence, which cannot be tolerated in a country where justice prevails; therefore, the aforesaid La Montagne, in the aforesaid [70] capacity demands that the aforesaid Poulus Janssen, having incurred the penalty provided by the ordinance issued by the honorable director general and council against such malefactors and here published for the last time on October 6, 1656,* shall be condemned to pay a fine of 500 guilders and in addition be banished from this province for the period of the following six years, and be ordered to pay the costs of the trial, as an example to others.

Whereas Poulus Janssen, contrary to the ordinance issued by the honorable director general and council of New Netherland and published here several times, has ventured [to sell brandy to the Indians], as appears from the testimony of three irreproachable witnesses given in our presence and from his own confession made without pain or irons, which is a very dangerous offense, tending to the general ruin of the country, which cannot be tolerated in a country where justice prevails; therefore, we, having first evoked the name of God, in order to maintain the ordinance issued by the honorable director general and council of New Netherland, doing justice in the matter of the complaint of the honorable officer of this place, have condemned, as we condemn hereby, the said Poulus Janssen [71] in accordance with the ordinance, to pay a fine of five hundred guilders and in addition to banishment for the period of six

* See LO, 259, for this ordinance.
consecutive years and the costs of his detention and trial, as an example to others. Actum in Fort Orange, the 16th of July 1658.*

Abram Staas
Jan Thomasz
Pieter Hartgers
Francoys Boon
Adriaen Gerretsen
Dirck Jansen Croon

On the same date, after the third ringing of the bell, the above sentence was publicly read to the aforesaid Poulus Janssen.

[72] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange,
July 23, 1658

Present:
La Montagne
Jan Tomassen
Abraham Staets
Pieter Hartgers
Francoys Boon
Adriaen Gerritsen
Dirck Janssen Croon

Reynier Rijcken, plaintiff, against Baeffjen Pietersen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of 33 beavers, arising from the sale of wine, as appears from the promissory note, which he produces. He requests a mortgage.
The defendant admits the debt.
The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded and to give the plaintiff a mortgage on the second payment for the house sold by her.

Jacob Teunissen, in his capacity of schout bij nacht,† plaintiff, against Adriaen Janssen van Leyden, alias Appel, defendant.
The plaintiff says that the defendant sold brandy to the Indians, as he offers to prove by affidavit of the 19th of July, which he produces. He

* This date was corrected as the 16th of July; however it would read the 17th.
† Nighttime law enforcement officer; generally the title refers to the naval officer in charge of the fleet at night, our equivalent of a rear admiral.
complains of assault and violence committed against him in the exercise of his duties by Pieter Rijverdinck and the defendant and requests maintenance of justice and intervention of the officer.

The defendant denies that he committed the offense and requests a copy of the affidavit.

The court orders that the defendant is to have a copy of the affidavit, to make answer thereto on the next court day, and orders the officer to intervene in the case.

[73] Jan Roeloffsen, plaintiff, against Willem Hoffmeyer, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of f30:17.

The defendant admits the debt and offers a bundle of sewant amounting to the said sum.

The plaintiff refuses to accept the sewant and demands merchantable sewant.

The parties having been heard, the court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the aforesaid sum in cash, in good, merchantable sewant.

Teunis Spitsbergen, plaintiff, against Willem Fredricksz, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of 13 beavers.

The defendant admits the debt, but claims that there is f28 due him of six years ago and requests that the aforesaid f28 be deducted.

The court, having heard the parties, orders the defendant to deduct the f28 from the 13 beavers and to pay the remaining 10 beavers cash.

Christoffel Davids, plaintiff, against Jacob Neus, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant overcharges him and asks more than is coming to him.

The parties having been heard, the court orders that each party shall choose an arbitrator to dispose of the matter.

Dirck Janssen Croon, plaintiff, against Christoffel Davids, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of four beavers, for which the plaintiff has attached 14 napkins and 12 pewter plates.

The defendant admits the debt.

The court declares the attachment valid and orders the defendant to pay the sum demanded, or in default thereof to give security for the final liquidation.


The plaintiff demands payment of f132, for which judgment was given against the defendant by the court of justice of Recife, in Brazil,
which judgment he produces.

The defendant admits the debt, but says that he was driven out of Brazil by the enemy.*

The plaintiff replies that the defendant a year before that was con­demned to pay in cash, in pieces of eight. He therefore maintains that the defendant must pay in the same specie, or in beavers or other currency here.

The court, having heard the parties, condemns the defendant to pay the sum demanded in cash, provided that the plaintiff shall give security until he shows a perfect power of attorney from Joseph d’Acosta.

Daniel Rinckhout, plaintiff, against Henderick Gerritsen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of f84 for bread obtained now and then.

The defendant admits the debt, but says that he has a counterclaim.

The court orders the parties to settle with each other, the defendant being ordered to pay the balance of the account within the space of six weeks.

Pieter Bronck, plaintiff, against Claes van den Bergh, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of f30:8.

The defendant denies the debt completely.

The court orders the plaintiff to prove the debt on the next court day.

[75] Idem plaintiff, against Henderick Martensen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of f170 for beer and wine delivered.

The defendant denies the debt and says that his partner bought it and not he and that he knows nothing about it.

The court orders the plaintiff to furnish proof by his book on the next court day.

Jan Bastiaensen, plaintiff, against Albert Gerritsen, defendant.

The defendant admits the debt.

The court condemns the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded and orders that the plaintiff shall have equal claims with other creditors on the second payment for the defendant’s house that was sold.

Juffrouw Johanna de Hulter, plaintiff, against Rutger Jacobsen and Goossen Gerritsen, defendants.

The plaintiff demands payment of about 30 beavers for pantiles and bricks furnished for the church.

* Dutch Brazil was surrendered to the Portuguese in January 1654.
The defendants refer the case to the honorable magistrates because it concerns the community.

The court assumes the debt and asks a month's delay.

Jochim de Backer, plaintiff, against Wouter de Backer, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of the second payment for his house, amounting to $975 in beavers.

The defendant admits the debt, but says that the term has not yet expired.

The court orders the defendant to pay the sum demanded to the plaintiff as soon as the term has expired.

[76] Albert Gerritsen, plaintiff, against 3d default. Willem Hap, defendant.

Claes Bordingh, plaintiff, against Pieter de Brouwer, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of four beavers.

The defendant admits the debt and asks for time.

The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff, or to give security, within the space of six weeks.

Jan van Eeckelen, plaintiff, against Wijnant Gerritsen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands restitution of $273, which the plaintiff loaned the defendant, being the balance of a larger sum.

The defendant admits the debt.

The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded in cash.

Jacob Teunissen, plaintiff, in his capacity of schout bij nacht, against Jan Martensen, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant sold brandy to the Indians, as he offers to prove by affidavit of himself and Jan Teunissen, which he produces in court, and requests that the officer intervene in the case.

The defendant denies that he committed the deed and requests a copy of the affidavit.

The court orders the plaintiff to furnish the defendant with a copy of his affidavit, to make answer thereto on the next court day, and orders the officer to intervene in the case.
[77] Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange  
July 30, 1658

Present:

La Montagne  
Abraham Staets  
Pieter Hartgers  
Francoys Boon  
Jan Tomassen  
Dirck Janssen Croon

Stoffel Janssen, plaintiff, against Jan Harmsen, defendant.

The plaintiff claims that, although he was the highest bidder at the public auction of the defendant’s house, he is not bound to keep the house, as the defendant, as a friend, secretly told the plaintiff that he would not let the house go for less than fourteen hundred guilders, which he offers to prove by Adriaen Gerritsen.

The defendant denies that he told the plaintiff that he would hold him free from all claims under 1,400 guilders, but says that he told Adriaen Gerritsen so.

Adriaen Gerritsen testifies that the defendant, Jan Harmsen, said that he would release the plaintiff from all demands under 1,400 guilders and has declared under oath that this is true.

The parties having been heard, the plaintiff and his witnesses having sworn to their statement, and the defendant having refused to take the oath, the defendant is ordered to keep the house and to pay the costs of the suit, provided that the defendant shall get back half the auction fees.

[78] Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange  
primo August 1658

Present:

La Montagne  
Abraham Staets  
Pieter Hartgers  
Francoys Boon  
Jan Tomassen  
Adriaen Gerritsen
Cornelis Cornelissen, plaintiff, against Willem Brouwer, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of one hundred beavers and f4, which the defendant owes him according to the agreement made between him and the defendant, as appears by the affidavit of two witnesses.

The defendant denies that he made such an agreement, but admits that he promised to pay 50 beavers by an order on Philip Pietersen.

The court, having heard the parties and having examined the affidavit of the two witnesses, whereby it appears that the defendant promised to pay the plaintiff in the month of June 1658 the number of 100 beavers, declares the aforesaid contract valid and orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the number of beavers demanded in eight days, with the costs of the extraordinary session.

[79] Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
August 6, 1658

Present:

Jan Tomassen
Pieter Hartgers
Francoys Boon
Adriaen Gerritsen
Dirck Janssen Croon

The honorable officer, plaintiff, against Hans Vosch, defendant and prisoner.

The plaintiff says that Hans de Vosch, deputy schout, was charged on July 16th by Poulus Janssen with being his accomplice in the act of selling brandy to the Indians. Said charge having been investigated by the court, it was, upon his absolute denial of the same, out of consideration for him, ordered that instead of putting him in irons he would be kept in custody in his house under a guard of two soldiers. He escaped from this custody during the night of the 22d of July, through a window, and ran to Katskil, which escape sufficiently proves that he was guilty of the crime. In order to investigate the truth of the matter, the aforesaid officer requests that the defendant may be examined upon interrogatories.

The court grants the request that the defendant be examined upon interrogatories.
Interrogatory of Hans de Vosch, conducted at the request of J. La Montagne, in his capacity as officer, in the presence of the honorable court.

1. How old he is and where born? Answer, 45 years old and born at Lunnenburgh.

2. Why he escaped from his detention? Answer, He escaped because Willem Bout tormented him so about a debt, and demanded what he did not have.

3. In what manner he escaped and whether it was by day or by night? Answer, Through a window, at the northeast point, about midnight.

4. What money he took with him and to whom he gave it? Answer, He took no money with him except what Cobus de Looper took from him in Katskil.

The court, having heard the parties, orders that Hans Vosch, in custody, shall, as a former servant of the company, be delivered to the custody of the honorable fiscal of New Netherland to be proceeded against according to circumstances and the judgment of the aforesaid gentleman.

Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
August 6, 1658

Present:
La Montagne
Pieter Hartgers
Francoys Boon
Jan Tomassen
Adriaen Gerritsen
Dirck Janssen Croon

Jan Roelofsen, plaintiff, against Cobus Teunissen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of 11 beavers and £5 in sewant and
two days' carting.

The defendant admits the debt; however, he says that he has a counterclaim.

The court orders the parties to settle with each other and condemns the defendant to pay the plaintiff upon liquidation the sum demanded in eight days.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Willem Janssen Schutt, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of three beavers.

The defendant admits the debt.

The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the three beavers demanded within the space of eight days.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Pieter Loockermans, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of three beavers.

The defendant admits the debt.

The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the three beavers demanded within the space of eight days.

Albert Gerritsen, plaintiff, against Willem Hofmeyer, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of 20:8 in sewant.

The defendant admits the debt.

The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff in eight days.

[82] Pieter de Maecker, plaintiff, against Gillis Pietersen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of 29 in beavers.

The defendant admits the debt.

The court orders the defendant to pay the sum demanded in eight days.

Daniel Rinckhout, plaintiff, against Jan Martensen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands about 38 beavers.

The defendant admits the debt.

The court orders the defendant to pay the beavers demanded in the space of eight days.
[83] Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
August 8, 1658

Present:

J. La Montagne
Pieter Hartgers
Francoys Boon
Jan Tomassen
Adriaen Gerritsen
Dirck Janssen Croon

Ida Claessen, plaintiff, against Dirck Carstensen, defendant.

The plaintiff complains that the defendant has defamed her in calling her a thief and offers to prove it and produces at once affidavits of five witnesses testifying to her honest conduct.

Dirck Carstensen, defendant, denies that he said that the plaintiff was a thief, but admits that he said that three lace ribbons were found in her pocket when they searched each other on the Fresh River.

The plaintiff persists in her complaint and produces in proof thereof Barent Reyndersen, Philip Pietersen, and Jan Tomasssen as witnesses, with the request that they may be examined by the court.

Barent Reyndersen, appearing before the court, testifies that Dirck Carstensen told him several times that Ida Claessen was a thief.

Jan Tomassen declares before the court that Dirck Carstensen, being in the deponent's house, said that Ida Claessen had stolen silk and lace ribbons up north.*

Philip Pietersen, being asked whether he has heard Dirck Carstensen say that Ida Claessen was a thief, answers that he heard it from the said Dirck Carstensen or from his brother-in-law.

[84] The defendant being asked by the court why they searched each other on the Fresh River, answers that Willem Sijmonsen said, "There is a thief among the seven of us who slept in the garret at Suythal† on Long Island."

Willem Sijmonsen, appearing, denies absolutely that he said so on the Fresh River. He also denies that he heard Ida Claessen say, "What have I come to?"

Pieter Maecker testifies that on the ship he saw nothing but what was honorable and virtuous, but in sailing from the Fresh River, there was

* in or near New England
† Southhold
some mumbling and he was searched together with some others. At last, three lace ribbons were found in possession of Ida Claessen, and that she said, “What have I come to?”

Dirrick Dircksz, appearing before the court to swear to his affidavit against Ida Claessen, which he made on the 7th of August 1658 at the request of Dirck Carstensen, has confirmed the said affidavit by oath.

Gerrit Visbeeck, appearing before the court, swears to the aforesaid affidavit at the request of Dirck Carstensen.

The court orders the parties respectively to challenge the witnesses or to accept the testimony on the next court day. Meanwhile, the defendant remains under arrest in the village of Beverwijck.

[85] Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
August 13, 1658

Present:
Pieter Hartgers
Francoys Boon
Jan Tomassen
Adriaen Gerritsen
Dirck Janssen Croon

Ida Claessen, plaintiff, against Dirck Karstensen, defendant.

The plaintiff requests that the defendant, in accordance with the preceding order of the court shall challenge the witnesses or accept the testimony in her case and that judgment be given.

The defendant declares that he has nothing to say against the witnesses, except that they did not understand him very well and denies that he ever said that she was a thief. He declares that he does not know that Ida Claessen is a thief or that she has ever committed any dishonest act and knows nothing about her but what is honorable and virtuous.

Dirck Karstensz

The court, having heard the parties, judges the satisfaction given by the defendant to be sufficient and condemn him for his offense to pay a fine of fifty guilders and the costs of the trial, according to the declaration which the plaintiff shall make. Meanwhile, the defendant remains under arrest until the satisfaction of the judgment.
Extraordinary Session held in Fort Orange
August 13, 1658

Present:

Pieter Hartgers
Jan Tomassen
Francoys Boon
Adriaen Gerritsen
Dirck Janssen Croon

Jan Claessen Backer van Osanen, plaintiff, against Marcelus Janssen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of seventy-one and a half beavers.
The defendant asks for an account and admits the debt.
The court, having heard the parties, orders the defendant to pay the acknowledged debt in the space of eight days, on pain of attachment, with one-third of the costs of the extraordinary session.

Idem plaintiff, against Dirck van Hamel, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of 12 beavers.
The defendant admits the debt.
The parties having been heard, the court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff in the space of eight days the sum demanded, or as much as the plaintiff by proper account may show, together with a third part of the costs of the extraordinary session.

Idem plaintiff, against Adriaen Appel, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of 12 beavers.
The defendant admits the debt.
The court, having heard the parties, orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded in the space of eight days.

Dirck Janssen Croon, plaintiff, against Pieter Jacobsen Bosboom, defendant.
The plaintiff demands a gun from the defendant, which the defendant in fighting took away from Marten Metselaer, and which gun the plaintiff lent to Marten Metselaer, to go on guard duty.
The defendant admits that it is the plaintiff’s gun.
The court orders the defendant to return the aforesaid gun to the plaintiff, the defendant reserving the right to recover damages from Marten Metselaer.
Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
August 13, 1658

Present:
La Montagne
Pieter Hartgers
Jan Tomassen
Francoys Boon
Adriaen Gerritsen
Dirck Janssen Croon

Before the court appeared the eldest sachems of the Maquas, 15 in number, bringing with them a Frenchman named Lowies Paraget, whom they declare they wish to deliver with two other prisoners to the French governor of the Three Rivers of Canada, in exchange for six of their own taken prisoners by the French, wishing at the same time to make a general peace with the French and requesting for that purpose that we would assist them with one of our men who could understand the French language well.

To which request answer was made by the court that they were glad that they intended to take back the Frenchmen and that they hoped that their own prisoners would be returned in their stead, but that they did not know whether anyone could be found among them who would be willing to undertake such a journey.

Whereupon the said Maquas explained that at the time of the war with the Indians they had gone down to the Manhatans and done their best to bring about peace* and that it was our duty to do the same in such circumstances for them, promising in the future to do their best between us and other Indians.

Whereupon the court immediately summoned the public crier and had him announce that if anyone cared to undertake such a journey, he would receive one hundred guilders for his trouble. Upon which conditions Henderick Martensen offered his services to the court, which greatly pleased the aforesaid Indians, who gave evidence of their satisfaction.

The 16th instant the aforesaid Henderick Martensen was sent with the following letter to Canada with the Maquas, who promised him to bring him back in 40 days:

* The reference is to the Peach Tree War of 1655.
A Monsieur
Monsieur de la Poterie, Gouverneur des trois Rivieres
En la Novelle France

Monsieur:  
Les Indiens des nostres nomnez Maquaes, des vostres Irroquoys, sont venis icy ammenant avecq Francois nomme Louys Paraget, lequel (comme ils nous ont declaré) ils desirent, avecq deux autres, remener de par dela, et vous les rendre, en contrechange de six des leurs que vous destenez prisoniers. Et par mesme moyen faire La paix avecq tous les Indiens de vos quartiers. Et parce qu'ils ne l'osent pas faire en personne; Ils nous ont requis de les vouloir assister de quelqu'un des nostres, qui eust cognoissance de la [89] Langue francoise pour les servir en cette occasion. Ce que nous n'avons pas peu leur refuser, craignant de rompre L'occasion d'une bonne affaire; voila pourquoi nous avons expedie un soldat nomme Henry Martin, pour les servir en cet affaire selon que L'occasion et le droit le requere; esperant que vostre S: prendra en bonne part ce que nousfasions, qui ne procede que d'une bonne intention et sincere affection. Cependant je demeure Mr—  

Vostre tres humble et obeissant serviteur

La Montagne

Translation:*  

To Mr. de la Poterie, Governor of the Three Rivers
In New France

Sir:
The Indians, by our people called Maquas and by your people Iroquois, have come here, bringing with them a Frenchman named Louys Paraget, whom (as they have stated to us) they desire with two others to take back there and to surrender to you in exchange for six of their people whom you hold prisoners, wishing at the same time to make peace with all the Indians in your part. And as they dare not do it personally, they have asked us to assist them with someone who has knowledge of the [89] French language, to serve them on this occasion. Which we could not refuse them, for fear of losing the opportunity of doing a good work. For this reason we have dispatched a soldier named Henry Martin, to serve them in this matter as the occasion and justice may require, hoping that

* This translation is revised from NYCD, 13:89.
your honor will take in good part what we are doing, which proceeds only from good intentions and sincere affection. Meanwhile I remain, Sir,

Your very humble and obedient servant,

La Montagne

Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
August 26, 1658

Present:

La Montagne
Pieter Hartgers
Jan Tomassen
Francoys Boon
Adiraen Gerritsen
Dirck Janssen Croon

Willem Fredrickson, plaintiff, against Dirck Bensingh, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment for his yacht, amounting to 88 beavers.
The defendant admits the debt, but says that he does not know where to get beavers.
The court, having heard the parties, orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the 88 beavers demanded in the space of eight days, or to satisfy the plaintiff by giving security or otherwise.

Abraham Voschborgh, plaintiff, against Wijnant Gerritsen, defendant.
The plaintiff complains that for four weeks the defendant has not worked in the sawmill, according to the contract made with each other.
The defendant admits that he has not been at the mill, but says that it has been on account of lack of sawing.

The court refers the matter to arbitrators and in case they cannot agree, their case shall be decided by the council of the colony of Rensselaerswijk.

Asser Levy, plaintiff, against Jan van Eeckelen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of £184 in beavers.
The defendant admits the debt.
The court, having heard the parties, orders the defendant to pay the
plaintiff the sum demanded in the space of eight days, under penalty of attachment.

Gabriel de Haes, plaintiff, against Tomas Pouwel, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of 13 beavers.

The defendant admits the debt, but says that he gave an order for 5 beavers, so that there still remain 8 beavers.

The court, having heard the parties, order the defendant to pay the plaintiff the eight beavers in cash. In regard to the payment of the remaining five beavers, the plaintiff is to have patience until further proof is obtained from Sijmon Janssen, on pain of attachment.

Jan Roeloffsen, attorney of Hans Kiersteede, plaintiff, against Claes Ripsen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of four beavers.

The defendant admits part of the debt and says further that the plaintiff must have a complete power of attorney from Hans Kiersteden.

The court orders that the plaintiff must first send for a complete power of attorney in order to proceed according to law.


Storm Albertsen, plaintiff, against Default. Henderick de Brouwer, defendant.

Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
August 26, 1658

Present:

Jan Tomassen
Pieter Hartgers
Francoys Boon
Adriaen Gerritsen
Dirck Janssen Croon
magistrates
Interrogatory of Nicolaes Gregory Hillebrant, held before the honorable magistrates of this court, at the request of the officer.

1. How old he is and where born? Answers, 29 years and born at Prague.

2. Whether on the 20th of this month, being a Tuesday, in the evening, he was not in the garden of Jochim Ketluyn? Answers, Yes.

3. Whether, being there, he was not on his knees? Answers, No.

4. Whether while kneeling he did not draw his male member out of his pants? Answers, He had his male member out of his pants, but for the need to relieve himself.

5. [92] Whether, being in that state he did not have Pieter Adriaensen, the son of Henderick Jochemsen's wife, in front of him? Answers, Yes, and he had his hand on him.

6. Whether he did not try to undo the boy's pants by force? Answers, No.

7. Whether, when the boy refused and screamed, he did not threaten to beat him with a stick, which he had with him? Answers, No.

8. Whether, while doing this, he did not see Rutger Jacobsen, who said, "What are you doing there, you scoundrel?" Answers, Yes.

9. Whether, hearing Rutger Jacobsen say this, he did not go out of the garden? Answers, Yes.
10. Whether he did not follow the said Rutger Jacobsen to Henderick Jochimsen’s house and on the way say to him, “If you say anything about it, I shall tell them that you lie like a scoundrel?”

Answers, He followed him, but did not say what is stated in the rest of the question.

11. Whether, being at Henderick Jochimsen’s house, he did not per­force wish to drink with the said Rutger Jacobsen, and did not be­come so insistant that the bystanders said, “What’s wrong with you, Nicolaes? Have you got something on your conscience?”

Answers, No.

[93] The court, having examined the testimony of Rutger Jacobsen and the answers made by Nicolaes Gregory Hillebrant to the interrogatories, have decided that the said Nicolaes Gregory, being a servant of the honorable Company, shall be sent to the director general and council of New Netherland.*

Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
September 3, 1658

The honorable officer, plaintiff, against Christoffel Davids, defendant.

The plaintiff says that an affidavit from the Esopus has been handed to him, according to which the defendant, coming from the Manhatans in the yacht of Evert Pels and while being in the Highlands,† said to two Indians who came on board that the Sachem, to wit, the honorable general, had killed the Indians at the Manhatans and that the following night he would come to the Esopus and there also break the necks of the Indians, whereupon the Indians of the Esopus took some Christian prisoners and committed great outrages.

The honorable plaintiff therefore requests that the defendant be examined by interrogatory.

* See ERA, 4:69, for Rutger Jacobsen’s deposition.
† int hoogelant
Interrogatory of Christoffel Davids, held at the request of the honorable officer before the honorable magistrates of the said court.


2. Whether, in coming from the Manhatans and being in the Highlands, he did not call out or say that the Dutch in the night on the 23d of August had killed many Indians at the Manhatans and that the following night they would come to the Esopus and break the necks of the Indians there?

Answers, No, but that he said to the Indians who were on board, “I know nothing about that.”

The defendant pleads not guilty and produces two affidavits, one from Henderick v. Dijck and the other from Dirck Janssen, skipper, who attest that while they were in the Highlands two Indians came on board, who asked Christoffel Davids whether the Sachem would come and kill all the Indians in the Esopus and the Highlands? Whereupon Christoffel Davids answered: “I know nothing about it.”

Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
September 10, 1658

Present:

La Montagne
Jan Tomassen
Abraham Staets
Pieter Hartgers
Francoys Boon
Adriaen Gerritsen
Dirck Janssen Croon

Claes Hendericksz, plaintiff, against Jan Verbeeck, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of $500 in beavers, for the plaintiff’s house, sold at auction.

The defendant admits the debt and says that he has not refused to pay, but has no beavers at present. He therefore promises to pay in six weeks.
The court, having heard the parties, order the defendant, according to his promise, to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded in the space of six weeks, and order the officer to intervene in the case, as the house was sold in public.

Claes Hendericksen, plaintiff, against Claes Janssen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment for a lot that the defendant bought of him for 31½ beavers.
The defendant admits the debt.
The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the 31½ beavers demanded in the space of six weeks.

Casper Jacobsen, plaintiff, against Claes Hendericksz, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of f350 in beavers, or so much as appears from the account.
The defendant admits the debt.
The court orders the defendant to pay the sum within six weeks.

Asser Levy, plaintiff, against Default. Jacob Loockermans and Henderick Gerritsen, defendants.

Harmen Vedder, plaintiff, against Caspaer Jacobsen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of f81 in beavers.
The defendant admits the debt.
The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded in the space of six weeks.

Idem plaintiff, against Default. Abraham Vosborch and Jacob Loockermans, defendants.

Jan de Graeff, plaintiff, against Default. Pieter Loockermans and Teuves Abrahamsen, defendants.


Harmen Vedder, plaintiff, against Harmen Bastiaensen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of f74:5, in beavers.
The defendant admits the debt.
The court orders the defendant to pay the sum demanded in the space of six weeks.

Jan Roeloffsen, plaintiff, against Default. Jacob Loockermans, defendant.
Harmen Bastiaensen, plaintiff, against
Default. Dirck van Hamel, defendant.

Jan Lambertsen, plaintiff, against
Default. { Teeuwes Abrahamsen and
            Pieter Loockemans, defendants.

Arent van den Bergh, plaintiff, against
            Henderick Gerritsen
Default. { Jacob Loockermans
            Adam Dinghmans,

Hans Carelse, plaintiff, against Arent van den Bergh, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment for two kegs of salted fish, amounting
to 3 beavers.

The defendant admits the debt.

The court condemns the defendant to pay the plaintiff the aforesaid
3 beavers demanded in the space of six weeks.

[97] Ordinary Session held in Fort Orange

*primo* October 1658

Present:

La Montagne
Jan Tomassen
Abraham Staets
Francoys Boon
Pieter Hartgers
Adriaen Gerritsen

Jan Barenssen and Carsten Claessen, both carpenters, plaintiffs, against
Isbrandt Dircksen, defendant.

The plaintiffs demand payment of 169:5.

The defendant admits the debt.

The court, having heard the parties, examined the judgment rendered
by the honorable burgermasters and *schepens* of the city of New
Amsterdam, and heard the voluntary acknowledgment of the defendant,
condemns the said defendant to pay the plaintiff the acknowledged debt
of 169:5 in cash, on pain of imprisonment for debt.
Assar Levy, plaintiff, against Default. Henderick Gerritsen and Dirckjen Martensen, defendants.

Johan Labite, plaintiff, against Jan Gauw, defendant.
The plaintiff says that the defendant has agreed to build his chimney and declares that he is greatly interested in having the work done.
The defendant admits that he agreed to do it and promises to go to work at once. Fiat.

Storm Alberdtsen, plaintiff, against Henderick de Brouwer, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of 8 beavers, for which the defendant became surety.
[98] The defendant admits the debt.
The court orders the defendant to pay the aforesaid 8 beavers to the plaintiff in the space of six weeks.

Jan Gauw, plaintiff, against Claes Bever, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of one beaver.
The defendant denies the debt.
The court, having heard the parties, orders the plaintiff to prove on the next court day that the defendant owes him one beaver.

Gerrit Reyersen, plaintiff, against Default. Dirck Bensingh, defendant.

Idem plaintiff, against Pieter Bronck, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of 1 1/2 beavers for bricks delivered.
The defendant admits the debt.
The parties having been heard by the court, the defendant is ordered to pay the plaintiff the 1 1/2 beavers within 14 days, on pain of attachment.

Jan Adriaenssen de Graeff,* plaintiff, against
Default. Matteuwes Abrahamsen
                        Pieter Loockermans
                        Jan Roeloffsen,

Wouter de Backer, plaintiff, against
Default. Jurriaen Teunissen, defendant.

Jurriaen Teunissen, plaintiff, against
Jan Roeloffsen, defendant.

* Probably a mistake for Jan Anderiessen de Graeff.
Jacob Adriaensen, plaintiff, against Jan Anderiessen de Graeff, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant became surety for Pieter Bruyn, servant, in the amount of $63:13.

The defendant denies that he became surety for that amount for Pieter Bruynsen, but admits that he offered to do so if Pieter Bruynsen owed him money.

The court orders the plaintiff to sue Pieter Bruynsen and dismisses his present suit.

---

Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange

*primo* October 1658

Present:

La Montagne
Abraham Staets
Jan Tomassen
Pieter Hartgers
Francoys Boon
Adriaen Gerritsen

Eldert Gerbertsen, plaintiff, against T’Jerck Claessen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant 200 logs, the least to be one fathom in circumference, according to the verbal contract made with each other, and says that it is a matter of great importance to him and therefore claims compensation for loss and damage sustained by him.

The defendant admits the verbal contract made with the plaintiff and promises to haul them out within the space of 14 days and not to do any other work until the plaintiff is satisfied.

The court, having heard the parties, orders the defendant, according to his promise, to deliver within the space of 14 days the 200 logs, the thinnest to be one fathom in circumference, and to pay the costs of the suit, under penalty of the loss and damage which the plaintiff has already suffered and may still suffer.
Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
October 8, 1658

Present:

The Commissary La Montagne
Jan Tomassen
Pieter Hartgers
Adriaen Gerritsen

There appeared before the court the sachems of the three Maquas castles, having with them Saciadego, as their spokesman, who requested as follows:

Firstly, that we should tell them whether we knew where the Frenchman (who the last time came here with them) was at present.*

Secondly, whether we did not know that they had not killed the aforesaid Frenchman?

Thirdly, they requested that we should write to the governor of Canada that they had not killed the said Frenchman.

Fourthly, whether Commissary La Montagne would not go with them to Canada to make peace with the French?

To which questions or propositions the court gave for answer:

Firstly, that they did not know where the Frenchman was at present.

Secondly, that they had not heard that they had killed him.

Thirdly, that they were willing to write to that effect.

To the fourth proposition: that the commissary was appointed to guard this place and could not leave it without the consent of the great Sachem.†

Hereupon they asked us to provide them with a man who understood the French language and also with a letter to the governor of Canada. This was promised them, whereupon Jacob Begijn, a soldier, immediately offered his services. He went with them on the 9th of this month, with a letter of this tenor.‡

Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
October 15, 1658

Maretien Clasen, plaintiff, against Wijnant Gerritsen, defendant.

* Louis Paraget; see page 400.
† de groote Sackimacker: the director general, Petrus Stuyvesant
‡ The text of this letter was not recorded in the minutes.
The plaintiff complains and says that the defendant called her a thief and said that she stole a hen from the defendant and offers to have Maria Lambertsen prove it.

Marya Lamberts, appearing before the court, declares that the defendant said that the plaintiff stole a hen and repeated it several times.

The defendant denies that he said that the plaintiff was a thief.

The court, having heard the parties, orders the defendant to swear that he did not say so and in case of refusal that the plaintiff shall take her oath and that the defendant shall be condemned to make reparation of the plaintiff's character and also pay a fine of 12 guilders for the poor.

Wijnant Gerritsen declares that he knows nothing about the plaintiff but what is honorable and virtuous, and for his defamation he pays 12 guilders for the poor.

[102] Wijnant Gerritsen, plaintiff, against Jacobus Tijsen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of ƒ44:19:8.

The defendant admits the debt.

Jan Gou, plaintiff, against Claes Bever, defendant.

The plaintiff demands one beaver for a seine,* which they owned together.

The defendant denies the debt and says that he gave two half beavers.

The plaintiff replies and says that the two half beavers were for repairing the seine.

The court, having heard the parties and the admission of the defendant that he had an interest in the seine, for which he said he paid two half beavers, which the plaintiff claims were for repairs of the seine, it is ordered by the court that the parties shall respectively choose a referee to settle their difference.

Jacob Teunesen, plaintiff, against Default. Abraham de Snider, defendant.

Mr. Boon, plaintiff, against Default. Hendr. Bierman, defendant.

Gerrit Reyersen, plaintiff, against Default. Dirck Bensich, defendant.

Marcelis Jansen, plaintiff, against Default. Marten Biercaker, defendant.

* * * * *

* * * * *

* segen (zegen): a large fishnet or dragnet
Present:

La Montagne
Abraham Staets
Jan Tomassen
Francoys Boon
Adriaen Gerritsen

Abraham de Snijder, plaintiff, against Jochim Ketluyn, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of seven days’ wages at £2:10 a day.

The defendant denies that the plaintiff worked seven days, but admits that he worked six days at £2 per day and says further that the plaintiff boarded with him for two or three days and that his wife washed for the plaintiff.

The parties having been heard by the court, the defendant is ordered to pay the plaintiff six days’ wages at £2 a day, amounting to £12, from which £2:18 is to be deducted for washing and board, leaving £9:2.

Jacob Loockermans, plaintiff, against Matteuwes Abrahamsen and his partner, defendants.

The plaintiff demands completion of carpenter’s work which the defendants are to do according to contract.

The defendants acknowledge the contract and say that first they wish payment for the work done.

The parties having been heard, the court orders the defendants to commence the work, which they agreed to do, as soon as the plaintiff shall have made the first payment, and if the plaintiff does not make the first payment within eight days, he shall forfeit his right under the contract to have the work done.

The servant of Claes Hendericksz, deceased, against the widow of the same, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant does not fulfill the contract, which the plaintiff made with the defendant’s deceased husband in Holland, and also requests security for his earned wages.

The defendant says that the plaintiff slanders her everywhere by complaining about the food and claims that the defendant gave the plaintiff stinking meat to eat and requests to be released from the servant.

The court, having heard the parties and noticed their dispute, order,
inasmuch as one wishes to be released from the other, that the plaintiff shall pay the defendant for the money advanced in Holland, which he received in hand paid, the sum of f40 in sewant.

Jochim Ketteluyn, plaintiff, against Henderick Claessen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of f200 for rent of his house.

The defendant denies that he owes as much, since by order of the plaintiff, he paid f108 to the carpenters of the plaintiff's house.

The parties having been heard, the defendant is ordered by the court to pay the plaintiff f92 within one month and, for the recovery of the amount, to refer to the books which he and his partner kept together.

[105] Pieter Gillissen, plaintiff, against Jan Thomissen, defendant.

The plaintiff gives notice of the attachment of 76 schepels of wheat in the hands of Jan Helmsen, residing in the colony of Rencelaerswijck, for the payment of 72 beavers.

The defendant admits the debt.

The court, having heard the parties, declares the attachment valid, provided that the plaintiff address himself to the court of the colony of Rencelaerswijck.

[106] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
   November 27, 1658*

Present:
   La Montagne
   Jan Tomassen
   Abraham Staets
   Francoys Boon
   Adryaen Gerritsen

Pieter Loockermans, plaintiff, against Barent Albertsen, defendant.

The defendant produces a counterclaim of f66:9, coming to the plaintiff, as the boards in money are counted at f186:1. The defendant also requests that the plaintiff complete the work, which he agreed to do, as this is of much importance to the defendant.

The court, having heard the parties, order the defendant to pay the balance of the amount as soon as the plaintiff has completed the work, which he agreed to do.

* It is unusual to have two ordinary sessions only two days apart. It is possible that one of the dates was recorded incorrectly.
Henderick Koeheder, plaintiff, against Philip de Brouwer, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant had his money in Katskil attached for reasons unknown to the plaintiff.

The defendant says that it was done on account of an order of Lange Marij,* from whom a sum of about f60 was due to the defendant.

The plaintiff admits that he owes Lange Marij f23.

The court, having heard the parties, orders the parties to settle with Lange Marij and, about their difference, to appear before the court on the next court day.

[107] Evert Noldingh gives notice of the attachment of f43:7 in the hands of Mr. van Hamel, belonging to Geurt Hendericksz, who was in default.

Anderies de Vosch, plaintiff, against Jan van Breemen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of f225 and three mudde of wheat.

The defendant admits the debt and promises to pay the plaintiff within one year f112:10 and 6 schepels of wheat and the next year the other half, also with 6 schepels of wheat or grain, for which he binds the rent of his farm, which promises are accepted by the plaintiff and declared valid by the court.

[108] The vice director and magistrates of Fort Orange, village of Beverwijck, and the dependencies thereof, having heard the manifold complaints of the scarcity of coarse bread, which the bakers, contrary to the ordinance of the director general and council of New Netherland, published here the 6th of October Anno 1656,† do not bake twice a week, the coarse loaf at 16 stivers, the white loaf in proportion, consuming, to the serious prejudice of the community, their flour in baking koeckjens (little cakes) and white bread for the Indians, without [standard] weight.

Therefore, the said vice director and the honorable magistrates hereby ordain, in conformity with the aforesaid ordinance, that everyone who follows the trade of baking shall from this time forth twice a week bake coarse bread for the accommodation of the community and retail each coarse loaf of 8 pounds for 18 stivers, counting eight white and four black sewanties‡ to one stiver, and the white loaf, according to the above-mentioned ordinance, in proportion, and this provisionally, on account of the scarcity of grain; on the penalty that those who violate this ordinance shall be suspended from their business for one year, have their white

* See note on page 176.
† See LO, 261, for this ordinance.
‡ The individual pieces of shell that make up the string of sewant
bread confiscated, and in addition be fined 50 guilders.

And whereas it is found by experience that many, as well of this place as coming from elsewhere, in the trading season make a business of baking koeckjens and short-weight white bread for the Indians, to the great loss of the bakers, and quit baking in the winter, the said vice director and the honorable magistrates ordain that the bakers who quit baking after the trading season and before winter and do not accommodate the public in the winter, shall also not bake in the summer, on pain of $50 and the confiscation of the bread that is found.

Thus done at the meeting [of the court of] Fort Orange, the 27th of November 1658.

[109] The vice director and magistrates of Fort Orange, village of Beverwijck, and the dependencies thereof, have received an ordinance of the director general and council of New Netherland concerning the value of sewant, which their honors caused to be published on the 22d of this month,* and have thereafter by petition received some complaints of the commonalty respecting the alteration of the sewant by which their interests are greatly affected. Therefore, the said vice director and the magistrates do hereby ordain that all the old debts, which were incurred before the publication of the aforesaid ordinance and which should have been paid before that time, may be discharged within the space of eight days from this date at the rate of six white and three black sewantjens for one stiver.

Thus done at the meeting of [the court of] Fort Orange, the 29th of November 1658.

Whereas daily experience teaches us that in consequence of the foul and unswept condition of the chimneys these frequently catch fire and commonly the houses also, yes, oftentimes even the neighboring houses where these are covered with inflammable materials, whereby not only great damage is done to the houses and goods but also human beings [suffer injury], a sad spectacle; Therefore, the vice director and the honorable magistrates of this court, wishing, as by their office and in duty bound, by all means in their power to provide against such accidents [110], do hereby order all burghers and inhabitants of Fort Orange and the village of Beverwijck, each one in particular, to keep the chimneys of their respective houses clean and free from the danger of fire, under the penalty of one pound Flemish for every chimney which shall, 15 days after the publication hereof, be foul and on inspection be judged as such,

* See LO, 357, for this ordinance.
and he whose chimney shall take fire through carelessness or neglect shall forfeit 50 guilders.

Done in Fort Orange, the 27th of November Anno 1658.

Thus done and renewed in Fort Orange, the 10th of December 1659.

[111] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
December 17, 1658

Present:
La Montagne
Jan Tomassen
Abraham Staets
Francoys Boon
Adriaen Gerritsen

Evert Noldingh, plaintiff, against
Default. Teuwes Abrahamsen and Pieter Loockermans, defendants.

Goossen Gerritsen, plaintiff, against Abraham Vosborch, defendant.
The plaintiff demands of the defendant the sum of f439:3 for beer received, according to the contract made between them.

The defendant produces a counterclaim of f200, which the plaintiff accepts, so that the defendant still owes f239:3, which he admits.

The court, having heard the parties, orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the remaining sum of f239, three stivers within six weeks.

The wife of Abraham Vosborch, plaintiff, against Annetien Lievens, wife of Goossen Gerritsen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant 4½ beavers for decorated crowns,* which the defendant borrowed from her and which have not been restored to the plaintiff.

The defendant admits that she borrowed them jointly with Maria Wesselsen, who borrowed them together with the defendant, as they were both bridesmaids, and she therefore maintains that she has to pay only one-half.

[112] [The court], having heard the parties, orders that the crowns in question shall be paid for by both the bridesmaids, to wit, by Annetie Lievens and Marya Wessels, each one-half, by settlement of accounts, or otherwise, within the space of six weeks.

* versierde kroontiens: headdresses worn by bridesmaids. See De Oude Tijd, 1869, 1:269.
Gijsbert van Imborch, plaintiff, against Volckert Janssen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of f72 in beavers, on account of Pieter Janssen’s servant, as he became surety for so much as the servant owed the defendant, and further attaches all the money which he will earn, up to f72.

The defendant says that there must be deducted what is needed for stockings and shoes for the servant.

The court, having heard the parties, orders them to go to the servant and to agree as to what is due to the plaintiff from the defendant.

Ester Fonda, plaintiff, against Michiel Teunissen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant f101:6.

The defendant says that the debt was contracted between him and Femmetie Alberts during their partnership and as Femmetje Albert has taken over the debts, the defendant claims that he does not have to pay the same.

The court, having heard the parties, orders the plaintiff to have Femmetien Alberts and Michiel Teunissen summoned on the next court day, to settle their differences.

Frans Barensen, plaintiff, against Default. Jan van Hoesem, defendant.

Francoys Boon, plaintiff, against 2d default. Henderick Bierman, defendant.

[113] Jacob Teunissen, in his capacity of schout bij nacht, plaintiff, against Mattijs, the servant of Johannes Withart, defendant.

The plaintiff says that he has taken away a small cask of brandy from an Indian, which the Indian said he obtained at the house of Johannes Withart, to which house he went immediately to get back his beavers.

The defendant denies that he sold brandy to the Indians and requests proof.

Having heard the parties, the court orders the defendant to purge himself by oath of the charge of having sold brandy to the Indians. The defendant having taken the oath before the court, the plaintiff’s complaint against him is dismissed.

[114] The honorable officer, debit, for receipt of the following fines, which were imposed during the year 1658.*

* The fines are recorded on page 474. The remainder of this page is blank.
In the Name of the Lord, Amen

Continuation of the minutes of the court of justice of Fort Orange, the village of Beverwijck, and the dependencies thereof, established in loco on the 10th of April Anno 1652, by the honorable director and council of New Netherland.

Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
January 14, 1659

Present:
La Montagne
Jan Tomassen
Abraham Staets
Adryaen Gerritsen

Francoys Boon, attorney for Dirck Kijsser, plaintiff, against Henderick Bierman, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of 12 beavers and produces a promissory note.

The defendant denies the debt and the promissory note.

The court, having heard the parties and seeing that the defendant refuses to take the oath, orders the said defendant to pay the aforesaid 12 beavers in the month of May next ensuing, without delay.

Pieter Gillissen, against
1st default. Henderick Claessen, defendant.

On account of the estate of Jan Bemboo the following were summoned:
Henderick Gerritsen
Willem Hoffmeyer
Huybert Janssen

[116] Jochim Ketluyn, plaintiff, against
Default. Henderick Claessen, defendant.

Idem plaintiff, against Eldert Gerbertsen, defendant.

The plaintiff complains that the defendant called him a thief in the presence of Pieter Bronck and his wife.

The defendant denies that he said so and claims that the plaintiff
called him a thief.
   Having heard the parties, the court orders them to prove their charges
on the next court day.

Claes Ripsen, plaintiff, against Willem Brouwer, defendant.
   The plaintiff demands payment of 14 beavers for outside work on the
plaintiff's house.
   The defendant says that the plaintiff did not finish the work, which
he undertook to do.
   The court orders that the parties shall each choose a carpenter as
arbitrator to settle the matter.

Evert Nolden, plaintiff, against
3rd default. Matteus Abrahamsen and Pieter Loockermans, defendants.

[117] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
February 18, 1659

Present:
   La Montagne
   Jan Tomassen
   Abraham Staets
   Pieter Hartgers
   Francoys Boon
   Adriaen Gerritsen

Baefien Pietersen, plaintiff, against Evert Nolden, defendant.
   The plaintiff says that the defendant contracted with her for a year to
string sewant and that she has done so for half a year. The plaintiff,
therefore, maintains that the defendant is bound to let her string sewant
the other half of the year.
   The defendant admits that the plaintiff has strung sewant for him for
one-half year, but denies that he is bound to keep her for a year and says
that he is free to take whom he pleases.
   The parties having been heard, the plaintiff is nonsuited.

The wife of Pieter Bronck, plaintiff, against Michiel Teunissen, defen-
dant.
   The plaintiff demands payment of $152.
   The defendant admits the debt.
The parties having been heard, the court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff $152 in the space of eight days.

Jan van Breemen, plaintiff, against Francys Pietersen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of five months' wages at $18 per month, amounting to $90.

The defendant admits the debt.

The parties having been heard, the court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the $90 in the space of eight days.

[118] Mattijs van Beeren, plaintiff, against Pieter Jacobsen, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant beat him in his house when he called upon him to pay six schellingen.*

The defendant says that the plaintiff beat him first and would not let him get out and locked the door.

The court, having heard the parties, condemns each to pay a fine of one pound Flemish to the deputy schout.

Adriaen Janssen van Leyden, plaintiff, against W. Bout, default Willem Fredricksz Bout and Willem Teljer defendants

The plaintiff, being farmer of the burgher and tapsters' excise, complains that the defendant, Willem Bout, has taken 7 ankers of brandy out of the cellar of Willem Teljer, without removal permit or his knowledge.

Willem Teljer admits that he let him take the wine because Willem Bout told him that he would save him, Willem Teljer, from all damages which might result therefrom.

The court, having heard this, orders the officer to have the said seven ankers taken away and to take them into his custody.

Jochim Ketluyn, plaintiff, against 1st default. Eldert Gerbertsen, defendant.

Pieter Gillissen, plaintiff, against 2d default. Henderick Claessen, defendant.

Jan Bemboo, plaintiff, against 2d default. Willem Hofmeyer and Henderick Gerritsen, defendants.

* Shillings; one shilling equaled six stivers.
Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
March 4, 1659

Present:
La Montagne
Abraham Staets
Jan Tomassen
Pieter Hartgers
Francoys Boon
Adriaen Gerritsen

Pieter Gillissen, plaintiff, against Henderick Claessen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of the sum of f70.
The defendant having failed to appear after having been three times summoned, he is by default condemned to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded in the space of eight days, with the costs of the three defaults, amounting to f1:16, under penalty of attachment.

Philip Hendericksz, plaintiff, against 1st default. Femmetien de Baxter, defendant.

Marcelus Janssen, plaintiff, against 1st default. Foppe Barentsen, defendant.

Pieter Bronck, plaintiff, against 1st default. Abraham Vosborch and Henderick Claessen, defendants.

Idem plaintiff, against Teunis Jacobsen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of f221:1:–.
The defendant denies the debt and requests an account.
The parties having been heard, it is ordered that they shall calculate together and appear on the next court day about the difference between their accounts.

As Teunis Jacobsen has misbehaved in his service, the court decides that he shall be dismissed from the service until further orders.*

* Teunis Jacobsen was employed as a beer carrier.
Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
March 18, 1659

Present:
La Montagne
Abraham Staets
Jan Tomassen
Pieter Hartgers
Francoys Boon
Adriaen Gerritsen

Philip Hendericksen, plaintiff, against Femmetien de Baxter, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of f360.
The defendant admits the debt.
The court, having heard the parties, orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the aforesaid sum of 360 guilders, at the last payment for her house, in which Daniel Rinckhout lives, which is to take place after two years.

Idem plaintiff, against Jan Teunissen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of f290:—.
The defendant admits the debt.
The parties having been heard, the defendant is ordered by the court to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded in the space of six weeks.

Marcelus Janssen, plaintiff, against 2d default. Foppe Barentsen, defendant.

Rutger Jacobsen, plaintiff, against

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Default.} & \\
\text{Eldert Gerbertsen} & \\
\text{Jan van Breemen} & \\
\text{Jacob Adriaens} &
\end{align*}
\]
defendants.

The plaintiff gives notice of the attachment of a certain sum in the hands of Eldert Gerbertsen belonging to Jan van Breemen.

Pieter Bronck, plaintiff, against Abraham Vosburgh, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of f336.
The defendant admits the debt.
The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded in the space of two months.
Idem plaintiff, against Teunis Jacobsen, defendant.
   The plaintiff demands payment of a certain sum of money.
   The defendant says that they have never balanced accounts with each other.
   The court orders the parties to balance their accounts and to appear on the next court day about their difference.

Idem plaintiff, against Default. Jan van Breemen, defendant.

Poulus Jurcksen, plaintiff, against Default. Cobus Teunissen, defendant.

Evert Nolden, plaintiff, against Default. Adriaen Janssen van Leyden, defendant.

Idem plaintiff, against Matteus Abrahamsen, defendant.
   The plaintiff demands payment of f17:17:—.
   The defendant admits the debt.
   The court orders that the aforesaid sum shall be made payable to Femmetjen de Baxter, in payment of the rent of the plaintiff's house.

Idem plaintiff, against Default Pieter Loockermans, defendant.

[122] Abraham Vorsborch, plaintiff, against Rutger Jacobsen and Goossen Gerritsen, formerly in partnership, defendants.
   The plaintiff says that he executed a promissory note in favor of the defendants for 439 guilders, 3 stivers, on which he paid f300.
   The defendants deny that they received f300, but admit that they received f200.
   The court, having heard their differences, adjourn the case to the next court day for further consideration by the parties.

Jan Bemboo, plaintiff, against Henderick Gerritsen, defendant.
   The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of f65.
   The defendant having been summoned three times and remained in default, he is ordered by the court to pay the plaintiff the sum of f65 demanded, under penalty of attachment.

Idem plaintiff, against Willem Hoffmeyer, defendant.
   The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of f9.
   The defendant having been summoned three times and remained in
default, he is ordered by the court to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded, under penalty of attachment.

Nomination of magistrates, being six in number, from which number three are to be chosen:

- Rutger Jacobsen 6:--
- Anderies Herbertsen 4:--
- Sander Leendersen 6:--
- Volckert Janssen 6:--
- Evert Wendels 5:--
- Jan Verbeeck 4:--

[123] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange

Present:

- J. La Montagne
- Abraham Staets
- Jan Tomassen
- Pieter Hartgers
- Francoys Boon
- Adriaen Gerritsn

Lowies Cobussen, plaintiff, against Default Jan Eerraerts, defendant.

Neeltien Cobussen, plaintiff, against Trijn Claes, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant sold her an apron, on which she paid 9, and demands that the same be returned by the defendant.

The defendant says that the plaintiff returned the aforesaid apron, claiming that the defendant had sold it to her at too high a price and that the plaintiff did not wish to keep the apron.

The parties having been heard, the court orders the defendant to return 6 to the plaintiff, the remaining 3 to be retained in compensation for the cancellation of the purchase.

Jan Anderiessen de Graef, plaintiff, against Barent Albertsen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of 34 planks.
The defendant says that he does not owe more than 24 planks.
The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff 30 planks in the space of eight days.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Teuwes Abrahamsen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment for 8,000 bricks, for which he must have 105 planks.
The defendant admits the debt.
The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the 105 planks in the space of eight days.

The plaintiff demands payment of a tavern debt of f13:2.
The defendant denies the debt.
The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff f13:2. As the plaintiff takes the oath, the attachment of Evert Nolden is vacated.

Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
April 5, 1659

Present:

J. La Montagne
Jan Tomassen
Francoys Boon
Pieter Hartgers
Adriaen Gerritsen
magistrates

Cornelis Woutersen, plaintiff and attaching party, against *Juffrouw* Johanna de Laet, wife of Sr. Jeronimus Ebbingh, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of f275, for making the bridge in the Esopus, for which the defendant promised to pay, as he offers to prove by Geurt Hendericksz and Jan Barentsen, whose testimony he produces.

Philip Pieterse Schuyler, as attorney for the defendant, requests that the case be adjourned until the defendant and her husband again come upriver.
The court, having heard the witnesses and read their testimony, from which it appears that the defendant made a verbal agreement with the plaintiff about the bridge, but not knowing whether afterwards another
contract was made between the parties, consents to adjourn the case until
the defendant or her husband shall next come up here, without prejudice
to the rights and claims of either party.

[125] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
April 7, 1659

Present:

J. La Montagne
Jan Tomassen
Pieter Hartgers
Abraham Staets
Francoys Boon
Adriaen Gerritsen

Jacob Steendam, plaintiff, against Maria Dijckmans, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of a bill of exchange, which was
signed by the defendant’s husband and which has come back protested,
arising from some vendue, the proceeds whereof were kept by the
defendant’s husband.

The defendant says that she cannot deny it, as she saw her husband’s
signature.

Having heard the parties and examined the bill of exchange with the
protest thereof, the court condemns the defendant to pay the plaintiff the
aforesaid bill of exchange with the charges thereon after liquidation by
two arbitrators to be chosen by the parties respectively.

Rutger Jacobsen, plaintiff, against Jan van Breemen, defendant.

The plaintiff says that judgment was given last year against the
defendant to pay the plaintiff a certain sum of money, for which the
plaintiff demands a mortgage on the defendant’s house.

The defendant grants the plaintiff’s request.

The court, fiat.

1st default. Jan Harmsen, plaintiff, against
Sander Leendersen, defendant.

Tomas Loduwijcksz, plaintiff, against
1st default. Sijmon Groot, defendant.

The plaintiffs demand payment of $660 for beer delivered.
The defendant says that he said that he was willing to pay after Easter.
The parties having been heard, the court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiffs the $660 demanded in the space of six weeks.

Pieter Bronck, plaintiff, against Jan van Breemen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of the sum of $183:3 and in addition $50 for beer delivered.
The defendant requests an account from the plaintiff.
The court orders the parties to balance their accounts and to appear on the next court day about the difference between them.

Claes Teunissen is granted a lot on the plain,* to the west Carsten de Noorman, in length 6 rods; to the north a road, in width 3 rods; to the east a vacant lot, in length 6 rods; to the south the plain, 3 rods. Also a part of a lot for a garden, to the south Barent Albertsen, in length 7 rods, 10 feet; to the west, Jeremias van Rencelaer, in breadth 3 rods, 10 feet; to the north Jan Roelofsen, in length 7 rods, 10 feet; to the east a road, in breadth 3 rods, 10 feet.

Evert Wendel is upon his petition granted permission to use for his convenience the small piece of land that he has, until necessity shall require him to do without it.

[127] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
April 22, 1659

Present:

J. La Montagne
Jan Tomassen
Abraham Staets
Francoys Boon
Pieter Hartgers
Adriaen Gerritsen

Goossen Gerritsen and Rutger Jacobsen, plaintiffs, against Abraham Vosborgh, defendant.
The plaintiffs demand payment of $100, the case having been ad-

* the plain around the fort
journeed to this day to have the parties declare under oath whether the plaintiffs had received the money and whether the defendant had paid it.

The court having required the parties to take the oath, the defendant and his wife have sworn that they paid and satisfied the aforesaid $100 to the plaintiffs. Therefore, the plaintiffs’ suit is dismissed by the court.

Pieter Bronck, plaintiff, against Hendrick Claessen, defendant.
- The plaintiff demands payment of $170 in sewait and 12 beavers.
- The defendant admits the debt.
- The court, *fiat*, orders payment in six weeks.

*Idem* plaintiff, against
1st default. Jan van Breemen, defendant.

Frans Barense Pastoor, plaintiff, against
1st default. Jan van Breemen, defendant.

Volckert Janssen and Pieter Gillissen, plaintiffs, against
1st default. Jan van Breemen, defendant.

Rutger Jacobsen, plaintiff, against Henderick Claessen, defendant.
- The plaintiff demands payment of $116 for beer delivered.
- The defendant admits the debt.
- The court, *fiat*, orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded in six weeks.

[128] Rutger Jacobsen, plaintiff, against Jacob Adriaensen, defendant.
- The plaintiff demands payment of $67.
- The defendant admits the debt.
- The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the aforesaid sum of $67 in the space of six weeks.

Ida Claessen, plaintiff, against
Default. Gerrit Visbeeck, defendant.

The honorable officer, plaintiff, against Evert Nolden, defendant.*

Pieter Bronck and Harmen Bastiaensen request permission to build a sawmill on the Beverskil. Their request is granted by the court, on condition that Abraham Vosborch shall have the first choice of location, as he was the first applicant.

* This case was not pursued in this court session for unknown reasons; see the following session for disposition of the case.
Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
May 13, 1659

Present:
La Montagne
Jan Tomassen
Pieter Hartgers
Adriaen Gerritsen

Frans Barentsen Pastoor, plaintiff, against Jan van Breemen, defendant.
   The plaintiff demands payment of f75.
   The defendant denies the debt, because it was stipulated that one-half
   of the purchase price was to be paid in beavers and the plaintiff was
   calculating in sewant.
   The court puts over the matter until the next court day for further
   proof by the parties.

Jacob Teunissen, plaintiff, against
Default. Henderick Koehlerder, defendant.

Tomas Pouwel, plaintiff, against
Default. Cornelis Vosch and Jochim Wesselsen, defendants.

The honorable officer Johannes La Montagne, plaintiff, against Evert
Nolden, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant the [blank] of this month at his
place drew his knife on Pieter Janssen and thereafter his cutlass, in
violation of the ordinance of the high and mighty lords the states general
and the honorable director general and council of New Netherland
published here several times.* He therefore demands that the defendant
in accordance with the said ordinance be condemned to pay a fine of 200
guilders and the costs of the trial.

The defendant acknowledges his guilt, but claims that Pieter Janssen
gave him cause for it by calling him an old dog and saying further: "If I
ever catch you outside, you old dog, I'll really let you have it!"

The parties having been heard, the court orders the defendant to prove
on the next court day that Pieter Janssen gave him cause for it.

* See LO, 62, 344, for this ordinance.
In the place of the retiring magistrates, Abraham Staets and Jan Tomas-sen, ordinary magistrates, and Adriaen Gerritsen, extraordinary magistrate, according to the extract from the resolutions of the honorable director general and council of New Netherland, there have been chosen and confirmed from the double number nominated Anderies Herbertsen and Sander Leendersen, ordinary magistrates, and Jan Verbeeck extraordinary magistrate, who, being summoned by the court, have taken the following oath of fidelity before the commissary:

We, the undersigned, as chosen magistrates of the court of justice of Fort Orange and the village of Beverwijck, promise and swear in the presence of God Almighty and our fellow members, that we shall help administer true law and justice between man and man and furthermore that in all matters relating to the administration of justice and government we shall cause to be carried out all orders and promote the public welfare to the best of our knowledge and ability, and furthermore, in every respect conduct ourselves loyally and faithfully toward the lords states general of the United Netherlands, the lords directors of the Chartered West India Company, and the honorable director general and council of New Netherland, with the further promise that we shall help maintain here the Reformed religion according to the Word of God and the regulations of the Synod of Dordrecht and tolerate no other sects. So truly help us God Almighty.

After being congratulated, the aforesaid Anderies Herbertsen, Sander Leendersen, and Jan Verbeeck have this day taken their seats.

The retiring magistrates are released from their oath and thanked for their faithful services and performance of their duty, with the promise that with regard to their honorarium of 150 a year, they will at the first opportunity when the treasury is well provided receive consideration and be paid together with the present and future magistrates.

After deliberation, it is agreed upon and decided that the annually retiring magistrates shall for the period of one year after their discharge be exempt from the ordinary burgher guard, but nevertheless, being

* The minutes for this session were apparently copied after the May 15 session.
ordered to do so in case of need, be obliged to perform all extraordinary rounds and guard duty like other citizens, according to the resolution passed and ratified by the honorable director general and council of New Netherland.

[133] Ordinary Session held in Fort Orange
May 27, 1659

Jan van Eeckelen, plaintiff, against Pieter Loockerman, defendant.
   The plaintiff produces an account for bread received by the defendant, amounting to the sum of 77:8.
   The defendant denies the debt.
   The plaintiff confirms his account by oath. Therefore, the honorable court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the above-mentioned sum in the space of six weeks, under penalty of attachment.

Pieter Bronck, plaintiff, against Jan van Breemen, defendant.
   The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of the sum of 234 for beer and other articles.
   The defendant desires to have a correct amount from the plaintiff.
   The court, having heard the parties without examination of accounts, refers them to the judgment of the 7th of April 1659.

Pieter Bronck, plaintiff, against Theunis Jacobsen, defendant.
   Pieter Bronck, plaintiff, demands of the defendant the sum of 221:1, arising from beer received, tavern expenses, etc.
   The defendant produces an account of 250:18 for hops, etc. delivered to the plaintiff, of which the plaintiff denies the receipt of two parcels.
   The court, having heard the parties, orders the defendant to prove it on the next court day.

Frans Barentsen, plaintiff, against Jan van Breemen, defendant.
   The plaintiff demands of the defendant execution of the judgment of January 23, 1657, regarding the payment of the sum of 73:5.
   The honorable court, having heard the parties, order the defendant to execute the judgment pronounced by the honorable commissary and magistrates on the date above written.

Jan Harmensen, plaintiff, against Jan van Eeckelen, defendant.
   The plaintiff, having had some money of Albert Gerritsen in the hands of Jan van Eeckelen attached, by balance of an account of 124, with
costs, request that said attachment may be declared valid and that he may receive his money from Jan van Eeckelen, from whom the other creditors received their pay.

The honorable court, having heard the parties, order that the plaintiff shall share in proportion to other creditors in what may still be paid.

[135] Seger Cornelissen, plaintiff, against Claes Mille.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant the sum of $270 in sewant. The defendant admits the debt.

The honorable court, having heard the parties, orders the defendant to pay the sum demanded, to wit, $160 in the space of six weeks and the remainder in the autumn of the year 1659, according to the defendant's own promise and the shaking of hands, under penalty of attachment.

The Heer Johannes La Montagne, officer, plaintiff, against Evert Nol- den, defendant.

The plaintiff complains for the second time about the defendant on account of his offense committed in drawing his knife and cutlass on Pieter Lambertsen,* according to the affidavit and also according to his own confession, and asks the same fine, which he, the plaintiff, proposed on the preceding court day, namely, $200.

The honorable court, having heard the parties, orders that the trial of this case shall take place on the next court day.

Idem plaintiff, against Jan van Breemen.

The honorable officer says that Jan van Breemen, the defendant, yesterday, being the 26th of May, in the presence of many people before this Fort Orange [136] attacked Frans Pietersen and slashed his hat with a knife, which cut was aimed at his face and was warded off by the said Frans's arm. In accordance with the ordinance of the high and mighty lords the states general, the honorable officer requests that the defendant shall pay a fine of $100 and in addition pay for the damage done to Frans Pietersen's hat and clothes, before the defendant be released from custody.

The honorable court adjourns the case to the next court day.

Jan Meyndersen requests of the honorable court a lot, whereupon the court refers him to the surveyor who is to see where a suitable place may be obtained or found for him.

* In the previous session he is called Pieter Janssen; see page 430 for this case.
Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange  
June 7, 1659

Jacob Tijssen, Cornelis Teunissen Bosch, Leendert Philipsen, and Claes Jacobsen present to the honorable court a petition in the name of others who have also signed the petition, praying that they may be permitted to do their best in regard to the question of trade to promote the interests of their households.

The honorable court having examined the petition and carefully considered the matter and having taken everything into consideration, the petitioners are granted permission to employ Indian brokers and to send them into the woods without any presents, and this provisionally until further order, with this special junction that no Dutch brokers shall be employed thereto, according to the ordinance made and published on the last of May of this year, 1659.

Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange  
June 10, 1659

Volckert Janssen, plaintiff, against Jan Martensen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of the sum of f139 in sewant for beer consumed.

The defendant admits the debt.

The court, having heard the parties on both sides, orders the defendant, after an account shall have been rendered, to pay the plaintiff the sum of f139 demanded in sewant promptly in the space of one month, under penalty of attachment.

Jan Harmsen, plaintiff, against Roeloff Swartwout, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment from the defendant of the sum of f68 in sewant, for beer consumed by the defendant.

The defendant admits the debt.

The court, having heard the parties on both sides, orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum of f68 demanded in sewant promptly in the space of one month, under penalty of attachment.

Jan Harmsen, plaintiff, against Fredrick Harmsen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of half a mudde of wheat for money loaned to the defendant.

The defendant admits the debt.
The court, having heard the parties on both sides, orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff 8g in sewant for the half mudde of wheat, in the space of 8 days.

[139] Roeloff Swartwout, plaintiff, against Henderick Martensen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant the sum of 93:5, arising from beer and brandy received by the defendant.

The defendant admits the debt and claims that he paid something on it.

The court, having heard the parties on both sides, orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum of 60 in sewant, promptly in the space of one month, under penalty of attachment, and to settle with the plaintiff about the balance.

Philip Hendericksen, plaintiff, against Arent vanden Bergh, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant the sum of 116 in sewant, for beer consumed.

The defendant acknowledges the debt and demands an account.

The court, having heard the parties on both sides, orders the defendant, after an account has been rendered, to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded in sewant in the space of one month, under penalty of attachment.

The honorable officer, plaintiff, against Evert Nolden, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant 200 on account of fines, according to the plaintiff’s demand of May 13, 1659.

The defendant admits the debt as before and says that he had reason for it.

The court, having heard the parties on both sides, orders the defendant to produce evidence in his defense on the next court day.

[140] The Hon. La Montagne, officer, plaintiff, against Philip Pietersen, defendant.

The plaintiff says that on the third of this month he was in the woods and there found an Indian who had a new coat. He asked him through the interpreter from whom he had received that coat, whereupon the Indian replied, “From Philip Pietersz.”*

The Hon. La Montagne, officer, plaintiff, against Philip Pietersen Schuyler, defendant.

* The opening lines of this case were inadvertently repeated below.
The plaintiff says that on the third of this month he was in the woods and there found an Indian who had a new coat. He asked him through the interpreter from whom he had received that coat, whereupon the Indian replied, "From Philip Pietersen, and in order that he would bring five Indians with beavers out of the woods." Having meanwhile detained the Indian and talked with him, the aforesaid five Indians joined them and went with him to the house of Philip Pietersz, whereupon the plaintiff and his accompanying helpers followed them into the house of the defendant. The plaintiff having asked the defendant whether he gave the Indian the coat, he answered, Yes, whereupon the plaintiff fined the defendant in the presence of Mr. Bedlo. He concludes that the said Philip Pietersen, contrary to the ordinance, has sent a broker into the woods and demands that on this account he be condemned to pay a fine of 300 guilders and be suspended from trading for the period of one year.

[141] The defendant denies that he sent the aforesaid Indian into the woods as a broker, saying that the Indian without solicitation went into the woods. He admits that the Indian received a coat from him, but not for that purpose. He also says that he gave a present to the Indians and if he did wrong in that, he says that not a single beaver is bartered in the Fuyck* but it is done contrary to the ordinance.

The officer demands that the defendant purge himself of his offense by oath.

The defendant refuses to take the oath.

[142] Extraordinary Session held in Fort Orange
June 13, 1659

The hon. officer, plaintiff, against Rutger Jacobsen, defendant.

The plaintiff says that Rutger Jacobsen has complained to him that Dutchmen have been in the woods to fetch Indians.

The defendant says that he will prove it by others and that it is claimed that one of Cornelis Teunissen Bosch's men was going into the woods, namely, Jacob Teunisz, and the servant of Marcelis Janssen.

The honorable officer, plaintiff, against Jacob Teunissen, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant, Jacob Teunissen, is charged with going into the woods for Cornelis Teunissen Bosch in order to attract the Indians with beavers.

* Popular name for the settlement north of the fort; see note on page xix.
The defendant denies the charges. He says that he did not do so, but that he was in the woods on his own account to catch an Indian who owed him a beaver. He also says that Harmen Vedder, Storm Albertsen, Teunis Slingerlant, and Roeloff Swartwout were in the woods, as well as Cornelis Fijnhout, the servant of Marcelus Janssen.

Idem plaintiff, against Cornelis Fijnhout, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant is charged with having been in the woods to fetch Indians.

The defendant denies the charge, saying that he was in the woods, not for that reason, but for the purpose of by chance catching sight of the horses of Arent de Noorman.

[143] Jacob Teunissen, being asked by the officer whether he and the servant of Marcelus Janssen had not entered into an agreement as to the number of Indians each was to have, answers that the brokers said that they would each have two Indians.

The court adjourns the case to the next court day.

[144] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange

*primo* July 1659

Cornelis Woutersen, plaintiff, against *Juffrouw* Ebbings, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant a certain balance of account, amounting to $100, for wheat, and also a certain number of beavers, all of which the plaintiff asks to have in beavers, because he has waited long after the amount was due.

The defendant admits the debt and asks extension of time.

The court, having heard the parties on both sides, condemns the defendant to pay the plaintiff the first penny and the last according to their written agreement and specifications in the space of eight days, on pain of attachment.

Reynier Elbertsen, plaintiff, against Jochim Ketteluyn, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant 4½ beavers, and $12:12 stivers in sewant, which he has caused to be attached in the hands of Cornelis Schoester.*

The defendant admits the debt and promises to pay the same.

The parties having been heard, the defendant is ordered to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded in the space of eight days, or thereabouts.

* Cornelis Theunesen Bos who came over to Rensselaerswijck as a shoemaker.
Sr. Assar Levy, plaintiff, against

Jan Roeloffszen and Default. Jacob Loockermans, defendants.

The plaintiff demands of the defendants, according to their promissory note, eleven beavers, which they, the defendants, as partners received together in goods, and claims that each as principal is liable for the payment of the whole amount.

[145] The defendant admits that he owes 5 beavers as his share, but no more, and asks for sufficient time, according to burgher right.

The honorable court, having heard the parties on both sides, orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the 5 beavers in the space of three weeks, the plaintiff reserving his action against Jacob Loockermans as to his share.

Anderies Herbertsen, as attorney of Aert Pietersen, plaintiff, against Jochim Kettelheyn, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant 43 whole beavers.

The defendant admits the debt and says that he will pay the man.

The plaintiff, replying, demands that the defendant give security before he leaves, as Aert Pietersen intends with God's help to depart for the fatherland and will then know where he can get his money.

The court, having heard parties on both sides, orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded in the space of 14 days, on pain of attachment; his house standing here in the village of Beverwijck to be bound as security.

Stoffel Janssen, plaintiff, against Jacob Loockermans, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant 11 1/2 beavers, arising from goods furnished.

The wife of the defendant appearing, admits the debt and says that she has no beavers, but is willing to pay in other merchandise, namely, in planks.

[146] The honorable court, having heard the parties on both sides, orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the aforesaid number of beavers, or the equivalent in sewant, at the market price, in the space of 14 days, on pain of attachment.

Jan Roeloffszen, plaintiff, against Jacob Loockermans, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant 20 beavers and f 57 in sewant, on which f 81 in sewant is paid.

The defendant denies the debt, but says that he has a letter from
Govert Loockermans, forbidding them to hold back any beavers, and requests liquidation of accounts until the arrival of Govert Loockermans.

The court, having heard the parties on both sides, orders: fiat.

Sr. Assar Levy, plaintiff, against Jacob Teunissen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands of the defendant 30½ beavers, according to his promissory note, arising from goods received.
The defendant admits the debt and offers to pay now and then something.
The court, having heard the parties on both sides, orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the aforesaid number of beavers in the space of six weeks, on pain of attachment.

Sr. Jan Bastiaensen, plaintiff, against Evert Nolden, defendant.
The plaintiff demands of the defendant one hundred sixty-two and a half beavers, according to a promissory note, which he produces.
[147] The defendant admits the debt.
The court, having heard the parties on both sides, orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the aforesaid 162½ beavers in the space of six weeks, on pain of attachment.

Sijmon Turck, plaintiff, against Rem Janssen Smit, defendant.
The plaintiff demands of the defendant the sum of $66, arising [from the money due to] Pieter Cornelissen, deceased.
The defendant denies the debt, saying that he paid it.
The court, having heard the parties, grants the defendant time until the next court day to consider the matter and to declare under oath that he paid.

Idem plaintiff, against Sander Leendersen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands of the defendant $95:14 on account of P. Cornelissen, deceased.
The defendant denies the debt and says that there is still money due him from Pieter Cornelissen, deceased.
The court, having heard the parties on both sides, orders them to calculate with each other.

The Heer Jeremias van Rencelaer, plaintiff, against Pieter Bronck, defendant.
The plaintiff demands of the defendant $1895:16:8 in beavers, according to his bond, arising from goods received by the defendant.
The defendant admits the debt.
The court, having heard the parties on both sides, orders the defendant
to pay the plaintiff the $1895:16:8 demanded within the space of six weeks, on pain of attachment.

Willem Janssen Schutt, plaintiff, against Jacob Loockermans, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of nine beavers for a certain lot on which the defendant’s house stands.

The defendant admits the debt.

The court, having heard the parties on both sides, orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the aforesaid number of nine beavers in the space of six weeks.

Hans Carelsen, plaintiff, against Pieter Claerbout, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant five beavers for freight as per agreement.

The defendant says that he is missing two chairs and a lantern which blew overboard during the night, and first demands restitution thereof.

The court, having heard the parties on both sides, orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff two beavers in the space of 14 days.

Dirckjen Martensen, plaintiff, against Jan Eerraerts, defendant.

The plaintiff produces an affidavit showing that she has occasion to feel aggrieved and requests that the defendant shall either prove or deny the statements.

The defendant requests time until the next court day to prove the statements.

The honorable court, fiat.

[149] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
July 8, 1659

Present:

J. La Montagne Anderies Herbertsen
Pieter Hartgers Sander Leendersen
Francoys Boon Jan Verbeeck
Dirrick Janssen Croon

Juffrouw Ebbinghs,* plaintiff, against Pieter Janssen Loockermans, defendant.

* Johanna de Laet, daughter of the WIC historian, Johan de Laet, was the wife of Jeronimus Ebbingh. However, she most frequently appears as De Hulter, her first husband’s surname.
The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of f54 in beavers. The defendant admits the debt.

The honorable court, having heard the parties on both sides, orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum of f54 demanded in beavers in the space of eight days.

Idem plaintiff, against Daniel Rinckhout, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of 391/2 beavers, arising from wheat delivered. The defendant admits the debt.

The honorable court, having heard the parties on both sides, orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded in the space of eight days, on pain of attachment.

Gijllis Pietersen, plaintiff, against Juffrouw Ebbings, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of certain moneys in beavers arising from house rent. The defendant admits the debt, but says that she is willing to pay in beavers if the defendant first pays her the sewant.

The court, having heard the parties on both sides, orders each party to choose a referee.


The plaintiff demands of the defendant the sum of f562 in beavers. The defendant admits the debt, but says that he bought the goods on the condition that, if he could not dispose of them in barter, he could return them.

The honorable court, having heard the parties on both sides, examined the promissory note, and taken everything into consideration, condemn the defendant to pay the sum demanded to the plaintiff within the space of six weeks, on pain of attachment.

Idem plaintiff, against Jacob Loockermans, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of the sum of f439:4:-- in beavers. The defendant admits the debt.

The honorable court, having heard parties on both sides, orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded within the space of six weeks, on pain of attachment.

Harmen Vedder, plaintiff and attaching party, against Default. Pieter Bronck, defendant.
The plaintiff gives notice of the attachment in the hands of Mr. Gijsbert,* stemming from rent of the defendant's house.

Jacob Hendericksen, plaintiff, against Jacob Adriaensen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of $33 in sewant, which he caused to be attached recently.

The court, having heard the parties on both sides, declare the attachment valid and order the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded within the space of six days.

[151] Mr. Thomas Poulussen, plaintiff and attaching party, against Cateleyn Samsons,† defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of $230, which he caused to be attached in the hands of Lambert van Neck, and asks that he may be paid on the same basis as other creditors.

The honorable court declares the attachment valid.

Philip Hendericksen, plaintiff, against Mr. Diederick van Hamel, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of $160:15, in sewant.

The defendant admits the debt.

The honorable court, having heard the parties on both sides, orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded toward the end of this month of July. The attachment against the moneys shall stand until the payment is made, unless the defendant leaves as security deposit some goods with the court.

Roeloff Swartwout, plaintiff, against Monsr. Boon, defendant.

The plaintiff asks the court for an order upon the petition presented by him and a copy of the promissory note, as he makes a plea in abatement of the action and contests the promissory note which Monsr. Boon has, saying that it contains exceptions.

The defendant says that, according to the obligation, he is to recover his money from no one but the plaintiff.

The honorable court, having heard the parties on both sides, order the plaintiff and petitioner, according to the previous judgment, to tender and pay the rest of the amount within the space of six weeks, on pain of attachment.

* Surgeon Gijsbert van Imborch
† the wife of Harmen Thomassen
Daniel Rinckhout, plaintiff, against Jacob Adriaensensen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of ten schepels of wheat.
The defendant admits the debt.
The honorable court, having heard the parties on both sides, orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff f30 for the wheat, in sewant, within eight days.

Pieter Winnen, plaintiff, against Pieter Janssen Loockermans, defendant.
The plaintiff demands of the defendant satisfaction of the previous judgment. The court, fiat.

Sr. Asser Levy, plaintiff, against Jacob Loockermans, defendant.
The plaintiff demands of the defendant 51/2 beavers according to a promissory note.
The defendant admits the debt.
The court, having heard the parties, orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded within the space of 14 days, on pain of attachment.

Cobus Janssen, plaintiff, against Jacob Adriaensensen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands of the defendant the sum of f10, in beavers.
The defendant denies the debt, saying that his wife paid it.
The honorable court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded within the space of 14 days.

Sr. Daniel Verveelen, plaintiff, against Mattheus Abrahamsen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands of the defendant two beavers.
The defendant admits the debt.
The honorable court, having heard the parties on both sides, orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the two beavers demanded within the space of 14 days.

Sr. Daniel Verveelen, plaintiff, against Jan van Hoesem, defendant.
The plaintiff demands of the defendant f81 in beavers.
The defendant admits the debt.
The court, having heard the parties on both sides, order the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded within the space of one month.

Idem plaintiff, against Jacob Adriaensensen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of f72 in beavers, or 70 schepels of oats.
The defendant admits the debt, but not other than in sewant or oats. The honorable court orders the defendant to produce proof of the matter on the next court day.

Interrogatories whereupon [certain persons] are to be asked by the court whether they did not hear the scandalous blasphemies which Cornelis Teunissen Bosch uttered against the commissary and the magistrates.

Firstly, whether Cornelis Teunissen Bosch did not say that he would go into the woods and let everyone see it and that, with permission, he would defecate on the ordinances, and other foul and contemptuous words?

Rutger Jacobsen answers that he heard it, provided that Cornelis Teunissen Bosch said that if Philip Pietersen and Pieter Hartgers, who were caught, were not punished first, that he wiped his ass on the ordinance. And Jacob Schermerhoorn answers as above.

Answers to this that he heard Cornelis Teunissen Bosch say, also on the condition above stated, "I don’t give a damn for the magistrates and shall go into the woods to prove it, and they are a lot of perjurers if they do not punish the others."

As to the first article, Gerrit Slechtenhorst answers that he heard something or other, but did not pay attention to the exact words.

As to the second article, Gerrit Slechtenhorst answers that he heard Cornelis Teunissen Bosch say that they should first punish the others who were already caught.

The court having asked Gerrit Slechtenhorst whether he did not see Cobus Looper and Leendert de Snijder’s servant come out of the woods with Indians having beavers with them, he says that he saw them coming down the hill or above his house, out of the woods, having Indians with them.

Aernout Cornelissen and Hans Hendericksz answer the same, namely,
that they saw the same persons coming down the hill, having Indians with beavers with them.

[155] The honorable officer Johannes La Montagne, plaintiff, against Cornelis Teunissen Bosch, defendant.

The plaintiff says and complains that the defendant defamed the honorable court.

The defendant says that he is not guilty.

The honorable court orders that copies shall be delivered to the parties, to make answer thereto on the next court day.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Pieter Erasmus, defendant.

The plaintiff says and complains that Pieter Erasmus, contrary to the ordinance, has been in the woods and came down the hill with Indians.

The defendant denies it and says that he has not been there.

The plaintiff demands that the defendant shall under oath purge himself of the charge, namely, that he persuaded the Indians to go to his master's [house].

The honorable court orders the defendant to think the matter over until the next court day.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Philip Pietersen, defendant.

The plaintiff requests judgment against the defendant, who, contrary to the ordinance, sent Indians into the woods and gave them presents.

Therefore, that Philip Pietersen shall purge himself under oath, or else be fined according to the ordinance.

The defendant refuses to take the oath and says that he is not guilty thereof.

The honorable court orders the parties to think the matter over until the next court day.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Pieter Hartgers, defendant.

The plaintiff says that Pieter Hartgers, according to his own confession, contrary to the ordinance, has sent Indian brokers into the woods. He requests therefore that the defendant be fined according to the ordinance.

The defendant admits that he sent Indians into the woods and that he was permitted to do so, because the ordinance according to his opinion should have been repealed in the same way as last year.

The honorable court will take the matter under advisement.
Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange

July 15, 1659

Present:

La Montagne
Pieter Hartgers
Francoys Boon
Anderies Herbertsen
Sander Leendersen
Dirck Janssen Croon
Jan Verbeeck

Lidia van Dijck, plaintiff, against Jan van Eckelen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant 32½ beavers and produces a promissory note.

The defendant admits the debt.

The honorable court, having heard the parties on both sides, orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff 32½ beavers demanded within the space of 14 days, on pain of attachment.

Pieter Gillissen, plaintiff, against Arent Janssen Timmerman, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant 9½ beavers for nails bought by him.

The defendant admits the debt.

The honorable court, having heard the parties on both sides, orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded within the space of 14 days.

Mr. van Vleck, plaintiff, against Anderies Herbertsen, defendant.

The plaintiff claims damages for the loss of a hogshead of vinegar, which the defendant's servant carted from the yacht and which in unloading the cart fell to pieces, and asks 10 beavers for it.

The defendant says that the plaintiff ought to have secured another man, as it was not a one-man job.

The parties on both sides having been heard, the matter is referred to referees to be chosen by them respectively.

Henderick Marcelus, plaintiff, against Evert Nolden, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant £18 for wages as watchman and claims that, inasmuch as he was engaged by the year, he is entitled to the full amount.
The court orders that the plaintiff shall receive no more than he has earned.

Jan Barentsen, plaintiff, against Adriaen Sijmonsen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant 15 beavers, which the defendant took with him to Holland to deliver to the plaintiff's wife, but which she has not received.

The defendant says that the beavers were confiscated among his own beavers and produces a certificate of the honorable fiscal for a parcel of 12 beavers.

The honorable court, having heard the parties on both sides, orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the 12 beavers and to claim restitution from the honorable company or the fiscal. As to the remaining three beavers, the plaintiff is to show evidence that they were there.

[159] Juffrouw Ebbinghs, plaintiff, against Jacob Tijssen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of 4 beavers, being the amount still due on a judgment given last year.

The honorable court refers to the previous judgment and orders the amount to be paid in eight days.

Jan Bastiaensen, plaintiff, against Pieter Bronck, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of 36 beavers, for which he produces a promissory note.

The defendant admits the debt.

The honorable court, having heard the parties on both sides, orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum of 36 beavers in the space of 15 days.

Daniel Rinckhout, plaintiff, against Pieter Jacobsen Bosboom, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant the sum of about f150 in sewant for bread delivered.

The defendant says that his partner is at the Manhatans and that the papers are in his custody. He requests a delay of three weeks to go over the accounts.

The honorable court orders: fiat.

Evert Pels, plaintiff, against Jan Martensen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant 20 beavers by balance of accounts, arising from the purchase of 5 aams of brandy.

[160] The defendant denies having received 5 aams, but admits having received 4, for which 5 beavers are still due to the plaintiff.
The honorable court, having heard the parties on both sides, orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the 5 beavers which he acknowledges being due. As to the remaining 15 beavers claimed by the plaintiff, the plaintiff is to swear to his book, and if he refuses, the defendant is to do the same on the next court day.

Sijmon Janssen, plaintiff, against Jan van Eeckelen, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant has in his custody some silverware* belonging to Christoffel Davids. The plaintiff, therefore, requests that he may have part of this as security, as he has a promissory note from the aforesaid Christoffel Davids.

The defendant says that the aforesaid silverware was given to him by Christoffel Davids as security for a debt, so that he has a prior claim to it.

The honorable court orders that the defendant shall prove that the aforesaid silverware was given to him as security for a debt.

Gabriel de Haes, plaintiff, against the wife of Jan Martensen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of 8 beavers.

The defendant admits the debt and promises to pay the aforesaid 8 beavers within the space of 6 days.

The honorable court orders: fiat.

[161] Pieter Bronck, plaintiff, against Philip Hendericksen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of 73½ beavers.

The defendant denies that he owes so much and requests first a liquidation of accounts.

The honorable court refers the matter to referees.

Daniel Verveelen, plaintiff, against 2d default. Jacob Adriaenssen, defendant.

Johannes Withart, plaintiff, against 1st default. Pieter Loockermanns, defendant.

Juffrouw Ebbinghs, plaintiff, against 1st default. Poulus Martensen, defendant.

Sijmon Janssen Turck, plaintiff, against 1st default. Johan Labitie, defendant.

* silverwerk: literally "silverwork," which could mean place settings for a table or anything made of silver.
Jan Martensen, plaintiff, against  
2d default. Jan Eerraerts, defendant.

Idem plaintiff, against  
1st default. Jan Michielsen, defendant.

Idem plaintiff, against  
2d default. Mary Stevensen, defendant.

Jan Harmsen, plaintiff, against  
1st default. Harmen Bastiaensen, defendant.

Albert de Rademaeccker, plaintiff, against  
1st default. Willem Brouwer, defendant.

Jan van Eeckelen, plaintiff, against  
1st default. Willem Brouwer, defendant.

[162] Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange  
July 22, 1659

Cornelis Teunissen Bosch, plaintiff, against Gabriel de Haes, defendant.

The plaintiff says that he contracted with the defendant about the purchase of 4 pieces of duffel, of which, the plaintiff says, one piece of red duffel is not merchantable.

The defendant says that the plaintiff definitely bought the aforesaid duffels and saw the same several times, and that the defendant has already received 40 beavers for them on account, which shows that it was an absolute sale.

The honorable court, having heard the parties on both sides, orders that according to the plaintiff’s admission the purchase is valid and that he is to receive the pieces of duffel by the yard, according to their contract, and in case there is some defect in the duffels because of rotting or otherwise, that the defendant is to make good the damage according to the decision of two arbitrators to be chosen by them respectively, and the defendant is released from the costs of the suit.
Mr. Poulus Schrick, plaintiff, against Jan van Eeckelen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment by the defendant of f281:4 in beavers, being the balance due on a note for damages, with the interest thereon.

The defendant admits the debt, but says that he wishes to pay in planks, or else requests the plaintiff to have a little patience, and so as not to put the defendant to any expense, promises to pay at the first opportunity.

The honorable court, having heard the parties on both sides, condemns the defendant to pay the sum demanded to the plaintiff in the space of thrice 24 hours, on pain of attachment, with costs.

The 4th of August there appeared in Fort Orange before the commissary and magistrates Major General Willem Hauthoorn and Captain Jan Pinchon,* who declared that they had come here from Herfort† to establish friendly relations and correspondence with us and at the same time to supply the place with cattle and that in order to facilitate this they had found a suitable place for a village, 5 Dutch miles from the North River, east of the Wappingers’ Kill, which place they intended to take possession of in case it was not within our limits and if we should allow them to have access to the North River by the said kill.

To which proposition we replied, after we had thanked them for their offer of friendship, that we had here nothing more than a subordinate court, under the director general and council of New Netherland, and therefore had no authority to give them any concession or permission, which had to come from the director general and council; to which they assented.

Pieter Jacobsen, plaintiff, against Willem Brouwer, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of f535:11 in

---

* John Pynchon was a fur trader in Springfield, Massachusetts, and Major William Hathorne was a merchant from Salem, Massachusetts. Their ploy to provide cattle to New Netherland was an attempt to establish a fur-trading post in the Hudson Valley to compensate for the dwindling supply of furs in New England. Neither this attempt nor a later one in 1662 succeeded. This entry was squeezed in at the bottom of the page after the July 25 session.

† Hartford, Connecticut
beavers and produces a promissory note or note of hand signed by the defendant in the plaintiff’s book.

The honorable court, having heard the parties on both sides and examined the promissory note, orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum of $535:11 demanded in beavers within the space of eight days, with costs, on pain of attachment.

Instructions issued by the honorable commissary and magistrates of Fort Orange and the village of Beverwijck for the rattle watch, appointed at the request of the burghers to relieve them of night-watch duty; to the rattle watch of which place Lambert van Valckenborgh and Pieter Winnen were appointed the 6th of July of this year 1659, on condition that they together are to receive for the term of one year one thousand one hundred guilders in sewant and one hundred guilders in beavers.

Firstly, the said rattle watch shall be obligated to appear at the burghers’ guardhouse after the ringing of the nine o’clock bell and together at ten o’clock shall begin making their rounds, giving notice of their presence in all the streets of the village of Beverwijck by sounding their rattle and calling out every hour of the night until 4 o’clock in the morning.

Secondly, they shall pay special attention to fire and, upon the first sign of smoke, extraordinary light, or otherwise, warn the people by knocking at their houses. And if they see any likelihood of fire, they shall give warning by rattling and calling out and run to the church (of which they are to have a key) and ring the bell.

Thirdly, in case they find any thieves breaking into any houses or gardens, they shall to the best of their ability try to prevent it, arrest the thieves, and bring them into the fort. And in case they are not strong enough to do so, they are to call the burghers of the vicinity to their aid, who are duty bound to lend a helping hand, as this concerns the common welfare.

Fourthly, in case of opposition, they are hereby authorized to offer resistance, the honorable commissary and magistrates declaring that they release them from all liability for any accident which may happen or result from such resistance if offered in the rightful performance of their official duties, according to the statutes of the city of Amsterdam, folio 162.

Which instructions the aforesaid rattle watch shall swear to observe. Actum in Fort Orange, the 3d of September 1659.
Evert Pels, plaintiff, against Jan Martensen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands from the defendant 20 beavers by balance of accounts arising from the purchase of 5 aams of brandy, in regard to which judgment was put off on the last court day, being the 15th of July, until the next court day. Whereas the defendant denies having received 5 aams of brandy, but admits that he received 4 aams, it is requested that the case be put over to the next court day, to be decided by the oath of the parties, the plaintiff to have the preference and upon his refusal [to take the oath], the privilege of taking the oath to be extended to the defendant.

Jan Roeloffs, plaintiff, against Pieter Loockermans, defendant.
The plaintiff demands from the defendant 3 beavers.
The defendant admits the debt. He is condemned to pay in cash.

Carel Janssen, plaintiff, against Jaques Tijssen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands from the defendant two beavers.
The defendant admits the debt.
The parties having been heard, the defendant is ordered to pay the two beavers to the plaintiff in the space of six weeks.

Henderick Gerritsen, plaintiff, against Default. Jan van Hoesem, defendant.

Foppe Barentsen, plaintiff, against Henderick Gerritsen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of 11 beavers for wages.
The defendant presents a counterclaim.
The honorable court, having heard the parties on both sides, order them to go over their accounts with each other and about the difference to appear on the next court day.

Jan Albertsen, plaintiff, against Zacharias Sickels, defendant.
The plaintiff says and complains that the defendant called him a thief and demands reparation of character.
The defendant admits having said so and says that he heard it from Jacob Tijssen in the presence of Jan Pietersen.

* Page 167 is blank.
[170] Extraordinary Session held in Fort Orange by Both Courts To Hear the Propositions Made by the Maquas, this 6th of September 1659*

Present:

La Montagne
The Heer Jeremias van Renselaer
Arent van Curler
Francoys Boon
Dirck Janssen Croon
Anderies Herbertsen
Sander Leendersen
Jan Verbeeck
in addition to all the former magistrates

1. They say they have taken the path to treat with one another in friendship and thereupon give a string of sewant.

2. They say that it is displeasing to them and other Indians that their nation drinks so much brandy. They give thereupon two beavers.

3. They say, "We have been here before and made a covenant. The Dutch say we are brothers and that we are joined together with chains, but that lasts only as long as we have beavers. After that we are no longer thought of. However, much will depend upon it when we have need of one another." They thereupon give two beavers.

4. They say, "The covenant that was made in this country, who can break it? Let us always maintain this covenant which was made of one accord." They give thereupon two beavers.

[171] 5. They say, "We have to anticipate our enemies, the French; and if we drink ourselves drunk, we cannot fight." They request therefore that we sell no brandy to them and bung up the casks. They give thereupon two beavers.

6. They say, "When we leave now, we shall just take some brandy with us, and then no more after this time. We shall burn all the kegs, and although we now propose this, it will still not stop it. But if the Indians

* The court of Rensselaerswijck is represented by Jeremias van Rensselaer and Arent van Curler. Note that this and the following sessions were recorded after the September 9 session.
come into the country with brandy, we shall come and tell the Dutch authorities who sold it to them.” They give thereupon a string of sewant.

7. They say, the Dutch must cease their viciousness and not beat them as they have done in the past. They give thereupon one beaver.

8. They request that the smiths, when they have no money, shall nevertheless repair their possessions, regardless of whether they have much or little sewant. They give thereupon one beaver and a string of sewant.

9. They request that the gunstock makers shall finish their gunstocks at the first opportunity and shall not keep them waiting long and make them run after them. They give thereupon one beaver and a string of sewant.

[172] 10. They say, “When we come from the country, even if the guns are repaired, we have no powder. You must therefore give us some powder; and if the enemy should come, you will not care to help us. You people are too much afraid; however, let us have just 50 or 60 [men]* for assistance.” They give thereupon two beavers.

11. He says that he has two sons taken prisoners by the French and held a long time. They trust that they will yet be released and request that the Dutch will then bring them back and that they would do the same for us. They give thereupon two beavers.

12. They say, “Look at the French and see what they do for their Indians when they need them. Do the same for us and help us repair our castles.” They give thereupon a coat of beaver skins.

13. They say and request that we go there with 30 men and horses to cut and draw wood for their castles to repair them, for they are too lazy to work, and that the Dutch would haul the wood sleds into the country. They give thereupon a beaver coat and one beaver.

[173] 14. They say that when any one of their people dies and one of the Dutch is her mate, he ought to give to the relatives of the deceased one or two suits of cloth. They give thereupon one beaver.

15. They say finally, “You need not present us with anything in return.” They give thereupon one beaver.

* laat ons maar 50 a 60 helpen; it is unclear whether the reference is to pounds of gunpowder or men. However the use of the verb helpen in the preceding line and its repetition in this line points more to “men” as the unstated request.
Session Held in Fort Orange on the 8th of September 1659
To Consider the Propositions Made by the Maquas
on the 6th of the Same Month

Present:
- La Montagne
- Jeremias van Rencelaer
- Arent van Curler
- Francoys Boon
- Dirck Janssen Kroon
- Anderies Herbertsen
- Jan Verbeeck
- Sander Leendersen
and all the former magistrates

1. It is jointly resolved and decided that this provisional answer shall be
given to the Maquas, namely: That there is no doubt of the brotherly
union which many years ago was concluded between the Dutch and the
Maquas and that this shall always be maintained and held securely
together by a chain.

[174] 2. We are daily expecting the Heer Stuyvesant and shall then speak
to you of everything and shall let you know of his arrival, or some of the
Dutch sachems will go into the country.

Whereupon, 50 guilders in sewant were given to the Maquas.

Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange by Both Courts
of the Said Place, September 16, 1659

Present:
- La Montagne
- Jeremias van Rensselaer
- Arent van Curler
- Francoys Boon
- Dirrick Janssen Croon
- Anderies Herbertsen
- Sander Leendersen
- Jan Verbeeck
Whereas their honors met together on the 6th of this month to listen to the propositions which the chiefs of the *Maquas* had to make and, having heard them, gave a provisional answer to the said *Maquas* on the 8th of the same month, to the effect that upon the arrival of the honorable general here a definite answer would be given to the said propositions, and whereas to their sorrow they hear that the honorable general on account of his illness and indisposition cannot come;

Therefore, their honors have for the peace and well-being of this country decided to delegate some of their members to enter into a further covenant with the same *Maquas*, to thank them for their old and continued friendship shown to our nation and further to give them a fair and proper answer to their propositions and at the same time to offer them a present of *f[blank]* in sewant, 75 pounds of powder, 100 pounds of lead, 15 axes and two beavers’ worth of knives. For which purpose the *Heer* Jeremias van Rencelaer, Francoys Boon, Dirrick Janssen Croon, Anderies Herbertsen, the *Heer* Arent van Curler, Adriaen Gerritsen, Jan Tomassen, Volckert Janssen, Philip Pietersen, and Johannes Provoost voluntarily offered themselves to go as delegates.

**[176]** Propositions Made in the First Castle of the *Maquas* Called Kaghnuwage* in the Presence of All the Chiefs of the Three Castles, on the 24th of September Anno 1659, in the *Maquas’s* Land

Present:

Jeremias van Rencelaer
Arent van Curler
Francoys Boon
Dirrick Janssen Croon
Anderies Herbertsen
Philip Pietersen Schuyler
Jan Tomassen
Volckert Janssen

together with others, to the number of 17

1. Brothers, we have come here only to renew our old friendship and brotherhood and you must tell it to your children; our children will always be able to know and remember it through the writings which we

---

* This is probably the village now called the Freeman site, located approximately four miles west of Fultonville, NY.
leave behind us; we die but they remain forever. From them they will always be able to see how we have lived in friendship with our brothers. Brothers, we have not been able to bring with us any cloth, for we could not get men to carry it. But friendship cannot be bought with goods; our heart has always been good and is still so, and if that is no good, one cannot buy friendship, even if this whole land were full of goods and beavers. We give thereupon three bunches of sewant.

2. Brothers, it is now sixteen years ago that we made our first treaty of friendship and brotherhood between you and all the Dutch,* [177] which we then joined together with an iron chain and which until now has not been broken either by us or our brothers and we have no fear that it will be broken by either side, so that we shall not speak of that anymore, but shall all be and remain as if we had lain under one heart. We give you now as a token of gratitude that we are brothers two bunches of sewant.

3. Brothers, it is now 18 days ago that you were with us and made your propositions to the Dutch, your brothers. We could not give you then any answer as we were expecting the Heer Stuyvesant. We therefore promised you that we should let you know when the Heer Stuyvesant had arrived, but as he has fallen very sick, he cannot come for the present. We therefore tell you now, brothers, that what we shall say, we say in the name of the Heer Stuyvesant and all the other chiefs and the Dutch and their children. We give the brothers as a present and to assure them that we do not lie two bunches of sewant.

[178] 4. Brothers, we now state once and for all for ourselves and in the name of all the Dutch who are now in or who may yet come into the country and of all their children (for we cannot come here every day, as the roads are so bad to travel over) that henceforth you will have no occasion to doubt that we shall be and remain brothers; and if there should be any nation or Indians, whoever they might be, who should try to stir you up, you are not to accept the statement or believe it, but you will tell them that they lie, and we shall say the same if they say that of you, brothers, and not believe any prattlers. We do not wish to go to war and shall not leave our brothers in want of that with which we can help you, but we cannot compel our smiths or gunstock makers to repair the guns of our brothers without receiving pay for it, as they must earn a

* This is the earliest reference to such a treaty; however, no such treaty for 1643 survives. It is also possible that the reference was intended for the 1645 treaty negotiations with the Mohawk at Fort Orange. At this conference Willem Kieft enlisted the Mohawk to mediate a peace in the war with the Indians in the Manhattan region.
living for their wives and children, who would otherwise perish from hunger, and they would depart from our country if they received no sewant for their work, and then we and our brothers would be sorely in need of them. We give you hereupon as a present two bunches of sewant.

5. Brothers, it is now 18 days ago that you requested us not to sell any brandy to your people and to bung our casks. Brothers, do not allow your people to come to us for brandy and it will not be sold to them, for within two days ago we encountered from 20 to 30 kegs on the road, all going to fetch brandy. Our leaders are very angry that the Dutch sell brandy to your people and always forbid it to our people. Now forbid it to your people also, and if you desire us to take away from your people the brandy and the kegs, then say so now before all these people, but if we do so, the brothers must not be angry. We give hereupon two bunches of sewant.

6. Brothers, we give you now this powder and lead. You must take good care of it so that it can be used in case any enemy Indians should attack you; then you can distribute it among the young men. And we gave them 75 pounds of powder and 100 pounds of lead.

7. Brothers, we see that you are very busy cutting wood to build your fort. The brothers have asked us for horses to haul it out. That is not feasible for horses because the hills here are so high and steep, and the Dutch cannot carry it out as they become sick merely from marching to this place, as you may see by looking at our people; how, then, could they in addition carry palisades? But as the brothers sometimes break their axes in cutting wood, we give the brothers these axes. We gave them 15 axes.

8. Brothers, as some of your people as well as the Mahican and also the Sinnekus, sometimes kill the horses, cows, hogs and goats of our people, we request you, brothers, to forbid your people doing it. We give you hereupon two beavers’ worth of knives.

All the foregoing propositions having been made, they were gratefully accepted by the chiefs and all the bystanders, [including the proposal] that the brandy kegs should be taken away from them.

As soon as we had made our propositions, a letter was handed to us by the Negro of the Heer La Montagne, whom his honor had expressly dispatched to us. From this letter we learned that some outrages and hostile actions had taken place between our people and the Esopus
Indians. * We immediately communicated the news to the chiefs and the bystanders, who listened to it in great astonishment and said in reply that we had done very well in making it known so frankly and that they were very glad of it, and for this reason, if the Esopus or other river Indians should come to them with presents and ask them for assistance [181] to fight with them against our people, they would kick them with the foot and say to them, "You beasts, you hogs, go away from here; we want to have nothing to do with you."

After having done all this, we asked the Maquas to release the eight French prisoners and to take them back to their country. They gave us for answer that they must first deliberate about it with all their castles; that done, they would inform us by two or three of their chiefs. They complain bitterly about the French because the French do not keep the peace made with them; for whenever they are out hunting, they are attacked by the French Indians, among whom are always concealed parties of Frenchmen, who meanwhile beat them.

We were further informed by a French prisoner that the wreck of a small vessel had been found on the island near the mouth of Canada,† namely, by a sloop with six or eight men who were rowing there, and the French said and maintained that it was the bark of Jan Peree.

This for information.

We received also a package with letters, which was brought by a Maquas of the third castle‡ from the Troij Rievieres.§ It was directed to Mr. Jacob de Hinson,** [182] and as he was personally present, we opened the package and found therein three or four letters to a captain of a bark who appeared to have sailed from Canada to the Manhatans, and also a letter to the honorable general, which by unanimous decision was opened by us to see whether it might be of use to us on this occasion, as it was a letter from the Jesuit, Sijmon La Moyne. We hope that the honorable general will not take it ill of us, as we did it for the public service and the best of the community.

* Numerous confrontations between settlers and Indians eventually led to the first Esopus war, which was declared toward the end of September 1659 and was not concluded until July 15, 1660.
† This is probably Anticosti Island at the mouth of the St. Lawrence River.
‡ The third castle was located near Canajoharie, NY.
§ Trois Rivières in Canada
** Jacob de Hinse, a surgeon at Beverwijck
The honorable commissary and magistrates of Fort Orange and the village of Beverwijck, having received several complaints about the insolent treatment of the Indians in beating them and throwing things at them, which tends to dangerous consequences, in order to provide therein and to prevent any accidents in these dangerous times, have forbidden, as they expressly do forbid hereby, all residents of this jurisdiction to molest any Indian of whatever nation he may be, on pain of arbitrary correction. Thus done at the session of the honorable court of Fort Orange and the village of Beverwijck, held in Fort Orange on the 27th of September, 1659.

[183] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
October 6, 1659

Present:

La Montagne  
Francoys Boon  
Anderies Herbertsen  
Sander Leendersen  
Jan Verbeeck

Gerrit Swart, attorney for Johannes Dareth, plaintiff, against Reynier Wisselpenningh, defendant.
   The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of 29 beavers.
   The defendant admits the debt.
   The honorable court, having heard the parties on both sides, condemns the defendant to pay the plaintiff the 29 beavers demanded within the space of six weeks, on pain of attachment.

Jacob Tijssen van der Heyden, plaintiff, against Jan Albertsen, defendant.
   The plaintiff complains that the defendant has been guilty of great insolence at his house and offers to prove it, as he does, by seven witnesses.
   The defendant also complains that the plaintiff called him a thief and requests that the case may be put over until the next court day, so that he may submit his evidence.
   The honorable court orders: fiat.
Baltus Jacobsen, plaintiff, against
Default. Jan van Hoesem, defendant.

The wife of Evert Pels,* plaintiff, against the wife of Jan Martensen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of 20 whole beavers, due by balance of [184] account for 5 aams of brandy, as may be seen from the minutes of the preceding court day, being the 9th of September, when the parties for the second time were given time to confirm their testimony upon oath. Now, upon the oath of the plaintiff, the defendant is condemned to pay the plaintiff the 20 beavers demanded within the space of six weeks, on pain of attachment.

Adriaen Gerritsen, plaintiff, against Cornelis Teunissen Bosch, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of 33 guilders, 16 stivers in beavers, arising from disputed accounts between Joost Teunissen and the defendant, which amount was due to Joost Teunissen by balance of accounts and was assigned by him to the deacons for the benefit of the poor.

The defendant denies the debt. He admits that he owes 33 guilders, 16 stivers in sewant, but says that he wishes to dispose thereof as he sees fit.

[185] Copy

I, the underwritten, Jan Eerraerts, hereby acknowledge that I have nothing to say against the person of Jan Martensen and his wife that is bad or dishonorable, and that I am sorry for what I have said heretofore, as this was said in drunkenness, so that we forgive one another. In witness of the truth this was signed with his own hand, on this 7th of October Anno 1659, in Fort Orange. Was signed: Johannes Eerraerts, in my presence, Ludovicus Cobus, court messenger.

Agrees with the original,

To my knowledge,
Johannes Provoost, clerk

The honorable court having received a letter from the honorable general, whereby the honorable commissary is summoned to meet the honorable general near the Esopus, the honorable magistrates have resolved to depute the honorable Francoys Boon to sail with him to the Esopus and

* Brechtje Elswaerts
to communicate to the honorable general what is needed at this place. *Actum* in Fort Orange, October 7, 1659.

[186] Whereas Cornelis Teunissen Bosch on the 8th of July of this year dared to defame the honorable magistrates of this court, which said magistrates represent the supreme authorities, and this by such words that out of respect due to the court we dare not write them down, as appears from the testimony of three credible witnesses and his own confession; therefore, I, Johannes La Montagne, plaintiff, in my capacity as officer, demand that the aforesaid Cornelis Teunissen Bosch, as a defamer of his superior authorities and [contemner of] their ordinances, shall be condemned to pay a fine of twelve hundred guilders and in addition shall be banished from this jurisdiction for the period of twelve consecutive years, as an example to others. *Actum* in Fort Orange, the 28th of October 1659.

In reply to which demand of the officer, Cornelis Teunissen Bosch said that he did not propose to plead his case before the magistrates of this place and rejected their authority, appealing to the honorable director general and council.

[187] Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange

October 14, 1659

The honorable magistrates, plaintiffs, against Jan Roelofsen, defendant.

The honorable plaintiffs produce testimony of two credible witnesses, to wit, Volckert Janssen and Matteuws Janssen, that the defendant called the honorable plaintiffs "beasts" and said, "Yes, they are beasts!"

The defendant apologizes and says that he was drunk and knows nothing of it, saying that if it did happen, it had reference, not to the honorable plaintiffs, but to the magistrates of the colony,* who called them a rabble and a mob. He says also that Jacob Teunissen and Henderick Anderiessen were present.

Jan Roelofsen

* the colony of Rensselaerswijck
Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange, October 19, 1659, To Hear Some Propositions To Be Made by Two Sachems of the Maquas Being Sent by and Speaking in the Name of All of Them

Present:

La Montagne
Jeremias van Rencelaer
Francoys Boon
Anderies Herbertsen
Dirrick Janssen Croon
Sander Leendersen
Jan Verbeeck
Arent van Curler
Volckert Janssen

Firstly, they say that it is very bad that the Dutch abuse the Indians so much by calling all the nations "dogs" and "scoundrels," and say immediately, "Yes, you are also an Esopus dog." They give thereupon a string of sewant.

Secondly, they request that the Dutch shall do no harm to any Maquas, Mahikanders, or Katskil Indians, but live with them as brothers.

Thirdly, they say that they have consulted with their four castles about the fighting, which occurred between the Dutch and the Indians in the Esopus, and inquire whether we also intend to go to war against the Esopus Indians, or whether we intend to keep quiet here, for all their sachems leave the decision as to war to us and desire an answer. They give a string of sewant.

Fourthly, they say, "You say you are not at war and that you do not wish to go to war against any Indians." About which the Indians were very angry and [asked] why we said that, [saying], "For you and the Manhatans are one. Suppose the Esopus Indians came now or in the spring to kill the country people, what would you do then? You make no sense." They demanded back the strings of sewant and dispatched a Mahican sachem to the Esopus to bring here the Christian prisoners and the Esopus sachems, directing the Indian messenger to give the three strings of sewant in the name of the Maquas, in order that the Esopus
Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
October 28, 1659

Present:
La Montagne
Francoys Boon
Anderies Herbertsen
Sander Leendersen
Jan Verbeeck

Jan Albertsen, plaintiff, against Jacob Tijssen van der Heyden, defendant.

The plaintiff produces testimony of three credible witnesses, as he on
the last court day was ordered by the court to present his evidence. They
testify that after the wives of the parties had been fighting and were
separated, the defendant’s wife called the plaintiff’s wife a thievish
whore and a beast, and said, “How does your head feel? Come here and
I’ll give you some more.”

[190] The defendant produces counterevidence of seven witnesses to
to the effect that the plaintiff demanded that the defendant should come
outside the door while he had a knife and a piece of food in his hand, and
that he called the defendant a scoundrel.

The honorable court, having heard the parties on both sides and
considered the case, decide that the scolding of one woman balances that
of the other and that there is no cause of action, provided that each of
them shall pay one pound Flemish for the benefit of the poor. As to the
plaintiff, who without cause is alleged to have challenged the defendant
standing in his own doorway and to have called him a scoundrel, which
he cannot prove, he is condemned to pay a fine of 25 guilders for the
benefit of the officer.

Tomas Cooninck, plaintiff, against Solder Pietersen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of f9:10:—.

The defendant admits the debt.

The honorable court, having heard the parties on both sides, orders
the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum of f9:10 demanded within the
space of three weeks, with the costs of the suit.
[191] Baltus Jacobsen, plaintiff, against Jan van Hoesem, defendant.

The plaintiff says that he bought a cow of the defendant, for which the defendant took in part payment a half piece of cloth. Owing to the plaintiff’s long delay in paying the rest of the amount, the defendant took back the cow, but kept the cloth. The plaintiff, therefore demands restitution of the cloth.

The defendant says that the plaintiff should have paid the rest of the amount precisely when due and that he had the cow all summer. He therefore claims payment for the milk which the cow gave during the summer.

The honorable court refers the parties to referees to appraise the milk which the cow has given during the summer, for which the plaintiff is to pay.

Hans Coenraetsen, plaintiff, against Jan van Eecelen, defendant.

The plaintiff says that he and the defendant were in partnership together and that on the dissolution of the partnership they made a contract with each other. The plaintiff now demands the fulfillment of the contract, the term of which has expired.

The defendant acknowledges the expiration of the term of the contract and offers by way of assignment to pay 63 scheipels of wheat.

Ordered: fiat, according to the contract.

[192] Evert Nolden, plaintiff, against Femmetien de Baxter, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of $100 for the extension table and the cover over it,* which the plaintiff had had made for his convenience during the term of his lease and for which according to appraisal by referees so much was to be paid.

The defendant says that it happened through an oversight of the referees that in selling the defendant’s house they did not omit the table.

The honorable court orders the defendant to pay to the plaintiff the sum demanded according to the award of the arbitrators.

Whereas Cornelis Teunissen Bosch on the 8th of July of this year dared to defame the honorable magistrates of this court, which said magistrates represent the supreme authorities, and this by such words that out of respect due to the court we dare not write them down, as appears from the testimony of three credible witnesses and his own confession; therefore, I, Johannes La Montagne, plaintiff, in my capacity as officer, demand that the aforesaid Cornelis Teunissen Bosch, as a [193] defamer

* de schuyftaeffel ende het dack daer over
of his superior authorities and [contemner of] their ordinances, shall be condemned to pay a fine of twelve hundred guilders and in addition shall be banished from this jurisdiction for the period of twelve years, as an example to others. *Actum* in Fort Orange, the 28th of October 1659.

Albert Gijsbertsen, plaintiff, against Lambert van Valckenborgh, defendant.

Case bound over until the next court day, when the parties are to produce their evidence.

The 4th of November the honorable commissary and the honorable current and former magistrates met at the house of Dirck Janssen Croon to plan a much-needed defense of this village of Beverwijck against attacks by the Indians in these dangerous times and to have this defense built as speedily as possible with the materials at hand. The aforesaid gentlemen, therefore, have resolved and decided to have the said defense made of posts and planks, to wit, eight boards high, with seven bastions to protect the curtains, [194] which [fence] shall surround the greater part of the village of Beverwijck, the length of its circumference being 250 rods, to which end Sr. Francys Boon, Dirrick Janssen Croon, Captain Abraham Staets, and Adriaen Gerritsen are appointed as overseers of the aforesaid work, who immediately summoned Carsten *de Noorman* and Harmen Bastiaen, wood cutters, to furnish as many posts as are needed for the said work and contracted with them for the sum of [blank]. *Actum ut supra.*

By order of the honorable court,

Johannes Provoost, clerk

[195] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
November 11, 1659

Present:

La Montagne
Francoys Boon
Anderies Herbertsen
Sander Leendersen
Jan Verbeeck

Baltus Jacobsen, plaintiff, against Jan van Hoesem, defendant.

The parties are referred to the previous order given on the preceding court day.
Pieter Jacobsen Bosboom, plaintiff, against Jan Tomassen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands from the defendant payment of f57 in beavers, for bricks delivered.

The defendant denies that he owes the aforesaid sum in beavers and claims that it is due in sewant.

The plaintiff replies that the defendant hauled the bricks from the brickyard while the plaintiff was away from home. He therefore thought that the defendant had taken the bricks on the same condition as heretofore, at one and one-half beavers the thousand, which price he has also charged to everyone else.

The honorable court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff for each thousand bricks which he has received the sum of f15 in sewant.

The wife of Jan Rinckhout, plaintiff, against Jan van Hoesem and his wife, defendants.

The plaintiff says and complains that the defendant has assaulted her in her house and beaten her severely, so that she was black and blue, as appears from the affidavits, which she produces.

The defendant says that the plaintiff called her all sorts of names, which induced her to beat her. She requests time until the next court day, in order to defend herself by counteraffidavits.

The honorable court orders: fiat.

The wife of Michiel Teunissen, plaintiff, against Claes van den Bergh, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant f8 in sewant for a shirt, which the defendant’s wife sold to the plaintiff and which the defendant himself called for and took away, without giving back the money.

The honorable court orders the defendant to return the aforesaid f8 to the plaintiff.

Claes van den Bergh, plaintiff, against the wife of Jan Martensen,* defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant the following goods, which his wife carried out of the house without her husband’s knowledge:

A testament with silver mountings  f7:--:--
A pair of stockings  4:--:--
26 filigree silver buttons  6:--:--

* Dirckie Harmensen
The honorable court orders the defendant to return the goods to the plaintiff, [197] provided that the plaintiff shall return to the defendant the money paid out.

Gommer Poulussen, plaintiff, against
Default Willem Martensen, defendant.

Pieter Jacobsen Bosboom, plaintiff, against
Default Jan Tomassen, defendant.

Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
November 18, 1659

Present:
La Montagne
Jeremias van Rencelaer
Arent van Curler
Anderies Herbertsen
Sander Leendersen
Jan Verbeeck
Francoys Boon

The honorable members of both the courts* having met jointly to consider a letter from the honorable general to the court, dated the 12th of November, in which the honorable general writes about restraining the Esopus Indians, in regard to which we are still uncertain. And as to speaking with the Katskill and Mahikanse Indians, we have after due deliberation concluded that thus far this is not necessary, as we do not know whether the honorable general will be able to carry out his plan according to his letter, [198] for in case the honorable general should succeed in obtaining the terms demanded of the Indians according to his honor's letter, it would be deemed unnecessary that the Esopus Indians should from now on be shut out by the Mahikanders and Katskill Indians, but as soon as we are informed that any fighting is going on in the Esopus, we shall speak with the aforesaid Indians. Actum ut supra.

* Fort Orange and Beverwijck, and Rensselaerswijck
By order of both courts,
Johannes Provoost, clerk

[199] The honorable commissary and the honorable magistrates of Fort Orange and the village of Beverwijck, seeing the necessity for a defense of this place against an attack by the Indians in these dangerous times, have ordered the same to be made on the land side, which is progressing daily. But noticing that the said defense remains open on the water side and that the private gardens reach down to the said side, their honors have decided, as they decide hereby, that everyone shall set back the fence of his respective garden to the line already laid down, and make the said fence of posts and planks, from 7 to 8 feet high, to prevent access by the enemy from the river side, and this within the space of eight days, under penalty of $50. Actum in Fort Orange, this 24 November 1659.

[200] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
November 25, 1659

Present:
La Montagne
Francoys Boon
Anderies Herbertsen
Sander Leendersn
Jan Verbeeck

Jan Anderiessen, plaintiff, against Jan Barentsen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of two beavers and $3 in sewant.
The defendant admits the debt.
The honorable court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded, to wit, two beavers and $3 in sewant in cash.

Jan Anderiessen and Pieter Jacobsen Bosboom, plaintiffs, against Jan Roeloffsen, defendant.
The plaintiffs demand of the defendant 17 beavers.
The defendant admits the debt.
The honorable court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiffs the 17 beavers demanded in cash.

Pieter Jacobsen Bosboom, plaintiff, against Anderies Herbertsen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of the hire of his horse which the defendant rode to the Maquas in the public service.

The defendant acknowledges that he used the said horse but says and maintains that the commissary must pay the said hire, as the horse was used in the service of the company and for the welfare of the country.

The honorable court orders that inasmuch as the horse was used in the service of the company the commissary shall take charge of the matter and pay.

Pieter Adriaensen, plaintiff, against
2d default. Jan Gouw, defendant.

[201] Jan Eerraerts, plaintiff, against
1st default. Jan Gouw, defendant.

Jeurriaen Teunissen, plaintiff, against Daniel Rinckhout, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of 71 guilders, 8 stivers.

The defendant admits the debt.

The honorable court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum of f71:8 demanded within the space of six weeks.

Abraham Carpentier, plaintiff, against

The honorable magistrates, plaintiffs, against

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Default.} & \\
\{ & \text{Barent Albertsen} \\
& \text{Henderick Roosenboom} \\
& \text{Sijmon de Backer} \\
& \text{Willem Bout},
\end{align*}
\]

defendants.

Evert de Backer is ordered to pay the promised f3 for the benefit of the rattle watch within the space of 24 hours, under penalty of forfeiting for every day one pound Flemish.

[202] At the request of Cornelis Wijnkoop, nephew of Gijsbert Philipsen, murdered by the Indians in the Esopus, whose estate in these troublous times has not yet been inventoried, the Honorable Dirrick Smit, commander in the Esopus, is hereby requested and authorized for the preservation of said estate to appoint two curators from among the most competent persons in the Esopus to take charge of the said estate, and after a proper inventory shall have been made to sell the property to the
best advantage and to deposit the proceeds with the court here, in order to pay therewith the creditors of the said Gijsbert Philipsen pro rata and turn over the surplus or the remainder to the heirs. *Actum* in Fort Orange, this 25th of November 1659.

[205]* Present:

La Montagne  
Sander Leendersen  
Anderies Herbertsen  
Jan Verbeeck

The honorable commissary and the magistrates of Fort Orange and the village having met have unanimously resolved for the welfare of this place to appoint and authorize the honorable Francoys Boon, magistrate of this place, to receive and disburse all the moneys which this place is entitled to derive from the burgher and the slaughters’ excise, provided that he shall be held to render a proper account thereof to the court. *Actum* in Fort Orange, the 2d of December Anno 1659.

By order of this honorable court,

Johannes Provoost, clerk.

[206] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange  
December 9, 1659

Present:

La Montagne  
Francoys Boon  
Anderies Herbertsen  
Sander Leendersen  
Jan Verbeeck

Poulus Cornelissen, plaintiff, against Hans Carelsen, defendant.

The plaintiff says that he loaded 51 *schepels* of salt in the defendant’s yacht at the Manhatans and that upon the unloading of the said salt he received only 21 *schepels* and that there are others who have a share in the said salt.

The defendant says that the crew transshipped the said salt from the

* Pages 203 and 204 are blank.
ship into the yacht and measured it with a ton, taking up 3 scheepels at a
time, but that he himself was not present. He maintains that the shortage
must be due to the way the salt was packed down by the measurer, for
as the plaintiff came up the river with the said yacht, he cannot un­
derstand how the salt could have diminished in the yacht.

The honorable court orders the plaintiff to have the participants of the
salt summoned to appear on the next court day, in order that they may
be heard and justice be done.

Anderies Herbertsen, plaintiff, against the wife of Jan Martensen,
defendant.

The plaintiff demands from the defendant 100 scheepels of wheat and
produces a promissory note.

The defendant admits the debt, but says that she cannot pay the wheat
on account of the war in the Esopus and offers 30 scheepels of wheat.

The honorable court orders the defendant to pay the wheat demanded
to the plaintiff in the space of 14 days, according to the promissory note.

Jan Albertsen, plaintiff, against Jacob Tijssen, defendant.

The plaintiff says and complains that the defendant called him a thief.

The defendant admits the charge and that he said it, but requests time
until the next court day to produce his evidence.

The honorable court orders: fiat.

Jan Martensen, plaintiff, against Arent van den Bergh, defendant.

The plaintiff demands from the defendant payment of 9 beavers.

The defendant admits the debt.

The honorable court condemns the defendant to pay cash, or refers
the parties to the previous judgment.

The wife of Henderick Anderiessen,* plaintiff, against the wife of Jan
Martensen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of 14½ beavers.

The defendant admits the debt.

The honorable court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the
14½ beavers demanded within the space of six weeks.

Pieter Adriaenssen, plaintiff, against Jan Gauw, defendant.

The plaintiff claims compensation for damages suffered by him and
still to be suffered this winter on account of [the defendant's failure to
complete] the masonwork on his house, which the defendant agreed to

* Maritie Damens
do, and demands payment of $100 and 6 beavers in specie, which debt the defendant denies.

The defendant promises to complete the work at the first opportunity whenever it will suit the plaintiff's convenience and in case of failure to put someone else in his place to carry on the work, and promises to make good the tiles which happen to blow off.

The honorable court orders: *fiat*, provided that the defendant shall be held to make good the tiles which should happen to blow off, suspending decision as to the compensation demanded until further proof.

Gommer Poulussen, plaintiff, against
Default. Willem Martensen, defendant.

Abraham Carpeyn, plaintiff, against

Hans Coenraets, plaintiff, against
2nd default. Jan van Eeckelen, defendant.

Jan Mangelsen, appearing before the court, refuses to take the oath to give witness to the truth as to the dispute between Hans Hendericksz and Lambert van Neck.

Lambert van Neck being asked by the honorable court whether he did not see that Hans Hendericksen drew his knife on him and whether he did not ward him off with the tongs, answers, "No," and refuses to take the oath to confirm his statement.

The honorable commissary and magistrates of Fort Orange and the village of Beverwijck, pursuant to the ordinance issued by the honorable director general and council of New Netherland and published here on the 6th of October 1656,* ordain, as they do hereby ordain, that henceforth no one shall be allowed to engage in any business of tapping before and until he shall have obtained a license from the officer, on condition of paying therefor one pound Flemish and of being bound to renew his license every three months, on pain of suspension from his business.

The honorable commissary and magistrates of Fort Orange and the village of Beverwijck, having heard diverse complaints from the burghers of this place against the practice of playing golf along the streets, which causes great damage to the windows of the houses and also exposes people to the danger of being injured, which is contrary to the freedom of the public streets; therefore, their honors, wishing to prevent

* See LO, 262, for the ordinance dated October 26.
the same, hereby forbid all persons to play golf in the streets, under penalty of forfeiture of ƒ25 for each person who shall be found doing so.

Whereas daily experience shows that many burghers of this village of Beverwijck deposit and pile their firewood in the street, contrary to the freedom of said streets, which must always be kept free and unobstructed, whereby not only people are inconvenienced, but wagons, sleighs, and carts can scarcely make use of said streets, to the serious inconvenience of the public; therefore, the commissary and magistrates of Fort Orange and the village of Beverwijck, wishing to provide against such inconveniences, hereby ordain that none of the inhabitants of Beverwijck shall hereafter be allowed to let any firewood lie in the street for more than ten days, under penalty of confiscation of the said wood and of being, in addition thereto, subjected to a fine of ƒ25.

Likewise, the honorable commissary and magistrates prohibit, as they do hereby most expressly prohibit, any of the burghers or inhabitants of the village of Beverwijck from shooting on New Year's day, on account of the great damage and disorder which such firing causes, under penalty of twenty-five [guilders] for each person who shall be found to have done so.

Thus done in Fort Orange, at the meeting of the honorable court of the said place, on the tenth of December 1659.

[210] The honorable officer, debit, for receipt of the following fines which have been imposed from primo January 1658 to ultimo December Anno 1659

1658
Jan. 22    Jan Teunissen, condemned to pay a fine of ƒ500:-:--
March 11   Jan Anderiessen de Graeff and Pieter Jacobsen Bosboom, each condemned to pay a fine of ƒ125, amounting together to 250:-:--
29 ditto    Dirrick Bensingh, condemned to pay a fine of 150:-:--
May 21     Luycas Pietersen, fined ƒ60 60:-:--
July 16     Poulus Janssen, condemned to pay a fine of 500:-:--
August 13   Dirrick Carstensen, condemned to pay a fine of 50:-:--

1659
May 26     Steven Janssen, condemned to pay a fine of 300:-:--
           ƒ1,800:10:-

Of the above listed fines the officer received as follows:
Jan Teunissen paid ƒ195:-:--
Jan Anderiessen and Pieter Jacobsen Bosboom
paid in bricks 250:--:
Dirrick Bensingh paid in wages for carting 150:--:
Dirrick Carstensen 50:--:
Steven Janssen paid 189:--:

$834:--$

[211] The honorable officer, credit, for one-half of the fines received as shown on the opposite page, according to the order of the director general and council of New Netherland,* amounting to $417:--:

And this day, the 2d of December Anno 1659, paid the said sum of four hundred seventeen guilders to the honorable magistrates, by order on Adriaen Janssen van Leyden, farmer of the tapsters' excise.

Francoys Boon
Andries Herberts
Sandr Lenrsen
Jan Verbeeck†

* This order appears in LO, 479 (October 1, 1673); although it carries a date later than the present citation, it reflects an earlier order, which no longer survives.
† This concludes the second volume of court minutes held by the Albany County Hall of Records.
In the name of the Lord. Amen*

Continuation of the court proceedings of the court of justice of Fort Orange, the village of Beverwijck and the dependencies thereof, established in loco, the 10th of April 1652, by the right honorable director general and council of New Netherland.

Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
the 13th of January, 1660

Present:

La Montagne
Francois Boon
Anderies Herpertsen
Alexander Leendersen
Jan Verbeeck

Cornelis Breuckelen, plaintiff, against
1st default. Teunis Cornelissen, defendant.

Arent Hendricksen, plaintiff, against
1st default. Poulus Cornelissen, defendant.

Poulus Cornelissen, plaintiff, against Reynier Albersen and Egbert, the servant of Sander Leendersen, defendants.

The plaintiff persists in his previous complaint, made on the preceding court day, being the 9th of December 1659, about the shortage of 30 schepels of salt, and in accordance with the order of the honorable court, has caused the coparticipants to be summoned.

The honorable court orders that, while there is an opportunity to send a letter by an Indian, the parties shall write to the Manhattans, both to the city weighmaster and to those from whom they obtained the salt, in order to have further information on the subject.

Michiel Teunesen, plaintiff, against Femmetien Albertsen, defendant.

* This final section continues the records held by the New York State Archives as vol. 16, part 3, of NYCM, which begins on page 133.
The plaintiff says that he is being sued on account of debts contracted between him and Femmetien Albersen during their partnership and shows by judgment given on the 5th of September 1657 that he owes nothing, persisting in his former denial.

The court orders that the defendant in accordance with the previous judgment shall pay all the debts made by them both during their partnership.

Jan Albers, plaintiff, against Jacob Tijsen, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant called him a chicken thief and demands proof thereof.

The defendant admits having said it and calls Gerrit Slechtenhorst as a witness.

Gerrit Slechtenhorst, appearing before the court, says that it is true that Jan Albersen has had a hen of his and that it was cooped up a long time and was marked differently, but not that he said that Jan Albersen had stolen it, nor that he was a thief.

The honorable court adjourns the case to the next court day.

Daniel Rinckhout, plaintiff, against Jan Fransen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant twenty-one and a half beavers.

The defendant denies that he owes as much as the plaintiff claims.

The honorable court orders parties to adjust their accounts and to appear before the court on the next court day about any difference which may remain.

Pieter Boudt, plaintiff, against 1st default. Cornelus Hoogenboom, defendant.

Hans Coenraets, plaintiff, against 1st default. Jan van Eckelen, defendant.

Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
January 27, 1660

Present:

La Montagne
Francois Boon
Anderies Herpertsen
Alexander Leendersen
Jan Verbeeck
Gerrit Swardt, plaintiff, against 1st default. Jan Tijmesen, defendant.

Jan Albersen, plaintiff, against 1st default. Jacob Thijsen, defendant.

Rutger Jacobsen, plaintiff, against 1st default. Hendrick Claesen, defendant.

Pieter Boudt, plaintiff, against 2d default. Cornelus Hoogenboom, defendant.

Jan Van Hoesen, plaintiff, against Eva Rinckhouts, defendant. The honorable court adjourns the case to the next court day.

Frans Baerentsen, plaintiff, against 1st default. Femmetien Albersen, defendant.

Hans Coenraets, plaintiff, against Jan van Eckelen, defendant. The court refers the parties to the previous judgment given on the 28th of October 1659.

Jan van Eeckelen, plaintiff, against Reynier Wisselpenninck, defendant. Defendant in default.

The honorable officer, plaintiff, against Hendrick Anderiesen, defendant. The plaintiff demands payment of seventy-one guilders, by balance of account resulting from some excess committed by the defendant.

[136] The defendant admits the debt and the excess committed by him, but claims that what he did to Cristoffel Davidts was settled with the officer by his brother-in-law, Jacob Jansen Stol, deceased, who promised to pay the sum because the excess was committed on his account.

The plaintiff admits that such an agreement was made, but inasmuch as he has received no satisfaction, he demands the same from the defendant as the offender in the case.

The honorable court, having heard the parties, orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum of twenty-one guilders. [Decision in] the action of the plaintiff against the defendant for the f50 is reserved, the court remaining sureties for the money.
Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange  
February 17, 1660

Present:
La Montagne  
Francois Boon  
Anderies Herpertsen  
Alexander Lendertsen  
Jan Verbeeck  

Schout Swardt, plaintiff, against  
2d default. Jan Tijmonsen, defendant.

Frans Baerentsen, plaintiff, against Femmetien Albersen, defendant.  
The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of one hundred thirty guilders, six stivers, according to the account.  
The defendant admits the debt and promises to pay the same on [receiving] the last payment for her house.  
The honorable court condemns the defendant to pay the plaintiff and from this date the plaintiff has a preferential claim on the last payment for the house.

[137] Rutger Jacobsen, plaintiff, against  
2d default. Hendrick Claesen, defendant.

Jan Meyndersen, plaintiff, against Jan Thoomassen and Volkert Jansen, defendants.  
The plaintiff says that in the employ of the defendants he received a wound in his arm from a gun and requests that he may be reimbursed by the defendants for the surgeon’s fees in connection with said wound.  
The honorable court dismisses the plaintiff’s case.

Cristoffel Davidts, plaintiff, against Willem Jansen, defendant.  
The plaintiff demands fifty guilders by balance of accounts.  
The defendant denies that he owes so much.  
The honorable court orders that the matter be disposed of by referees.

The wife of Jan van Hoesem,* plaintiff, against Eva Rinckhouts, defendant.  
The plaintiff demands reparation of honor for some abusive language

* Volckge Jansen
exchanged between the parties.

The defendant admits that she used some abusive words, but says that she is sorry and desires to live together as good neighbors.

The court, having heard the parties and the submission of the defendant, discharges her from the plaintiff’s demands and orders that they shall henceforth live together in peace, under the penalty provided by ordinance.

Jacob Hendricksen, plaintiff, against
2nd default. Poulus Martensen, defendant.

[138] Jan Albersen, plaintiff, against Jacob Thijsen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands reparation of character as the defendant called him a chicken thief.

The defendant produces a deposition made by Gerrit Slechtenhorst, containing testimony about a hen at one time detained at the house of Jan Albersen, which belonged to the deponent.

The court, having heard the parties and seen the deposition, find the matter somewhat obscure, but order the parties to satisfy each other and mutually to refrain from further proceedings in the matter.

Jan van Eeckelen, plaintiff, against
1st default. Reynier Wisselpenninck and Willem Brouwer, defendants.

Jan van Eckelen, plaintiff, against the wife of Michiel Teunesen,* defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of five schepels of wheat and two gilders in sewant.

The defendant denies that he owes the wheat, but admits that he owes twelve gilders in sewant.

The honorable court orders the husband of the defendant to appear on the next court day.

Pieter Boudt, plaintiff, against
1st default. Cornelus Hoogenboom, defendant.

Idem plaintiff, by his wife, against Abraham Carpeyn, defendant.

The honorable court orders the [plaintiff’s]† husband to appear on the next court day.

* Grietje Jacobsen
† The text has “defendant’s” by mistake.
Poulus Cornelussen, plaintiff, against  
1st default.  Hans Caerelsen, defendant.  

Francois Boon, plaintiff, against  
1st default.  Jan Harmsen, defendant.  

[139] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange  
March 2, 1660  

Present:  
La Montagne  
Francois Boon  
Anderies Herpertsen  
Alexander Leendersen  
Jan Verbeeck  

Hendrick Jochemsen, plaintiff, against  
Default. the wife of Gijsbert de Vos, defendant.  

Jacob Thijsen, plaintiff, against  
Default. Jan Albersen, defendant.  

Cristoffel Davidts, plaintiff, against  
Default. Willem Jansen, defendant.  

Jan van Eeckelen, plaintiff, against Reynier Wisselpenninck, defendant.  
The plaintiff demands payment of 17 schepels of wheat.  
The defendant fails to appear but has ordered the court messenger to 
acknowledge the debt.  
The court condemns the defendant to pay the plaintiff the wheat 
demanded within the period of six weeks.  

Idem plaintiff, against  
2d default. Willem Brouwer, defendant.  

Rutger Jacobsen, plaintiff, against  
2d default. Hendrick Claesen, defendant.  

Teunis Tomassen, plaintiff, against  
1st default. Cornelus Hoogenboom, defendant.  

Poulus Cornelussen, plaintiff, against Hans Carelsen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands delivery of 30 *schepels* of salt.
The honorable court refers the parties to referees.

[140] Jacob Hendricksen, plaintiff, against Poulus Martensen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of thirty guilders, arising from an attachment of the purchase money of the house of Jacob Neus in the hands of the defendant.
The honorable court orders the defendant to pay the required sum to the plaintiff within the space of six weeks and to recover the amount from Jacob Neus.

Jan Labatie, plaintiff, against Default. Jacob Theunesen, defendant.

Pieter Boudt, plaintiff, against Abraham Carpeyn, defendant.
The plaintiff says that the defendant claims fourteen guilders from him and maintains that he owes him nothing, as he paid the defendant with a half barrel of good beer won in a wager.
The honorable court orders the plaintiff to pay the defendant the aforesaid fourteen guilders according to his confession, as a wager is not accepted in payment before the court.

[141] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
April 20, 1660

Present:
La Montagne
Francois Boon
Anderies Herpertsen
Jan Verbeeck

Jochem Wessels, plaintiff, against Jan van Eeckelen, defendant.
The plaintiff, as attorney for Hans Coenraets, demands payment by the defendant of one hundred twenty-seven *schepels* of wheat.
The defendant admits the debt.
The court condemns the defendant as heretofore to pay the aforesaid wheat and orders the plaintiff to hand the judgment to the court messenger to execute the same.

Thoomas Pouly, plaintiff, against Cathalyna Simsons, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment by the defendant of the sum of two
hundred fifty guilders, arising from [the purchase of] a horse.

The defendant admits the debt, but says that she has put the matter into the hands of the orphan masters.

The plaintiff demands his rights.

The court, having heard the parties, orders the plaintiff to wait until curators of the said estate are appointed.

Jacobus Jansen, plaintiff, against
Default. Baltus Jacobsen, defendant.

The plaintiff gives notice of the attachment of the defendant’s money in the hands of Thoomas Janssen and request that the attachment may be declared valid.

The honorable court provisionally issues a writ of attachment and orders that the defendant shall be summoned again.

[142] Dirck Teunesen, plaintiff, against Baltus Jacobsen, defendant.

The plaintiff gives notice of the attachment of the defendant’s money in the hands of Thoomas Jansen and requests to be admitted to the conference with others.

Fiat ut supra.

Theunes Theunesen, plaintiff, against
3rd default. Cornelus Hoogenboom, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of twenty-eight guilders in sewant.

The honorable court orders the defendant by default to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded, within the space of 14 days, on pain of attachment.

Jacob Thijsen, plaintiff, against
2d default. Jan Albersen, defendant.

The honorable court, seeing the promissory note executed by the defendant, Jan Albersen, and that referees decided that the defendant must pay the plaintiff the said twenty-six guilders according to the note, hereby confirm the decision of the arbitrators and condemn the defendant to pay the said sum in cash, on pain of attachment, with the costs of this suit.

Arendt van den Bergh, plaintiff, against
2d default. Hendrick Gerritsen, defendant.

Anderies de Vosch, plaintiff, against
1st default. Wijnandt Gerritsen, defendant.
Jan van Eeckelen, plaintiff, against Michiel Theunesen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment by the defendant of 5 schepels of wheat and eight white loaves.

The defendant admits that he owes 4 schepels of wheat and 15 stivers.

The honorable court orders the defendant to pay the sum demanded, the grain at market value, and that within the space of three weeks, on pain of attachment.

Jan van Eeckelen, plaintiff, against Cathalina Samsons, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant the sum of one hundred guilders in sewant.

The defendant admits the debt.

The honorable court orders the plaintiff also to wait until curators of the same estate are appointed.

Goosen Gerritsen, plaintiff, against Adriaen Janssen, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant's garden adjoins his and that he is not willing to assist in putting up a fence, made of planks.

The defendant says that he is not obliged to put up a fence, made of planks.

The plaintiff replies that for want of a good fence he will suffer damage to his trees.

The honorable court, having heard the parties on both sides and considered the matter, orders the defendant to fence off his part and parcel and provide it with such a fence and materials as according to circumstances he shall be able to obtain, so that his neighbor may remain free from damage.

Cornelius Comelussen, plaintiff, against 1st default. Claes Theunesen, defendant.

[144] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
April 27, 1660

Present:

La Montagne
Francois Boon
Anderies Herpertsen
Sander Leendersen
Jan Verbeeck
Volckert Jansen, plaintiff, against Default. Cornelis Vosch, defendant.

Fop Baerentsen, plaintiff, against Default. Cornelis Vosch, defendant.

The honorable burgomaster, Aldert Anthony, appearing before the court, offers to the poor three beavers which are due to him from Cornelis Vosch, arising from some merchandise which Jan Carstensen, deceased, bought of the burgomaster, and appoints Philip Pietersen his attorney to execute the matter.

Anderies de Vosch, plaintiff, against 1st default. Wijnandt Gerritsen, defendant.

Daniel Rinckhoudt, plaintiff, against Default. Jan van Hoesem, defendant.

Idem plaintiff, against Theunes Tempelier, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant has had his money attached. The defendant says that he knows nothing about it, but that there is still due him from Harm Jacobsz, deceased, $136 in beavers for goods delivered.

The court declares the attachment not valid and refers the defendant to the curators of the estate of the said Harmen Jacobsz, deceased, to recover the amount of his claim.


Philip Meyndersen,* plaintiff, against Stijntie, the wife of Barent Meynd[ersen], defendant.

The plaintiff complains that the defendant called him a thief. The defendant says that the plaintiff steals her honor and accuses her of fornication.

The plaintiff denies it. The court orders the parties to produce their evidence in writing on the next court day.

Willem [surname omitted], plaintiff, and party attaching, against Baltus Jacobsen, defendant.

The plaintiff gives notice of a certain attachment of the defendant’s

* This is clearly an error for Philip Hendricksen; see session for the May 25, 1660 session, in which this case is continued and adjourned.
money in the hands of Thoomas Jansen and requests participation in the conference with others.

The court grants the plaintiff's request.

[146] Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
May 1, 1660

Present:
La Montagne
Francois Boon
Anderies Herpertsen
Sander Leendersen
Jan Verbeeck

In the place of the retiring magistrates, namely Pieter Hartgers and Francois Boon, ordinary magistrates, and Dirck Jansen Croon, extraordinary magistrate, according to the resolution of the honorable director general and council of New Netherland, Rutger Jacobsen, Frans Baerentsen, and Evert Jansen Wendel have been chosen and confirmed as magistrates from the double number nominated, who, having been summoned by the court, have undertaken the oath of fidelity as follows:

We, the undersigned, chosen magistrates of the bench of justice of Fort Orange and the village of Beverwijck, promise and swear in the presence of God Almighty that with the help of our colleagues we shall administer true law and justice between man and man and further execute and promote all matters touching justice and government, to the best of our knowledge and ability. Also, that we shall in every way conduct ourselves loyally and faithfully toward the lords states general of the United Netherlands, the lords directors of the Chartered West India Company and the director general and council of New Netherland, promising furthermore that we shall help to maintain here [147] the Reformed Religion according to God's Word and the regulations of the Synod of Dordrecht, and not tolerate publicly any sects. So truly may God Almighty help us.

After having been congratulated, the aforesaid Rutger Jacobsen, Frans Baerentsen Pastoor, and Evert Jansen Wendel have this day taken their seats.

The retiring magistrates are discharged from their oaths and thanked for their good services while holding office, with the promise that with
respect to their honorarium of $450 a year they will at the opportune time and when the treasury is well provided receive consideration and be paid the same as the present and future magistrates.

After deliberation it is resolved and decided that the annually retiring magistrates for the space of one year after their discharge shall be exempt from the ordinary duties of the burgher guard. Nevertheless, if need be, they shall, when commanded to do so, be held to perform all extraordinary round and guard duties the same as other burghers, pursuant to the resolution taken and confirmed by the honorable director general and council of New Netherland.

Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
May 25, 1660

Present:

La Montagne
Anderies Herpertsen
Sander Leendersen
Jan Verbeeck
Rutger Jacobsen
Frans Baerentsen
Evert Jansen Wendel

Volkert Jansen, plaintiff, against Comelis Vosch, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment by the defendant of eighty-five guilders, ten stivers, for beer received.

The defendant admits the debt, but says that he has a claim against the plaintiff's partner.

The plaintiff replies that the alleged claim has no connection with the present action and that the defendant must therefore address himself to his partner.

The court condemns the defendant to pay the required sum according to his promise to the plaintiff within the space of one month and meanwhile to address himself to the aforesaid partner.

Philip Hendricksen, plaintiff, against Itien Adriaensen,* defendant.

The plaintiff demands reparation of honor as heretofore on the 27th of April last, on account of abusive language, to wit, because the

* Stijntie Adriaensen, the wife of Barent Meyndersen; see the April 27, 1660, session.
defendant called the plaintiff a thief and accused him of having stolen
maize.
   The court adjourns the case to the next court day.

_Idem_ plaintiff, against Baerent Meyndersen, defendant.
   The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of eighty-four
guilders for beer received.
   The court condemns the defendant to pay the sum demanded within
the period of six weeks.

[149] _Itien Adriaensen, plaintiff, against Elsjen Hendricksen,* defendant._
   The plaintiff says that the defendant called her a whore and demands
reparation of honor.
   The parties having been heard, the court refers them to the next court
day to bring in their evidence.

Elsjen Tierx, plaintiff, against Annetie van Geringen, defendant.
   The plaintiff says that the defendant called her a whore and produces
a deposition of three witnesses.
   The defendant denies that she said it, but says that the plaintiff called
her a whore.
   The court orders the defendant to bring further evidence on the next
court day.

Philip Hendricksen, plaintiff, against Harmen _Metselaer_, defendant.
   The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of fifty-eight
guilders, 2 stivers for beer received.
   The defendant’s wife, appearing, admits the debt and produces a
counterclaim of three and one-half beavers for wages.
   The honorable court, having heard the parties, order them to settle
with each other.

Jacobus Jansen, plaintiff, against Baltus Jacobsen, defendant.
   The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of sixty-four
guilders, 6 stivers.
   The defendant admits the debt.
   The court refers the parties to the previous judgment of the 20th of
April last.

Willem Teller, plaintiff, against Baltus Jacobsen, defendant.
   The plaintiff demands payment by the defendant of three beavers.

* Elsjen Tierx, wife of Philip Hendricksen
The defendant admits the debt.
The court refers the parties to the previous judgment.

[150] Jurriaen Theunesen, plaintiff, against
1st default. Daniel Rinckhoudt, defendant.

Daniel Rinckhoudt, plaintiff, against
1st default. Pieter Jacobsen Bosboom, defendant.

Arent van den Bergh, plaintiff, against
2nd default. Hendrick Gerrtsen, defendant.

Claes van den Bergh, plaintiff, against the wife of Carsten Fred[erick-
sen], defendant.
The plaintiff says that the defendant bought linen from his wife
against his will and without his knowledge.
The defendant says that she could not know that the plaintiff’s wife
sold it against the will and without the knowledge of her husband, but
that she said that she did it because of need.
The court, having heard the parties, condemn the defendant to return
the claimed linen to the plaintiff, provided that the plaintiff return the
money received.

Claes van den Bergh, plaintiff, against Poulus Martensen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands from the defendant delivery and the key of his
house in order to occupy the same.
The honorable court orders the defendant to give the plaintiff posses­sion of the aforesaid house, provided that the defendant pay as soon as
the attachment by the plaintiff is vacated.

Asser Levi, plaintiff, against Wijnandt Gerritsen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment for seven planks, for which he
produces a promissory note and makes claim for all damages, losses,
house rent, and board, which he suffered here during the period of one
month.
The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff for the remaining
seven planks. As to the alleged expenses, the parties are referred to two
referees.

[151] Andries de Vos, plaintiff, against Wijnandt Gerritsen, defendant.
The plaintiff asks payment of the defendant for 46 planks.
The defendant denies the debt.
The honorable court orders the plaintiff to produce his evidence on
the next court day according to his promise.

Harmen Bastiaens, plaintiff, against Wijnandt Gerritsen, defendant.
   The plaintiff demands payment of the defendant for 178 planks.
   The defendant denies the debt.
   The court orders the plaintiff to produce his evidence on the next court day.

Gillis Pietersen, plaintiff, against Baerent Meyndersen, defendant.
   The plaintiff demands payment of house rent and says that the defendant offered him ten beavers.
   The defendant denies that he made any contract of lease.
   The court refers the parties to referees.

The wife of Klaes Jansen*, plaintiff, against Hans Coenraets, defendant.
   The plaintiff demands payment by the defendant of two beavers.
   The defendant admits the debt.
   The honorable court condemns the defendant to pay the plaintiff the said beavers in cash.

[152] The honorable court having read the proceedings at the request of the officer of Fort Orange and the village of Beverwijck against the person of Immetie, the wife of Evert de Backer, and by plurality of votes having adjudged the conclusion of the said officer well founded, that the said Immetie acted directly contrary to the ordinance contained in the statues of the city of Amsterdam, folio 162, they have therefore condemned, as they do condemn the said Immetie hereby, in view of the opposition and contempt of court shown by her, to appear before the court and to ask God and the court forgiveness, not to do any business for six weeks and to pay a fine of two hundred, fifty guilders, with the costs of the trial, and she is to remain in the fort until the payment of the judgment. Done in Fort Orange, the 25th day of May Anno 1660.

Gerrit Swardt, schout of the colony of Rensselaerswijck, enters an attachment against La Montagne for the sum of twenty-five guilders belonging to Jan Anderiesen de Graef.

* The name of his wife is unknown.
Show with due reverence and respect, the undersigned persons, that they, the petitioners, are again awaiting the coming trading season and notice that the Christians are again about to run into the woods as brokers in order by surreptitious and improper ways to get the trade entirely into their hands, which can only tend to the general decline and utter ruination of Fort Orange and the village of Beverwijck; yes, what is more, the said running in the woods is accompanied by many excessive and shameful irregularities for which God the Lord would punish such a place, all of which has no other motive than greed. Therefore, they, the petitioners, by a majority of votes and signatures to this petition, request your honors, who sit there as good mediators, that these calamities may be timely prevented in the interest of the peace and welfare of this community and its inhabitants, but that everyone may do his business with Indian brokers. Whereupon they await a favorable and marginal apostil. Which doing, etc. Imploring, etc. In and upon everything, etc. Underneath was written: Your honors' subjects; and was signed: Volkert Jansz, Jacob Schermerhooren, Philip Pietersen, Leendert Phlipsen, the mark of Jan van Aken, Jan Thoomassen, Aemout Cornelissen, Gerrit Slechtenhorst, Jan Mangelsen, Pieter van Alen, Mathias Jansen, Dirck Jansen Croon. Gijsbert Jansen, Abraham Staets, Lambert Albersen, Hans Hendricksen, Theunis Cornelissen, Willem Teller, Ariaen Gerritsen, Carsten Fredericksen, Baerent Meyndersen, Willem Brouwer, Baerent Jansen, Lourens van Alen, and Davit Schuyler.

Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange

Andries Herpertsen is of opinion that neither Indians nor Christians ought to run in the woods as brokers.

Sander Leendersen agrees with the above.

Jan Verbeeck adheres to the former resolution, to wit, that the matter is to be regulated according to the vote of the majority of the community.

Frans Baerentsen is of opinion that inasmuch as the community has been legally summoned to express their opinion in the matter of the trade, it
is to be regulated with the vote of the majority and they shall have the preference.

Evert Jansen Wendel is of opinion that neither Indians nor Christians ought to run in the woods as brokers.

La Montagne is of opinion in this difficult matter, where there are two directly opposite parties, one asking to be allowed to employ Indian brokers and no Christians, and the other Christians and no Indians, that, according to the ordinance issued about the said matter in the year 1654 and since then published here every year,* no brokers of either nation shall be employed, but that the Indians unsolicited shall be allowed to trade their beavers where they please.

[155] The commissary and magistrates of Fort Orange and the village of Beverwijck, being assembled upon the repeated complaints of the community about the trade, have by majority vote decided, as they hereby decide, provisionally for this year that no brokers, whether Christians or Indians, shall be employed, but that the Indians without being called or solicited shall be allowed to trade their beavers where they please. Furthermore, permission is given to everyone to go to the hill, as far up as the houses stand, to inquire where the Indians wish to go, and likewise to the strand where the Indians arrive, under penalty of a fine of three hundred guilders and suspension of their business for the period of two months for those who are found to have acted contrary hereto, to be executed without any exception or regard of persons. And in the absence of the officer another shall be substituted in his place to maintain this ordinance. Actum in Fort Orange, ultimo May 1660.

[156] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
June 1, 1660

Present:

La Montagne
Anderies Herpertsen
Sander Leend[ersen]
Evert Jansen Wendel

Anderies de Vosch, plaintiff, against Wijnandt Gerritsen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment for sixty-four planks and produces as

* See LO, 383, for this ordinance.
witness Cornelis Theunesen van Slijck, who says that the defendant agreed to pay the debt.

The honorable court, having heard the parties, condemns the defendant to pay for the planks in question in cash.

Abraham Carpeyn, plaintiff, against Immetie, the wife of Everdt *de Backer*, defendant.

The plaintiff complains that the defendant took linen and other goods from the house of Lambert van Valkenb[urg] that belonged to him.

The defendant says that she took it on account of debt.

The court condemns the plaintiff to pay the defendant and also orders the defendant to return the linen.

Harmen Bastiaensen, plaintiff, against Wijnandt Gerritsen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant [payment for] one hundred seventy-eight planks, as on the preceding court day, being the 25th of May, producing a signature thereof.

The defendant says that the debt was incurred by Abraham Vosburg-en and the defendant, being both partners, and claims that he is to pay but one-half.

The court condemns the defendant to pay the plaintiff [for] the said planks, according to his promissory note, within the time of six weeks, and to apply for reimbursement to his partner.

[157] Harmen Thoomassen, plaintiff, against Willem Brouwer, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of sixty guilders.

The defendant admits the debt.

The court refers the parties to referees to go over the accounts and settle the matter.

Gillen Pietersen, plaintiff, against Baerent Meyndersen, defendant.

The plaintiff asks as before on the 25th of May payment of the house rent and says that the defendant offered him ten beavers.

The defendant denies that he made the offer.

The court condemns the defendant to pay the plaintiff eight beavers.

Baerent Albersen, plaintiff, against the widow of Abraham Vosburgen, deceased, *defendant.*

The plaintiff demands payment of eighty guilders, according to the contract made with her husband, deceased.

* Geertruyt Pietersen
The defendant says that she has no knowledge of it.
The court orders the plaintiff to produce proof on the next court day.

Baerent Albersen, plaintiff, against Lowies Coobesen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands the return of a canoe, which he loaned to the defendant.
The defendant admits that he borrowed the canoe.
The court orders the parties to agree with each other.

Femmetien Albers, plaintiff, against Abraham Carpeyn, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment for a half barrel of good beer.
The defendant denies the debt.
The court orders the plaintiff to produce proof.

Ester Fonda gives notice of an attachment in the hands of Daniel Rinckhout for the sum of one hundred sixty guilders, belonging to Femmetien Albers.

[158] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
June 8, 1660

Present:
La Montagne
Anderies Herpertsen
Jan Verbeeck
Rutger Jacobsen
Frans Baerentsen
Evert Wendel
Sander Leendersen

Albert Gijsbertsen, plaintiff, against Maria Goosens, defendant.
The plaintiff says that the defendant called him a thief and demands reparation of honor.
The defendant denies that she said it.
The parties are ordered to appear on the next court day.

Jurriaen Theunesen, plaintiff, against Cornelis Woutersen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of sixty guilders.
The defendant admits the debt.
The honorable court condemns the defendant to pay the sum demanded to the plaintiff within the space of 14 days.
Jan van Eeckelen, plaintiff, against Dirrick Smidt, defendant, against whom an attachment has been levied.

The plaintiff says that he delivered to the defendant and shipped on board his yacht one hundred thirty planks to be delivered to Nicolaes Meyer, who still claims fifty-two planks which the defendant has not delivered.

The defendant denies that he received as many planks from the plaintiff, claiming that he received only seventy-eight.

The honorable court refers the parties to the burgomasters and schepens of the city of Amsterdam in New Netherland, the plaintiff retaining his action against the debtors who put the planks on board. Meanwhile the attachment against the defendant is vacated.

Femmetie Alberts, plaintiff, against Abraham Carpeyn, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment for a half barrel of good beer, which the defendant bartered away while the plaintiff was at the Manhattans.

The defendant denies the debt.

Before the court appeared Lambert van Neck and Cornelis Theunesen Bosch, who declared that the defendant made a great noise and public disturbance in the house of Femmetien Albers, during her absence.

The honorable court condemns the defendant to pay the plaintiff for the half barrel of good beer and, in addition, to pay a pound Flemish for the benefit of the poor, on account of his offensive language.

Cornelis Cornelissen, plaintiff, against the wife of Claes Theunesen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of sixty guilders.

The defendant admits the debt, but says that he assigned his debt to Eldert Gerbersen.

Daniel Rinckhout, plaintiff, against Default. Jan Fransen, defendant.

Cornelis Theunesen and Jacob Thijsen, plaintiffs, against Willem Teller, defendant.

The plaintiffs say that the defendant called them rabble and robbed them of their reputations. They demand reparation of honor.

The defendant asserts that he said that it would be a miserable thing if I or the common people or rabble should rebel against the law of the public authorities or make a law against the Heeren.*

Lambert van Neck and Hendrick Rooseboom, appearing before

* Reference is to the Heeren XIX, the nineteen WIC directors.
the honorable court at the request of Cornelis Theunesen, say that they
heard Willem Teller say, “If the principals of this place listened to this
rabble, they would be crazy”; without, however, mentioning any names.

The court appoints the next court day for the parties to institute their
proceedings.

Baerent Albersen, plaintiff, against
Default. Geertruy Vosburgen, defendant.

Jan Labatie, plaintiff, against Jacob Theunesen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands the return of a saddle and bridle loaned to the
defendant.

The defendant says that the same are in the Esoopus and are kept by
Thoomas Chambers, and he admits the debt.

The honorable court condemns the defendant to return the aforesaid
saddle and bridle to the plaintiff in as good condition as he received them.

Theunes Spitsbergen, plaintiff, against Jaques Thijsen de Oude , defen-
dant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of one hundred
ninety-seven guilders for planks delivered.

The defendant admits the debt.

The honorable court condemns the defendant to pay the plaintiff the
sum demanded, the latter to receive the first rent of the defendant’s house.
The defendant mortgages and pledges his house as security.

Jan van Eeckelen, plaintiff, against
1 st default. Willem Brouwer, defendant.

Gerrit Swardt, plaintiff, against
Default. Claes Beever, defendant.

Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
June 12, 1660

Present:

Anderies Herpertsen
Sander Leendersen
Jan Verbeeck

La Montagne, in his capacity as officer, plaintiff, against Jan Harmsen,
defendant.
The plaintiff complains that the defendant, against the ordinance of the court of Fort Orange and the village of Beverwijck, has ventured to go into the woods and has brought out Indians with beavers.

The defendant acknowledges that he has been in the woods to seek an Indian who had robbed him, but not for the purpose of attracting the Indians with beavers.

The plaintiff requests that the defendant be examined on the following interrogatories:

Interrogatory of Jan Harmsen conducted at the request of the officer

1. Whether on the tenth of this month he has not been in the woods, on the *Maquas* path?  
   Answers, Yes.

2. Whether he was not found there by the soldiers with a gun on his shoulder?  
   Answers, Yes.

3. Whether in the woods he did not speak to some Indians who had beavers with them?  
   Answers, Yes.

4. Whether he did not urge and request the said Indians to trade their beavers at his house?  
   Answers, No.

5. Whether he did not acquire the beavers of some of the said Indians by barter?  
   Answers, Says he does not know.

6. Whether, in coming out of the woods, he did not enter by one of the two gates from the hill?  
   Answers, No, but through the gate near *Lange Maria’s.*

To which answers Jan Harmsen refuses to swear, he refusing likewise to sign the statements made by him.

The honorable court gives the defendant respite until the next court day and orders him to obtain at once a copy of his answers to the interrogatory to swear to it at that time.

* See note on page 176. This tavern called *De Vrouw Maria* was located on the west side of the road leading from the south gate of the stockade around Beverwijck to Fort Orange.
Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange  
June 15, 1660

Present:

Anderies Herpertsen  
Jan Verbeeck  
Frans Baerentsen  
Evert Wendel

The officer, plaintiff, against Jan Harmsen, defendant.

The plaintiff complains that the defendant contrary to the ordinance of the court has ventured to go into the woods and to fetch Indians with beavers, as appeared on the preceding court day by examination of the defendant on interrogatories, to which answers the defendant refuses to swear. The honorable plaintiff therefore demands that the defendant shall be punished according to the ordinance, citing in order to expedite justice the statutes of Amsterdam, folio 121, article 11, in regard to the taking of an oath, which reads as follows, “In case the defendant refuses to take the oath, he shall be condemned as [in effect] confessing and acknowledging the charges against him.” And in conformity with the ordinance of the said court, he [demands that the defendant] be fined three hundred guilders and, in addition, be suspended from his business for the period of two months.

The defendant refuses to take the oath.

The honorable court, having deliberated the case and seeing that the defendant refuses to take the oath, condemns the defendant to pay a fine of three hundred guilders in cash, according to the ordinance, and to be suspended from his business for a period of two months; with expenses.

The honorable officer, plaintiff, against Volkert Jansen, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant has presumed to send Indian brokers into the woods, contrary to the ordinance of the honorable court.

Jacob Schermerhooren, appearing before the court, declares that he saw an Indian go to the hill with a white blanket around his body, which said Indian brought back with him two Indians with beavers and entered the house of Volkert Jansen. Immediately after he saw the same Indian again go toward the hill and bring back another Indian with beavers.

Gerrit Slechtenhorst confirms the above.

Goosen Gerritsen and Gerrit Bancken, appearing before the court, declare that the Indian said that he was sent out by Volckert Jansen.

Philip Pietersen, declares that he saw an Indian go toward the hill and
return from the hill with another Indian and that he asked him for whom he went out to get Indians and that he answered for Volkert Jansen.

The defendant has purged himself by oath, to wit, that he neither kept nor sent out any brokers, whether directly or indirectly.

**Idem** plaintiff, against Willem Brouwer, defendant.

The plaintiff says and complains that the defendant has presumed to send brokers into the woods.

The defendant denies that he sent out any brokers.

[165] Lourens van Alen, Cornelus Bogardus, and Daniel Jansen declare that they saw the boy of Willem Brouwer coming down the hill with two Indians who had beavers with them and say that they went into Willem Brouwer's house about ten o'clock in the evening.

The defendant has purged himself by oath.

The officer, plaintiff, against Jan van Aken, defendant.

The plaintiff complains that the defendant contrary to the ordinance of the court has sent brokers into the woods.

The defendant denies that he sent any brokers into the woods.

Jan Thoomassen declares that he asked the Indian where he was going, whereupon he replied, "To de Smidts."*

Jacob Schermerhooren, Philip Pietersen, and Gerrit Bancken declare that the aforesaid Indian went into the house of Jan van Aken.

The defendant purges himself under oath.

**Idem** plaintiff, against Daniel Jansen, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant sent brokers into the woods, which is contrary to the latest ordinance of the court.

Jacob Schermerhooren, Anderies Herpertsen, and Hendrick Rooseboom declare that Indians with beavers, coming down the hill, went to the house of Daniel Jansen. Immediately after an Indian with a blanket walked up the hill and did not come back. They claim that he was a broker.

[166] The defendant admits that he gave a blanket to the Indian, but not for purposes of brokerage.

The honorable court allows the defendant time until the next court day to purge himself under oath.

The officer, plaintiff, against Jurriaen Jansen, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant sent brokers into the woods, which is contrary to the latest ordinance of the court.

* Jan (Coster) van Aecken was a blacksmith.
The defendant denies having sent brokers into the woods.

Gerrit Bancken and Gijsbert Jansen declare before the court, at the request of the officer, that an Indian, who the day before had traded at Jurriaen Jansen's, again came with other Indians down the hill and brought them to Jurriaen Jansen.

The defendant admits that the Indian, who the day before had traded with him, came again with other Indians with beavers.

The defendant purged himself under oath.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Jan Thoomassen, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant sent brokers into the woods.

The defendant denies it and purges himself under oath.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Jacob Thijsen, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant sent brokers into the woods.

[167] Gerrit Slechtenhorst, Hans Hendricksen, and Willem Jansen Schut declare that on the 14th of this month they saw an Indian coming down the hill with other Indians who had beavers with them. They went to the house of Jacob Thijsen, and the broker remained in the said house while the Indians returned toward the hill after having left their beavers at the house of Jacob Thijsen.

The defendant denies it and has purged himself under oath.

Adriaen Jansen van Leyden, plaintiff, against Wijnandt Gerritsen, defendant.

The plaintiff, as attorney of the widow of Abraham Vosburgen, deceased, demands delivery of a sawmill, according to the contract made by referees on the 16th of March, by virtue of a compromise.

The defendant says that he is not bound to deliver the mill before and until he has had satisfaction from the plaintiff.

The honorable court orders that the defendant shall make delivery according to the contract and inventory thereof and that from this date the defendant retains his alleged claim against the widow. In case of refusal, he shall forfeit twenty-five guilders for each day's delay until the delivery of the said mill.

[168] At the request of the community, the court has provisionally granted for this year to all burghers and inhabitants of this village of Beverwijk the right to employ Indian brokers, without the employment, however, of any Dutch people, under the penalty mentioned in the ordinance; nor shall they be allowed to send any presents into the woods, which presents it hereby declares subject to confiscation, one-half for the benefit of the
officer and the other half for the benefit of the informer. *Actum* in Fort Orange, the 15th of June 1660.

Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange  
June 17, 1660

Jacob Thijsen, Cornelis Theunesen, Gerrit Slechtenhorst, and Lambert van Neck, appearing before the court, request that the trade may be thrown open, to wit, that Dutch as well as Indian brokers may be employed.

[169] Copy of the petition concerning the trade, on which the following apostil is granted:

To the Honorable Gentlemen, the Commissary and Magistrates of Fort Orange and the village of Beverwijck

Show with due reverence and respect, the undersigned petitioners, that they have heard that on the 25th of May last of the year 1660, a petition was presented in regard to the trade by some principals who, being moved by excessive greed and jealousy, make themselves believe and imagine that they thereby increase the trade. This is a pretext invented for no other purpose than to divert the trade to themselves and inspired by greed, as above stated. But considering that many a poor person could earn a beaver and the community would be better served, it has seemed to the petitioners, representing a majority of the people, that as an extra precaution it would be well to submit to your honors in proper form what is well known to all the inhabitants, for the petitioners hope that your honors in accordance with your civic duty and the privileges of the praiseworthy fatherland will not tolerate that the community be oppressed, considering that the least [of the citizens] has as much right as the most [important one], since the country must exist by them, and they, the petitioners, can prove that they are not rabble, as they were called yesterday, because they live decently. The petitioners therefore conclude and request that everyone may be allowed to do the best he can with Christians and with Indians, [170] in order that he help himself with honor and to the best of his ability, everyone to enjoy what belongs to him, to love his neighbor, and to do what shall tend to the salvation of his soul. Whereupon the petitioners expect a favorable marginal apostil.
Actum the 27th of May Anno 1660, in Beverwijck.
Underneath was written:

Which doing, etc. Praying in and upon everything, etc. Your honors’ willing and affectionate subjects: Was signed: Jan Dircksen van Breemen, Arent Jansen van Hoeck, Jan Harmsen, Rem Jansen, Jacob Thijsen van der Heyden, Cornelis Theunesen Bosch, Daniel Verveelen, Jacob Jansen, the mark of Lambert van Valkenburgh, Pieter Loockermans, Jan Jansen van Ekelen, the mark of Meyndert Fredricksen, Thoomas Pouw[elsen], the mark of Jan Fransen, the mark of Sijmon Volkerts, the mark of Theunes Cornelissen, the mark of Willem Fredricksen, the mark of Jan Harmsen, the mark of Mattheus Abrahamson, the mark of Jan Cornelissen, Pieter Loockermans de Jonge, the mark of Jochem Ketteleyn, Jacob Loockermans, Willem Jansen Schut, the mark of Reynier Albertsen, Jan Vinhagel, Hendrick Anderiesen, Anderies de Vosch, Jan Schekel, Pieter Winnen, Jan Cornel[issen] Leyden, Jan Michaeelsen, Jochem Wessel, Jurrian Theunesen, Daniel Rinckhout, Pieter Bronck, Harmen Bastiaensen, Jacob van Laer, Cornelius Bogardus, Pieter Adriaensen, Claes Marrechael, [171] Philip Hendricksen, Adriaen Appel, Sijmon Sijmons, Baerent Meynderensen, the mark of Wouter Albersen, Gillis Pietersen, Hendrick Rooseboom, Claes Jacobsen, Cornelis Vosch, Willem Jansen Stol, and Wijnandt Gerritsen vande Poel.*

The honorable court having read a petition presented to their honors by Jacob Tijsen, Gerrit Slechtenhorst, and Lambert van Neck, as representatives of eighty inhabitants of this village of Beverwijck, who signed the petition, whereby they earnestly request that the Dutch may be allowed to go into the woods as brokers, which, although of dangerous consequence, cannot be prevented without causing greater mischief. It is therefore left to the discretion of the petitioners to do or not to do it, the court protesting meanwhile their innocence of all mischief that may result therefrom, the more so as some of the petitioners have said that they would do it anyway, whether it was permitted or not.

* None of the marks mentioned above was copied from the original petition into this copy of the court minutes.
Proposal Made by the *Maquas* in Fort Orange
the 26th of June, 1660

Present:

La Montagne
Rutger Jacobsen
Jan Verbeeck
Sander Leendersen
Evert Wendel
Frans Baerientsen
Anderies Herpertsen

They say, first, that when the Dutch are in the woods to fetch Indians, they beat them severely with fists and drive them out of the woods; and for this reason they ask who of the three nations are to be the masters, the *Maquas*, the *Sinnekus*, or the Dutch? They say that it might develop into the same trouble as between the Dutch and the Indians in the Esopus.

They request that no Dutchmen with horses or otherwise may be allowed to roam in the woods to fetch Indians with beavers, because they maltreat them greatly, and at once ten or twelve of them surround an Indian and drag him along, saying, “Come with me, so and so has no goods,” thus impeding one another, which they fear will end badly.

They tell us to forbid the Dutch to molest the Indians as heretofore by kicking, beating, and assaulting them, in order that we may not break the old friendship, which we have enjoyed for more than thirty years, and if it is not prevented, they will go away and not be seen by us anymore. Whereupon they offered $\frac{3}{2}$ fathoms of sewant.

La Montagne advises to give the *Maquas* for answer that we had never heard that any violence was done to them in the woods and that they had never complained of it, but now that we have heard their complaints, we promise them to do our best to prevent it, and if they can report the persons, we shall punish them. As to the Dutchmen who roam in the woods, we shall forbid them to do so, but if no *Maquas* brokers were employed, it would be easier to forbid it to the Dutch, and then the Indians could exchange their beavers unmolested wherever they pleased.

Anderies Herpertsen advises to give the Indians for answer that we shall write about it to the director general, as we did not know that the Dutch did them any harm, and if it happened that any harm was done to them,
they should report it and that the guilty persons would be punished.

Jan Verbeeck advises to give the Indians for answer that we shall forbid the Dutch to roam in the woods, and if they are molested in the woods by the Dutch that they must make complaint thereof to the officer.

[174] Sander Leendersen advises to give the Indians for answer that we shall forbid the Dutch henceforth to roam in the woods.

Rutger Jacobsen advises, in order to prevent outrages, that no Dutchmen be allowed to roam in the woods to fetch Indians, but that Indian brokers be employed, as the Indians greatly request and warn us against evil consequences in their proposals, and also to notify the Indians that the Dutch shall hereafter be forbidden to roam in the woods.

Frans Baerentsen Pastoor advises, in answer to the Maquas's complaint and warning, in order to avoid all outrages, to notify them that from now on no Dutchmen shall be allowed to roam in the woods.

Evert Jansen Wendel agrees with the above and, in view of the complaint of the Maquas which predicts a bad ending, advises that they be notified that no Dutchmen shall be allowed to roam in the woods anymore.

[175] The honorable court of Fort Orange and the village of Beverwijck, having heard the earnest complaints of the Maquas, being some sachems of the same, about the ill behavior toward them on the part of the Dutch, who on horseback go up and down in the woods and not only take away their beavers by force and carry them, leaving the Indians to run after them, but also knock and throw them around, as is shown more fully in the complaint of the said Maquas made to the court, which assaults and insults are contrary to the welfare and the peace of this place, and apparently would create war between us and the Maquas; therefore, their honors consider it their official duty to provide therein and have decided, as they decide hereby, to forbid all inhabitants of this place to go roaming in the woods as brokers to attract the Indians with beavers, under penalty of a fine of three hundred guilders and the suspension from the trade for this year.

Thus done in the session held at Fort Orange on the 28th of June 1660.
Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
June 30, 1660

Present:
La Montagne
Anderies Herpert[sen]
Frans Barentsen
Jan Verbeeck
Evert Wendel

Albert Gijsbertsen, plaintiff, against Maria Goosens, defendant.
The plaintiff demands reparation of character because the defendant
called the plaintiff a thief.
The defendant denies that she said it, as far as she knows, but on the
contrary alleges that the plaintiff called her a whore, a pig, and a church
thief.
The plaintiff produces an affidavit of two witnesses.
The honorable court orders the defendant to produce her witnesses
on the next court day.

Cornelis van Sterrevelt, plaintiff, against Abraham Carpeyn, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of seven guilders, which he has
caustr to be attached in the hands of Harmen Metselaer.
The defendant admits the debt.
The honorable court declares the attachment valid.

Theunes Theunesen, plaintiff, against Anderies Herpertsen, defendant.
The plaintiff says that the defendant offered himself as surety for the
person of Cornelis Pot for the sum of twenty-eight guilders.
The defendant admits the debt.
The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded
within the period of six weeks.

Seeger Cornelissen, plaintiff, against Jan van Aken, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of three beavers for twenty sleigh-
loads of manure.
The defendant admits the debt.
The court orders the defendant to pay the beavers in cash.

Mr. Gijsbert van Imborch, plaintiff, against Jan Meyndersen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of five beavers for surgeon’s fees in
treating a gunshot through the arm.
The defendant acknowledges the treatment of the wound and with it the debt.

The honorable court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the beavers demanded within the space of six weeks.

Pieter Bosboom, plaintiff, against Daniel Rinckhoudt, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant bought his cart and horse and demands that the purchase shall hold good.

The defendant admits that he bought the horse.

The court condemns the defendant to pay for the cart and horse and declares the purchase valid.

Daniel Rinckhoudt, plaintiff, against the wife of Jan Fransen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of twenty-two beavers.

The defendant denies that she owes so much.

The court adjourns the case to the next court day.

[178] Tierck Claesen, plaintiff, against the wife of Jan Fransen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment by the defendant of five beavers, for which she became surety.

The defendant denies the debt and says that they settled with each other.

The court adjourns the case to the next court day and orders the parties to obtain a copy of the award of arbitrators who sat more than two years ago to decide the matter at issue between the parties.

Cornelis Wijnkoop, plaintiff, against Default. Harmen Bastiaensen, defendant.

The plaintiff enters an attachment against Frans Baerentsen for three beavers belonging to the defendant.

The honorable court provisionally declares the attachment valid.

Nicolaes Maier, plaintiff, against Dirckien Harmensen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of ninety-three beavers and six guilders in sewant.

The defendant declares that she does not know how much she owes.

The honorable court condemns the defendant to pay within the space of four weeks as upon liquidation and adjustment of accounts shall be found necessary, with costs.

[179] Adriaen Jansen van Leyden, plaintiff, against Wijnant Gerritsen, defendant.

The plaintiff, as attorney for the widow of Abraham Vosburgen,
deceased, complains that he can get no satisfaction from the contract and inventory according to the judgment rendered on the preceding court day.

The honorable court orders the marshall from this day, according to his commission, to execute the judgment and to take out of the defendant's house the saws and other tools that may serve to satisfy the inventory. Furthermore, Pieter Meesen and Reinier Wisselpenninck are authorized as impartial men to inspect the sawmill and after inspection to make a report of its fitness to be used for sawing. And all that shall be lacking shall be repaired at the expense of the defendant.

The officer, plaintiff, against Wijnant Gerritsen, defendant.

The plaintiff complains and says that the defendant has greatly slandered the honorable court by saying that their honors had rendered a false judgment. He produces an affidavit of three witnesses.

The defendant denies that he said it and says that he can produce other testimony.

The honorable court orders the defendant to bring proof of his assertion on the next court day.

[180] Pieter Meesen, plaintiff, against
Default. Philip Hendrickson, defendant.

The officer, plaintiff, against

*Idem* plaintiff, against
Default. Jan Gouw and Carel Jansen, defendants.

The wife of Jan Albers, plaintiff, against Witten Hendricksen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of eight guilders in sewant for a pair of shoes.

The defendant admits the debt.

The honorable court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded.

The wife of Jan Albers, plaintiff, against Femmetien Albers, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of six guilders, eleven stivers in sewant.

The honorable court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded.
Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange  
July 13, 1660

Present:
La Montagne
Andries Herperts
Jan Verbeeck
Frans Baerentsen
Evert Wendel

Albert Gijsbertsen, plaintiff, against Default. Maria Goosens, defendant.

The honorable court having seen that the defendant does not appear, orders the defendant to produce her evidence on the next court day on pain of being deprived of her right.

Cornelis Wijnkoop, plaintiff, against Pieter Jacobsen Bosboom, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment of six beavers for a piece of oats sold to him and has attached the same amount by Daniel Rinckhout.

The defendant admits the debt.

The honorable court declares the attachment valid.

Idem plaintiff, against 2d default. Harmen Bastiaensen, defendant.

Jan van Eckelen, plaintiff, against Default. Jacob Teunesen and Willem Brouwer, defendants.

Pieter Meesen, plaintiff, against Philip Hendricksen, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant has taken over [the obligation] on the part of Gijsbert Philipsen, deceased, to pay the sum of twenty-three beavers.

The defendant denies that he took over the debt, but says that he remained surety for Jan in Katskil*.

The honorable court orders the plaintiff to produce his evidence on the next court day.

Arendt vanden Bergh, plaintiff, against Hendrick Gerritsen, defendant.

* Jan Andriessen, the Irishman
The plaintiff demands payment of three beavers.
The defendant, having failed to appear for the third time, is con-
demned by default by the honorable court to pay the plaintiff the beavers
demanded.

Reynier Rijcken, plaintiff, against Willem Jansen Stol, defendant.
The plaintiff as attorney for Wouter Hendricksen Schoonhooven,
residing at Amsterdam in Holland, demands the sum of one hundred
guilders in Holland money and, in addition, half the profit made with the
said money and produces a promissory note to that effect.
The defendant’s wife, appearing before the honorable court, says that
she knows nothing of the said debt, but acknowledges that the note is in
the handwriting of her deceased husband.
The parties having been heard, the honorable court, seeing the defec-
tiveness of the note and the exception taken by the defendant on account
of her inability to pay, and the fact, as she says, that she received nothing
from her husband, refer the parties to arbitrators to be chosen respectively
with the consent of the plaintiff in order to settle the matter to the best
of their knowledge.

Idem plaintiff, against Willem Martensen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of one hundred
twenty-five guilders, seven stivers, in beavers, the same to be put on
board free and without expense, and produces a promissory note thereof.
The defendant admits the debt and offers to pay the same in Holland
upon sufficient surety.
The honorable court, having heard the parties, condemns the defen-
dant to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded in beavers within the space
of eight days.

[183] Goovert Loockermans, plaintiff, against Roelof Swardtwoudt,
defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of one hundred ninety guilders in
beavers according to the bond and mortgage which he produces before
the honorable court.
The defendant admits the debt and requests delay.
The honorable court, having heard the parties, orders the defendant
to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded according to the bond within the
space of eight days.

Dirck Jansen Croon, plaintiff, against Sander Leendersen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands payment of seventy-five beavers and 30
beavers' interest on the said sum.

The defendant admits the debt and promises to pay as soon as possible.

The honorable court condemns the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded within the space of three weeks, on pain of attachment.

_Idem_ plaintiff, against
Default. Wijnandt Gerritsen, defendant.

_Idem_ plaintiff, against
Default. Jan Michielsen, defendant.

Willem Telier, plaintiff, against
Default. Cornelis Theunesen and Jacob Tijsen, defendants.

[184] Daniel Verveelen, plaintiff, against Lambert van Valckenburgh, defendant.

The plaintiff [demands] of the defendant payment of twenty guilders in beavers.

The defendant admits the debt.

The court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded in beavers within the space of eight days.

Lambert van Valckenburgh, plaintiff, against the wife of Evert _de Backer_, defendant.

The plaintiff complains and says that the defendant, without their knowledge and in the absence of himself and his wife, has taken shirts, cravats, and other goods out of a chest, among other things two cravats that belonged to the plaintiff.

The defendant admits having done it, but says that the goods belonged to Abraham Carpeyn.

The court orders the defendant to restore the goods, the action of the officer being served.

Daniel Rinckhoudt, plaintiff, against the wife of Jan van Hoesem, defendant.

The plaintiff demands payment by the defendant of the sum of three hundred four guilders in beavers and three hundred seventy-five guilders in sewant.

The defendant admits the debt, but says that she does not know how much.

The honorable court orders the plaintiff to give the defendant an account.
Pieter Jacobsen Bosboom, plaintiff, against Daniel Rinckhoudt, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment for his horse sold to the defendant, on which a balance of two and a half ankners of brandy is due.

The defendant admits the debt, but says that it was agreed that he should pay one and a half ankners in the spring.

The honorable court, having heard the parties and also the plaintiff’s offer to confirm the same under oath, condemns the defendant to pay the plaintiff the brandy demanded within the space of eight days.

Volckert Jansen enters an attachment against Davit Pietersen Schuyler for twenty beavers belonging to Gerrit Jansen Decker, at present in the fatherland.

The honorable court declares the attachment provisionally valid.

The honorable magistrates of this court, Sander Leendersen, Jan Verbeeck, Frans Baerentsen Pastoor, and Evert Jansen Wendel, are hereby authorized in these dangerous times to make an inspection of the woods and to fine all traders and Dutch brokers whom they shall find therein to have acted contrary to the latest posted ordinance.*

Done this 14th of July Anno 1660, in Fort Orange.

Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
July 15, 1660

Present:

Sander Leendersen
Jan Verbeeck
Frans Baerentsen
Evert Jansen Wendel

Johannes La Montagne, in his capacity as officer, plaintiff, against Poulis Jansen, defendant.

The plaintiff complains and says that the defendant, contrary to the latest ordinance, has ventured to go as a broker into the woods and to attract the Indians with beavers.

The defendant admits having been in the woods, but claims that he

* See LO, 378, for this ordinance.
went there to fetch blueberries.

The honorable court adjourns the case until the next court day.

Idem plaintiff, against Rutger Jacobsen, defendant.

The plaintiff says and complains that the defendant, contrary to the latest ordinance, has ventured to send his servant into the woods and to employ him as a broker to attract the Indians with their beavers, according to the report of the four magistrates who were authorized thereto.

The defendant denies it.

The honorable court adjourns the case to the next court day.

[187] Johannes la Montagne, in his capacity as officer, plaintiff, against Default. Willem Jansen Schut and Anderies Herpersen, defendants.

Idem plaintiff, against Harmen Vedder, defendant.

The plaintiff says and complains that the defendant, contrary to the latest ordinance, has ventured to go into the woods to get Indians with beavers.

The defendant admits that he has been in the woods, but not with the intention of getting Indians with beavers.

The honorable court orders the defendant to declare the next court day under oath that he has not been in the woods with such intention.

Idem plaintiff, against Willem Brouwer, defendant.

The plaintiff says and complains that the defendant, contrary to the latest ordinance, has sent his servant into the woods and employed him there as a broker to get Indians with their beavers.

The defendant says that he sent his servant into the woods because Rutger Jacobsen told him at the house of Jurriaen Teunesen that he had been at the officer’s house, who said that he did not wish to have anything to do with the matter. Also, that the defendant’s wife again went to the house of Rutger Jacobsen and asked whether going into the woods was permissible? He answered, “I have already sent my servant into the woods. You can do as you like. Go to the officer and find out.” He says further that he did not send his servant to get Indians, but only to see where his two Indian brokers were.

[188] The plaintiff persists in his demand and maintains that the defendant’s excuse is not valid and that Rutger Jacobsen had no authority to give him permission contrary to the ordinance of the honorable court. As to the final excuse, that he sent his servant into the woods to look for the Indian brokers, he requests that the defendant purge himself under oath and that, in case of refusal, he be condemned according to the
ordinance, for the sake of expediting justice, as provided by the statutes of the city of Amsterdam.

The honorable court grants the defendant time until the next court day to purge himself under oath.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Cornelis Fijnhoudt, the servant of Marcelis,* defendant.

The plaintiff complains and says that the defendant has ventured to go into the woods, or has been sent there by his master, to get Indians.

The defendant admits having been in the woods, but says that he was not sent by his master to get Indians, but only to look for hogs.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Marcelis Jansen, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant, contrary to the latest ordinance, has ventured to send his servant into the woods to get Indians with beavers.

The defendant denies that he sent his servant into the woods for such a purpose, but only to see if the servants of Rutger Jacobsen, Anderies Herpertsen, and Philip Pietersen were in the woods, and, on finding them, [189] to report the same at once. He offers to make oath thereon and to prove it.

The honorable court accepts the offer of the defendant to produce proof on the next court day.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Pieter van Alen, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant sent Daniel Jansen into the woods and employed him as a broker to get Indians with beavers.

The defendant has under oath purged himself of the offense.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Philip Pietersen, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant, contrary to the latest ordinance, has ventured to send his servant, Jacob Loockermans, into the woods and to employ him as a broker to get Indians with beavers.

The defendant denies that he sent his servant into the woods for such a purpose, but [says that he sent him] only to see what sort of Dutchmen were in the woods and what they did there. Not finding any, he was to come back immediately. He offers to prove this.

The honorable court orders the defendant to prove his statements on the next court day.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Adriaen Jansen van Leyden, defendant.

* Marcelis Jansen
The plaintiff says that the defendant, contrary to the latest ordinance, has sent his servant into the woods and has employed him as a broker to get Indians with beavers.

[190] The defendant admits it, but declares that he did not know but that everyone was free to go into the woods as the servants of Rutger Jacobsen, Anderies Herpertsen, and Philip Pietersen openly went into the woods.

The plaintiff persists in his demands and maintains that the defendant’s excuse is not valid, as [permission] was not published or posted and that one should not sin on account of the example of others.

The honorable court, taking the excuse of the defendant into consideration, adjourns the case to the next court day.

[191] The honorable director general of New Netherland and the magistrates, having heard and examined the complaints respecting going into the woods and the outrages resulting therefrom, have been as yet unable to discover any better expedient than to renew and maintain the ordinances heretofore enacted on that subject, namely:

That no one, of whatever nation or capacity he may be, shall directly or indirectly send any Christians or Indians as brokers into the woods, either with or without presents, to fetch or entice any Indians, under the penalty of the fine heretofore provided and inserted in previous ordinances.

Secondly, no one shall be allowed to take from the Indians, whether in the woods, without or within the settlements, houses or places, any beavers, to carry them for the Indians on horses, carts, or on their backs, under penalty of a like fine.

Thirdly, if it should come to pass that any Indians, whether voluntarily, or induced thereto by other Indians, should come with their peltries into any houses, either without or within the settlement of Beverwijck, Fort Orange, or the colony of Rensselaerswijck, no person, of what nation or quality he may be, shall take away or lock away the peltries of such Indians against their will, much less [192] impede, prevent, or hinder the Indians from going with their peltries where they please; and although they had either given themselves, or caused others to give any presents for the peltries, such gifts or presents shall not only remain forfeited for the benefit of the Indian, or Indians, who may have received them, but they shall, in addition, forfeit twenty-five pounds Flemish for the officer who enforces and executes this ordinance.

Fourthly, and lastly, the ordinance and regulation heretofore enacted by the director general and council aforesaid respecting the sale, giving, or presenting of wine, brandy, strong liquor, or beer to the Indians, is
hereby renewed, and the schouts and officers of the village of Beverwijck, as well as of the colony of Rensselaerswijck, are hereby ordered and commanded to enforce and to execute this and the previously enacted ordinances, orders and regulations more strictly, as they ought to be, and in case they lack sufficient evidence against the contraveners who may be arraigned before the respective courts, the magistrates of the court respectively may upon probable indication oblige the defendant to purge himself under oath, and if he refuse, condemn him according to the exigency of the case. Thus done in Fort Orange, this 22d day of July Anno 1660. Was signed: P. Stuyvesant.

Propositions Made to Us by the Sinnekus in Fort Orange, the 25th of July 1660

Present:

The Hon. General Petrus Stuyvesant and the gentlemen of both the courts here

First, they say, that it is now some years past since they were at the Manhattans and brought presents there, without having received any return for it, not even a pipeful of tobacco; whereupon they give three beavers.*

Second, they say that a year or two ago they requested that they might receive a blanket and a piece of cloth for one beaver, to which they got no other answer than that we would tell them when the ships came; whereupon they give three beavers.

Third, “We only make a little request of you and yet in asking this it is as if we ran against a stone.” They thereupon give three beavers.

Fourth, they say, “When we were at the Manhattans, we concluded our

* A large group of Sinneken appeared at Manhattan in 1656. They brought 4000 beaver pelts to trade for guns and ammunition. Their petition to establish a trading house at Nederhorst opposite Manhattan across the Hudson River was an attempt to circumvent the Mohawk, who controlled access to Fort Orange. Stuyvesant forwarded this appeal to Amsterdam where it was eventually denied by the WIC directors. See “Bontemantel Papers,” 522/1 at NYPL and NYCD, 14:373.
friendship and bound ourselves together with a chain, and this is only for a renewal of it.” They give thereupon three beavers.

Fifth, they say, “Let us be of one mind, and when you ask something of us, we shall in turn listen to you.” They thereupon present three beavers.

Sixth, they say, “We are now engaged in a difficult war* and we can get no powder or lead unless we have beavers, and a good soldier ought to have powder and lead for nothing.” They thereupon give three beavers.

[194] Seventh, they say, “We thank you for all that we now receive as a gift, caps, stockings, shoes, shirts, and breechclouts.” They thereupon give two beavers.

Eighth, they say, “Now, once more a large group of Sinnekus will come and ask that they may barter their beavers at their pleasure and not be locked up by the Dutch, but be allowed to go with their beavers where they please and not be beaten when they want their beavers to trade at another place.” They thereupon give three beavers.

Ninth, they say, “You have taken us, both Maquas and Mahikanders, with you to the peace [conference] in the Esopus. Now you ought to restore the captured Indians of the Esopus.”† They thereupon give two small beavers.

Tenth, “We are quite content that you have made peace with the Esopus Indians. We sometimes have to use the road also. It is very good that brothers live together in peace.”

Eleventh, they say, “You are essentially the chiefs of the entire country, to whom we all look up. We have asked to have a piece of cloth for one beaver, 50 hands of sewant for one beaver and 30 double handfuls of powder for one beaver. You have slept until now, therefore we now wake you up again.” They thereupon give three beavers.

12th, they say, “We have great trouble in getting the beavers through the enemy’s country. We request therefore much powder and lead, for if the

* Reference is to the Sinneken’s war with the Susquehannock or Minquaes west of the Delaware Valley, and possibly with the French and their Indian allies for control of the fur trade.
† During the First Esopus War eleven Indian captives were sent to Curacao to work with the Negroes in the service of the company. It was hoped that this would keep the Indians in line. In 1661 two of the Indians were returned to demonstrate a reward for good behavior.
enemy defeats us, where can we then catch beavers?” They thereupon
give two beavers.

[195] 13th, they say that they request that it may from now on be settled
here that they can get 30 hands of black sewant for one beaver. They
thereupon give two beavers.

14th, they say that they request that they may from now on have 60 hands
of white sewant for one beaver. They thereupon give two beavers.

15th, they say that sometimes when they are in a trader’s house and they
wish to go to another man’s house to buy goods that appeal to them they
are severely beaten till they hardly know where their eyes are. That ought
not to be and everyone ought to be free to go where he pleases to buy
the goods that suit him. They thereupon give two beavers.

16th, they say, “We have requested that the Dutch would not beat us any
more. This you must now forbid the Dutch, so that we may smoke
tobacco in peace. If you now buy two beavers’ worth of tobacco, you
can smoke and think over everything. We expect to come next year with
all the chiefs to hear what you have to say. This is only to arouse you for
the present, but then we shall state everything thoroughly.” They there­
on give two beavers.

17th, they say, “The Dutch are sending so many brokers into the woods
from one house, that they do not know where to go with their beavers.
Each house ought to have something. They, that is to say, the brokers,
pull one this way and that, so that one does not know where to go. That
should not be tolerated, but each house ought to have something.” They
thereupon give one beaver.

18th, they say, “The French Indians will visit the Mahikanders at the
Cahous.* They greatly bewail this. And as you are bound to them with
a chain, you ought to be sad also.” They thereupon give one beaver.

19th, they request that the honorable general warn all the Dutchmen not
to beat the Indians anymore; otherwise, the Dutch say that they know
nothing about it. And that they may go with their beavers where they
please, without being beaten. Whereupon they give one beaver.

* Cohoes Falls, near the mouth of the Mohawk River
First, it is true that our brothers two or three years ago were at the Manhattans and made a treaty of friendship with us, which we shall always maintain, as we have done so far and always will, and as the tobacco was forgotten at that time, we give them now a roll of tobacco, so that when they return to their country they may remember their friendship and keep it as firmly as if it were bound with a chain.

Brothers, we have made peace with the Esopus Indians at the request of the Maquas, the Mahikanders, and others of our friends so that they may use the roads and rivers. We now give you the axes, which we now discard, and you are charged not to kill any horses or cattle when you go away from here.

Our brothers, the Sinnekus, have thanked us because we have made peace with the Esopus Indians. We now, in turn, request them to make and keep peace with the Maquas, so that we may also use the road freely and safely there as the brothers do here among us.

As our brothers complain that they cannot get much powder, we give them now a keg full of powder, but they must not use it against our brothers, the Maquaes, but against their enemies, who dwell far away, where they must fetch their beavers.

The brothers complain that their beavers are locked up when they come into our houses. We prohibited our people to do so three days ago, so that the brothers may go with their beavers where they please.

Brothers, if any Dutchman beats you, come to the sachems and make a complaint thereof, or if any of the Dutch keep your beavers or lock them up, we shall see that you get them back.

Brothers, it is well that everybody goes with his beavers where he likes and no brokers shall hereafter be sent and everybody may go with his beavers where he likes and you are directed not to listen to any broker, but strike them on the head, so that one cannot see where their eyes are.

The request of the brothers to give so much cloth or sewant for one beaver, the Dutch cannot do, as it has to come far across the water.
[198] Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
July 28, 1660

Present:

La Montagne
Sander Leendersen
Jan Ver Beeck
Frans Baerentsen Pastoren
Evert Jansen Wendel

Jan Jacobsen, plaintiff, against Arent Isacksen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant 12 whole beavers for goods received, with interest of 8 beavers for 11 months, together with the costs of the suit.

The defendant admits the debt, but says that he owes no interest, nor is he liable for the costs of the suit, as it was brought against his will.

The honorable court, having heard the parties, condemn the defendant to pay the plaintiff the 12 beavers demanded, four of them in cash according to his promise and the remaining eight in the space of three weeks, on pain of attachment and of being then, in case of failure to pay, liable for the costs of the suit.

Whereas the magistrates of Fort Orange and the village of Beverwijck have again and again pointed out to us the great expenses paid and incurred by them with our previous knowledge in setting off this place with a plank fence against any sudden attack by barbarians and other expenses incurred in repairing the bridges and otherwise, whereby the treasury is considerably depleted and many persons are still unpaid for their materials, it is decided to replenish the same by levying a tax of three guilders on every chimney. Having asked our approval and ratification thereof, we hereby order and charge all inhabitants within the limits of the settlement* to pay the chimney tax on pain of attachment.

Actum in Fort Orange, July 25, 1660.

Was signed:

P. Stuyvesant.

* binnen de bij een wooninge; note that Bijeenwoonhingh was the early name for the settlement established north of Fort Orange by the directors of Rensselaerswijck.
Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
August 3, 1660

Present:
La Montagne
Anderies Herpertsen
Sander Leendersen
Jan Ver Beeck
Rutger Jacobsen
Frans Baerentsen Pastoor
Evert Jansen Wendel

Dirck Jansen Croon, plaintiff, against Davidt Pietersen and Gijsbert Jansen, defendants.

The plaintiff, as overseer of a common well, asks of the defendants payment of /25 each for the making of the same.

The defendants say that they are not liable, as they have not been consulted in the matter.

The honorable court, having heard the parties, condemns the defendants to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded, since they agreed to board the workmen.

Reynier Rijcken, plaintiff, against Willem Jansen Stol, defendant.

The plaintiff complains that the defendant will not submit to the judgment to decide the matter with arbitrators.

The honorable court refers the parties to the previous judgment.

Jan Roeloffs, plaintiff, against 1st Default. Hendrick Gerritsen, defendant.

Albert Gijsbersen, plaintiff, against 2d default. Maria Goosens, defendant.

Eldert Gerbersen, plaintiff, against Samuel de Hoedemaeker,* defendant.

The plaintiff says that he delivered to the defendant 100 garret planks at 30 stivers apiece and that in the year 1658 he also received a barrel of meat for 5 beavers and a barrel of mackerel for 3 beavers, according to the letter written to him.

[200] The defendant says that he sold the meat to the plaintiff for 6

* hat maker
beavers and the mackerel for 4 beavers.

The honorable court, having heard the parties, condemns the defendant to pay the plaintiff for the said planks in sewant, provided that the plaintiff in return be held to pay for the meat and the mackerel according to the written notice.

Daniel Verveelen, plaintiff, against Willem Brouwer, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of f6 in beavers and f12 in sewant.

The defendant's wife, appearing before the court, admits the debt.

The honorable court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded within the space of 14 days.

*Idem* plaintiff, against Default. Roelof Swardtwoudt and Meyndert Fred[ricksen], defendants.

*Idem* plaintiff, against

Pieter Bronck, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of 4 whole beavers.

The defendant admits the debt.

The honorable court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded within the space of eight days.

Pieter Meesen, plaintiff, against

Default. Philip Hendricksen, defendant.

[201] Jan van Eeckelen, plaintiff, against

Jacobus Theunesen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of f36:— for bread received, of which he received on account two *schepels* of wheat and one-half beaver.

The defendant admits that he owes the plaintiff something, but not as much as the plaintiff claims, but only eighteen guilders, three stivers for white bread as well as coarse bread.

The honorable court condemns the defendant to pay the plaintiff in cash the acknowledged sum of f18:3. As to the balance of the amount, the parties are referred to further evidence of accounts.
Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
August 4, 1660

Present:

Sander Leendersen
Jan Verbeeck
Rutger Jacobsen
Frans Baerentsen
Evert Wendel

Isaack de Haen, plaintiff, against Jacob Tijsen, defendant.

The plaintiff says and complains that the defendant [alleged that the plaintiff] brought in a false account and kept book falsely. He produces affidavits of four witnesses and demands reparation of honor.

The defendant admits having said that the plaintiff had rendered a false account, but not that he had spoken of false bookkeeping, and refers to the witnesses mentioned below.

There appeared before the honorable court, Sijmon Jansen, Walraef Klaerhout, and Jan Hendricksen Bruyn, who declared at the request of Jacob Thijsen that they heard Jacob Thijsen say that the account, which the plaintiff had rendered to the defendant, was false, but that they did not hear him speak of false bookkeeping.

The honorable court, having heard the parties and witnesses on both sides and also examined the account in question, and having found no mistake in the said account which the defendant could point out, find the defendant guilty of having rashly accused the plaintiff of fraudulent bookkeeping and therefore fine the defendant $28 and forbid him, on pain of greater penalty, to repeat such accusations.

Johannes La Montagne, in his capacity as officer, plaintiff, against Philip Hendricksen, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant has committed great insolence in having during the night, in returning from guard duty, cut Gerrit Visbeeck with his cutlass in the arm, in such a way that the same was half off and lamed, as appears from the affidavits of three witnesses, which the plaintiff produces, as also from the report of Mr. Jacob, the surgeon, that the last three fingers are lame and that the patient is in danger from some symptoms, which might cause his death. He requests justice in the matter.

The defendant admits the deed, but says that he was forced to it because he [the plaintiff] attempted to take his gun from him and
undertakes to prove it.

The honorable court adjourns the case to the next court day.

[203] Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange  
August 13, 1660

Present:

Johan Verbeeck  
Frans Baerentsen  
Evert Jansen Wendel

Johannes La Montagne, in his capacity as officer, plaintiff, against Adriaen Jansen van Leyden, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant, contrary to the ordinance issued by the hon. director general and council of New Netherland, has ventured to send an Indian into the woods as a broker to fetch Indians with beavers. Having met an Indian woman with beavers who wished to go to the house of Volkert Jansen, the Indian broker enticed her by presenting her with a string of black sewant and brought her to the defendant's house. Being there, she refused to trade and insisted on going to Volckert Jansen's, whereupon her beavers were retained and she was pushed outdoors by the defendant's servant, the door being then locked. The Indian woman made a complaint to the plaintiff, who went to the defendant's house and ordered him to restore the Indian woman's beavers, which the defendant's wife refused to do. Finally, they forced the Indian woman to trade her beavers at their house. He requests therefore that the defendant be fined the amount mentioned in the ordinance.

The defendant denies that he sent brokers into the woods and also that he retained the Indian woman's beavers by force.

[204] The plaintiff requests that the defendant be subjected to the following interrogatory, to wit:

Whether it is not true that he himself, through his wife, or otherwise, has given an Indian a black string and a portion of black sewant and some canotiens,* for brokerage?

Answer, He requests to have a copy, to make reply on the next court day.

The officer protests and maintains that he must answer at once, yes or

* possibly an attempt at cadeautjes, meaning "little gifts"
no, according to the best of his knowledge.

The honorable court orders the honorable plaintiff to deliver to the defendant copies of the documents to make answer thereto on the next court day.

Gerrit Swardt, *schout* of the colony of Rensselaerswijck, enters an attachment against the person of Carel Jansen, and requests that he not be allowed to depart until he makes satisfaction of one beaver.

The honorable court orders *fiat*.

---

**Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange**

August 25, 1660

Present:

Anderies Herpertsen  
Sander Lendersen  
Jan Verbeeck  
Rutger Jacobsen  
Frans Baerentsen  
Evert Jansen Wendel

Johannes La Montagne, in his capacity as officer, plaintiff, against Default. Hendrick Anderiesen, defendant.

The plaintiff complains that Hendrick Anderiesen, defendant, on the 23d of this month, in violation of public law and the freedom of the public streets, without a word of altercation, beat and maltreated Lijsbet, the wife of Gerrit Bancken,* who was pregnant, with his fists and kicked her. And whereas such excesses in a place where justice prevails cannot be tolerated, the plaintiff, after investigation of the matter, has caused the defendant to be cited to appear before the honorable court and in case of default he requests that he may arrest and detain the delinquent in order to proceed against him for cost and injuries, according to law.

The honorable court, on the complaint of the officer and the presentation of the affidavits in regard to the person of Henrick Anderiesen, order the officer in accordance with his request to place the defendant in confinement.

The curators of the estate of Dirrick Bensich, deceased, plaintiffs, against

* Elisabeth Dirckse van Eps
Lambert van Neck, defendant.

The plaintiffs demand of the defendant payment of 32 or 33 beavers, according to the contract of sale.

The defendant admits the debt, but says that he can not pay at present.

The honorable court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the beavers demanded within the space of 14 days.

[206] Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange

September 1, 1660

Present:

La Montagne
Anderies Herpertsen
Sander Leendersen
Jan ver Beeck
Rutger Jacobsen
Frans Baerentsen Pastoor
Evert Jansen Wendel

Theunis Pietersen Tempel, plaintiff, against Jacob Thijsen, defendant.

The plaintiff asks of the defendant payment of the sum of one hundred two guilders, eight stivers, in beavers.

The defendant’s wife,* appearing before the court, exhibits an account amounting to one hundred seventeen guilders, 14 stivers, which the defendant says the plaintiff received.

The plaintiff replies that 7 beavers in his custody are chargeable to the account of the defendant’s boy, named Johannes, to pay for his board.

The defendant’s wife rejoins, saying that she gave the 7 beavers in part payment of the plaintiff’s account and not on account of the boy.

The honorable court adjourns the case to the next court day, until the arrival of the defendant.

Gerrit Bancken, plaintiff, against
Default. Adriaen Appel, defendant.
Default. Jan van Eeckelen, defendant.

Eva Rinckhouts, plaintiff, against
Default. Claes van den Bergh, defendant.

* Anna Hals
Theunes Spitsbergen, plaintiff, against Rutger Jacobsen, defendant.

The plaintiff asks of the defendant payment of 100 beavers, which he says he loaned the defendant at interest.

[207] The defendant denies the debt and says that he owes the plaintiff no more than about twenty-seven or thirty beavers.

The plaintiff exhibits a promissory note for the sum of 100 beavers to be paid by the defendant.

The defendant offers to present a counterclaim.

The honorable court binds the parties over to the next court day.

Hendrick Anderiesen and Cornelis Wijnkoop, plaintiffs, against Claes Ripsen, defendant.

The plaintiffs ask of the defendant payment of the sum of twenty-five beavers and 6 guilders, with interest thereof, arising from the purchase of a house and lot.

The defendant refuses to pay, for the reason that the plaintiffs failed to deliver to him the ground belonging to the lot, according to the contract of sale, as it was sold at auction.

The honorable court, having heard the parties, orders the defendant to pay the plaintiffs the sum demanded, provided that the plaintiffs shall be held to deliver to the defendant the aforesaid ground according to the contract, or otherwise according to the estimate of arbitrators, who are to estimate the ground that is lacking.

Jan Daret, plaintiff, against Reynier Wisselpenninck, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of thirty-nine beavers, on account of the purchase of a house at present occupied by the defendant.

The defendant admits the debt.

The honorable court refers the parties to the previous judgment on the 2d of May 1660.

[208] Adriaen van Ilpendam, plaintiff, against Lambert van Valkenburch, defendant.

The plaintiff asks of the defendant payment of 136 beavers.

The defendant's wife, appearing, admits the debt.

The honorable court, having heard the parties, orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded within the space of 6 weeks.

Idem plaintiff, against
Default. Teunes Cornelissen, defendant.

Idem plaintiff, against Gillis Pietersen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of ten and a half beavers and 12 stivers, on account of school money.
   The defendant admits the debt.
   The honorable court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the beavers demanded within the space of 6 weeks.

Idem plaintiff, against Pieter Loockermans, defendant.
   The plaintiff demands payment of two beavers for one year’s school money.
   The defendant admits the debt.
   The honorable court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the beavers demanded within the space of six weeks.

Idem plaintiff, against Default. Willem Brouwer, defendant.

The officer, plaintiff, against Lambert van Neck, Jan Daret, and Jurriaen Jansen, defendants.
   The honorable plaintiff asks that the defendants swear to their affidavits given on the 5th of August 1660 against Philip Hendricksen. Which they did, but they could not be confronted with Philip Hendricksen, on account of his being in default.

Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
September 8, 1660

Present:
   La Montagne
   Anderies Herpertsen
   Jan ver Beeck
   Frans Baerentsen
   Evert Jansen Wendel

Tierck Claesen, plaintiff, against Volcien van Hoesem, defendant.
   The plaintiff demands payment of 5 beavers.
   The honorable court refers the parties to the previous judgment of June 30.

Pieter Adriaensen, plaintiff, against
2d Default. Geertruy van den Bergh, defendant.
Aerent Pietersen Tack, plaintiff, against Cornelis Teunesen, defendant.

The plaintiff asks payment and settlement of accounts.

The honorable court orders that the documents of the parties be submitted to two magistrates, authorizing the Hon. Rutger Jacobsen and Frans Baerentsen Pastoor to examine and render decision in the matter.

Johannes La Montagne, in his capacity as officer, plaintiff, against Philip Hendricksen, defendant.

The plaintiff complains as before that the defendant insolently wounded and lamed Gerrit Visbeek, as appears from the affidavits produced and sworn to in the presence of the honorable court. He requests therefore right and justice.

The defendant requests that his affidavits may be presented in court.

[210] The honorable court orders that the defendant may appear in the fort and have his affidavits sworn to before two magistrates.

Idem plaintiff, against 
Default. Jurriaen Theunessen, defendant.

Idem plaintiff, against Marcelis Jansen, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant, contrary to the placards and ordinances of the states general, has ventured to lay in a half barrel of good beer without a permit from the farmer of the excise.

The defendant says that he paid the excise to Jan Comelesen, who let him bring in the beer, and he produces an affidavit that the beer was brought into his house without his knowledge.

The honorable court orders the plaintiff to submit further evidence on the next court day.

Idem plaintiff, against Matthias Janssen, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant, contrary to the ordinance of the director general and council, met at the gate an Indian, whom he had caused a broker to fetch out of the woods and had given him presents.

The defendant says that the Indian came to his house alone and that he made an agreement with him. That, thereupon, he went with the Indian to the gate, where the Indian had his beaver, and that the Indian asked him to do so.

The honorable court, having heard the parties, orders the parties to submit their evidence on the next court day.
Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
September 14, 1660

Present:

Anderies Herpertsen
Jan Verbeeck
Frans Baerentsen Pastoor
Evert Jansen Wendel

Johannes La Montagne, in his capacity as officer, plaintiff, against
Lambert Albersen van Neck, defendant.

The plaintiff complains, according to his complaint delivered in
writing, that the defendant offered resistance to the court [officer] in
regard to the collection of the chimney tax.

The defendant says that he offered resistance because he would not
allow his property to be carried out of the house.

The honorable court orders the honorable plaintiff to furnish the
defendant with a copy of his complaint, to make answer thereto on the
next court day.

Idem plaintiff, against Jurriaen Theunesen, defendant.

The plaintiff says and complains that the defendant, on the 23d of
August last past, did not hesitate to beat some of the magistrates at the
house of Juffrouw Dijckmans and furthermore to abuse the honorable
court, according to the affidavits thereof, which the plaintiff produces.
He demands, therefore, that the defendant be arbitrarily punished.

The defendant says that he knows nothing about it, as he was very
deeply intoxicated.

The honorable court, having examined the affidavits and heard the
complaint of the plaintiff and the answer of the defendant, condemns the
defendant to pay a fine of thirty guilders and the costs of the suit.

Idem plaintiff, against
Default. Marcelis Jansen, defendant.

Idem plaintiff, against
Default. Adriaen Jansen van Leyden, defendant.

Idem plaintiff, against
Default. Cornelis Theunesen Bosch, defendant.

The plaintiff complains and says that the defendant, contrary to the
latest ordinance, has ventured to send his servant into the woods and to
employ him there as a broker to fetch Indians with beavers.

_Idem_ plaintiff, against Hendrick *de Backer*, defendant.

The plaintiff, as attorney for Gerrit Swardt, *schout* of the colony of Rensselaerswijk, says that the defendant on the 5th of August, being a Sunday, did not hesitate to cart a load of hay at the third ringing of the bell, which is contrary to the law of God and the ordinance of the supreme authorities. On account of which the said honorable *schout* has fined the defendant ƒ12, according to the ordinance. He demands therefore that the defendant be fined the aforesaid amount.

The honorable court condemns the defendant to pay a fine of twelve guilders, according to the ordinance, and costs.


The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of one thousand seven hundred fifty guilders on account of the purchase of ten head of cattle.

The defendant claims that he owes not more than one thousand six hundred fifty guilders.

The plaintiff replies and exhibits a bill of sale, signed by the defendant, bearing the sum of ƒ1750.

The defendant says that he signed the bill of sale by mistake and that he did not know any better.

The honorable court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum of ƒ1650, which he acknowledged, in cash. As to the one hundred guilders in dispute, the parties are referred to the Manhattans, as the purchase took place there and the defendant says that he has further proof there.* Decision as to the costs of the suit is reserved until judgment in the case.

Willem Theunesen, plaintiff, against Baltus Jacobsen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of two beavers for goods received.

The defendant admits the debt, but says that he has a counterclaim of twelve guilders for freight and house rent.

The honorable court, having heard the parties and the testimony of Michiel Tadus, orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum of two beavers demanded in cash.

[214] Arent Pietersen Tack, plaintiff, against Cornelis Teunesen Bosch, defendant.

* See *RNA*, 3: 228, for the disposition of this case at Manhattan.
The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of 9 beavers, which the defendant has agreed to pay for Jochim Kitteleyn. The defendant says that he has not agreed to pay the debt, but that he is held as surety and that the plaintiff must first call upon the person of Jochim Kittelheym and that on his failure to pay, he, the defendant, shall be held to pay. The plaintiff undertakes to prove that the defendant has agreed to pay the debt. The honorable court adjourns the case until the next court day, when the plaintiff is to produce his evidence.

[215] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
September 28, 1660

Present:
La Montagne
Anderies Herpertsen
Sander Leendersen
Frans Baerentsen
Evert Wendel

Cornelis Wijnkoop, plaintiff, against
Default. Claes Ripsen, defendant.

Meester Adriaen, plaintiff, against
Default. Willem Brouwer and
Cornelis Teunesen Bosch, defendants.

Gerrit Bancken, plaintiff, against
Default. Jan van Eeckelen, defendant.

Gillis Pietersen, plaintiff, against
Default. Baerent Meyndersen, defendant.

Eldert Gerbersen, plaintiff, against
Default. Jacob Thijsen, defendant.

Cornelis Bogardus, plaintiff, against
Default. Jan van Breemen, defendant.
Willem Teller, plaintiff, against Jacob Thijsen van der Heyden and Cornelis Theunesen Bosch, defendants.

The plaintiff asks of the defendants reparation of honor, as the defendants called him a defamer, saying that the plaintiff robbed them of their reputation. He requests that the defendants prove it.

The defendants ask time until the next court day.

The honorable court grants the defendants time until the next court day to prove their charges.

Dirckien Martensen, plaintiff, against Jochim Kittleheym, defendant.

The plaintiff complains that the defendant kept a wagon of hers and that, in addition, the defendant’s wife* beat her. She asks for justice in the matter.

[216] The defendant’s wife, appearing before the court, admits that she beat the plaintiff because the plaintiff called her names, but she says that she found the wagon in the river.

The honorable court, having heard the parties, gives them time until the next court day to present their evidence.

Aert Pietersen Tack, plaintiff, against Cornelis Theunesen Bosch, defendant.

The plaintiff asks, as on the preceding court day, payment of nine beavers and, according to the order of the honorable court, exhibits affidavits of Leender Philipsen and Cobus Theunesen that the defendant absolutely agreed to pay the debt. He furthermore claims three guilders, twelve stivers for costs of this suit.

The defendant says that the honorable court knew everything about the matter.

The honorable court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the 9 beavers and costs within the space of eight days.

Eva Rinckhouts, plaintiff, against 2d default. Claes van den Bergh, defendant.

Harmen Idesen, plaintiff, against Default. Hendrick Gerritsen, defendant.

Johannes La Montagne, in his capacity as officer, plaintiff, against Philip Hendricksen, defendant.

The plaintiff says that the defendant must make answer according to the last order of the honorable court.

* Anna Willems
[217] The defendant says that he asked the officer for delay, as he cannot get ready so soon. He says that he will have his case ready in the space of eight days.

The honorable court grants the defendant time until the next court day to reply to everything.

The court, having examined the documents delivered to them by Rutger Jacobsen and Frans Baerentsen Pastoor, magistrates authorized to examine the accounts between Daniel Rinckhout and Jan Fransen van Hoesem, order Jan Fransen van Hoesem to pay Daniel Rinckhoudt according to the decision of the authorized magistrates the sum of thirteen guilders in beavers and two hundred ten guilders, eleven stivers, in sewant, in the space of fourteen days, the costs to be paid by both parties.

[218] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
October 16, 1660

Present:

La Montagne
Anderies Herpertsen
Jan Verbeeck
Frans Baerentsen
Evert Wendel

Cornelis Wijnkoop, plaintiff, against Claes Ripsen, defendant.

The plaintiff asks payment of the balance of the last payment for his house and lot.

The defendant says that he has not had complete satisfaction as regards the lot, which lacks thirty inches in width.

The honorable court, having heard the parties and the report of the referees chosen thereto by the parties and considering the slight difference, which amounts to not more than one beaver, orders the plaintiff to come down one beaver in the sum demanded and condemns the defendant to pay the balance in cash, each party to pay one-half of the costs.

Carsten Claesen, plaintiff, against
Default. Cornelis Theunesen Bosch, defendant.

Pieter Gillesen, plaintiff, against Jacob Thijsen, defendant.
The plaintiff, as attorney for Theunes Tempel, demands of the defendant payment of 7 beavers, heretofore in dispute, as is to be seen by the minutes of the previous court day, being the 7th of September 1660.

The honorable court adjourns the case until the arrival of Theunes Tempel from patria.

[219] Jan Anderiesen de Graef, plaintiff, against Theunes Cornelessen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of 15 good whole beavers, according to the promissory note.

The defendant admits the debt.

The honorable court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the beavers demanded in cash.

Lourens Sachariasssen, plaintiff, against Baltus Jacobsen, defendant.

The plaintiff asks of the defendant payment of f3 in sewant.

The defendant admits the debt, but says that he paid to Lijsbet Thijsen on account of the plaintiff.

The honorable court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded in the space of 24 hours and to apply to Lijsbet Thijsen for recovery of the amount.

The curators of the estate of Harmen Jacobsen, deceased, plaintiffs, against Willem Boudt, defendant.

The plaintiffs demand of the defendant payment of f86:5 according to the book of Harmen Bamboes, deceased, arising from excise duty. They further sue for damages and interest.

The defendant denies that he owes any excise money and undertakes to prove it, but admits that he owes the aforesaid Bamboes, deceased, a personal bill for grain.

The court orders the defendant to prove on the next court day that he does not owe any excise money and also to give information in regard to the acknowledged bill for grain.

[220] The curators of the estate of Harmen Jacobsen, deceased, against Femmetien Albers, defendant.

The plaintiffs demand of the defendant payment of three hundred ninety-two guilders, six stivers.

The defendant denies the debt and says that she paid Harmen Jacobsen, deceased.

The court orders the defendant to prove her assertion on the next court day.
Idem plaintiffs, against
   Hendrick Gerritsen,
Default. Theunes Slingerlant, and defendants.
   Cornelis Vosch,

Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
December 7, 1660

Present:
   La Montagne
   Anderies Herpertsen
   Frans Baerentsen
   Jan ver Beeck
   Evert Wendels

Jan Hendricksen de Bruyn, plaintiff, against Jannetien, the wife of Evert de Backer, defendant.

   The plaintiff states that the defendant has said that he had smashed her windows, which he absolutely denies, and that she on that account called him a disturber of the peace and a roving bandit, and produces affidavits thereof.

   The defendant acknowledges that she called the plaintiff names, but says that the plaintiff called her a whore.

   The plaintiff is ready to acknowledge that he called her a whore, upon condition that the defendant prove that he was a disturber of the peace.

   Jan van Aken, appearing before the court, says that he heard Jannetien say, “I called Jan Hendricksen Bruyn a disturber of the peace and a roving bandit.”

   The honorable court, having heard the parties and the affidavits and the oral testimony of Jan van Aken, condemns the defendant for her abusive language to pay a fine of two pounds Flemish for the benefit of the poor.

Jan Anderiesen Kuyper, plaintiff, against
Default. Jan Jansen Ouderkerck, defendant.

Anderies de Vosch, plaintiff, against
Default. Wijnandt Gerritsen, defendant.

[222] Anderies de Vosch, plaintiff, appearing in court, requests by virtue of the judgment which he has against Jan van Breemen, a warrant
to levy on the money of Jan van Breemen which he attached in the hands of Eldert Gerbersen, such sum as appears from the judgment, with the costs, which will serve Eldert Gerbersen as evidence of due payment.

The honorable court orders Jan van Breemen to pay according to the judgment and in default thereof that the petitioner shall cause Eldert Gerbersen to be cited before his competent judges and shall request that the aforesaid judges order Eldert Gerbersen to turn over to the petitioner the money in his hands belonging to Jan van Breemen, to satisfy his claims.

Carsten Claesen, plaintiff, against Cornelis Theunesen Bosch, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of nine beavers, arising from the balance of payment for the purchase of a house.

The defendant says that he refuses to pay, except by order of the court.

The honorable court orders that the parties, upon rendering accounts, shall receive satisfaction, which is to take place on the next court day.


The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of ƒ72 in sewant, being the balance due for a hogshead of French wine bought at the time when he was in partnership with Hendrick Bierman.

The defendant says that he paid his share thereof.

The honorable court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded and to recover the amount on the joint account, which they had with each other.

Carsten Claesen, plaintiff, against Willem Brouwer, defendant.

Claes Beever, plaintiff, against Hendrick de Suyckerbacker, defendant.

The curators of the estate of Harmen Jacobsen, deceased, plaintiffs, against Willem Bout and Femmetien Albers, defendants.

Anderies Herpertsen, plaintiff, against Jochem Kitteleyn, defendant.

The plaintiff gives notice of a certain attachment in the hands of Jan Mangelsen for the sum, or the amount, of two beavers at the expense of

* sugar baker
the defendant.
  The honorable court provisionally declares the attachment valid.

[224] Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
December 21, 1660

Present:
  La Montagne
  Anderies Herpertsen
  Rutger Jacobsen
  Frans Baerentsen Pastoor
  Jan Ver Beeck
  Evert Jansen Wendel

The curators of Harmen Jacobsen, deceased, plaintiffs, against Adriaen Jansen van Leyden, defendant.
  The plaintiffs demand payment of £15:15 for excise and five beavers for an anker of Spanish wine, according to the book of the said Harmen Jacobsen, deceased.
  The defendant denies the debt and says that he settled with and paid Harmen Jacobsen, deceased, and offers to declare the same under oath.
  The oath being taken by the defendant, the plaintiff’s request is dismissed.

Idem plaintiffs, against

Idem plaintiffs, against Femmetien de Baxter, defendant.
  The plaintiffs demand of the defendant as above, according to the book of Harmen Bamboes, deceased, the sum of £392:6:-.
  The defendant says that she completely settled with and paid Bamboes, deceased, with the rent of her house.
  The honorable court orders the defendant to present a detailed account on the next court day.

[225] Idem plaintiffs, against Jochim Kitteleyn, defendant.
  The plaintiffs demand of the defendant payment of two hundred eighteen guilders, according to the book of Harmen Jacobsen, deceased.
  The defendant says that he paid all but about £20.
  The honorable court orders the defendant to produce on the next court
day a specified account of his payment.

Albert Gijsbersen, plaintiff, against Maria Goosens, defendant.

The plaintiff demands reparation of honor for damages, to wit, because the defendant said that he had stolen a chest with goods at the Manhattans.

The defendant denies that she said this and declares that she has nothing to say against the plaintiff's honor or virtue, although the plaintiff called her a whore.

The honorable court, having heard the parties and seeing that the defendant cannot prove her accusations against the plaintiff and declares that she has nothing to say against the plaintiff, condemns the defendant for her abuse to pay a fine of six guilders for the benefit of the poor and the costs of the trial, forbidding her to utter such slander again, on pain of greater fine.

The honorable magistrates, plaintiffs, against
2d default. Volckien van Hoesem, defendant.

[226] Carsten Claesen, plaintiff, against
2d default. Willem Brouwer, defendant.

Willem Boudt, plaintiff, against

Grietien Michielsen, plaintiff, against Claes van den Bergh, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of \( f \).

The defendant admits the debt.

The honorable court orders the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded in cash.

Lowies Coobesen, court messenger, plaintiff, against Wijnandt Gerritsen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of \( f42 \), on account of expenses incurred in the suit between the defendant and the widow of the deceased Abraham Vosburgen.

The honorable court, having examined the plaintiff's account and finding the same correct, condemns the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum of \( f42 \) demanded within the space of 14 days.

Hendrick de Suyckerbacker, plaintiff, against Claes Beever, defendant.

The plaintiff demands delivery of a brew kettle, which he bought of the defendant.
The honorable court orders the plaintiff to prove on the next court day that he bought a brew kettle of the defendant.

[227] Lijntie Adamsen,* plaintiff, against Engeltie Hendricksen, defendant.

The plaintiff says and complains that the defendant beat her and called her a whore, producing an affidavit to that effect.

The defendant denies it and says that she has nothing to say against the plaintiff that is inconsistent with honor and virtue.

The honorable court, having heard the parties and seeing that the defendant cannot prove the plaintiff to be such, and that she denies having said it and that she knows nothing of the plaintiff but what is all honor and virtue, condemns the defendant for her abusive language to pay a fine of two Flemish pounds and the costs of the suit, forbidding her to use such language again.

Anderies Herpertsen, plaintiff, against Jochem Kittelheym, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant two beavers, which he attached in the hands of Jan Mangelsen.

The defendant admits the debt.

The honorable court gives judgment against the defendant according to his confession and orders the plaintiff to collect the beavers from Jan Mangelsen.

Jochem Kittelheym, plaintiff, against Default. Baltus Jacobsen, defendant.

Rijck Claesen, plaintiff, against Default. Jochem Wessels, defendant.

[228] Teunes Spitsbergen, plaintiff, against Rutger Jacobsen, defendant.

The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of thirty-[    ] beavers and the interest thereof for the period of five years.

The defendant admits that he owes twenty-seven beavers. As to the interest, he says that this was not stipulated.

The honorable court orders the parties to exhibit their specified accounts on the next court day to arrive at a settlement.

* This is possibly Lijntie Martens the second wife of Adam Roelantsen, schoolmaster.
Ordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
December 28, 1660

Present:

La Montagne
Anderies Herpert[sen]
Jan Verbeeck
Sander Leend[ersen]
Frans Barentsen Pastoor
Evert Jansen Wendel

Carsten Claesen, plaintiff, against Willem Brouwer, defendant.
The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of thirteen guilders, ten stivers in sewant.
The defendant, failing to appear for the third time, is by the honorable court declared in default and by virtue thereof condemned to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded in cash.

Baltus Jacobsen, plaintiff, against Pieter Riverdinck, defendant.
The plaintiff demands of the defendant an account and final settlement of their partnership as regards merchandising.
The defendant presents an account.
The honorable court refers the parties to referees to be chosen by them respectively.

Lowies Coobesen, plaintiff, against Baltus Jacobsen, defendant.
The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of ƒ22:2 for salary according to his account.
The honorable court, having examined the account of the plaintiff, being court messenger, and finding the same to be honest and correct, condemn the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded in cash.

Lowies Coobesen, court messenger, plaintiff, against Lambert van Neck, defendant.
The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of seven guilders, twelve stivers for salary earned.
The honorable court, having examined the plaintiff’s account, order the defendant to pay the plaintiff the sum demanded in cash.

The honorable magistrates, plaintiffs, against 2d default. Volckien van Hoesems, defendant.
Theunes Spitsbergen, plaintiff, against
Default. Rutger Jacobsen, defendant.

Rijck Claesen, plaintiff, against
2d default. Jochem Wessels, defendant.

Pieter Adriaensen, plaintiff, against Gregorius Bisschop, defendant.
   The plaintiff demands of the defendant payment of fifty-one
   [guilders], arising from tavern debts.
   The defendant maintains that he owes but thirty-one [guilders].
   The honorable court condemns the defendant to pay the plaintiff $25
   according to the ordinance and orders that upon his giving security he
   may remove his tobacco, which the plaintiff had caused to be attached.
   Frans Baerentsen becomes surety for the payment of $25.

   The plaintiff demands payment of thirty-one guilders on account of
   tavern expenses.
   The defendant admits the debt.
   The honorable court condemns the defendant to pay the plaintiff the
   sum of $25 according to the ordinance.

Whereas Claes vanden Bergh, at the request of Theunes Jacobsen
Bierdraeger, has presented a petition to be beer carrier with him, the
honorable court has thought fit to grant the petitioner's request, as the
service cannot be taken care of by one man. Whereupon the petitioner
has taken the following oath of fidelity before the honorable court, to
wit: That without favor or gain he will commit no fraud, but in everything
faithfully perform his service. So help him God Almighty.

[232] Extraordinary Session Held in Fort Orange
December 30, 1660

Present:
   La Montagne
   Anderies Herpetsen
   Rutger Jacobsen
   Sander Leendersen
   Jan Ver Beeck
   Frans Baerentsen Pastoor
   Evert Jansen Wendel
Johannes La Montagne, in his capacity as officer, plaintiff, against Jurriaen Theunesen, tavern keeper, defendant.

The plaintiff says and complains that the defendant, contrary to the ordinances and placards of the honorable director general and council of New Netherland, has ventured at night, after the ringing of the bell, to entertain guests and serve drinks, on account of which the plaintiff has fined the defendant, having found afterwards that 20 persons were present. He asks therefore that the defendant, according to the ordinance, be condemned to pay a fine of 6 guilders for each person who was present.

The defendant says that he was not at home, but that it happened through the servant.

The honorable court, having heard the parties, finds after mature deliberation that the defendant is guilty of the deed and condemns him to pay a fine of 670 in the period of twenty-four hours.

[233] Idem plaintiff, against Marceles Jansen, defendant.

The plaintiff says and complains that the defendant, contrary to the ordinances and placards of the honorable director general and council of Fort New Netherland, has ventured at night, after the ringing of the bell, to entertain guests and to serve drinks, on account of which he was fined by the court messenger (who came by order of the honorable plaintiff), to whom the defendant said, "I'll tap the entire night, in spite of Montagne." He asks therefore that the defendant be fined according to the ordinance and, in addition, be ordered to pay a fine of 50 for his insolent remarks.

The defendant denies it.

The plaintiff produces the report of the court messenger and, in addition, four witnesses, who declare that they heard it.

The honorable court, having heard the parties and also examined the report of the court messenger and heard the verbal testimony of the four witnesses, condemns the defendant to pay a fine of 70 and, furthermore, a fine of 50 for his insolent remarks.
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Abrahamsen, Matteus/Te(e)uwes/Matteuw, signs petition with mark 502; sued by Pieter Adriaens 316, 364, B. Albertsen 360, De Graef 409, 426, Marcelus Jassens 302, 310, J. Loockermans 413, P. Loockermans 268, Noldingh/Nolden 417, 420, P. Pietersen 345, P. Rijverdingh 403, Jacobus Teunissen 301, Van Hoesem 297; sues Gauw/Gouw 269, 315; mentioned 311, 314, 375, 408


Adamsen, Lijntie, sues Engeltie Hendrickse 539
Adriaensen, Itien/Stijntie, sued by P. Hendrickse 487-88, P. Meyndersen 485; sues Elsien Hendrickse 488; wife of Barent Meyndertsen 487n
Adriaensen, Jacob (Neus), house of 482; sued by Davids 282, 390
Adriaensen/Adriaensz, Jacob (Raede-maker), claim against 117; house sold 115; indebted to Albert Andriesse and Jan Tjebkens 117, Roeloff Jacobsz 132, G. Vastrick 118; lot of 6, 280; request of 142, 162; sued by Jacob Hendricksen 442, R. Jacobsen 429, Cobus Janssen 443, Rijverdinck 227, D. Rinckhout 443, J. Jansz Schermerhoorn 116, Sibbinck 114-15, Slecht 365-66, Verveelen 443, Winnen 60; sues Davits 369, De Graef 410, Segertsz 84, 242, Van Bremen 82, C. Van Loosdrecht 132, 145-46; testimony of 135; mentioned 212, 250, 423, 448
Adriaensen, Jan, sues Pels 134
Adriaensen, Jan; see Andriessen, Jan (de Graeff)
Adriaensen, Pieter (son of H. Jochemsen’s wife), attempted molestation of 404; son of H. Jochemsen’s wife 404

Adriaensen, Pieter, sues Bisschop 541, Gauw 470, 472, Geertruy van den Bergh 527

Adriaensen/Adriaensz, Pieter (Soo Gemackelijck/Gemackelijck), beer confiscated 175–76; given permission to tap 32; petition of 175, signed 502; prosecuted 39; sued by Bastiaensen 104–5, Marcelus Jansen 258; sues M. Abrahamsen 316, M. Abrahamsen and P. Loockermans 364, C. Vos 104

Adriaensen, Rut; see Arentsen, Rutger

adultery, Diickman’s wife accused of 176–77

Aertsen/Aertsz, Aert (Otterspoor), sued by Baeffgen Pieters 164; sues Van Bremen 58

Aertsen/Aertsz, Rut, sues Aert Jacopsz 42

Aertsen/Aertsz, Wouter (van Petten/van Putten, Rademacker), requests land 7; takes oath 8; mentioned 33

Albert de Rademaeker, see Gijsbertsen, Albert

Albertsen/Albersen, Barent, granted garden 239; lot of 428, requested 159; sued by De Graef 425, H. Jacobsen 321, P. Loockermans 375, magistrates 470, Pouwels 335, P. Stevensen 336; sues T. Abrahamsen and P. Loockermans 360, L. Cobesen 494, 496, Geertruy Pieterse 493

Albertsen/Alberts/Albers/Albertsen/Albersen/Albertsz, Willem (van Monnickendam), arrested 31; bark skipper 93; demands payment of de Hooges 24; fined 117; owes L. Andriesz 93; sentenced 25; sued by Cnijver 111, de Hulter 148, Rutger Jacobsz 120, Jan Jansz 19, Machielsz 24, Nes 20, Ottensen 62–63, P. Pietersz 54–55; sues S. Albertsen 288, Bronck and E. de Goyer 121, Clomp 23–24, Gerbertsen 144, 147; yacht leased 120; mentioned 315

Albertsen/Wouter, sues Femmetien Albers 507, Witten Hendricksen 507

INDEX


Allerton, Isaac, sues T. Sandertsen 127

Amsterdam, great burgher right in 300, 373; statutes of 451, 490, 513; mentioned 155, 332, 509

Andriessen/Andriesz, Albert/Aelbert (de Noorman), complaint of 112; prosecuted 223, 226; requests lot 159; subject of patronship 220n; sues M. Herpertsz 81; mentioned 117, 220, 359

Andriessen/Andriesz/Anderiessen, Arent (de Noorman), appointed curator 280; horses of 437; loans money for defense of Manathans 139; sued by Gerbertsen 330, A. vanden Bergh 133; summoned 191; wife of 280n; mentioned 185–87

Andriessen, Geertruyt, wife of Jacob Janse Hap 217n

Andriessen/Anderiessen/Anderiesz, Henderick/Hendrick (Driesz/van Driest, van Doesburg), accused of assault 524, slander 308; to be cited 399; garden of 8–9; prosecuted 302, 311, 331, 478, 524; signs petition 502; sued by Schermerhoom 296; sues Jochem Becker 121, H. Gerritsen 262, Herpertsen 121, Ripsen 526; wife of sues J. Martensen’s wife 472; mentioned 300, 462

Andriessen/Anderiessen, Jacob, house of 339

Andriessen/Andryes, Jan, sued by Andryes de Vos 164

Andriessen/Anderiessen/Anderiesz/Anderisse, Jan, (de Graeff/de Graef), attachment against 490; banished and fined 350; fines of 474–75; prosecuted 346–47; sentenced and fined 357; sued by Jacob Adriaensen 410, D. Rinckhout 329–30, Van Beekelen 337; sues M. Abrahamsen, P. Lockermans, and J. Roeloffs 409, T. Abrahamsen 426, B. Albertsen 425–26, J. Barentsen 469, Theunes Cornelissen 534, P. Lockermans and Teuwes Abrahamsen 407, J. Roeloffs 469; surety for servant, Pieter Bruyn 410; testimony 257; witness 331

Andriessen/Anderiessen, Jan/Jantje (de Iersman), biographical note 508n; sureties for, Bronck 365, P. Hendricksen 508; mentioned 287

Andriessen/Anderiessen, Jan (Kuyper), sues Ouderkirck 535

Andriessen/Andriesz, Luykas, owed money by Willem Albertsz van Monickendam 93

Anneken, Jochem de Backer’s maid, testifies 355

Anthony, Aldert, offers beavers to poor 485

Antonisz, Michiel (van Uytrecht), marriage annulled 248–49

Appel, Adriaen; see Jansen, Adriaen (Appel)

Arentsen/Arentsz/Adriaensen, Rutger/Rut (Keermaker), curators of his estate of 235; lot sold to 183; promise to marry 45; prosecuted for carnal intercourse 44, 53; requests lot 4; sued by Bout 15, Gerritsen 40–41, A. Gerritz 79, 81, 102, G. Steven Jansz 57, Pels 56, 59

Leyden 95; sentenced for, Bosboom 357–58, De Graeff 357–58, Herman Jansen 179–81, Van Monickendam 25; sued for, Jochem Becker 11, 61, 278, Bensick 361, Davids 348, Gauw 44, Jacob Hap 46, Englertt Hendricksen 539, Geertruyt [Jeronimus] 5, Kittelheym 532, P. Rijverdinck 390, Van Hoesem and wife 467; summoned for, Jacob de Timmerman 92, 100, Wm. J. Stoll 142; threatened 50

Backer, Henderick Hendericksen; see Hendericksen, Hendrick (de Backer)
Backer, Jacob Willemsz; see Willemsen, Jacob
Backer, Joost Theunisz; see Teunissen, Joost

bakers, complaint of burghers about 109–10; ordinances regarding 41, 199, 208, 231, 415–16; petition of, for charges 231, mitigation of ordinance 45, that all be prohibited from baking confections for Indians 243; weight regulation 190, request from court to Stuyvesant about 110; to take burgher oath in order to exercise trade 183
Baliner, Lourens, sued by T. Jacobsen 335
Baltus (servant of Thomas Jansen), sues Dirkken Martensen 386
Bamboes/Bambus, Herman Jacobsen; see Jacobsen, Harman
Bancker/Banker, Gerrit, prosecuted 200; refuses oath 203–4; sues Appel and Van Eeckelen 525, Van Eeckelen 531; surety for Jurriaen Janssen 314; testimony of 498–500; wife assaulted 524; mentioned 197


**Barbier, Mr. Jacob; see de Hinsse, Jacob**
Barentsen/Barentsz, Cornelis (Slecht), promissory note of 312; testimony of 230
Barentsen/Barentsz, Frans (Pastoor), arbitrator 244; attachment 242, 244, 506; authorized to levy fines 511; contribution of 147; disapproves of decision 214–15; excused from fine 55; fines 340, 342; loans money for defense of Manathans 140; lot of 184; magistrate 108, 119, 224, 236, 486, 528, 533; opinion on Indians 491, 504; power of attorney given 236; prosecuted 303; requests payment 305; resigns as collector 214; sued by Cobus 265, Pecck 272; sues Femmetien Albersen 478–79, T. de Metselaer 296, Loserik 239, Van Bremen 275, 302, 429–30, 432, Van Bremen and Bronck 270, Van Hoesem 418, Vosburg 216, 218, 222, 261, 265; as surety 205, 541; mentioned 195
Barentsen/Barentsz/Barensen, Jan (Poest, Wemp, Timmerman), farm of 129, 164, 166–67; sued by J. Anderiessen 469; sues M. de Brouwer 36, 54, 1. Dircksen 408, C. Gerritsen 181–82, A. Sijmonsen 447; summoned 76, 95; testimony of 257, 323, 426; wife of 447; mentioned 166
Bastiaensen/Bastiaensz, Herman/Harmen (Timmerman, Roymeester), appointed surveyor 67, 161; arbitrator 133; arrested 34; to build bridge 123, sawmill 429; complaint against Teller 189; fined 267; to furnish posts for defense 466; house of 4; loans money for defense of the Manathans 139; ordered to, finish building 35, pay collec-
INDEX

Bastiaensen, Jan, sues Bronck 447, Albert Gerritsen 391, Nolden 439

Bemboo, Jan (de Soldaet), interrogatory of 122-23; summoned 71

Bemboo, Jan, see also Jacobsen, Pieter (Bosboom); estate of, sues H. Gerritsen, Hoffmeyer, H. Janssen 419; sues Hoffmeyer and H. Gerritsen 421, 424, Teunis Jacobsen 330

Berck, Willem, interrogatory of 225

Beverskill, sawmill on 429, mentioned 3n

Beverwijck, bridges in 106, 153; consti­utory of 248; court and village of established xxv, 3; defense for to be built 466; residents to fence waterside 469; mentioned xx, xxvii and passim

Bierkoecker/Bierkaker, Marten/Martin; see Hendricksen, Marten

Bierkaker, Susanna; see Jansen, Susanna

Biermans, Hendrick/Hendrick, attachment against 311; deposition of 321; knife drawn in house of 298; partnership with Evert Nolden 536; prosecuted 114, 117, 121, 284-85; sued by Boon 412, 418-19, H. Jacobsen 320, 325, Rutger Jacobsen 311, Kijsser 419, Lourens 311, Philipsen 382, Provooost 364, Templier 315; witness 290-91

Bishopric in England, Christoffel Davids born in 406

Bisschop, Gregorius, sued by Pieter Adriaensen 541, Anthony Jansz 541

Blacks; see Negroes

Block-house church, building of to be bid for 218; as Reformed church 218n; sureties for 231; mentioned 225

Blommaert's Kill, mentioned 225

Bogardus, Annetgen/Mother, garden of 70, 92; house nicknamed 173; lot of 21, 29, 32

Bogardus, Cornelius, signs petition 502; sues Van Breemen; testimony of 498

Bon, Cornelius, sues Loserik 222

Boon, Mr./Monsr., Francoys/Fran'ois, appointed overseer of construction for defense of village 466; attorney 381n; attorney for Kijsser 419; delegate to Maquas 456; to disburse and receive excise money 471; magistrate 359, 361-62, 486; paid freight 340; promissory note contested 442; to sail to
INDEX

Esopus 461; sues H. Bierman 412, 418, 419, J. Harmensen 481, R. Swartwout 381, 442
Boot, Dirrick Claesz; see Claessen, Dirrick (Boot)
Bordingh, Claes, sues P. de Brouwer 385, 392, wife of C. de Noorman 384
Borremans/Bormans, Frans (van Veuren), drowned in boating accident 71n; mentioned 73
Bos, Cornelis Teunisz; see Teunissen, Cornelis (Bos)
Bosboom; see Jacobsen, Pieter
Bosch, Cornelis; see Teunissen, Cornelis (Bos)
Boucher, Pieter (governor at Three Rivers, Canada), letter sent to 77–78
Bout/Boudt, Pieter/Piet, attack by 238; cut by Gouw 165; fined 246; prosecuted 214, 230; sues Bosboom 297, Carpeyn 482, De Backer, De Kuyper and Juffrouw 't Hulter 301, Hoogenboom 477–78, 480, P. Jacobsen 305, Wollebrant 298; wife sues Bosboom 353, Carpeyn 480
Bout, Willem Fredrixsz; see Fredricksen, Willem (Bout)
Bouts, Giertgen/Gerritje; see Nanninghs, Giertgen
Boutsen/Boutsz, Cors, accused of, assault 174–75, break-in 159–60, 169 brandy; see alcoholic beverages
Brant, Adriaen Claesz; see Claessen, Adriaen
Brant, Jan Claesz; see Claessen, Jan (Brant)
Brantsen, Evert (van Amersfoort), released from soldier’s oath and made deputy schout 34
Brazil, fall of 391n; W. Hoffmeyr born in 253; refugees from 390–91 bread; see foodstuff
Breuckelen, Cornelis, sues T. Cornelianissen 476
bridge, across Second Kill 128, sewer 123, Third Kill 119; built by Vosburgh 106, 119; in the Esopus 426; repairs to 65, 96; Vosburgh paid for building 153
Brijsen, Anker; see Bruynsen
Brooklyn, mentioned 37n
brokers, court, answers to Maquas petition about 504, forbids Dutch to act as 504, grants right to employ Indians as 299, 434, 500, votes against all brokers 492; magistrates authorized to fine 511, opinions about 491–92, 504; Maquas proposal about 503–4; ordinance against renewed 514; petitions regarding 434, 491, 501–2
Bronck, Pieter, allowed to tap wine 190; arbitrator 369; attachment, against 326, 442, makes 242, 244, paid to 272; building extension granted to 114; burgher 386; cannot tap beer 190; court sitting at house of 73; fight at house of 43, 101; fight with P. Martensen 290–91; fined 70, 339; fines paid 341; loan for Manathans defense 140; lot of 6; makes bail for E. Gerbertsz 45; owed by Van Breemen 17, 29; owes for fine 342; paid to deacons 343; promises to pay 191; prosecuted 298, 317, 386; requests permission to build sawmill 429; sale at house of 80; signs petition 502; subpoenaed 265; sued by Willem Albertsen 121, Bastiaenssen 447, L. Cobussen 345, 349, 351, Joost de Backer 8, 12, 17, A. de Vos 247, H. Jacobsen 321, 326, C. Jacopsz 23, Adriaen Jansz 22, V. Jansz 29, 38, Pastoor 270, Pels 186–87, Reyerzen 409, Sleet 365, Van Rensselaer 309, 439, Verveelen 521; sues Appel 301, H. Claessen 385, 429, Jan de Wever 250, 380, J. Gerritsz 247, P. Hendricksen 448, M. Herpertsz 72, 79, T. Jacobsen 422, 424, 432, Adriaen Janssen 305, Huybert Jansz 132, Flodder 153, Kleyn 297, Machielz 17, 60, H. Martensen 391, Jacob Hendrickz Maet 172, Oosterman 385, L. Pietersz 147, 153, Jacob Teunissen 377, 383, Jan Teunissen 374, 376–77, 383, Claes Teunisz 218, 227, P. Thomasz 76, Van Bremen 31, 38, 428–29, 432, A. van den Berch 305, C. van den Berch 385, 391, Vosburgh 423, C. Vosch 380,
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Wollebrant 305; summoned 92; surety for 205; testimony of 165; wife sues Michiel Teunissen 420; mentioned 285, 363, 419, 441

Brouwer, Willem, accused of sending brokers into woods 499; chosen arbitrator 344; prosecuted 499, 512; signs petition 491; sued by Foppe Barentsen 272, 276, 280, C. Claessen 536, 538, 540, C. Cornelissen 394, P. Jacobsen 449–50, Ripsen 420, H. Thomassen 493, Van Eeckelen 480–81, 508, Van Ilpendam 527, 531; sues Davids 382; wife of sued by Vermeer 521; mentioned 496

Brughmans, Grietjen, sued by Baefjen Pietersen 355–56

Bruyn/Bruynen, Pieter, confirms testimony 231; servant of Jan Anderiessen 410, of De Hulter 230

Bruynsen/Brijnsen, Ancker/Anker, lot 114, 232

burgwer guard, allowed to shoot the parrot 192; court-martial of 299–300; retiring magistrates exempt from 237, 294, 362, 431, 487

butter; see foodstuff

Canada, bark sailed from 459; French authorities at 76; governor of 411

Canapeese, Indian, bearer of letter 78

Carelse, Hans, his yacht 471; sued by P. Cornelissen 471, 481; sues Claerbout 440, A. vanden Bergh 408

Carpentier/Carpeyn, Abraham, accused of public disturbance 495; fined 495; goods belonging to 510; sued by Femmetien Alberts 494–95, Boudt 480, Van Sterrevelt 505; sues Coenraets, 470, 473, Immetie 493

Carsten, fine excused 118; living with J. Thomasz 118; lot 191

Carstensen, Carsten, (de Noorman), furnishes posts for defense of village 466; lot as boundary 428; prosecuted 241; requests lot 159; sued by F. Cooninck 311, Trijntie Koominckx 309; sues H. Vos 356; wife sued by Bordingh 384, mentioned 211; woodcutter 466

Carstensen, Dirck, fined 474; paid 475; requests testimony 398; sued by Ida Claeessen 397–98

Carstensen, Jan, mentioned as deceased 485

Caspersen, Caspaer, sues R. Jacobsen 385

Caspersen, Carsten, requests lot 159

Cassersz, Hendrick (van Oldenborgh), requests lot and hop yard 33

Catskill/Katskil, Cobus de Looper at 395; A. de Noorman and M. Jansz at 186–87; Hans de Vos/Vosch at 292–3, 351, 394; Indians of 59, 62, 463–64, 468; Jan at 508; liquor sold to Indians at 127, 292–93; money there attached 415; shortage of schepel measures at 110; slaughter's excise at 351; Van Bremen at 80, 86, 170, 275; widow of P. Theunisz at 148; mentioned xxii, 59n, 83, 148, 186, 286, 365

Chambers/Chambert/Chambers, Thomas/Tomas (Clabbert), account of fine 339; goods delivered to 230; granted lot 214; horses in corn 91; money in custody of 247, 260; prosecuted 264; requests J. Hap take oath 215; sued by Johanna de Hulter 244, 247, 263, J. Gerritsz 247; sues de Forrest 5, Jacob Hap (Stol) 213, 220, T. Jacobsz 196; mentioned 197, 213, 220, 231–32, 496

cheese; see foodstuff

chimneys, ordered to be kept clean 259, 416–17

Chirurgijn, Mr. Jacob; see de Hinsse, Jacob

church, see also block-house church; building of 231, 242, 275; burghers to support 16; consistory of 162-64, 171, 248–49; deaconry/deacons of 224, 343, 461; wages for work on 340–41; yard 380

Clabbert, Thomas; see Chambers, Thomas

Clabberts, Margriet, sued by H. Bamboes 241
Claerbout, Pieter, sued by H. Carelsen 440

Claessen/Claesz, Adriaen/Ariaen (Brant), fined 284–85; requests lot 162; testimony 145, 154, 180; wounded 158

Claesen, Carsten, sues Brouwer 536, 538, 540, Dircksen 408, J. Roeloffszen 330, 334, C. Theunisen (Bosch) 533, 536

Claessen/Claesz, Dirrick (Boot), writing of 18


Claessen, Ida, accused of theft 397–98; sues D. Carstensen 397

Claessen, Jan (Backer, van Osanen), sues Appel, Marcelus Janssen and Van Hamel 399

Claessen/Claesz, Jan (Brant), lot, as boundary 39, requested 35–36

Claessen/Claes/Clasen/Claesdr., Marretien/Maretien/Marritgen, sued by Rijverdingh 403; sues W. Gerritsen 411–12, R. Jacopsz 114

Claessen/Claesen, Rijck, sues Wessels 539, 541


Claessen/Claes, Trijn, sues by Neeltien Cobussen 425

Claverack; see Klaverrack

Clomp/Klomp, Jacob Symonsz; see Simontsz, Jacob (Clomp)

Clootendraeyer, Henderick, fined 267 clothing, apron bodice 259; buttons 467; caps 468; case about 384; coats 321, 435; cravats 510; fines for damage to 433; lace 397; linen 18; shirts 510; shoes 507; stockings 18, 467; suits 454

Cnijver, Claes Thijsz; see Thijszen, Claes

Cobussen/Cobus/Coobesen/Cobus, Lowies/Ludovicus, attorney for Jan Peeck 256, 261, 272; court messenger 281; to keep school 208; sued by B. Albertse 494, Marrijten Hendericksz 335, M. Janssen 310; sues Frans Barentsen (Pastoor) 265, Bensich and Bronck 345, 349, 351, Jacob de Loper 309, Eerraerts 425, Jeles Fonda’s wife 259, W. Gerritsen 538, B. Jacobsen and L. van Neck 540; witness 461

Cobussen, Neeltien, sues Trijn Claes 425

Coenraets/Coenraetsen, Hans, prosecuted 219; sued by Carpentier/Carphey 470, 473, Joseph d’Acost 390, wife of Klaes Jansen 490; sues Jan van Eeckelen 465, 473, 477–78, 482

Cohoes/Cahous, French Indians will meet Mahicanders at 517

Colebrantsz, Pieter, sues Moy Aeltgie 230

Company, see also West India Company; house of 74, 87, 91, 172

Cooninck, Frans, sues Huybert Janssen and Karsten de Noorman 311

Cooninck/Koninck, Tomas/Thomas, sued by Verbeek 128; sues Solder Pietersen 464, Van Loenen and C. van den Berch 315

Cordiael; see de Snijder, Henderick

Corler, Mr.; see Van Curler/Corler, Arent

Cornelissen, lot of 166

Cornelis, Broer; see Teunissen, Cornelis (van Breuckelen)

Cornelisdr.; see Cornelissen, Lijsbet

Cornelissen, Aemout, signs petition 491; testifies 444

Cornelissen/Cornelisz, Arent (Vogel, Schapenbout), to be examined 27; money to be paid to 46; owes fine 87; sentenced 28; sues Van Bremen 215; summoned 23

Cornelissen/Cornelisz, Claes (Croon/Kroon), lot of 21, 29; sued by Claes
Jacobsz 56
Cornelissen/Cornelisz, Claes (van den Berch), sued by Poulus Cornelisz 245
Cornelissen/Cornelisz/Cornelussen, Cornelis, prosecuted 243; sues W. Brouwer 394, Hofmeyer 244, M. Poulussen 360, D. Rinckhout 333, Claes Theunesen 484, Uylenspiegel 247–48, wife of Claes Theunesen 495
Cornelissen, Cornelis (de Jonge), sues Vlijens 266
Cornelissen, Engeltjen, prosecuted 334
Cornelissen/Cornelisz, Gijsbert, bought house 87; children’s guardians 126; deceased 87; lot of 48, 87, 95; wife of 41
Cornelissen/Cornelisz, Gijsbert, wounded 182
Cornelissen, Jan, signs petition with mark 502
Cornelissen, Jan, signature on petition 502
Cornelissen/Cornelesen, Jan, excise paid to 528
Cornelissen/Cornelisz, Lambert, in fight 58
Cornelissen/Cornelis/Cornelisdr., Lijsbet/Elijsabet (wife of Gijsbert Cornelissen), assigned lot 41; gives power of attorney 116; house of 87; owed money 116; requests guardians 126; sues M. Herpertsz 79, Gerrit Jansz 87, Schermerhoorn 86
Cornelissen, Marten, witness 325
Cornelissen/Cornelisz, Pieter, wife of requests lot 158
Cornelissen/Cornelisz, Poulus, sued by A. Hendriksen 476, Van Loenen 349; sues Reynier Albersen and Egbert, servant of S. Leendersen 476, Carelsen 471, 481, Claes Cornelisz (van den Berch) 245, G. Martensen 348
Cornelissen/Cornelisz, Seger/Seeger (Wip), attacked 295, 298, 303; case adjourned 148; crimes of 145; sues Mille 433, Van Aken 505; witness 325; wounded 308
Cornelissen/Cornelessen/Cornelius, Teunis/Theunes/Theuni(e)s (alias Jonge Poenentie, van Vechten), asks to build 273; petition of 203; sued by Cornelis Breuckelen 476, De Graef 534, G. Lookermans 376, officer and G. Gerritsen 327, H. Janssen Reur 367, 369, Van Ilpendam 526; wife, Marretien, named in suit 327
Cornelissen/Cornelisz, Teunis/Theunis (Slingerlant/Slingerland/van Slingerlant), accused 437; garden of 236; requests lot 159; sued by H. Jacobsen’s curators 535, 537; sues Femmetie Alberts/de Baxter 375–76, 380
Cornelissen, Theunes, signs petition with mark 502
Cornelissen, Theunis, signature on petition 491
court messenger, see also Ludovicus Cobussen, Henderick Jansen (Reur), Pieter Rijverdingh; fees established 5
Court of Fort Orange and Beverwijck, appoints, beer carrier 541, collectors 65, 214, 471, committee to meet with Stuyvesant 149, curators 278, delegates 456, deputy 461, deputy schout 34, farmer of tapsters’ excise 151, guardians 126, inspectors of woods 511, orphanmasters 278, secretary 17, surveyors 3, 32, 67, 149–50, 161–62, translator 411, treasurers 96, 187; authorizes commissary to inspect tapsters’ houses 68–69; considers request for carter 202; establishes court messenger fees 5, time of court sessions 4; forbids Indians to be molested 460; grants powder for Indian present 150; instructions for, rattle watch 451, surveyors 4; jurisdiction xxvi, 59n, decree regarding 106–7; levies taxes on chimneys 519, houses, lots and private traders 64–65; notice about canoe 25; opinion on, leases 98–99, not taking oath 498, ordinances from Stuyvesant 224; orders, Beverwijck palisaded 469, boat to have court consent before sailing 127, charges for beer and brandy 41, debts discharged 416, drum beaten for
enlistment 131, letting of work on church building 275, prohibition of animals on fort bastions 57; ordinances about, bakers 199, 208, 231, 415–16, brokers 299, 434, 492, 500, 504, 514–15, 573, chimneys 259, 416, firewood in the streets 473, golf in the streets 473, shooting on New Year’s Day 473, tapping 8, 68, 152, 473; permit burgher guard to shoot the parrot 192; petition, rejected 173, sent to Stuyvesant 108–10, 456–460; proclaims day of prayer 280–81; protests against Schaets 84; replies to Indian propositions 450, 455, 504, 518; requests contribution, of collector 207, to Indian present 146, for school teacher 208; resolution about bakers 183, retiring magistrates 237; sends yachts to Manathans and Esopus 207; summons citizens 139–40; takes money out of excise 208

Court of Rensselaerswyck, building of 29–30; to be contacted 48; court messenger of 176; decisions of 248–49, 260; failed to execute ordinances 47; magistrates of 5, at meeting with Sinnekus 515; ordered to move 110; request return of J. Hendricksz Maet 192; mentioned 106, 157, 370

cows; see livestock

Coxsackie, mentioned xxvi

Crabaat, Abraham; see Stevensen, Abraham

Cramer, Barent, sues Van Bremen 38

Crobaet/Crowaet, Abraham; see Stevensen, Abraham

Croon/Kroon, Claes/Klaes; see Cornelissen, Claes

Croon, Dirck/Dirrick Jansen; see Jansen, Dirck (Croon/Kroon)

curfew, mentioned 39n

currants; see foodstuff

Cuyper, Jan Jansen; see Jansen, Jan (de Cuyper)

d’Acost/d’Acosta, Joseph, sues Coenraetsen 390–91

Damens, Maritien, wife of H. Anderiessen 472n

Daniel, Jan/Johan, deputy schout 284; report of 284; sues Gerrit Hendricksen and H. Classen 277, Marcelus Jansen 275

Dareth/Daret/Darech/de Ret, Jan, prosecuted 527; sued by C. Gerritsen 309, 311, 316, H. Jacobsen 326, Van Aecken 16–17, 21–22; sues Wisselpenninigh 460, 526; testimony of 201–2, 271, 274


de Backer, ———, sued by Pieter Bout 301

de Backer, Daniel, fined 267

de Backer, Evert, to pay for rattle watch 470; wife of, fined 490, sued by Carpeyn 493, Jan Hendricksen de Bruyn 535, L. van Valckenburgh 510

de Backer, Henderick; see Hendricksen, Henderick (de Backer)

de Backer, Jacob; see Willemsen, Jacob

de Backer, Jochem; see Wesselsen, Jochem

de Backer, Joost, see also Teunissen, Joost; paid for wine 24; sues Bronck 8, 12, 17

de Backer, Pieter, testimony of 257–58

de Backer, Sijmon, prosecuted 470; sued by Rem Janssen 302; testimony of 175; mentioned 174

de Backer, Willem, sues by Albert Gerritsen 365
de Backer, Wouter, sued by Jochim de Backer 392; sues Jurriaen Teunissen 409

de Baxter, Femmetje; see Albertsen, Femmetje

de Boer, Maerten, sued by Roelof Jacobsz 213–14

de Brouwer, Barent, in fight 368

de Brouwer, Flip; see Hendricksen, Philip

de Brouwer, Harmen, sued by G. Gerritsen 212; witness to fight 290–91

de Brouwer, Henderick, sued by Storm Albertsen 403, 407, 409

de Brouwer, Jacob, to build addition 6

de Brouwer, Marten/Merten, complaint against S. Comelisz 145; lot of 7; sued by Steven Jansz 42, 133–34, Poest 52, 54, summoned 111; mentioned 46

de Brouwer, Philip; see Hendricksen, Philip

de Brouwer, Pieter, sued by Bordingh 385, 392, P. Loockermans 375

de Bruyn, Jan Hendricksen; see Hendricksen, Jan


de Cuyper/de Kuyper, Jan; see Jansen, Jan (de Cuyper)

de Deckere, Johan, (commissary of Fort Orange and president of the court of Fort Orange and Beverwijck), to appeal sentence 209; appointed commissary xxix, 194n; delegated to collect loan 223; requests interpretation of order 249; requests salary as secretary 218; turns over account 250; mentioned xxix and passim

de Forrest, Isaack, attorney for Nevius 367–68; sued by Chambre 5

de Fransman, Jacques, mentioned 311

de Glaesemaeker, Jurriaen; see Teunissen, Jurriaen

de Goyer, Dirck, prosecuted 279, 281, 283–84

de Goyer, Eldert, sued by Willem Albertsz 121; mentioned 286

de Graeff/de Graef, Jan; see Anderie(s)-en, Jan (de Graeff)

de Haen, Isaack, sues Jacob Tijsen 522

de Haes, Gabriel, sued by Bosch 449; sues Pouwel 403, wife of Jan Martensen 448

de Hinsse/de Hince/de Hinson, Mr./Meester/Heer Jacob, (Chirurgijn/surgeon, Barbier), letters for 459; lot of 171; planks sent to 129; report from 522, of 257; sues T. Pouwelsen 282; testifies 165

de Hoedemaeker, Samuel, sued by Gerbertsen 520

de Hooges, Mr. Anthony, arbitrator 115, 158; asked for contract 167; as deacon 161–64; deceased 381; dispute with Willem Albertsz 24, 31–32; garden of 136; house nicknamed 173; to lay out lot 137; lot of 117; petition 117; statement of 172; wife of, remarried 381, slandered 178; mentioned 66, 193

de Hulter, Heer/Monsieur Johan, brings complaint 165; burgher of Beverwijck 99–100; contract with Pieter Bosboom 297; deceased 297; not to build celler 94, 97; planks of 112; request for ordinance 201; servant of 75, 90, 180; sues Willem Albertsen 148; widow of 230, 298; mentioned 97–99

de Hulter, Juffrouw/Madam Johanna/Anna (de Laet, Ebbingh, Thullert),

de Iersman, Jan/Jantje; see Andriessen, Jan

de Jongh, Jan, sues Riverdingh 381

de Karman, Tjerck, sued by Riverdingh 196

de Karreman, Michiel, brother-in-law of R. Jansz Smit 183

de Kistemaecker, Wijnant, house of 334; note on 336n; wife assaulted 334

de Kuyper, Gerret, see also Jansen, Gerret (Van Swoll); lot of 29

de Lademaker, Machiel, fined 70; requests lot 29; mentioned 32

de Laet, Johanna; see de Hulter, Johanna de la Montagne, Johannes; see La Montagne, Johannes

de la Poterie, ———, mentioned 401–2

de Lauzon, Jean, mentioned 77n

de Loison, Monsr. Johan, letter to 77

de Looper/Loper, Jacob/Cobus, see also Teunissen, Jacob/Cobus; accused of brokering 444; sued by Cobussen 309, H. Jacobsen 321, Steven Jansz 215; mentioned 395

de Maeker, Pieter, fined 284–85; request granted 316; sues Bensick 316, G. Pietersen 396; testimony of 397

de Metselaer, Merten/Marten; see Herperts, Merten

de Metselaer, Teunis; see Teunissen, Teunis

de Meyer/Maier, Nicolaes, planks to be delivered to 495; sues Dirckien Harmensen 506

de Moff, Hendrick, mentioned 52n

de Noorman; see Andriessen, Albert; Andriessen, Arent

de Noorman, Carsten/Karsten; see Carstensen, Carsten

de Noorman, Poulus, sued by Riverdingh 209

de Paus, ———, sued by Marcelus Jansz 144

De Ret; see Daret, Jan

de Ruyter, Henderick, mentioned 282

de Schoolmeester, Adriaen; see Van Ilpendam, Adriaan Jansen de Sille, Nicasius 105n

de Smit, Carsten; see Fredericksen, Carsten

de Smit, Meyndert; see Fredericksen, Meyndert

de Snijder, Abraham, sued by Jacob Teunesen 412; sues Ketlyun 413

de Snijder, Cobus, buys house 379

de Snijder, Henderick (Cordiael), fined 267

de Snijder, Lcendert, servant of 444

de Soldaer, Jan, summoned 71

de Stijve Snijder, Henderick, fined 267

de Suyckerbacker, Hendrick, see also, Hendrickse, Hendrick (de Backer); sued by Bever 536; sues Bever 538–39

de Sweet, Cornelis, accused of spying 155–56

de Timmerman, Albert; see Gerritsen, Albert

de Timmerman, Harmen; see Bastiaensen, Herman

de Timmerman, Jacob Jansen; see Jansen, Jacob (Flodder, de Timmerman)
de Timmerman, Stoffel, M. Herpertsz indebted to 83; orders concerning lot of 129; requests payment 143
de Trucx, Rebecca, sued by Baefje Pieters 355–56

de Truy, Susanna, accused of slander 178

de Visscher, Jan, sues M. Metselaer 46; summoned 39

de Vlackte, mentioned 304n

de Vlamingh, Pieter; see Winnen, Pieter

de Vos, Andries, arbitrator 272; attorney 133, 138, 141, 148–49, 197; curator 280; garden granted 96; judgment against 196; kill named for 7n; lot of 57, 83, 149; petition of 83, 91, 138, 502;

de Vos, Catalijntje, wife of Arent Andriessen 280n
de Vos, Cornelia, estate of 280
de Vos, Cornelia; see Vos, Cornelis
de Vos, Gysbert, wife sued by Jochemsen 481
de Vosch, Hans; see Vos, Hans
de Vries, Adriaen Dirrixsz; see Dirksen, Adriaen
de Wever, Jan Martensen; see Martensen, Jan
de Vrouw Maria, tavern of Steeven Jansz 176–77
de Winter, Maximiliaen, residing in Company’s house 74
de Wolff, Jacob Willemsz; see Willemesen, Jacob
Dirckmans/Dirckman, Jo(h)annes (commissary and vice director of Fort Orange and Beverwijck), abusive language against 115; appointed, as arbitrator 115, as commissary 3n, to confer with Stuyvesant 149, surveyor 4, 149–50; authorized to inspect tapsters’ houses 68; complaint of 8, 35; to conduct female Negro outside village 16; contributes to Indian gift 147; disability of 192n, 235–36; to examine accounts 122; falsely accused 50; lime and bricks borrowed from 124; loans money for defense of Manathans 140; lot of 48–49, 171; to make list of burgurers 16; ordered to pay W. Albertsz 117; owed 36–37; reads protest regarding Slechtenhorst 102; relieved of office 193n; report on lot 137; wife of, accused of adultery 176–77, 180, acknowledges debt 256, ordered to pay husband’s debt 272, sued by curators 235–36; testimony of 256; mentioned xxiv, xxv, xxix, xxx, 48, 50 and passim

Dijckmans, Maritge (Juffrouw), attachment against 244; house of 529; sued by Pecck 241, Steendam 427; sues A. Pietersz Vosburch 218

Dingeman, Adam, sued by A. vanden Bergh 408; mentioned 175

Dircksen/Dircksz/Dirrixsz, Adriaen (de Vries/Vries), complaint of 158; summoned 158; wife, Jannitge Jansz, prosecuted 210

Dircksen/Dircksz, Dirrick, affidavit against Ida Claessen 398

Dircksen, Isbrandt, sued by Jan Barentsen and C. Claesz 408

Dircksen/Dircksz/Dirrixsz, Jan; see van Bremen, Jan (Dircksen)

Dircksen/Dirrixsz/Dirxse, Teunis/Theunis, as curator 113, 235
dog, shot by Jochem Becker 121

Donckertse, Jannetie, wife of Thomas Poulussen 246n

Dordrecht, Synod of, mentioned 222, 431

Douwsz, Gillis/Jelles (Fonda), sues H. Gerritsen 337, Van Bremen 58; wife sued by L. Cobus 259

Driesz, Hendrick; see Andriessen, Hendrick

Drinckvelt, Elisabeth, sues Van Hoesen and wife 467; wife of J. Rinckhout 467n

Ebbingh, Jeronimus, wife sued 426

Ebbings/Ebbingh, Juffrow Johanna; see de Hulter, Johanna

Eeckelen, Jan; see van Eeckelen, Jan Jansen

Eerhaerts/Eerhaer(s), Jan, admits slander 461; complaint of 440; sued by Harmen Jacobsen 283, J. Martensen 375, 449, sues Davidsen 321, Gauw 470

Egbert, (servant of S. Leendersen), sued by Poulus Cornelissen 476

Egberts/Egbers, Egbertjen (wife of Teunis de Metselaer), appears for hus-
band 297; fined 339–40; sentenced and fined 252; sues Gouw and Jurcksen 301; mentioned 297, 365
Elbertsen, Reyer; see Albertsen, Reyer
Elbertsen, Reynier; see Albertsen, Reynier
Eldersz, Ysbrant, requests lot 183; sues L. Philipsen 257
Elswaerts, Brechtje, wife of Evert Pels 461n
Engel, Robbert, sues H. Gerritsen 336
Erasmus, Pieter, accused 445
Esopus, Bamboes at 310, 382n, 534; court established xxvi; Dijckman and L. Jansz at 75; excise exemption at 352; first war at 516n; Indians of 59, 382n, 459, 463, 468, 470, 503, 516n, 518; committee report of land measured at 150; liquor sold at 58, 75, 127; peace conference at 516, 518; G. Philipsen murdered at 470; shortage of schepel measures at 110; slaughter's excise at 351; Stuyvesant at 461; war at 459; mentioned 59n, 207, 218, 220, 405–6, 472, 496, 516n
Evertsz, Jurgen (van Rencum); accounts settled 73–74; drowned 71
excise, account of farmer of 151, 172, 320; burgher 471; farmer of excise 236, 269, 351, 359, 371, 528; farming out of 109n, 151–52, 272; fraud 68; liquor 68, 269; magistrates paid from 110; received 186, 471; master of 236; slaughter's 224–25, 351, 471; tapsters' 32, 41, 108–9, 272, 421, 475; mentioned 196, 207, 371
fair, autumn 125n
Feckmans/Fexmans, Geertruy, sued by Baefje Pietersen 355–56
Fifth Kill, mills on 130
Fijnhout, Cornelis, accused of brokering 436; prosecuted 437, 513
fines, account of 339–43, 474–75
fire, chimneys as cause of 259, 416; rattle watch to look for 451
First Kill, mentioned 36, 121n
fish; see foodstuff
Flodder, Jacob Jansz; see Jansen, Jacob (Flodder)
Flodder's Kill, mentioned 130n
flood, mentioned 176, 180
Floris, Isaak (Hap's servant), wounded 209
Fonda, Gillis Douwsz; see Douwsz, Gillis
Fonda, Hester/Ester, attachment against Rinckhout 494; sues M. Teunissen 418, H. Vos 370
foodstuff, beans spoiled 72, 79, mentioned 86; bread, as payment 484, price set 231, 415, scarcity of 415, short weight of 109, sugar, currents, raisins and prunes not to be put in 199, white, horn blown to sell 49, white, not to be sold to Indians 41, mentioned 42–43, 45–46, 391, 447, 520; butter 104, 181; cabbage 359; cake not to be sold to Indians 41, mentioned 109, 415; cheese stolen 24; cookies mentioned 45; corn, payment in 256, 268, 359, spoiled 72, 79, mentioned 86; fish 408; flour 109; grain, attached 186–87, beer brewed from 176, delivered at Manhattan 59, malting of prohibited 32, 41, payment in 111, 261, 383, purchased 329, scarce 415, mentioned 168, 187, 190, 220, 369; milk 465; oats 167, 443, 508; peas 167; pretzels 45; salt 471–72, 476, 482; sugar 199, 324; vinegar 446; watermelons 384; wheat, attached 414, debt for 437, 441, 443–444, payment in 82, 345 366, 415, 434–35, 465, 472, 480–82, 484, 520, sent to Manhattan 140, summer 168, as wages 287, mentioned 54, 80, 167
Fort Nassau, description xvii; trading post xvi
Fort Orange, animals not allowed on bastions of 57; door in 161; house standing in 87, 171, 220; liquor brought from 387; material and wages for due 218; repairs to 65, 225; stockade of 497n; mentioned xxi, 3, 98, 112, 118 and pas-sim
Frans, mentioned 56
Fransen, Jan; see van Hoesem, Jan Fransen
Fransen, Jan, wife of; see van Hoesem, Jan Fransen, wife of
Fredricksen/Fredericksen/Fredericksz/Fredrixsz/Fredrickx, Carsten (de Smit, Meyndertsen), blacksmith shop of 205n; signature on petition 491; sued by Van Aecken 169; sues J. Hammsen 362; summoned 259; surety for 205; wife sued by C. vanden Bergh 489
Fredricksen/Frederikhx/Fredericksz, Meyndert (de Smit), attachment against 250; blacksmith shop of 205n; signs petition with mark 502; sued by Bastiaensen 330, M. Janssen 359, Verweelen 521, Vosburch 276; surety for 205
Fredricksen/Fredrixsz, Willem (Bout/Boudt), accused of selling beer to Indians 123; accuses C. Gerritzs 174; arbitrator 273; assault by 73–74; attachment against Van Bremen 170; attorney for Stoll 142; bailsman 28, 34, 59; to build bridge 123; drunkenness of, fined 341; horse mill of 39, 41, 97; house of 72, 80, 93, 95, 104, 174, 264; loans money for defense of Manathans 140; owes, Bamboes 534, for goods 71; payment to 340; prosecuted 70, 73, 195, 470; request of 83; signs petition with mark 502; sued by curators of estate of H. Jacobsen 534, 536, H. Gerritsen 330, A. Janssen 421, Spitsbergen 390, Stoll 130; sues Rut. Arentsz 15, Bensingh 402, H. Claessen 374, R. Jacobsz 124, 126, M. Jansen 348, Nolden 538, Jur. Theunisz 57; summoned 128; tapping after curfew 195; testimony of 92; tormented H. de Vosch 395; as wholesale beer trader 119, 122; wife prosecuted 209
French, at Canada 76; enemies of Maquaes 453–54; peace with 411; prisoners 459
Fresh River, mentioned 397
Fuyck, explained xxiii; mentioned, 436
Fuyck Kill, mentioned 36n, 223n
Gabrielsz, Frans (van Delft), crime of 25–28
games, bowling 142; golf 473–74; hockey 284; riding the goose 180; shooting the parrot 192n
Geelgieter, Willem, sues Ripsen 302
Geertien (wife of Hans Vosch), admits giving brandy to Indians 388
Geertruyt, see Jeronimus, Geertruyt
Gelderland, mentioned 292
Gemackelijck, Pieter; see Adriaens, Pieter (Soo Gemackelijck)
Gerary, Philip, tavern keeper 20n; mentioned 20
Gerbertsen/Gerbertsz, Elbert/Eldert, debt assigned to 495; fined 43, 128; money attached 423, 536; prosecuted 43, 45; requests affidavit 353, garden 174; sued by W. Albertsz 144, 147, S. de Hoedemaeker 520–21, Ketlyun 419, 421, Kleyn 331, 336, B. Pietersen 337, 349, 351, Stoll 130; sues A. Andriessen 330, T. Claessen 410, Clomp 157, 159, T. Jijssen 531; mentioned 355
Gerbrantsen, Cornelis, sues Clomp 147–48
Gerritsen, Adriaen, appointed overseer of construction for defense of village 466; delegate to Maquaes 456; magistrate 294, 388, 431; signature on petition 491; sues Bosch 461, testifies 393
Gerritsen/Gerritsz/Gerrits, Albert (Tim-
merman), attachment against 379, 432; cited 71; prosecuted 58, 284–85; sued by J. Bastiaensen 391, F. de Brouwer 370, J. Harmensen 370, A. Sijmons 385; sues R. Arentsz 72, 79, 81, 102, F. Barens 365, W. de Backer 365, W. Hap 386, 392, M. Herpertsz 72, 79, W. Hoffmeyer 386, 396, H. Janssen 375, P. Loockermans 365; summoned 267

Gerritsen, Baltus, sued by A. van den Bergh 382

Gerritsen/Gerritz/Gerrits, Claes, accused of giving nicknames to houses 172; confirms affidavit 374; declaration of 199; lot of 83, 87; sued by A. de Vos 164, Wemp 181–82; sues J. Dareth 309, 311, 316, Van Slichtenhorst 116, 122, 124; testifies 172–73; mentioned 185

Gerritsen, Eldert, sues F. Barentsen 277

Gerritsen/Gerritz/Gerrits, Ellert, prosecuted for not building 70

Gerritsen/Gerritz/Gerretsz, Goosen, account of 80; arbitrator 149, 158, 184, 213, 219; attorney 256; crime against daughter of 25; curator 113; 235, contributes to Indian gift 147; fined 70; house nicknamed 173; interrogatory 166–68, 201–2; loans money 140; lot of 38; magistrate 236–37, 361; money of 61; to move palisades 129; payment to 118; prosecuted 92; request of 133; sued by de Hulter 391, 493, M. Clasen 411, Coobesen 538, D. Craven 510, A. de Vosch 483, 485, 489, 492, 535, Levy 489, Spitsbergen and B. Pietersen 336, 338–39, Van Eeckelen 392, widow of Vosburgh 500, 506–7; sues Jacob Tijsen 412, Vosburgh 370

Geverts, Femmetgen; see Albertsen, Femmetgen

Gijsbert, Lange, mentioned 286

Gijsbert, Msr.; see van Imborch, Gijsbert

Gijsbertsen, Albert (Rademaeker), complaint of 310; lot of 269; sued by H. Jacobsen 356, B. Pieters 35–56, Van Hoesem 366; sues W. Brouwer 449, Maria Goosens 494, 505, 508, 520, 538, Van Valckenburgh 466; testimony of 332, 353

Gijsbertsen, Gerbert, sues Vosburgh 290

Gillissen/Gillesen, Pieter, as attorney 533; sues H. Claessen 419, 421–22, A. Janssen 446, J. Thomassen 414, Van Breemen 429

Glaessemaker, Juriaen; see Teunissen, Juriaen

Glen, Sander; see Leendertsen, Sander goats; see livestock

Gojer's Kill, Indian house near 255

Gosens/Goossens, Maria, see also Jansen, Maria and Lange Mary; banished and fined 194; biographical note on 176n; sued by A. Gijsbertsen 494, 505, 508, 520, 538; mentioned 216

Gorinchem, 387n

Gou/Gouw, Jan; see Gauw, Jan

320, Levy 409, 407, Reur 371, Rinckhout 391, J. Roeloffsen 520, P. Steevens 333, 336, A. van der Berch 264, 266, 408, 483, 508–9; sues Van Hoesem 452

Gerritsen/Gerritz, Jacob, ordered to pay 111; sued by Bronck 247; sues T. Chiembers 247


Geverts, Femmetgen; see Albertsen, Femmetgen

Gijsbert, Lange, mentioned 286

Gijsbert, Msr.; see van Imborch, Gijsbert

Gijsbertsen, Albert (Rademaeker), complaint of 310; lot of 269; sued by H. Jacobsen 356, B. Pieters 35–56, Van Hoesem 366; sues W. Brouwer 449, Maria Goosens 494, 505, 508, 520, 538, Van Valckenburgh 466; testimony of 332, 353

Gijsbertsen, Gerbert, sues Vosburgh 290

Gillissen/Gillesen, Pieter, as attorney 533; sues H. Claessen 419, 421–22, A. Janssen 446, J. Thomassen 414, Van Breemen 429

Glaessemaker, Juriaen; see Teunissen, Juriaen

Glen, Sander; see Leendertsen, Sander goats; see livestock

Gojer's Kill, Indian house near 255

Gosens/Goossens, Maria, see also Jansen, Maria and Lange Mary; banished and fined 194; biographical note on 176n; sued by A. Gijsbertsen 494, 505, 508, 520, 538; mentioned 216

Gorinchem, 387n

Gou/Gouw, Jan; see Gauw, Jan

320, Levy 409, 407, Reur 371, Rinckhout 391, J. Roeloffsen 520, P. Steevens 333, 336, A. van der Berch 264, 266, 408, 483, 508–9; sues Van Hoesem 452

Gerritsen/Gerritz, Jacob, ordered to pay 111; sued by Bronck 247; sues T. Chiembers 247


Geverts, Femmetgen; see Albertsen, Femmetgen

Gijsbert, Lange, mentioned 286

Gijsbert, Msr.; see van Imborch, Gijsbert

Gijsbertsen, Albert (Rademaeker), complaint of 310; lot of 269; sued by H. Jacobsen 356, B. Pieters 35–56, Van Hoesem 366; sues W. Brouwer 449, Maria Goosens 494, 505, 508, 520, 538, Van Valckenburgh 466; testimony of 332, 353

Gijsbertsen, Gerbert, sues Vosburgh 290

Gillissen/Gillesen, Pieter, as attorney 533; sues H. Claessen 419, 421–22, A. Janssen 446, J. Thomassen 414, Van Breemen 429

Glaessemaker, Juriaen; see Teunissen, Juriaen

Glen, Sander; see Leendertsen, Sander goats; see livestock

Gojer's Kill, Indian house near 255

Gosens/Goossens, Maria, see also Jansen, Maria and Lange Mary; banished and fined 194; biographical note on 176n; sued by A. Gijsbertsen 494, 505, 508, 520, 538; mentioned 216

Gorinchem, 387n

Gou/Gouw, Jan; see Gauw, Jan
INDEX

grain; see foodstuff

great burgher right, mentioned 300n
Griet *met den baert*, mentioned 228n
Groot, Sijmon/Symon, lot of 124; sued by D. Croon; T. Loduwijcksz 427, Withart 441; sues M. Herpertsz 125

guard house, as boundary 184; building of 65; locks for 143; rattle watch starts at 451; trouble at 89–90

guns, dispute about 133; Indians, request for repair of 454, 457, trade for 515n;
of Swager Marten 156; mentioned 522
gunstock makers, mentioned 454, 457

Gurcum, Poulus Janssen born at 387

*hageboom*; see Sijmonsen, Jacob

Hals, Anna, mentioned 525n

Hansz, Volckert; see Jansen, Volckert (Douw)

Hap, Jacob; see Jansen, Jacob (Stoll)

Hap, Willem; see Jansen, Willem (Stoll)

Haps, Geertruy, mentioned 246n

Hardenberch, Jan, estate of 372

Harmen, servant of Jan Tomassen threatened 298

Harmensen/Harmensz/Hermense, Dirckje/Dirckje/Drickjin, fined 339; sentenced 254; prosecuted 337; sued by Maier 506, Spitsbergen and partner 345, Van Breuckelen 345, Vanden Bergh 467; sues Hoffmeyer 345; wife of J. Martensen 467n

Harmensen, Harmen (Gansevoort), mentioned 212n

Harmensen, Henderick, sues Rinckhout 355

Harmensen/Harmsen, Jan, interrogatory of 497; prosecuted 498; signs petition 502; sued by Boon 481, C. Fredricksz 362, S. Janssen 393; sues H. Bastiaensen 449, Bensick 361, A. Gerrits 370, S. Leendersen 427, R. Swartwout and F. Harmsen 434, Van Eeckelen 432

Harmsen, Fredrick, sued by J. Harmsen 434

Harmsen, Jan, signs petition with mark 502

Hartford, mentioned 450n

Hartgers/Hertgers/Hertgers, Pieter, affidavit for 5; appointed, arbitrator 80, guardian 126, inspector of sewant 319, treasurer 186; bed sold to 186; bought stolen measure 191; brewery lot of 223; contributed to gift 147; declaration of 54; fined 70; house nicknamed 173; loan for, defense of the Manathans 140, roof repair 224; lot of 125, 184, 242; magistrate 30, 108, 119, 129, 196–97, 206, 303, 359, 361–62, 486; money owed to by, M. Herpertsen 122, Van Bremen 170; prosecuted 445; sued by Wisselpenningh 366, Withart 115–16; sues Bamboes 233; surety 231; mentioned 171, 195, 444

Hathorne, William, merchant 450n

Hauhoorn, Willem (major general), appears in court 450

hay, illegal carting of 530; suit about 330

hay barracks, mentioned 148n, 167n

Hedemans, Evert, sued by J. Tomassen 359

Heeren XIX, mentioned 495n

Helmsen, Jan (*met den baert*), attachment against 414; wife prosecuted 228

hen; see livestock

Henderick, *de Koerder*; see Jansen, Henderick (*Koerder*)

Hendricksen/Hendixsz, -----, as deceased 192

Hendricksen, Arent, sues P. Cornelissen 476

Hendricksen/Hendricksen/Hendixsz/Hendixse/Hend(e)ricksz/Hendricksz, Claes (*Timmerman*, van Utrecht), fined 212; house of 171; loan for defense of Manathans 140; lot as boundary 214; prosecuted 182, 212, 284; request of 162; servant sues widow of 413; sued by Houtewael 147, 150, C. Jacobsen 407, H. Jacopsz 181, P. Lokermans 208; sues Bambus and Bensick 314, Claes Janssen 407, Slechtenhorst 268, 271, 273–74, Verbeeck 406–7; testifies 154, 285

Hendricksen/Hendricksz, Cornelis, sued by Bastiaensen 41
Hendricksen, Elsien, sued by I. Adriaensen 488
Hendricksen, Engeltie, sued by Lijntie Adamsen 539
Hendricksen/Hendricksz, Frederick, sues H. Jochimsen 255
Hendricksen/Hendricksen/Hendrickz, Gerrit, sued by J. Daniel 277, H. Jacobsen 264, 327
Hendricksen/Hendricksz/Hendrixs, Geurt/Govert, attachment against 415; fined 267; sued by Joanna Thullert 262-63; sues Scheuler 191; testifies 426
Hendricksen/Hendricksen, Hans, dispute with Van Neck 473; signs petition 491; testifies 444, 500
Hendricksen/Hendricksen, Hendrik (de Backer), account of fines 339-40; pro-secuted 250-51, 273, 279-80, 530; sued by A. Herbertsz 280, Jannitje Jans 244, Jacob Jansen 309-10, L. Philipsen 363, Staets 278; and wife, sued by L. Philipsen 335, 337; wife sued by A. Herbertsz 268
Hendricksen, Jacob, sues P. Martensen 480
Hendricksen/Hendrixs/Hendricksz/Hendrixs, Jacob (Loserik/ van Loosdrecht/Loosdreght, Maet, Timmerman), age and birthplace of 177; attachment against 141-42, 144-45, 247; complaint against 175; excise farmer 151-52, 169, 236; fined 101, 183-84, 238; goods of 145; interrogatory, about 225-26, of 177; lot of 160; pays debt 194; prosecuted 95, 100, 223, 230, 237-38; return requested by colony 192-93; sentenced 183-84; sued by J. Adriaensen 132, 145-46, C. Boon 222, Pastoor 239; sues J. Adriaensen 442, Bronck 172, S. Jansz 182, 242; summoned 154, 174; mentioned 238
Hendricksen/Hendrixs, Jacob (Sibbinck), fined 125; lot of 125; sues J. Adriaensen 114-15
Hendricksen, Jan (de Bruyn/Bruyn), sues Jannetien, wife of Evert de Backer 535; witness 522
Hendricksen/Hendrickse, Jan (Roodt-haer, van Salsbergen, Timmerman), biographical note 362n; to build bridge 123; loan for defense of Manathans 139; prosecuted 100; sued by Flodder 362; sues Van Aecken 153-54, 158, Van Renselaer 213, C. Vosch 381
Hendricksen/Hendricksz, Marritjen, sues L. Cobussen 335
Hendricksen/Hendrixsz/Hendricksz, Marten/Martin (Bierkaecker/Bierkaker), accused of selling brandy to Indian 324; affidavit of 5; brewer 369n; interrogatory of 295, 324; knife drawn in house of 298, 303; lot of 356; refuses to be bailsman 28; sued by Marcelis Jansen 412, Vedder 379, 385; tavern keeper 295n; wife prosecuted 328
Hendricksen/Hendricksz/Hendricksz, Philip (de Brouwer), affidavit against 527; employed Cobussen 351; payment by 341; prosecuted 522, 528, 532; signature on petition 502; sued by Bronck 448, H. Koehder 415, P. Meesen 507, 521; sues I. Adriaensen 487, de Baxter 423, de Graeff 251, J. Loockermans 385, H. Metselaer 488, Stijntje 485n, J. Teunissen 423, A. vanden Bergh 435, Van Hamel 442, Van Hoesem 316; wife of 488n; witness 356
Hendricksen, Witten, sued by wife of J. Albers 507
Hendricksen, Wouter (Schoonhoven), sues W. Jansz 509
Herentaels/Herenthals, mentioned 178, 178n
Herfort, mentioned 450
Hermense, Dirckie; see Harmensen, Dirckie
Herpertsen/Herpertsz/Herbertsz, Andries, as arbitrator 52, 181, 332, attorney 267, 438, delegate to Maquas 456, magistrate 6, 87, 98, 108, 112, 114, 118-20, 206, 255, 286, 294, 425, 431, surety 37; complaint about H. de Backer 335; contradicts court opinion 246-47; contributes to gift 147; deputy at
Manathans 97; dispute with Van Valckenborgh 117; house, mentioned 142, 145, nicknamed 173; interrogation of 136; loan, for defense of Manathans 139, roof repair 224; lot of 82; opinion regarding Indians 491, 503; prosecuted for brokering 512-14; sued by P. Jacobsen 469, T. Theunesen 505; Van Vleek 446; sues H. de Backer 280, 337, Kitteleyen 536, 539, C. Teunissen 270, H. Vosch 359, Femmetgen Westerkamp 156-57, wife of H. de Backer 268, of J. Martensen 472; testimony of 263, 499
Herpertsen/Herpertsz, Merten/Marten (Metselaer), affidavit of 374; assaults Bosboom 299-300; fined 70; house and garden of 80, 94, 97-98; indebted 26, 83, 117, 128, 146; lot of 33; sued by A. Andriessz 81, Bensingh 53, Bosboom 330, Bronck 72, 79, Lijsbeth Cornelisdr. 79, 86, Driesz 121, G. Gerritsen 116, A. Gerritsz 72, 79, Groot 125, H. Jacobsen 320, Roelfo Jacobsz 168, Schermerhoren 72, 79, Tesselaer 20, C. Thomasz 81, Van Gottenborgh 69, Van Hoesem 46, 124; summoned 112, 117, 128; witness 290-91; mentioned 399
Hertgers, Pieter; see Hertgers, Pieter
Hertogh, Hermanus, owed 146
Hester, wife of Herman Bastiaensen 182
Higge, Thomas, owed 18
Highlands, mentioned 405-6
Hil, Willem, testifies 198
Hillebrant, Nicolaes Gregory, interrogation of 404-5
hogs; see livestock
Holland, courts in 99; custom in 119; mentioned 116, 188, 256, 355, 381 413-14, 447
Honorable General; see Stuyvesant, Petrus
Honttum, Willem, mentioned 134
Hoogenboom, Cornelis/Kees; see Pietersen, Cornelis
Hoogenboom, Meeuwses, accused 284; assaulted 322; cited 289; sued by P. Loockermans 356, Rinckhout 384
Hoorn, Reynert, sues Sijmons 323
hops; see foodstuff
horses; see livestock
houses, nicknames given to 172-75
Houtewael, Cornelis, sues C. Hendrixsz 147; as surety 147, 150
Hudson Valley, mentioned xvi, 450
Ideson, Harmen, sues H. Gerritsen 532
Immetie, wife of Evert de Backer, fined 490; sued by Carpeyn 493
Inckluis, Hans, sued by Roeloff Jacopsz 168
Indians, see also Catskill, Indians of, Esopus, Indians of, Mahikanders, Maquas and Sinnekens; abuse of 352, captives sent to Cura’ao 516n; credit given to 151; defense against attacks of 466, 469; employed as brokers 434, 491, 498-500, 504, 513-14, 523; French 517; woman as trader 523; and passim intercourse, accused of, Rut Adriaens/Arnts 44, Claes Ripsz 162-64, 171
Isackson, Arent, sued by J. Jacobsen 51
Jacobsen/Jacobsz, Abraham/Abram (Timmerman), accounts of 73-74; perished 73; wages 172
Jacobsen/Jacopsz, Aert, sued by R. Aertsz 42
Jacobsen/Jacobsz, Andries, testifies 201-2
Jacobsen/Jacopsz, Caspar/Casper, requests lot 6; sued by Vedder 407; sues C. Hendericksz 407

Jacobsen/Jacopsz, Claes, lot of 159, 185, 186; signs petition 434, 502; sued by H. Bastiaensz 231; sues C. Croon 56, Jochem de Backer 287–88

Jacobsen/Jacopsz, Cornelis, sues Bronck 23, Jochem de Backer 20

Jacobsen/Jacobsz, Grietje, wife of Michiel Teunesen 480n

Jacobsen/Jacopsz, Roeloff, innkeeper 80; sued by M. Claesdr. 114, J. Gouw 135, Steven Jansz 80–81, C. Theunisz 132; sues Marten de Boer 213–14, Gouw 132, Inkluis and M. Metselaer 168, Trijntgen Jacobszdr. 144

Jacobsen/Jacobsz/Jacopsz, Rutger/Ruth, advice about brokers 504; arbitrator 149, 184, 272, 332; attachment against Bambus’s yacht 310, held by 18, 116, 124, 199, 423; attorney 37, 43; boards of 23; to build horse mill 97; contributes to Indian gifts 146; curator 113, 235; deposition 197; elder 162, 164; garden of 120, 189; house nicknamed 173; loan for roof repair 224; magistrate 108, 119–20, 206, 255, 294, 425, 486, 528, 533; at Manhatans 9, 98; partner with G. Gerritsen 424; prosecuted 436, 512; protests excise 32; to receive payment for boards 112; received money from H. Hendericksz Backer 340; rejects salary for De Deckere 218; requests of 133, 223, 317; sued by C. Caspersen 385, Johanna de Hulter 391–92, W. Fredrixsz 124, 126, F. Jansz Timmerman 235, Spitsbergen 539, 541, Vorsborch 424; sues Jacob Adriaensen and H. Claessen 429, F. Alberts 190–91, W. Albertsz 120; Apell

Jacobsen/Jacobsz/Jacopsz, Teunis/Theunes/Tunis (Bierdraeger), accused of not having certificate 371-72; dismissed as beer carrier 422; employed as beer carrier 422n; lot of 6; requests second beer carrier 541; sister-in-law of 162-64; sued by J. Bemboo 330, Bronck 422,424,432, Clabbert 196, A. van den Berch 371; sues L. Baliner 335, A. de Vos 197; summoned 267

Jacopsdr., Breght, sues A. Vosburgh 26

Jacopsdr./Jacobs, Grietgen/Grietgen, complaint of assault 174-75; marriage to Michiel Antonisz upheld 248-49; sued by Van Eeckelen 480

Jacopsdr., Trijntgen, sued by Roeloff Jacopsz 144; wife of Michiel Teunesen 480n

Jacobsdr., Wijbrugh, sues Aelgen Jansdr. 182n

Jan in Katskil; see Andriessen, Jan (de Iersman)

Jan met den baert; see Helmsen, Jan

Jansdr., Aelgen, sued by Wijbrugh

Jansdr. 182n

Jansen/Janssen/Jansz/Janse, Adriaen/Arien (Appel, van Leyden), accused 389; arbitrator 45, 79; attorney 500, 506-7; banns 11; charges against Ripsz 171; excise farmer 475; fined 70, 249; house of 178; loan for defense of Manathans 140; lot of 41, 49; marriage 14; nominated for magistrate 108; ordered to build 232; petition of 137; prosecuted 513-14, 523, 529; signs petition 502; statement by 172; sued by Bancken 525, Bronk 301, 305, J. Claessen 399, curators of H. Jacobsen 537, G. Gerritsen 484, H. Jacobsen 326, 331, R. Jacobsen 375, V. Jansen 363, Schrick 383, P. Stevensen 336; sues W. Albertsz 315, W. Fredricksz Bout and Teller 421, Bronk, Dareth, Van Valckenburgh, and Westerkamp 22, Davids 344, Marcelus Janssen 269, Lubbert, servant of Labite 333, Van Breemen 31; summoned 93, 108; wife of 295n; mentioned 92, 288, 295, 370

Jansen, Adriaen; see van Ilpendam, Adriaen (Jansen)

Jansen, Anthony, sues G. Bisschop 541

Jansen/Janssen, Arent (van Hoeck, Timmerman), signs petition 502; sued by Gillissen 446

Jansen, Baerent, signs petition 491

Jansen/Janssen, Carel, attachment against 524; prosecuted 507; sues J. Tijsen 452

Jansen/Jansz/Janssen, Claes/Klaes, (van Baere/Baren, Timmerman), arbitrator 131, 231; inspector 104; prosecuted 228-29; report of 134; sued by C. Hendericksen 407, H. Jacobsen 320; testimony of 191; wife sues H. Coenraets 490

Jansen/Janssen, Cobus, sues J. Adriaensen 443

Jansen/Janssen, Cornelis, as witness 285

Jansen, Daniel, broker 513; prosecuted 499; testifies 499

Jansen/Jansz, Dirrick (Vaendrigh), guilty of contempt 54

Jansen/Janssen, Dirck, affidavit for Davids 406

Jansen/Janssen/Jansz, Dirck/Dirk/Dirrick (Croon/Kroon), appointed overseer of construction for defense of village 466; beavers attached 316; delegate to Maquas 456; M. Herpertsz indebted to 122; house nicknamed 173; magistrate 206, 294, 360, 361-62; meeting at house of 466; petitions for use of Indian brokers 491; sued by H. Bastiaensz 42, 61, by Clomp 17; sues H. Bastiaensz 19, 45, Bosboom 399, Davids 390, W. Gerritsen, S. Leendersen, and J. Michielsen 509-10, Groot 249, D. Pietersen and Gijsbert Jansen
INDEX

520, Rinckhout 358, 381; surveyor 3, 4; mentioned 224, 348
Jansen/Jansz, Evert (Wendel/Wendels, Kleermaker), advice to Maquaes 504; appointed curator 273, 277–78, 280; authorized to fine traders and Dutch brokers in woods 511; granted use of land 428; house nicknamed 173; loan for defense of Manathans 140; magistrate 425, 486; opinion regarding Indian brokers 492; sued by Wisselpeningh 366; to take up monthly collection 214; testifies 197; wife, Susanna de Truy 178
Jansen/Jansz, Fop (Timmerman), sues R. Jacobsz 235
Jansen, Gerrit (Decker), beavers attached 511
Jansen/Jansz, Gerrit/Gerret (van Swoll), see also Gerret de Kuyper; house nicknamed 173; interrogatory 90; loan for defense of Manathans 139; nominated for magistrate 108; sued by Lijsbet Cornelis 87; summoned to testify 116; mentioned 112
Jansen, Gijsbert, petitions for use of Indian brokers 491; sued by D. Croon 520; testifies 500
Jansen/Jansz, Henderick (Westerkamp), Femmetgen Alberts, wife, interrogatory of 15; sued by Labatie 20, Van Leyden 22; widow of, sued by A. Herpertsen 156; witness 49; mentioned 61
Jansen/Jansz/Janssen, Herman/Harmen (Scheele Herman, van Valckenburgh), accused Dijckman's wife of adultery 176–77; accused of breaking into Marcelis Jansz's tavern 159–60; interrogatory about 176–77; sentenced to flogging, irons and banishment 179–81
Jansen/Janssen/Jansz, Huybert (de Guyt), carried beer 371; cited by Bemboos's estate 419; sued by W. Albertsen 315, Bronck 132, F. Cooninc 311, curators of Luyersen's estate 285, Albert Gerritsen 375, H. Jacobsen 264, Riverdingh 203; mentioned 372
Jansen/Janssen, Jacob, brother-in-law of J. Schermerhoorn 308; signs petition 502
Jansen/Jansz/Janssen, Jacob (Flodder, de Timmerman), complaint against 165; fined 13, 128; his lot granted to Tjerck Claesz 232; payment due 192; prosecuted 43, 45, 92; sued by Bronck 153, Houtewael 150, Luyersz 13, J. Stoll 130; sues Bensingh 79–80, J. Roedthaer 362, Margriet Slechtenhorst 228, H. Vosch 356, 358; summoned for slander charges 188; surety for 147
Jansen/Jansz/Janssen, Jacob (Schermerho(o)ren/Schermerhoorn), appointed guardian 126; conducts interrogatory 286; contributes to Indian presents 147; excused from fine 55; his garden granted to another 92, 96; insults officials 8; loan for defense of Manathans 140; lot of 41, 52; magistrate 93, 108, 112, 114, 120, 129, 137, 152, 236–37, 300, 361; payment to 151; power of attorney from C. Boon 222; replaced by V. Jansz 188; signs petition 491; sued by Lijsbet Cornelisdr. 86; sues J. Adriaensz 116, R. Albertsen 271, H. Anderiessen 296, 302, 308, Davids 268, M. Herpertsz Metselaer 72, 79; suit against Loserik mentioned 227; testifies 444, 498–99; witness 140; mentioned 135, 136, 302
Jansen/Jansz/Janssen, Jacob (Stol(l)/Stolle(n), Hap), deceased 478; fight with Lourens Jansz 82; fined 209; inter-
rogatories about crimes of 88–90, 93, 95–96; ordered to present written answer to court 102; owed by M. Herpertsz 128; prosecuted for wife beating 217; request of 171, 200; sells yacht 80; summons 39; testimony about 15; mentioned 32, 92, 172, 260

Jansen, Jacob (van Noortstrant), gager of casks 267; sues J. Tomassen 373

Jansen, Jacobus, sues H. de Backer 309, B. Jacobsen, 483, 488

Jansen, Jan; see van Eeckelen, Jan

Jansen/Jansz, Jan, sued by S. Jansz 192

Jansen/Janssen, Jan (de Cuypers), sued by P. Bout 301, Baefie Pietersen 280, 283–84, 289–90, Rijverdink 241

Jansen, Jan (Ouderkerck), sued by J. Andriesen Kuyper 535

Jansen/Jansz, Jan (van Gottenborgh/van Gottenburgh), house mortgaged to 99; owed by M. Metselaer 26; sues W. Albertsz 19, Metselaer 69; mentioned 134

Jansen/Jansz, Jannitge, wife of Adriaen Dircks Vries, prosecuted and banished 210; sues H. den Backer 244

Jansen/Janssen/Jansz, Juriaen (Glasmærcker), tavernkeeper, accused 499–500; admits perjury 322; begs forgiveness of G. Gerritsen 323; convicted 195; interrogatory of 238; prosecuted 527; sued by G. Gerritsen 319; sureties for 314

Jansen/Jansz, Karsten (Smit), loan for defense of Manathans 140

Jansen/Janssen, Lambert, witness 292

Jansen/Jansz, Lourens/Laurens/Louris, fight 82; fined 64, 125; from Hoesem, interrogatory of 75, 95; petition of 29; prosecuted 64, 70, 74; summoned 95; testifies 92; mentioned 91, 183, 205

Jansen/Janssen/Jansz, Marcelis (van Bommel), excise master 236; farmer of burgher excise 359; innkeeper 275; interrogatory of 90, 91; payment to 380; prosecuted 204, 275, 368, 513, 528–29, 542; permission to levy tax 247; request of 211–12; servant of, accused of brokering 436, prosecuted 513; sued by Frans Barendsz 272, W. Bout 348, J. Claessen 399, Van Leyden 269; sues M. Abrahamsen and J. Adriaensen 211–12, P. Adriaensen 258, Foppe Barentsen 422, 423, 426, Barentsen and Van Ilpendam 333, M. Biercaker 412, L. Cobussen and Trijntie Kooninck 310, de Paus 144, M. Fredricksz 359, Loserik 236, M. Pietersen 366, Pot 196, Rinckhout 222, Vervelen 196, A. Vosburgh 279, Vosburgh and M. Abraham 302; testimony of 185–87, 191; violence at his house, complaint about 159, sentence regarding 179–80; testimony about 160, 168–69; witness for Fop Barens 266; mentioned 205

Jansen/Jans, Maria/Marritgen, see also Goosens, Maria, and Lange Mary; accused of selling brandy to Indians 154; admits selling brandy to Indians 166; declaration by 25; ordered to, pay for bed 186, turn over stolen pewter pint 191; sued by Baefgen Pieters 164; sues A. Crowaet 157, 165–66; wife of Steven Jansz 166; mentioned 176n

Jansen/Janssen, Mathias/Mathias/Mathieuwes, prosecuted 528; signs petition 491; testimony of 462

Jansen/Janssen, Pieter (Lamaecker, Lambertsen), assaulted by E. Nolden 430, 433; servant of 418; sued by A. Gerritsen 375, H. Vosch 357

Jansen/Janssen, Poulus/Poulis, charge of 394; fined 474; fined and banished 388; interrogatory of 387; prosecuted 386, 511; sentenced 388–89; sued by Dirckie Martensen 485

Jansen/Jansz/Janssen, Rem (Smit), arbitrator 16, 17, 79, 158, 181; attachment 250; fined 70; house bought 183; loan for defense of Manathans 140; lot requested, bought 183; power of attorney 69; signs petition 502; sued by Turck 439; sues S. de Backer 302, Willem
Hap 194, S. Volckersen 306–7; summoned 82; witness 331
Jansen/Jansz, Roeloff, to pay lease 111
Jansen/Janssen, Sijmon (Romeyn), paid for nails for church 342; sues Van Eeckelen 448; witness 522; mentioned 403
Jansen/Jansz/Janssen, Ste(e)ven (Timmerman), attachment by 288; crimes at house of 183, 192–93; fined 134, 474; flogging irons at house of 180; house nicknamed 176; prosecuted 298, 303–308; sued by Loserik 227, 242; sues R. Arentsz 57, M. de Brouwer 42, 52, 134, De Looper 215, Jan Gou, Hoffmeyer, and Claes Wip 205, R. Jacobsz 80–81, Jan Jansz 192; threatened 180; wife of, 154, 161, 186–87, sues A. Crowaet 157–58; mentioned 222, 295
Jansen/Janssen/Jansz, Stoffel, arbitrator 231, 272; to build block-house church 231; payment for wages 340, 341; sues J. Harmsen 393, J. Loockermans 438, Van Hoesen 315
Jansen/Janssen, Susanna (Bierkakers), fined 328; interrogatory of 324; prosecuted 328; sued by H. Jacobsen 302; wife of M. Hendricksen Bierkaecker 302n
Jansen/Jansz/Janssen, Thomas/Toomas, attachment in hands of 483, 486; employed Roode 369n; land in use by 48; loan for defense of Manathans 140; palisades of 137; servant of 386; sued by E. Kleyn 60, Roode 369
Jansen, Tomas (Mingael) payment to 340; requests lot 261; sues Davids 338, 339, 344, Roelofsen 302, 307
Jansen/Janssens/Hansz, Volckert/ Volckart (Douw) accused of illegal brokering 498; arbitrator 80; attachment by 511; attorney 12; brewery of 223; case referred to 40, 43; contrary opinion of 196–97, 218; contribution of 147; house nicknamed 173; loan for, defense of Manathans 140, roof repairs 224; magistrate 4, 6, 10, 36, 39–40, 108, 188, 236, 425, 456; objection from 32; owed by M. Herpertsz 122; protest of 188; signs petition 491; slandered 50; sued by J. Meyndertsen 479, G. van Imborah 418, Withart 115–16; sues Appel 363, Bamboes 233, Jochem Becker Backer 11, Bronck 29, 38, J. Martensen 434, P. Stevensen 316, C. Teunisen 312, 383, J. Teunissen 428, Van Breemen 429, C. Vosch 485, 487; summoned 137; testimony of 462; mentioned 54, 227, 523
Jansen/Jans, Volckgen; see Juriaens, Volckgen
Jansen, Willem, sued by Davidts 479, 481
Jansen/Jansz, Willem (Prins), fight with Jacob de Timmerman 92
Jansen/Janssen, Willem (Schut/Schutt), prosecuted 512; signs petition 502; sued by Roeloffs 396, J. Thomasz 168, 185, 187; sues J. Loockermans 440; testifies 211, 500
Jansen/Janssen/Janssens, Willem (Stol(l), Hap), assault by 143; fined 205; lot of 136; pays surgeon fees 144; prosecuted 223, 226, 230; requests lot 162; signs petition 502; sued by Bamboes 245, curators of Luyersen’s estate 285, Jan de Wever 314, A. Gerritsen 386, 392, W. Hendricksen 509, Herman Jacobsz 247, Rem Jansz 194; summoned 142; testimony of 185, 187, 191; wife testifies 509; mentioned 221, 295
Jelle, Pieter, sues C. Vosch 379
Jeronimus, Geertruyt, appears for husband 307; fined 13–14; sued by Volckgen Juriaens 5, 7, 9, 13, Van Hoesen 278–79, 288, 293; sues Stijntgen Laurens 17, Stijntgen Laurens and Volckgen Jansz 20; summoned 128; wife of Jochem Wesselsen 5n; mentioned 15, 25
Jesuit, Symon La Moyne, letter from 459
Jeveren, Germany, mentioned 88
Jochemsz/Jochimsen/Jochensm/Jochem(s), Hend(e)rick, arbitrator 273, 369; fighting at house of 100–101, 142; fined 64, 210–11, 217; funeral of child of 172; garden of 52; given permission
to have burgher guard shoot the parrot 192; house of 405; interrogation of 142; lieutenant of burgher guard 300, 323; loan for defense of Manathans 139; owed by M. Herpertsz 121; petition of 190; prosecuted 64, 71, 210–11, 216–17; request of 190; sued by F. Hendericksz 255; sues H. Vosch 363, wife of G. de Vos 481; summoned 82; surety for J. Joosten 274; wife's son 404; mentioned 348

Jogues, Isaac, mentioned xx

Johannes (boy of Jacob Tijssen), board money for 525

joll, mentioned 93n

Joosten, Jan, attachment by 379; fined 285; prosecuted 274, 284–85

Jurcksen, Poulus, sued by Egbertjen, wife of Teunis Metselaer 301, H. Jacobsen 320, 327, M. Rombouts 302, 307; sues Cobus Teunesen 424

Juriaens, Volckgen/Volckien/Volckertien/Volckertjen/Volcien (Jans, van Hoesem, wife of Jan van Hoesem), complaint of 10; interrogation of 122–23; makes payment 67; prosecuted 538, 540; request of 10; sued by T. Claessen 385, 527; Geertruyt Jeronimus 20; D. Rinckhout 506; sues Femmetie Alberts 419, E. Gerbertsen 419, 421, B. Jacobsen 539, Lubbert, Labatie's servant 277; surety for Bos 530; wife accused by Dirckien Martensen 532

Ket(e)lhuyn/Kittelheyym/Kittleleyen/Kettelhuyn/Kett(e)leyn/Kettleleyn, Jochem, company house next to 135; garden of 404; granted lot 39; ordered to build 232; prosecuted 70; signs petition with mark 502; sued by curators 537, Abraham de Snyder 413, Elbertsen 437; Herpertsen 536, 539, Dirckien Martensen 532; sues Claessen 419, E. Gerbertsen 419, 421, B. Jacobsen 539, Lubbert, Labatie's servant 277; surety for Bos 530; wife accused by Dirckien Martensen 532

Keyser, A., money owed to 63

Keyser/Kijsser, Dirck, sues H. Bierman 419, Roelooffsen 318

Kieft, Willem, director of New Netherland xx; mentioned xxii, xxiv, 457n

Kiersteede, Hans, sues Ripsen 403

kills, Blommaert's Kill, mentioned 130n; Fifth Kill, sawmills on 130; First Kill, Bastiaensen's lot on 97, R. Jacobsz's house on 223n, Labatie's lot at 44, lot across from 36, lots near 3, permission for small mill on 223, Wesselse to line bank of 121; Flodder's Kill, mentioned 130n; Gojer's Kill, Indian house at 225; Norman's Kill, mentioned xvii; permission to erect houses between two 3; Second Kill, Vosburgh to build bridge across 128; Third Kill, bridge across 119, de Vos requests land south of 83, Elbertsz's land near 7, 183, Gerretse's lot at 38; Wappinger's Kill, mentioned 450; mentioned 7n, 97, 121n, 183n

Kinderhook, mentioned xxvi

Kingsington, mentioned xxvi

Klaerhout, Walraef, witness for De Haan 522

Klaverrack/Claverack, mentioned xxvi, 292

Kleermaker, Rut Arentsz; see Arentsen, Rutger

Kley, Elmerhuysen, out of Company's service 71; sues T. Jansz 60; testimony against 141, 144

Kley, Uldrick, requests confinement release 157; sued by Bronck 297; sues
Gerbertsen 332, 336; testifies 159–60; mentioned 161
Klomp/Clomp, Jacob; see Sijmonsen, Jacob
Koeherder, Henderick; see Jansen, Henderick (Koeherder)
Koninck, Thomas; see Cooninck, Tomas Koorninckx, Trijntie, sued by M. Janssen 310; sues Carsten de Noorman 309
Krol, Bastiaen Jansz, commissary of Fort Orange xix
Kroon, Claes; see Croon, Claes
Kroon, Dirck Jansz; see Jansen, Dirck
krüys kosyns, mentioned 133n
Kuykendall, family mentioned 273n
Kuyper, Jan Andriessen; see Andriessen, Jan
Labatie/Labite, Jan, to examine lot 6; farm occupied by 329; garden of 36; to inspect garden 8; to lay out land 7, 9; loans money for defense of Manathans 139; lot of, conveyed 57–58, granted 44, 49, requested 162, mentioned 121; magistrate 30, 108; ordered to return lime and bricks 124; pleads case 41; requests case be disposed of 53; servant sued by Appel 303, Ketelhem 277; sued by Turck 448; sues Jochem Becker 46, Clomp 18, Gauw 409, Hap 46, G. Pietersz 124, 196, 296, 301, 316, J. Theunenes 482, 496, Westerkamp 20; mentioned 48
LaChair, Salomon, sues Van Linthout 245
Lamaecker, Pieter; see Jansen, Pieter
Lamberts, Annitge, prosecuted 228, 230
Lambertsen, Jan, sues T. Abrahamsen and P. Loockermans 408, J. Gouw 287, 289
Lambertsen/Lambers, Maria/Marya, witness for Maretien Clasen 412
Lambertsen, Pieter; see Jansen, Pieter (Lamaecker)
Lammertzsz, Dirrick (van Otmarssen), interrogatory of 142–43; petitions of 144; requests lot 162
Lammertzsz, Pouwels/Poulus, ordered to serve 205; prosecuted 228, 229
La Montagne, Jan, attorney for Johannes La Montagne 63; sues van Ilpendam for debt 63
La Montagne/Lamontagne, Johannes, (commissary and vice director of Fort Orange and Beverwijck, doctor), advice regarding Maquaes’s complaints 503; appeals court decision 352; appointed vice director xxix, 255n; attachment against 490; cured Van Ilpendam’s wife 63; illegal trading discovered by 323; to issue work orders 275; letter to, de la Poterie 401–2, magistrates 458–59; Negro of 458; opinion regarding Indian brokers 492; as schout 276n; sued by H. Jacobsen 357; mentioned xxvii and passim
La Moyne, Sijmon, letter from 459
Lange Mary, see also Goosens, Maria and Jansen, Maria; owed money 415; tavern of 497; mentioned 176n
Lantidagh, delegate sent to 135
Laurens, Stijntgen, sued by Geertruyt Jeronimus 17, 20
laws, of the fatherland apply in New Netherland 176; violation of public law 524
lease, rules for 99
Leen, Simon, testifies 197–99
Leendertsen, Gabriel, fined for not building on lot and abusive language 70; money due to Lourens Jansz 125
Leendertsen, Paulus/Poulus (vande(r) Grist), appeals from judgment 374; promissory note of 300–301, 373–74; schepen of New Amsterdam 47n; sued by A. de Vos 300–301
Leendertsen/Leendersen/Leendertsz,
Sander (Glen), advice on Indian complaints 504; to build bridge across sewer 123; to collect money for taxes 65; contributes to Indian present 146; female Negro of, sued 8, 12, 13, to be taken outside village 16; goat killed 216; groundbrief of 97; Herpertsen indebted to 122; to inspect sewant 319; loan for roof repair 224; lot of 44, 97, 184, 242; magistrate 108, 119, 120, 171, 236, 359, 425, 431, 491, 511; payment of money to court 250; report on collections 96; schepen of New Amsterdam 47n; servant of sued 476; sued by Croon 509–10, Harmensen 427, Luyersz and Machielsz 4, Stoll 130, Tureck 439; sue Claessz 222, Tijsen 296; surety for church building 232; wife, Catelijn Sanders 201–2; mentioned 47

Leendertsen/Leendersen, Willem, sued by Baefje Pietersen 302


Leyden, ———, see also Jansen, Adriaen (Appel); signs petition 502

libel; see slander

Liberis, Catharina, complaint about deceased husband’s will 148

Lievens, Annetje, rumor spread about 319; sued by Vosborch’s wife 417; mentioned 322

Lijsbet, wife of Gerrit Bancken, assaulted 524

livestock, cattle, 129, 133, 146, 224, 366, 450, 458, 465, 530; goat killed 216, compensation for 222, mentioned 225, 271, 458; hogs 58, 157, 213, 225, 371, 458; horses 91, 130, 213, 295, 334, 348–49, 454, 458, 470, 483, 503–4, 506; sheep 225; sow, run over by horse 213; mentioned 57, 157, 159

Lodowijcksen/Loduwijcksz, Tomas, sues Groot 427, Hartgers, Teljer, Ver-
574
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services held at W. Juriaensz’s house
contrary to ordinance 220
Luyersen/Luyersz/Luersz, Jacob, ances­
tor of K uykendall family 273n; to
answer complaint 112; case adjourned
93; children of 273, 278; complaint
againstfemale Negro 16; deceased 273,
273n; drinking at house of 125, 155;
estate of 277,278,285, 310,351; fined
fo r a s s a u lt 115; p a rd o n e d 115;
prosecuted 64, 70-71; requests place
for house 14; sued by Reverdinck 46;
sues Flodder 13; Leendertsz 4, Cathalin
Sanders 13; sum m oned70,111; widow
of 285, 351
Macheck Sipoeti (Mahican), examined
about brandy 455
Machielsz, Jan, see Michielsen, Jan
mackerel; see foodstuff
Maertens, Jan (alias Wever); see Martensen, Jan
M a e t/M a a t, Ja c o b H e n d rix s ; see
Hendricksen, Jacob (Loserik)
magistrates, see also Barentsen, Frans
(Pastoor); Boon, Francoys; Gerritsen,
Adriaen; Gerritsen, Goosen; Hartgers,
Pieter; Herpertsen, Andries; Jacobsen,
Rutger; Jansen, Dirck (Croon); Jansen,
E v e rt (W e n d e l); J a n s e n , Ja c o b
(Scherm erhoorn); Jansen, V olckert
(Douw); Labatie, Jan; Leendertsen,
S a n d e r (G len ); P ie te rse n , P h ilip
(Schuyler); Staets, Abraham; Teunissen , C o rn elis (van W e stb ro eck );
Thomasen, Jan; Verbeeck, Jan; chosen
119,188,206,236,294,361,431,487;
honorarium for 237, 294, 361, 431,
487; money due to 341, paid to 342;
nominated 108, 359-60, 425; oath of
fidelity 119, 188, 206, 236, 294, 36162, 431, 486; petition'Stuyvesant for
yearly salary 110; released from bur­
gher guard 237, 294, 362, 431, 487,
from oath 237,294,362,431,486; retir­
ing 107-8, 119, 188, 206, 236, 294,
361,431,486; tavern expenses of 34142

M ahikander (Mahican), see also Indians;

drunkenness of 141; examination o f
255; kill livestock 458; not to be
harmed 463; peace conference 516;
visited 517; mentioned xvii, xviii, xix,
304, 468,518
Maier, Nicolaes; see de Meyer, Nicolaes
maize; see foodstuff
m a lle , boer; s e e S ijm o n sen , Jacob
(Clomp)
Mangelsen, Jan, attachment in hands of
536, 539; petition signed by 491;
refuses to take oath 473
Manhatans/Manathans (Manhattan), cat­
tle purchased at 530; grain to be
delivered at 59; guns at 156; hogs from
159; houses at 56, 124, 171; money
needed for defense of 139; orders for
sloops coming from 68; planks sent to
128, to be sold at 300; Sinneken at 515;
yacht at 471; mentioned xvi, xxi, xxii,
2 1 ,2 9 ,5 6 ,8 7 ,1 0 4 ,1 9 1 ,2 0 7 ,2 8 2 ,3 7 3 ,
381, 405-6, 447, 463, 495, 538 and
passim
Maquas (Mohawk), see also Indians;

brandy obtained by 323-24; declara­
tion regarding 347; delegates to 45658; drunken 263; give presents to court
150; A. Herbertsz to 470; maltreated
357-58; to peace conference 516;
presents to be sent to 146,456; proposi­
tions o f76,304,306,453-54,463,503;
reply of Dutch to 456-60, 503-4; re­
quest Dutch to accompany them to
Canada400; Sasiadego, sachem o f 304;
mentioned xvii, xviii, xx, 77—78, 497,
518
Marcelis, servant o f De Hulter, men­
tioned 75
Marcelis, Hendrick, sues Nolden 446
Marrechael, Claes, signs petition 502
MaTretien, wife of Cornelis Teunissen
Bosch, accused of slander 285
Marretien, wife of Teunis Comelisen,
named in suit 327
marriage, banns proclaim ed 11; pro­
mised by R. Arentsz 45
M arten, C o rla er's servant, sued by


Poulyn 290
Martens, Lijntje, wife of Adam Roelantsen 539n
Martensen, Dirckjen, complaint of 440; sued by Baltus 386, Levy 409, C. van Breuckelen 339; sues P. Jansen 485, Kettleheym 532, wife of Kettelheym 532
Martensen, Gijsbert; see van Loenen, Gijsbert
Martensen, Henderick, sent to Canada with Maquas 400; sued by Bronck 391, Swartwout 435
Martensen/Martensz/Maertensz/Jan (de Wever), apology from Eerraerts to 461; confirms testimony 355; fined 355; garden of 36; pays to deaconry 343; prosecuted 230, 239, 354–55; sued by Bronck 250, 380, H. de Vos 349, Herbertsen 472, V. Jansen 434, Noldinck 335, Pastooy 265, Pels 447, 452, D. Rinckhout 396, Spitsbergen 338, Jacob Teunissen 392; sues Davids 382, Eerraerts 375, M. Claessen, W. Hap, P. Stevens, and A. vanden Berch 314, Hoffmeyer 349, P. Stevensen 320, C. van den Bergh 467, A. van den Bergh 382, 472; wife of, apology from Eerraerts to 461, slandered 461, sued by Maritien Damen 472, De Haes 448, Herbertsen 472, wife of Pels 461; mentioned 356
Martensen/Martjensz, Marten, admits giving brandy to Indians 62
Martensen/Maertens, Pouls, cited 289–90; debtor 216; fighting 291; sued by Madam Ebbinghs 448, J. Hendrickson 480, 482, C. van den Bergh 489; sues Bastiaensen 353
Martensen, Willem, sued by Poulussen 468, 473, Schoonhoven 509
Mattys, servant of Johannes Withart, accused of illegal trading 418 meat; see foodstuff
Meessen/Messen, Pieter, authorized to inspect saw mill 507; requests lot 273; sued by H. Jacobsen 320, 326; sues Ph. Hendricksen 507, 508, 521; mentioned 261, 341
Meester Adriaen; see van Ilpendam, Adriaen
Meester Jacob; see de Hinsse, Jacob
Megapolensis, Joannes, house and garden of 21; letter of 32; requests lot 159
Melgers, Trjntje, assaulted 334
met den baert, Jan; see Helmsen, Jan
met het mes op de hoedt, mentioned 159n
Metselaer, Harmen, money attached in hands of 505
Metselaer, Maerten/Maerten; see Herpertsen, Merten
Metselaer, Teunis; see Teunissen, Teunis
Meyndersen, Baerent, petition signed by 491, 502; sued by Ph. Hendricksen 488, G. Pietersen 490, 493, 531; wife of sued 485
Meyndersen, Jan, requests lot 433; sued by Van Imborch 505; sues J. Thoomassen and V. Janssen 479
Meyndersen, Stijntje, sued by Ph. Meyndersten 485, 487n
Meyndertsen, Carsten; see Frederixsz, Carsten
Michiel, servant of Hans Vos; see Verbruggen, Michiel
Michielsen, Grietien, sues C. van den Bergh 538
Michielsen/Machielsz, Jan (Kleermaker), to build bridge 123; complaint about Cathaline Sandertsz 16; fine paid 343; interrogatory 90; signs petition 502; sued by Bronck 17, 59, D. Croon 510, M. Jansz 248, Martensen 449, Rijverdinck 46; sues W. Albertsz 24, Leendertsz; 4, Cathaline Sandertsz 8, 12; mentioned 19
Mille, Claes, sued by S. Cornelissen 433 mills, grant of waterwheel 223; gristmill 54; horsemills 39, 41, 97; sawmills on Beverskill 429, Fifth Kill 130, to be inspected 507, request granted for 429; mentioned 402, 500
Mingael, Tomas Jansen; see Jansen, Tomas
Minuit, Peter, mentioned xvii
minuytboeck, mentioned 131n
Mohawk River, Cahoes Fall at 517n; mentioned 304n
Mohawk Valley, mentioned xvi
Molenaer, Barent Pietersen, see Pietersen, Barent
More, Pieter, present at fight 295
Moy Aeltgen, attachment against 250; sued by Colebrantsz 230; wife of Huybert Jansz 230n
Nanninghs/Nannix/Nanninghsdr., Giertgen/Grietgen/Gerritie/Gertruyt, fined for serving liquor 207, 209; prosecuted 209; sues Reur 277, Scheuler (Schuyler) 191, Witmont 185–86; summoned concerning marriage promise 45; wife of Willem Bout 277n
Negro(es), Indian captives sent to Cura’a o to work with 516n; of La Montagne 458; woman of Sander Leendertsz 4
Nes, Dirrick, sues W. Albertsz 20, Verbeeck 18
Netherlands/patrica/fatherland, arrival of Tempel from 534; debts to be paid prior to ship departure for 182; to depart for 61, 134; laws of 176; oath of fidelity to 119–20 and passim; real estate sales according to custom of 94; Schermerhorn to go to 152; ships to sail for 22; mentioned xix, xxii, xxiii, xxv Nienus; see Adriaensen, Jacob (Neus) Nevius, Johannes, sues Jacob Teunissen 368
New Amsterdam, burgomasters and schepens of, case sent to 495; fiscal and delegates from 47; great burgher right in 300n; mentioned xxiv and passim
New England, Susanna, wife of Martin Bierckaeker, born in 324; mentioned xvi, xxiii, 450n
New Netherlands, size of xv; mentioned xxiii
New Netherlands Company, mentioned xvi
New Sweden, mentioned xvi nicknames, given to houses in Beverwyck 172–73, 182
Nolden/Noldingh/Noldinck, Evert (Kleemaker), attachment, notice of 415, vacated 426; deposition of 321; fined 435; prosecuted 429–30, 433; requests lot 32; sued by Bastiaensen 439, Boudt 538, H. Jacobsen 325, H. Marcellus 446, Baefien Pietersen 420, Telier 536; sues Abrahamsen and P. Loockermans 417, 420, Femmetien de Baxter 465, Jan de Wever 335, Lubbert, Labitee’s servant 333, Stevensen 335, 345, Jacob Teunissen 334, Van Bremen 31, Van der Sluys 335, Van Leyden 424, Van Rotterdam 337
Norman’s Kill, mentioned xvii North River, mentioned 450 notas, mentioned 352 Oesterman/Oosterman, Barent, beaten by Pieter Bronck 386; interrogation of 354; sued by Bronck 385
Old Captain; see Juriaensz, Willem Oldenborch, Marten Bierkeacker born in 324
Oom Dirrick, harvest feast of 172; request for lot 129
Ootmarssum, mentioned 144n ordinances, see also Court of Fort Orange and Beverwijck; baking and selling to Indians 41, 43; carting hay after third bell 530; day of fasting and prayer 48; drawing weapons 430, 433; drinking during preaching 275; employing Dutch and Indian brokers in the woods 514; export without certificate 175; firing shots at night 29; giving gifts 202; knifing 308; liquor sale to Indians 347–48; renewed 514–15; magistrates opinions about 491–92; malting and using hard grain for brewing 47; people in taverns after ringing of bell, contrary to ordinance 267, 542; petition requesting mitigation of baking ordinance 45; regarding sewant value 416; relating to separate dwellings and farming of fur trade 224; against removal of canoes from shore 25; regulating sale of wine,
brandy, etc. 515; request for ordinance to restrain disobedient servants 201; selling beer and wine without certificate 371; shipping 357; slaughter excise in Esopus 352; tapping 473; tapping of wine and beer on Sunday 8; tavernkeepers’ credit 382; use of grain for brewing and tapster’s excise 32 orphanmasters, case referred to 483; propose curators for Cornelis de Vos’s children 280; Verbeeck and Wendel appointed curators of Luyersen’s children 273, appointed orphanmasters 278

Ottensen/Ottesen, Marten/Merten (Swager/Merten), interrogation of 155–56; sues W. Albertsz 62–63

Otterspoor, Aert; see Aertsen, Aert

Ouderkerck, Jan Jansen; see Jansen, Jan (Ouderkerck)

Paraget, Lowies/Louis, Frenchman, prisoner of Maquas 400, 411n

Pastoor, Frans Barentsz; see Barentsen, Frans

patroon; see Netherlands

patron, announcement read in house of 102; De Hoges in the employ of 381; legal owner of land xxv; oath to 107; rights of xix

Patroon’s Kill, mentioned 130n

Paulw, Tomas; see Poulussen, Tomas

Pauly, Thoomas, sues Cathalynea Simons for debt 482

Peach Tree War, mentioned 207n, 400n

Peeck, Jan, sues Maria Dijckmans 241, Pastoor 272, Ripsen 256, Vosbergh 261; mentioned 125

Pels, Evert, attaches property of Jan van Bremen 170; petition of 150; planks received from 129; sued by J. Adriaensen 134; sues R. Arentsz 56, Bronck 186–87, 191, J. Martensen 447, 452, Van Hoesem 133; wife of sues wife of Martensen 461, mentioned 461n; wounded by Hendrixsz 182; yacht of 405

Pereet, Jan, bark of 459

Philipsen, Fredrick, sues Henderick Bierman 364, 382

Philipsen, Gijsbert, estate of 470–71; murdered by Indians in Esopus 470; mentioned 508

Philipsen/Philipsz, Leendert/Leender, affidavit of 532; committee to consider his request 120; petition for Indian brokers 434, 491; petition presented by 319; sued by J. Eldersen 257, by Rinckhout 311, 312; sues Bastiaensen 135, Tierck Claessen 270, H. de Backer 363, H. de Backer and wife 335, 337; summoned for not building on lot 127

Pieters/Pietersdr., Geertuyt (Vosburgh/Vosburghen) wife/widow of Abraham Pietersz, Vosburgh, appears for husband 260; offenses committed by 138, 148–49; sued by B. Albersen 493, 496; sues W. Gerritsen 500, 507, 538, Annetien Lievens 417; summoned 152; Van Leyden attorney for 500, 507

Gerritsen 424, Lammertsz 205; summoned 148–49; surveyor, accused of faulty work 100, 125–26, appointed 3, deposed 149, oath 4, ordered to surrender surveyor's book 125–26, summoned 96; wife/widow (Geertruyt Pieters), appears for husband 260, offenses committed by 138, 148–49, sued by B. Albersen 493, 496, sues W. Gerritsen 500, 506–7, 538, Annetien Lievens 417; summoned 152; Van Leyden attorney for 500, 507; mentioned 135–36, 234, 429, 493
Pietersen, Aert, sues Kettelheyn 438
Pietersen/Aert/Arent/Arent (Tack), sues Bosch 530, 532, Cornelis Teunesen 528,
Pietersen, Barent (Molenaer), Indians buy wine from 263; sues Bastiaensen 368, W. Gerritsen 336
Pietersen/Pietersz, Cornelis, sued by De Vos 164
Pietersen, Cornelis/Kees (Hoogenboom), attacked by J. Glaesiemaker 258; sued by P. Boudt 477–78, 480, T. Theunesen 483, T. Tomassen 481
Pietersen, Davi(d)t (Schuyler), attachment entered against by V. Jansen 511; signs petition for using Indian brokers 491; sued by D. Croon 520
Pietersen/Pietersz, Frans/Francys, attacked by Van Bremen 421; prosecuted 228; sued by Van Breeman 421
Pietersen/Pietersz, Gillis/Jillis, appointed referee 158; garden of 114; signs petition 502; sued by Pieter de Maeker 396, Labatie, 124, 296, 301, 316, Stoffelsen's widow 256, Van Il-pendam 526, 527; sues Juffrow Ebbing 441, Meyndersen 490, 493, 531; testifies against Boutsen 159–60; mentioned 125
Pietersen, Henderick, sues T. Claessen 295
Pietersen, Jan, mentioned 452
Pietersen/Pietersz, Luykas, accused of breaking into Jansz's tavern 159; fined 125, 145, 474; garden of 149; pleads not guilty 169; prosecuted 136, 367–68; sued by Bronck 147, 153
Pietersen, Mewes, sued by M. Janssen 366
Pietersen/Pietersz/Pieters/Pieterse, Philip (Schuyler/Scheuler/Schuler/Schuldert), arbitrator 17, 213; attorney for Anthony 485, Johanna de Laet and Jeronimus Ebbing 426, Carsten de Noorman 356; delegate to Maquas 456; fined 56; house nicknamed 173; illegal trading by 323; lot of, addition to 242, enlarged 112, granted, 21, 33; magistrate 236, 300, 361; money paid to 341; prosecuted 435–36, 445, 513; servant of in woods 513; sued by G. Hendrixsz 191; sues M. Abrahamsen 345, W. Albertsz 54, 55, Van Monick-endam 56; surety 232; testifies 197, 201–2, 498–99; witness 397; mentioned 184, 348, 394, 444
Pietersen/Pietersz, Rijndert, mentioned 191
Pietersen, Solder, sued by Coninck 464
Pietersen, Theunis (Tempel/Tempelier), Gillesen attorney for 533–34; goods bought from 190; sued by Rinckhout 485; sues H. Bierman 315, J. Thijsen 525, 534
INDEX

Pimp (Mahican), drunk 141
Pinchon, Jan, capt., appears before court 450
Pinkster/Pijnghsteren, mentioned 192, 192n
Poest, Jan Barentsz; see Barentsen, Jan
poorhouse, lot of 188
Pott, Cornelis/Cees, Herbertsen surety for 505; sued by M. Jansz 196; mentioned 154
Poulussen, Gommer, requests garden 258; sues W. Martensen 468, 473
Poulussen, Michiel, sued by C. Cornelissen 360
Poulussen/Paulw/Paul/Poulwens/ Poulw(s)/Pouwel(s)/Poulw, Tomas/Thomas, fight in house of 197-200, 204, 211; presents petition 199; prosecuted 219, 243; signs petition 502; sued by T. Claesz 222, 257, De Hince 282, De Haes 403; sues B. Albertsen 335, Cateelyn Samsons 442, Vosch and Wesselsen 430; wife of fined for slander 246, named 246n
Prague, Nicolaes Gregory Hillebrandt born at 405
Pries, Evert, sues Van Aecken 261
Prince of Orange, mentioned 180
Prins, Willem Jansz; see Jansen, Willem
Provoost, Johannes, clerk of court xix, delegate to Maquas 456; sues H. Bierman as attorney for Harmen Jacobsen 359, F. Philipsen 364
prunes; see foodstuff
Pynchon, John, fur trader 450n
Quackenbosch, Pieter Jacobsen; see Jacobsen, Pieter (Quackenbosch)
queen, M. Ottensen asked to serve 155
Rademacker, Wouter Aertsz; see Aertsen, Wouter
Rademaekeride Raedemaecker, Albert Gijsbertsen; see Gijsbertsen, Albert
Raedemaker, Jacob Adriaenssen; see Adriaensen, Jacob (Raedemaker)
raisins; see foodstuff
rattle watch, instructions for 451; L. van Valckenborgh and Winnen appointed to 451
Recife (Brazil), court of justice in 390
Rencelaer/Rensselaer, Heer; see van Rensselaer, Jan Baptist
Rensselaerswyck/Rencelaerswyck/ Rens(s)laerswyck, bloodshed in 277; council of 402; court messenger of examined 176–77; court of 157, 453n, 468; extension of 107; maintains own court xxii; make improvements 29; officer of 274; schout and officer of 102, 103; schout of sues Claes Hendrixsz 182; secretary of 329; Van Slichtenhorst former director of 85; mentioned xviii, xxiii, xxiv, xxviii, xxix, xxx, 514–15
Reur, Hendrick Jansz; see Jansen, Hendrick (Reur)
Reyersen, Gerrit, sues D. Bensingh 409, 412, Pieter Bronck 409
Reyndersen, Barent, witness 397
Rijken, Reynier, sues W. Martensen 509, Baeffien Pietersen 389, W. Stoll as attorney for W. Schoonhoven 509, 520 Rijkertsen, Machiel, fined for drinking during divine service 125; sued by Bentsingh 212
Rijverdingh/Reverdingh/Riverdinck/ Riverdingh, Pieter, accounts of receipts and disbursements of perished persons to court 74; accounts of to be examined by Dijckman 122; authorized to make up accounts of drowned persons 80; complaint of assault against J. Teunissen 390; court messenger, and clerk xxix, fees of 5, 18; to enjoin Van Hoesem from throwing hot ashes 40; to give certificate of delivery of beer and wine 68; as Steven Janz’s representative sues de Looper 215, Jan Gou, Hofmeyer, P. Maertens, and Vosburgh 216; lot laid out for 49; money to be paid to 71, 72; offers money to W. Jurriaensz 67, 69; petitions court 151; requests a draw on money 207; residence 74; sent to J. B. van Rensselaer 48; to serve order on colony in-
habitants 29; sued by Jan de Jongh 381, B. Jacobsen 540; sues Abrahamens and Marretien Claes 403, Bamboes 245, Jan de Cuyper 241, de Karman 196, Gou 209, Huybert Jansz 203, Luyersz and J. Machielsz 46, J. Rademaeker 227; to summon Becker Backer 49; mentioned 83

Rijverdinxs/Reverdinghs, Maeriecke/Maria, van Dansick/Danswijck, granted permission for banns 11; married Adriaen Jansz van Leyden 14

Rinckhout, Daniel, accounts examined 533; attachment against 494; fined for baking lightweight bread 219; lives in Femmetien de Baxter's house 423; money attached by 508; presents petition 199; prosecuted 241, 243; quality of servant to be determined 319; signs petition 502; sued by Bamboes 245, Jochem Becker 151, C. Cornelissen 333, D. Croon 358, Ebbinghs 441, Harmensen 355, Harmen Jacobsen 327, M. Jansz 222, Jurriaen Teunissen 470, 489; sues Jacob Adriaensen 443, Bosboom 447, 489, 506, 511, De Graef and Bosboom 329–30, H. Gerritsen 385, 391, Hoogenboom 384, J. Martensen 396, L. Philipsen 311, 312–13, Jacob Teunissen 384, Jurian Teunisz 249, Van Hoesem 477, 485, Van Hoesem's wife 495, 510, Wolebrant 330

Rinckhout, Eva, sued by Van Hoesem 478, by wife of Van Hoesem 479–80; sues Van den Bergh 525, 532

Rinckhout, Jan, wife of, sues Van Hoesem and wife 467

Ripsen/Ribsz/Rips/Ripse/Ribse, Claes/Klaes (Timmerman), fined for drinking 284–85; house rent due 56; interrogatory for breach of promise 162–64, 171; prosecuted 228; sued by W. Geelgieter 302, H. Jacobsen 320, 326, 331, Kiersteede 403, Peeck 256, Wijnkoop 531, 533, Wijnkoop and H. Anderiesen 526; sues W. Brouwer 420

River Indians, war with xxi roads, fenced off by Teller 234; request for part of public road 223; request to close common road for corral 133

Roelantsen, Adam, delivered planks 300, 373; schoolmaster 539n


Rombouts, Michiel, sues P. Turcksen 302, 307

Romeyn, Sijmon Jansen; see Jansen, Sijmon

Roode, Pieter, servant, sues Janssen for tips 369

Roodthaer, Jan; see Hendricksen, Jan (Roodthaer)

Rooimeester, mentioned 189n

Roosenboom, Henderick, signs petition 502; sued by magistrates 470; testifies regarding Indian brokering 499; witness 495

Rosekrans, Lijs(s)bet, prosecuted for carnal intercourse 44, 53

Rotterdam, Claes; see van Rotterdam, Claes

Roymeester, Herman Bastiaensen; see Bastiaensen, Herman

Rutgertsz, Rijk (van Bunnick), interrogation of 166–68

Rutten Kill, mentioned 36n, 121n, 223n

Sachariassen, Lourens, sues B. Jacobsen 534

Saciadego/Sasiadego, see also Maquas, spokesman for sachems of Maquas 410

Sackemaas; see Indians

Sackemaas; see Indians
Saint Lawrence River, mentioned xvi
salt; see foodstuff
Samsons/Simsons, Cateleyn/Cathalijna
(wife of Harmen Thoomassen), sued by
T. Poulussen 442, T. Pouly 482–83, Van Eeckelen 484; mentioned 317n
Sanders, Catelijn (wife of Sander Leendertsen), prosecuted 202; mentioned 201
Sanders/Sanderts/Sandertsz, Cathalina
(Negro of Sander Leendertsen), to be
conducted outside village 16; ordered
to depart and pay suit costs 13; sued by
Luyersen 13, J. Machielsz 8, 12, 13
Sanders/Sandertsen, Thomas (Smits),
house of 154, 158; interrogatory of
142–43; petition 53; sued by Allerton
127; unable to pay assessment 102
Sandersen, Egbert, sued by Foppe
Barentsen 336, 338, S. Janssen 288,
Baefie Pietersen 284
Schaets, Gideon/Gidioni/Gidionij, domine,
announcement from pulpit regarding B. van Slichtenhorst 84, 85,
103, 106; charges against Claes Ripsen
160, 162–64; communication read of
55; garden of 52; lot of 49; offers self
as surety 212–13; slandered 285
Schapenbout, Arent; see Cornelissen,
Arent
Schekel, Jan, signs petition 502
Schellinger, Jan Tjebkens; see Tjebkens,
Jan
Schenectady, mentioned xxvi, 304n
Schernerhooren, Jacob Jansz; see Jansen,
Jacob (Schernerhooren)
Scheuler/Schuyler/Schuldert; see Pietersen,
David; Pietersen, Philip
Schodack, mentioned 255n
Schoester, Cornelis; see Teunissen, Cor-
elis (Bos)
schoolmaster, L. Cobes granted permis-
sion to start day and evening 208; Van
Ilpendam, granted permission to start
day and night 174, sues for school debts
527
Schoonhoven, Wouter Hendricksen; see Hendricksen, Wouter
schout, defined 43n
schout bij nacht, defined 389n
Schrick, Paulus, payment due by G.
Slechtenhorst 212–13; sues Femmet-
gen Albertsz 61, Appel 383, Van Eeck-
elen 450
Schut/Schutt, Willem Jansz; see Jansen,
Willems (Schut)
segen, mentioned 412n
Segertsen/Segertsz, Cornelis, appointed
guardian 126; island of 13; request for
woodland 129; sued by J. Adriaensen
Rademaker 84, 242; mentioned 115–18
Segertsen/Segertsz, Gerrit, lot of 151, 159
sentence book, mentioned 28
sewant/sewantjens/sewanties, quality to
be measured 319; rate of 416; stringing
420; and passim
sewer, bridge to be built across 123
sheep; see livestock
ships/boats, Luykas Andries, bark skip-
per 93; boats to be inspected 127;
Clomp’s bark 86, sloop 139–40, yacht
23, 147, 158; de Karremar 155; den
Gloeyinge Oven 62; Princesse Royale
part interest of D. Bensingh 80; sloops
coming from Manhattan not to unload
before obtaining consent 68; wreck
459; yacht den Eendracht 357; yacht of
Willem Albertsz 120, Bambus 385,
Hans Carelsen 471, 472, D. Smidt 495,
Claes Thijsz 140, Cornelis Vos 104;
yacht sold by Rutger Jacobsen to
Harmen Jacobsen 310, 317, 338
shoot the parrot, mentioned 192, 192n
Sibbinck, Jacob Hendrixsz; see Hendricksen, Jacob
Sickels, Zacharias, sued by J. Albertsen
452
Sijmants, Stijntje, witness 7
Sijmons/Sijmonsz, Adriaen/Arien,
sued by J. Barentsen 447, Hoorn 323;
sues Herman Bamboes 241, A. Gerrit-
sen 385
Sijmons/Sijmon(t)sz, Jacob (Clomp/
Klomp, hageboom, malle boer), ac-
cused of, abusive language 92, 95, sell-
ing brandy to Indians 57–59, 62; attachment 82; called names 332; complaint of 80; fined 62; prosecuted 9, 57, 70, 72; slander about 332; sloop of 139–40; sued by W. Albertsz 23–24, E. Gerbertsz 157, 159, Gerbrantsen 147, R. Jacopsz 54; sued Croon 17, Van Bremen 86; yacht of 23, 147, goats in attached 157, sails and rudder taken from 158; mentioned 93

Sijmonsen, Sijmon, signs petition 502

Sijmonsen, Willem, testimony of 397

Sille, Mr. (Nicasius de Sille), arrival at Beverwijck 106; at meeting in Beverwijck 105; petition to 108

Simsons, Cathalijna; see Samsons, Cateleyn

Sinnekens, Dutch call them 150n; kill Dutch livestock 458; make a present to the court 150; petition for trading house 515n; propositions made by 515–17; question of who is to be the master 503; reply of Dutch to propositions 518; mentioned 304, 516n

Sipoeti, Macheck (Mahican), examined 255


Slecht, Barent, sues Bronck and J. Adriaensen 365

Slecht, Cornelis Bartentsz; see Barentsen, Cornelis

Slichtenhorst/Slechtenhorst/Sleghtenhorst, Gerrit, assaulted by soldiers xxv; fight with Jochem de Baker 197–200, 204, 206, 208, 211; interrogatory of 290–91; ordered to pay debt 212, 213; petitions trading be opened to Dutch and Indian brokers 501–2; prosecuted 501–2; signs petition for allowing Indian brokers 491; sold brandy to Indians 144; sued by Femmetgen Alberts 186–87, Claes Hendricksz 268, 271, 273, 274, H. van Dijck 384; testifies 444, regarding Indian brokers 498, 500; testimony 141; witness for Jacob Tijsen 477, 480

Slichtenhorst, Heer; see van Slichtenhorst, Brant

Slichtenhorst/Slechtenhorst, Margariet, sued by Flodder 228

Slingerlant/Slingerland; see Cornelisen, Teunis (Slingerlant)

Smit, Dirrick, commander in Esopus, authorized to take charge of estate of G. Philipsen 470; sued by Van Eeckelen regarding shipment 495

Smit, Jan, sues Jan Gauw 43, 44

Smit, Rem Jansz; see Jansen, Rem (Smit) smiths, cannot be required to repair Indians’ guns 457; Maquas request smiths to repair their possessions 454

Soo Gemackelijck; see Adriaensen, Pieter (Soo Gemackelijck)

Spitsbergen, Teunis, attachment 380; identified as Van der Poel 276n; lot of 380; money due to 364; sues W. Fredrickz 390, W. Gerritsen 336, 339, W. Gerritsen and Jan de Wever 338, Dirckjen Harmens 345, Rutger Jacobsen 526, 539, 541, Jaques Thijsen 496, Vosburch 276, Vosch 380

spy, Cornelis de Sweet spy for the queen
INDEX

155
Staets, Abraham, appointed overseer for building village defense 466, arbitrator 299–300; captain of burgher guard 300; case referred to 8, 40, 43; to collect taxes 65, 96; as deputy at Manhatans 9; to inspect garden 8; to lay out land 9; loans money for defense of Manathans 139; lot of 36, 49; magistrate 108, 294, 431; payment of money to court 250; to present powder to Indians 150; receives payment 379; signs petition for use of Indian brokers 491; sues Bambus 279, H. de Backer 278, H. Jacobsen 302; to survey lots and gardens 4; treasurer 96; mentioned 48, 49

State Street (Albany), mentioned 36n
States General, mentioned xvi

Steendam, Jacob, sues Maria Dijckmans 427

Stevensen, Abraham (Crowaet/Crabaat/Crobaet), attachment 158; fined for wearing womens' clothes 101; meaning of Crowaet 157n; sued by Maria Jans 157, 165, S. Jansz 158, A. van den Berch 264

Stevensen, Mary, sued by J. Martensen 449

Stevensen, Pieter, sued by Jaque de Fransman 311, Jan de Wever 314, 320, H. Jacobsen 270, V. Janse 316, Noldinck 335, 345, Tijssen 315; sues Appel, B. Albertsen and H. Gerritsen 336

Stiggery, Stig, propositions made by 76
Stoffelsen, Reyer, widow of, sues Christoffel Davids 256, G. Pietersen 256

Stol/Stoll, Jacob Jansz (Hap); see Jansen, Jacob (Stol)

Stol/Stoll, Willem Jansz (Hap); see Jansen Willem (Stol)

Stuyvesant, Petrus, director general, absent from colony 201n; appoints surveyor 67; authorization granted by 27, 27n; cedes land xvi; and Council of New Netherland, advice solicited 129, consent given 137, delegates sent from 47, 48, disposition of fines 340, 343, Hillebrant sent to 405, judgment of 122, 124, magistrates chosen by 119, 206, 236, 294, 361, 431, 486, orders day of prayer 280–81; erects inferior court of justice 3; hears brokering complaints 514; ill, unable to come 456–57; land at Esopus for 150; letter to 459; letters from, 32, 41, 103, 131, 139, 149, 165, 461; matters referred to 17, 25, 77, 106–8, 135, 161, 503; at meeting with Sinnekus 515; ordinance of not published 224–25, petitioned 190; proclaims company's jurisdiction xxv; sentence appealed to 209; signs chimney tax order 519; yacht leased for service of 120; mentioned xxi, xxii, xxiii, 40, 46, 50, 64–65, 76, 80, 93, 117, 123, 143, 175, 214, 218, 252–53, 284, 339, 411n sugar; see foodstuff

surveyor, appointed, Bastiaensen 67, Dirrick Jansz and Vosburgh 3, J. Roelofsz 161; oath of 162

Suythal, Southold on Long Island 397n

Swager, defined 155n

Swager Merten; see Ottensen, Merten

Swart, Gerrit/Gerard, attachment against C. Jansen 524, La Montagne 490; attorney for Dareth 460; petitions court of Fort Orange and Beverwijck 103; schout of Rensselaerswijk 274n; sues Beever 496, Dirckjen Harmens 337, J. Tijmesen 478, 479, Lambert van Neck 277; as witness 283; mentioned 303

Swartwout/Swardtwoudt, Roeloff, accused of illegal trading 437; sued by Boon 381, Harmens 434, G. Loockermans 509, Verveelen 521; sues Boon 442, H. Martensen 435

Swedes, mentioned xxiii

Swedish South River Company, mentioned xvi

Swoll (Zwolle), Gerrit Jansz from 90

Tack, Arent Pietersen; see Pietersen, Aert (Tack)

Tades, Michiel, sues Baltus Jacobsen 530 tapoesjens, mentioned 252

tapsters, commissary to inspect houses of
68–69; excise 32, 41, 108–9, 272, 421, 475; ordinances regarding 8, 68, 152, 473
taxes, chimney 519, 529; gardens, houses, lots and private persons trading 65
Teller/Tellier/Telier/Teljer, Willem, collection to be taken up by 214; fined 214, 246; moved his palisades 189
nominated as magistrate 359; prosecuted 214, 234, 239, 240; signs petition 491; sued by Van Leyden 421, Cornelis Theunesen and Jacob Thijsen 495–96, Wisselpenningh 366; sues B. Jacobsen 488, Nolden 536, Cornelis Theunesen and Jacob Thijsen 510, 532; testifies 197–99; mentioned 216
Tempel/Tempelier, Theunis; see Pieter-sen, Theunis (Tempel)
Ten Haer, Mariken, sues Becker 61
Tesselaer, Evert, goods in hands of V. Jansz and Hartgers 115, 116; sues Marten Metselaer 20
Teunissen/Theunesen/Teunisz, Claes (Uylenspiegel), lot of 428; married 351; sued by Bronck 218, 227, C. Cornelisz 244, 247, 484, G. Gerritsen 257, 267, 351, Herbertsen 270, R. Jacobsen 273, 277, Pouleyn 290; wife sued by C. Cornelissen 495
Teunissen/Theunisz, Cornelis, attachment in hands of 315; house rent to be paid to 111; instigator of rumor 319; loans money for defense of Manathans 139; prosecuted 281, 333; requests lot for Mingael 261; sued by Janssen 312, 383, Tack 528, Telier 510, Wijncoop 366; sues Crawaet 264; Herpertsz 81, Jacobsz 132, Stolle 351; wife of prosecuted 281; witness 361
Teunissen/Theunisen/Theunisz/Teunisen, Cornelis (Bos/Bosch, van Westbroeck, Schoester), accused of slandering magistrates 444, Schaets 285; appointed to examine lot 10, survey lot and road 32, lots and gardens 4; arbitrator 222, 344; banished and fined 465–66; deputy at Manathans 97; interrogatory about 436, 444, of 136; magistrate 98, 108, 119, 120; man of (Jacob Teunisz) accused of brokering 436–37; money in hands of attached 437; petition for Dutch and Indian brokers signed 502, for Indian brokers presented 434; prosecuted 445, 529; rejects court’s authority 462; request for fine and banishment of 462; shoemaker 437n; sued by Meester Adriaen 531, C. Claessen 533, 536, A. Gerritsen 461, Tack 530, 532; sues De Haes 449, Teller 495–96, 532; summoned to testify 144; surety for S. Jansz and Roelofsz 231, Kittelheym 531, Van Hoesem 37; witness 495; mentioned 6 Teunissen/Theunisen/T(h)eunisz, Cornelis (Broer Cornelis, van Breuckelen, van Slijck), farm of 30, 130n, Jan Labatie to live on 30; sues Dirckjen Martensen 339, 345; witness 493; mentioned 151
Teunissen/Teunisen, Dirck, sues B. Jacobsen 483
Teunissen, Gijsbert, interrogatory of 292
Teunissen/T(h)eunisen/T(h)eunisz, Jacob/Jacobus/Cobus, see also de Looper Jacob; (van Naerden), accused of illegal trading 436; affidavit of 532; assaulted by Reverdingh 389–90; chased by Pastoor 303; prosecuted 357, 436–37; questioned 437; schout bij nacht 389, 392; sued by Bronck 377, 383, De Foreest 367–68, Jurcksen 424, Labatie 482, Levy 439, Noldinck 334, Rinckhout 384, Roelofsz 395, Van Eeckelen 508, 521; sues Abrahamsen 301, Trijn Claesz 366, De Snijder 412, H. Koekerder 430, J. Martensen 392, Mattijs, servant of J. Withart 418, Van Leyden 389; testifies 59, 197; mentioned 462
Teunissen/Teunisen, Jan, affidavit of 392; banished 350; fined 350, 474; prosecuted 345–46, 349; sued by Bronck 374, 376–77, 383, P. Hendricksen 422
Teunissen/Theunisz, Joost (Backer, de Backer), see also de Backer, Joost; ac-
counts 461; deposition 32; note assigned in favor of 29; mentioned 38
Teunissen/Theunesen/Theunisz/Teunisen, Jurriaen (de Glaseamaecker), assaulted by C. van den Hoogen Bergh 113; charges denied by 8; fined 372, 542; interrogation of 354; petition signed by 502; prosecuted 100, 234, 371, 528, 529; sued by W. Frederickse 57, V. Jansen and J. Tomassen 428, Rinckhout 249, Tomassen 428, Wouter de Backer 409; sues Rinckhout 470, 489, Roelofs 409, Woutersen 494; mentioned 512
Teunissen/Theunesen/Theunisesen, Michiel, arbitrators report on 332, 333; to pay Femmitie de Baxter 333; sued by Bronck's wife 420, Ester Fonda 418, Van Eeckelen 484; sues Femmetje Albertsen 476–77; wife of sued by Van Eeckelen 480, sues Van den Bergh 467
Teunissen/Theunisz, Pieter (van Brunswijck), will of 148
Teunissen/Theunesen, Teunis/Theunes (de Metselaer), sued by former magistrates 365, Pastoor 296; sues Gauw 326, Herperts 505, Hoogenboom 483; wife of 297, 365
Teunissen/Theunesen, Willem, sues B. Jacobsen 530
theft, accusations of 4, 13, 24, 259, 397–98, 419, 452, 460, 464, 472, 477, 480, 485, 487, 494, 505, 538; rattle watch to look for thieves 451
Thijsen, ———, mentioned 57
Thijsen/Thijs, Claes (Cnijver), sued by W. Albertsen 111; yacht of 140
Thijsen/Tijsen/Tijsen, Jacob (van der Heyden), accused of illegal brokering 500; boy of 525; to judge wages for tailoring 322; loan from 140; petition of 434, 501–2; sued by J. Albertsen 464, 472, 477, 478, 480, De Haen 522, Juffrouw de Hulter 378, 447, W. Gerritsen 412, 384, Leendertsen 296, Telier 510, 532, Tempel 525, 533; sues J. Albertsen 460, 481, 483, Gauw 311, 314, 338, 339, Telier 495; wife of appears for husband 525; witness 361; mentioned 452
Thijsen/Thijsz/Tijsen, Jacques/Jacques/Jackes (de Oude), requests use of lot 171; sued by C. Janssen 452, G. Lookermans 373, Spitsbergen 496; sues P. Stevensen 315
Thijsen, Lijsbet, paid by B. Jacobsen 534
Thomassen/Thomasz, Frans, mentioned 145
Thomassen/Thoomassen, Harmen, sues W. Brouwer 493; wife of 442n
Thomassen/Thomasz/Thomassen/Thomassen/Thomassen/Thomassen/Thomossip, Jan, accused of illegal brokering 500; appointed financial adviser 118; arbitrator, 222; attachment of money in hands of 321; attorney 111, 182; authorized to lay out lot 93; brewery of 223; contributes to presents for Indians 147; delegate to Maquas 456; house nicknamed 173; illegal trading discovered by 323–24; interpreter 255; loan of money from 140, 224; lot of 184, 232; magistrate 108, 294, 431; petition signed by 491; prohibited from using shed 288; to search house 388; servant of 298; sued by P. Gillissen 414, J. Meyndersen 479, P. Jacobsen 467, 468; sues Bamboes 233, Hedemans 359, Schut 168, 185, 187, Jurriaen Teunissen 428; testifies 499; witness 397; mentioned 5
Thomassen/Thomasz, Poulus, sues by Bronck 76
Thomassen/Tomassen, Teunis, sues Hoogenboom 481
Three Rivers; see Trois Rivieres
Thullert, Johanna; see de Hulter, Johanna
Tierx, Elsien, wife of Philip Hendricksen 488n
Tijmesen/Tijmonsen, Jan, sued by G. Swardt 478–79
Timmerman, Abraham Jacobsz; see Jacobsen, Abraham
Timmerman, Claes Jansz; see Jansen, Claes
Timmerman, Egbert, sued by Baefjen
Pietersen 283
Timmerman, Herman Bastiaensz; see Bastiaensen, Herman
Timmerman, Steven Jansz; see Jansen, Steven
Tjebakens, Jan (Schellinger), money from J. Adriaensen due to 117 trading season, mentioned 187, 416 treasurers, appointed 186-87 Treaty of Hartford, mentioned xxiii Treaty of Westminster, mentioned xxvi Trois/Troij Rivieres, governor of 401, 402; letters from 459 Turck, Sijmon, sues R. Jansen 439 Uylenspiegel, Claesz; see Teunissen, Claes
van Acken, Jan (Coster), accused of illegal brokering 499; blacksmith 499n; former lot of 342; house nicknamed 173; petition signed by 491; sued by S. Cornelissen 505, J. Hendrixsz 153-54, 158, Pels 261; sues Daret 16, 17, 21, C. Meyndertsen 169; testimony of 535; mentioned 127 van Alckmaer, Ariaen, garden of 52; mentioned 9 van Alen, Lourens, petition signed by 491; testimony 498 van Alen, Pieter, petition signed by 491; prosecuted 513 van Amersfoort, Evert Brantsen; see Brantsen, Evert van Amsterdam, Harman Jacobsen; see Jacobsen, Harman (Bamboes) van Baere, Claes Jansz; see Jansen, Claes (van Baere) van Beeren, Mattys, sues P. Jacobsen 421 van Bommel, Marcelis Jansz; see Jansen, Marcelis van Bremen, Jan (Dircksen/Dircksz/Dirrixsz), arrested 38; attached grain removed 186, 187; attachment in hands of 80, of effects 170, of money of 244, 423, 536; at Catskill 80; declaration 59; fined 217; indebted 17; judgment of De Vos against 535-36; petition signed by van Breuckelen, Cornelis; see Teunissen, Cornelis (van Breuckelen)
van Breuckelen, Gijsbert Cornelissen, mentioned 182n van Brunswijck, Pieter Theunisz; see Teunissen, Pieter (van Brunswijck) van Coesan, Abraham, witness 331 van Crieckenbeeck, Daniel, first commander of Fort Orange xvii van Curler/Corlaer, Arent, arbitrator 115; attorney 269; at court 453; delegate to Maquas 456; servant 290n; sues Gauw 363, 364, Harmen Jacobsen 359 vande Grist, Poulus Leendertsen; see Leendertsen, Poulus (vande Grist) van de Hoogeberch, Claes; see van den Bergh, Claes vande Poel, Wijnandt Gerritsen; see Gerritsen, Wijnandt van Delft, Frans Gabrielsz; see Gabrielsz, Frans (van Delft)
van den Bergh/Berch, Arent, fined 284-85; sued by Bronck 305, W. Brouwer 382, H. Carelse 408, J. de Wever 314, Ph. Hendrickson 435, J. Martensen 472; sues Arent Andriesz 133, B. Gerritsen 382, H. Gerritsen 264, 266, 483, 508-9, T. Jacobsen 371 van den Bergh/Berch, Claes (van de Hoogeberch), appointed beer carrier 541; prosecuted 100, 113; sued by Bronck 385, 391, T. Cooninck 315, Grietien Michielsen 538, Baefje Pieters 283, 284, Eva Rinckhouts 525, 532, wife of M. Teunissen 467; sues Poulus Martensen 489, wife of C. Fredericksen 489, wife J. Martensen 467
van den Bergh, Geertruy, sued by P. Adriaensen 527
van den Bogaert, Harmen Meyndertsz, mentioned xxii
van der Donck, Adriaen, mentioned 167
van der Heyden, Jacob Thijsen; see Thijsen, Jacob
van der Sluys, Anderies, sued by Noldingh 334
van Dijck, Henderick, affidavit for Davids 406; sues G. Slechtenhorst 384
van Dijck, Lidia, sues van Eckelen 446
van Doesburg, Hendrick Anderiessen; see Andriessen, Hendrick (Driesz)
van Driest, Hendrick; see Andriessen, Hendrick
van Eps, Elisabeth Dircksee, see also Lijsbet; wife of Gerrit Bancken 524n
van Geel, Maximiliaen, schepen of New Amsterdam 47n; mentioned 47, 48, 49, 50
van Geringen, Annetie, sued by Elsien Tierx 488
van Gottenburgh, Jan Jansz; see Jansen, Jan (van Gottenburgh)
van Hamel, Mr./Meester Dirck/Diederick, attachment of money in hands of 415; secretary, colony of Renselaerswyck 329, 348n; sued by Jochem Backer 283–84, H. Bastiaenssen 408, J. Claessen 399, P. Hendricksen 442; sues Davits 348, P. Loockermans 326, L. van Valckenborch 315, 325, 329; wounded 209; mentioned 209, 263, 370, 374
van Hoesem/van Housen/van Hoesen, Jan Fransen/Fransz, accounts of 532; complaint about 40; contract with W. Jurriaensz 51, 55, 66; garden assigned to 6, to take possession of 12; Indians at house of 123; loan of money from 139, 224; lot assigned to 6, 239, of 10, 40; payment of 67, 165; petition signed with mark 502; prosecuted 214; request of 172, 183; sued by M. Abrahamsen 309, F. Barense 418, Becker 35, 37, 53, Ph. de Brouwer 16, H. Gerritsen 452, B. Jacobsen 461, 465, 466, Stoffel Janssen 315. Pels 133, D. Rinckhout 477, 485, 495, Verveelen 443; sues M. Abrahamsen 297, Jochem Becker Backer 6, 43, 278, Jochem Backer's wife 279, 288, 293, Tierck Claessen 377, Albert de Rademaeker 366, H. Gerritsz 249, 315, M. Herpertsen 124, W. Jurriaens 5, Eva Rinckhouts 478, Van Loenen 326, 354, , Van Sluys 315; suit with W. Jurriaensz 66–67; wife of 7, 9, 10, sued by Tierck Claesen 506, D. Rinckhoudt 506, 510, sues Eva Rinckhout 479, G. van Loenen 353; mentioned 50, 141
van Hoesem, Volckertien; see Juriaen, Volckgen
van Ilpendam, Adriaen (Jansen, de Schoolmeester, Meester Adriaen), abusive language and assault against 88–89; arbitrator 45; clerk of burgher guard 89; lot of 70; petitions 17, 53, 173; schoolmaster 88, 173; secretary 17; sued by Marcelis Jansen 333, Jan Lamontagne 63; sues T. Bosch 531, W. Brouwer 527, 531, T. Cornelissen 526, L. van Valckenburgh 526; wife of 63 van Imborch, Gijsbert, attachment held by 442; sues V. Janssen 418, J. Meyndersen 505; as surgeon 422n
van Laer, Jacob, petition signed by 502
van Leyden, Adriaen Jansz; see Jansen, Adriaen (Appel, van Leyden)
van Linthout, Abraham, sued by La Chair 245

van Loenen, Gijsbert, accused of playing hockey on day of prayer 284; prosecuted 347; sued by T. Cooninck 315, P. Cornelissen 348, Van Hoesem 326, 354, 374; sues T. Abrahamsen 314, P. Comelissen 349

van Loosdrecht/van Loosdrecht, Jacob; see Hendricksen, Jacob (Loserik/van Loosdrecht, Maet)

van Monnickendam, Willem Albertsz; see Albertsen, Willem

van Naerden, Jacobus Theunisz; see Teunissen, Jacob

van Neck, Lambert (Albertsen), attachment on Catelijn Samson held by 442; dispute with H. Hendercksz; petition signed by 491, 501–2; prosecuted 527, 529; sued by L. Coobesen 540, estate of Bensich 524–25, G. Swart 277; witness 495, 496

van Nes, Dirrick, sues Verbeeck 17

van Noortstrant, Jacob Jansen; see Jansen, Jacob (van Noortstrant)

van Oldenborgh, Hendrick Cassersz; see Cassersz, Hendrick

van Otmar, Dirrick; see Lammerts, Dirrick

van Petten/Putten, Wouter Aertsen; see Aertsen, Wouter

van Rencum, Jurgen Evertsz; see Evertsz, Jurgen

van Rensselaer, Heer Jan Baptist(a), arbitrator 115; communication of 55; contacted 48; director of patroonship 48n; farm of 167–68; house nicknamed 173; proclamation to 281; sued by Jan Hendrixse 213; sues Bronck 309; witness 255; mentioned 381

van Rensselaer/Rencelaer, Jeremias, at court 453; delegate to Maquas 456; lot of 428; sues Bronck 439

van Rensselaer, Kiliaen, death xxi; director of West India Company xix

van Rotterdam, Claes, appointed inspector-carpenter 104; requests garden 129; servant of Jochem Becker 172; sued by H. Jacobsen 337, Noldingh 337; testimony of 285; wife sued by F. Barentsen 378

van Slichtenhorst, Brant, affairs of 103; cited 107; departure of 102–3; director of Rensselaerswijk xxi; dwelling of 3; jailed xxiv; request for appearance of 70–71; subject of proclamation 84–85; sued by C. Gerritsz 116, 122, 124; tears down WIC ordinance xxiv; mentioned xxii, xxiv, xxvii, 199

van Slichtenhorst, Gerrit; see Slichtenhorst, Gerrit

van Slichtenhorst, Margariet; see Slichtenhorst, Margriet

van Slingerlant, Theunis; see Cornelissen, Theunis

van Sluys, Anderies, sued by Van Hoesem 315

van Sterrevelt, Cornelis, sues Carpeyn 505

van Swoll, Gerrit Jansz; see Jansen, Gerrit (van Swoll)

van Thienhoven/Tienhooven, Cornelis, communication of 55; complaint of 50; at court session 47, 49, 51; fiscal of New Netherland and council member 47n

van Twiller, Jan, attorney for G. Vastrick 121; request of 159

van Twillert, Johannes, sues Davids 260; witness 283

van Utrecht, Claes Hendrixsz; see Hendricksen, Claes (Timmerman, van Utrecht)

van Utrecht, Michiel Antonisz; see Antonisz, Michiel (van Utrecht)

van Valckenburgh, Herman Jansz; see Jansen, Herman

van Valckenburgh/Valckenborgh/Valckenborch, Lambert, appointed to rattle watch 451; dispute with Herpertsen 117; house of 493; petition signed with mark 502; requests lot 159; sergeant of burgher guard 299; sued by A. Gysbertsen 466, G. Loockermans 376, Van Hamel 315, 325, 326, Van Ilpendam 526, Van Leyden 22, Verveelen 510; sues H. Claessen 271, wife of Evert de
Backer 510, G. Willemsen 271; summoned 112; wife appears for 526; mentioned 271
van Vechten, Teunis Cornelisz; see Cornelissen, Teunis (van Vechten)
van Veuren, Frans Borremans; see Borremans, Frans
van Vleck, Mr., sues Herbertsen 446
van Westbroeck, Cornelis Theunisz; see Teunissen, Cornelis (Bos, van Westbroeck)
Vastrick, Gerrit, money due to 121; sues J. Adriaensen 117–18, A. Pietersz 205
Vastrick, Robbert, attachment in hands of 304; attorney for brother 117–18
Vedder/Vetter, Harmen/Herman, accused of illegal brokering 437; attachments against A. Gerritsen and Bensick 379; prosecuted 512; restrained from trading 200; sues H. Bastiaensen 407, Bronck 441–42, M. Hendericksz 379, 385, C. Jacobsen 407
Verbeeck, Jan, advice on answer to Maquas 504; appointed, arbitrator 16, 52, 222, 243, curator of Luyersen’s children and estate 273, orphan master 278, treasurer of court 186–87; appoints curator of Cornelia de Vos’s estate 280; to collect list of burghers for support of church 16; to confer with director general 149; gives present to Maquas 147; magistrate, 108, 206, 303, 360, 425, 431, of Resselaerswijk 5; opinion regarding Indian brokers 491; ordered to build on lot 232; runaway boy (servant) of 10; sued by A. de Vos 373–74, C. Hendricksen 406, D. Nes 17–18, Wisselpenningh 366; sues T. Koninck 128; mentioned 140, 280, 370
Verbruggen, Michiel, confirms testimony 292; servant of Hans 286
Verhulst, Willem, mentioned xvii
Vervelen/Verveelen, Daniel, petition signed by 502; sentence against 202; sued by Marcelis Jansz 196; sues M. Abrahamsen 443, J. Adriaensen 443, 448, Bronck 521, W. Brouwer 521, M. Fredericksen 521, Swartwoudt 521, Van Hoesem 443, L. van Valckenburgh 510
Vijlens, Claes, sued by C. Cornelissen de Jonge 266
vinegar; see foodstuff
Vinhagel, Jaques, signs petition 502
Visbeeck/Visbeek/Viesbeeck, Gerrit, affidavit against Ida Claessen 398; assaulted by Ph. Hendricksen 522, 528; fined 267; sued by Ida Claessen 429
Vogel, Arent Cornelisz; see Cornelissen, Arent (Vogel, Schapenbouw)
Volckertsz/Volckersen/Volkerts, Sijmon, excused from fine 55; signs petition with mark 502; sued by R. Janssen 306–7
Voogel, servant of De Hulter 180
Vos/Vosch/de Vos/Comelis, to answer complaint 181, 190; charge denied by 185; garden of 136; house nicknamed 175; loan of money from 140; lot of 137; nicknames houses 172–73; petition signed by 502; request of 379; sued by P. Adriaensen 104, Foppe Barentsen 306–7, Bronck 380, D. Croon 250, curators of H. Jacobsen’s estate 335, Juffr. de Hulter 379, J. Hendericksz 381, H. Jacobsen 325, P. Jacobsen 311, 313, R. Jacobsen 378, V. Jansen 485, 487, P. Jelle 379, Pouwel 430, Spitsbergen 380; summoned 182
Vos/Vosch, Hans, accused of selling brandy to Indians 387–88; banished 293; called deputy schout 355, 357, 367, 368, 394; fined 339; house searched 388; interrogatory, at request of 292, of 286–87, 395; prosecuted 292–93, 394; to be sent to fiscal of New Netherland 395; servant of 286, 292; sued by C. de Noorman 357, Flodder 356, 358, Hester Fonda 370, Herbertsen 359, H. Jochimsen 363; sues J. de Wever 349, 355, Marcelis Jansen 368, P. Jansen Lamaecker 357, Van Buren 369; wife of 387–88; wounded 217; mentioned xxii, 217, 287, 351, 367, 368, 386
INDEX

Vosburgh/Vosburgh/Vosberch/Vosbergen, Abraham Pietersen; see Pietersen, Abraham (Vosburgh)

Vossenkill, mentioned 3n, 7n, 183n

Vries Adriaen Dircksz; see Dircksen, Adriaen

Waeye, Keese, accounts 135

Walloons, mentioned xv, xvi

Wappinger’s Kill, mentioned 450

Warners, Trijntje, wife of Carsten Fredericksen 489n

watermelons; see foodstuff

well, common 520

Wemp, Jan Barentsz; see Barentsen, Jan (Poest, Wemp)

Wendel, Evert Jansz; see Jansen, Evert

Wesselsen/Wessels/W sesselz, Jochem/Jochim (de Backer/Becker/Becker Backer), accuses C. Vos of nicknaming houses 172; attacked by J. Jansen Stoll 90; attorney for Coenraets 482; charges concerning 8; complaint of 40; corporal of burgher guard 88; fight with Slechtenhorst 197-99, 211 with J. Willemsz 101; fined 50, 219, 246, 352; illegal trading 213, 217, 219; interrogatory of 88–89; loan to director general 140, for Jurriaensz’s roof repair 224; maid of 355; ordered to line bank of kill 121, pay debt 37, pay fines 54, remove lumber 37, remove pigsty 10; payment by 340; petition presented by 199, 223; prosecuted 6–7, 13, 42–43, 111, 185–87, 200, 203, 204, 206, 219, 242, 246, 304, 352; request of 172–73, 183, 208, 263; sentenced for numerous acts 50; signs petition 502; sued by Bamboes 245, R. Claessen 539, 541, H. Driesz 121, Hoffmeyer 307, 309, 311, C. Jacobsz 20, H. Jacobsz 247, R. Jacobsz 39, C. Jansen 287–88, V. Jansen 11, Labatie 46, 102, T. Pouwel 430, Marijen ten Haer 61, Van Hoesem 6, 43, 278–79; sues Wouter de Backer 392, Hoffmeyer 262, 297, Rinckhout 151, Van Eeckelen 482, Van Hamel 283–84, Van Hoesem 35, 37, 53; summoned 49, 141, 143; testimony 141; wife of 5n, 7, 9, 13, 14, sued 288; mentioned 49n

Wesselsen, Maria, bridesmaid 417

Westerkamp, Femmetgen; see Albertsen, Femmetien (de Baxter)

Westermack/Westercamp, Hendrick Janse; see Jansen, Hendrick (Westercamp)

West India Company, see also Company; denies petition for trading house 515n; formation xv; house of 135, 172; Indians and Negroes at Curacao in service of 516n; oath of fidelity to 120, 206, 236, 362, 431, 486; revise Freedoms and Exemptions xxi; mentioned xvi, xviii, xix, 21, 180, and passim

wheat; see foodstuff

Wijnkoop/Wincoop, Cornelis, attachment against F. Barentsen 506; request of 470; sues Bastiaensen 506, P. Jacobsen 508, Ripsen 526, 531, 533, C. Teunissen 366

Willem, sues B. Jacobsen 485

Willems, Margriet, ordered not to detain boy 10

Willemsen/Willemsz, Anna, wife of J. Kettelheym 532n

Willemsen, Gerrit, sued by L. van Valkenburgh 271

Willemsen/Willemsz, Jacob (de Wolff, Backerde Backer), assaulted by Jochem Becker 111; attaches Gou’s beavers 241; fight with Jochem Becker 101; loans money 224; petition presented by 199; prosecuted 111; sued by Femmetgen Alberts 186–87; testifies 211

wine; see alcoholic beverages

Winnen, Pieter (de Vlamingh), appointed to rattlewatch 451; lot of 280, requested 191; petition signed by 502; sues P. Loockermans 345, 349, 443, J. Adriaensz Rademaeker 60; mentioned 280

Wip, Claes, sued by Steven Jansz 205

Wip, Seger Cornelissen; see Cornelissen,
Seger
Withart/Witthardt, Jan/Joannes, his servant 418; sues Groot 441, Hertgers and V. Jansz 115-16, J. Loockermans 441, P. Loockermans 448
Witmont, Jan, sued by Grietgen Nanninghsdr. 185-86; mentioned 191
Wollebrant, Pieter, sued by Bout 298, Bronck 305, D. Rinckhout 330
Wollemans, Harmen, affidavit affirmed 374
Woutersen, Cornelis, sued by Jurriaen Theunesen 494; sues Johanna de Laet 426, 437
yacht; see ships
Yden, Harmen, sued by Rutger Jacobsen 373
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